

Finite Automata

Part Three

Hello Condensed Slide Readers!


The first half of this lecture consists almost exclusively of animations of the subset construction, which aren't present here in the condensed version of these slides. You may want to see the full version of the slides for more context.

Enjoy!

-Keith

Recap from Last Time


Tabular DFAs



These stars indicate accepting states.

	0	1
* q_0	q_1	q_0
q_1	q_3	q_2
q_2	q_3	q_0
* q_3	q_3	q_3

Tabular DFAs



Since this is the first row, it's the start state.

	0	1
* q_0	q_1	q_0
q_1	q_3	q_2
q_2	q_3	q_0
* q_3	q_3	q_3


A language L is called a ***regular language*** if there exists a DFA D such that $\mathcal{L}(D) = L$.

NFAs

- An **NFA** is a
 - **N**ondeterministic
 - **F**inite
 - **A**utomaton
- Can have missing transitions or multiple transitions defined on the same input symbol.
- Accepts if *any possible series of choices* leads to an accepting state.

ϵ -Transitions

- NFAs have a special type of transition called the **ϵ -transition**.
- An NFA may follow any number of ϵ -transitions at any time without consuming any input.



Massive Parallelism

- An NFA can be thought of as a DFA that can be in many states at once.
- At each point in time, when the NFA needs to follow a transition, it tries all the options at the same time.
- The NFA accepts if *any* of the states that are active at the end are accepting states. It rejects otherwise.

Just how powerful *are* NFAs?


New Stuff!

NFAs and DFAs


- Any language that can be accepted by a DFA can be accepted by an NFA.
- Why?
 - Every DFA essentially already *is* an NFA!
- **Question:** Can any language accepted by an NFA also be accepted by a DFA?
- Surprisingly, the answer is **yes!**

Thought Experiment:


How would you simulate an NFA in software?



	a	b
$\{q_0\}$	$\{q_0, q_1\}$	$\{q_0\}$
$\{q_0, q_1\}$	$\{q_0, q_1\}$	$\{q_0, q_2\}$
$\{q_0, q_2\}$	$\{q_0, q_1, q_3\}$	$\{q_0\}$
$*\{q_0, q_1, q_3\}$	$\{q_0, q_1\}$	$\{q_0, q_2\}$



Once More, With Epsilons!



	a	b
*{q ₀ , q ₃ }	{q ₁ , q ₄ }	{q ₄ }
*{q ₁ , q ₄ }	∅	{q ₂ , q ₃ }
{q ₄ }	∅	{q ₃ }
*{q ₂ , q ₃ }	{q ₀ , q ₃ , q ₄ }	{q ₀ , q ₃ , q ₄ }
*{q ₃ }	{q ₄ }	{q ₄ }
*{q ₀ , q ₃ , q ₄ }	{q ₁ , q ₄ }	{q ₃ , q ₄ }
*{q ₃ , q ₄ }	{q ₄ }	{q ₃ , q ₄ }
∅	∅	∅

The Subset Construction

- This construction for transforming an NFA into a DFA is called the **subset construction** (or sometimes the **powerset construction**).
 - Each state in the DFA is associated with a set of states in the NFA.
 - The start state in the DFA corresponds to the start state of the NFA, plus all states reachable via ϵ -transitions.
 - If a state q in the DFA corresponds to a set of states S in the NFA, then the transition from state q on a character a is found as follows:
 - Let S' be the set of states in the NFA that can be reached by following a transition labeled a from any of the states in S . (*This set may be empty.*)
 - Let S'' be the set of states in the NFA reachable from some state in S' by following zero or more epsilon transitions.
 - The state q in the DFA transitions on a to a DFA state corresponding to the set of states S'' .
- **Read Sipser for a formal account.**

The Subset Construction

- In converting an NFA to a DFA, the DFA's states correspond to sets of NFA states.
- ***Useful fact:*** $|\wp(S)| = 2^{|S|}$ for any finite set S .
- In the worst-case, the construction can result in a DFA that is *exponentially larger* than the original NFA.
- Interesting challenge: Find a language for which this worst-case behavior occurs (there are infinitely many of them!)

A language L is called a ***regular language*** if there exists a DFA D such that $\mathcal{L}(D) = L$.

An Important Result

Theorem: A language L is regular iff there is some NFA N such that $\mathcal{L}(N) = L$.

Proof Sketch: If L is regular, there exists some DFA for it, which we can easily convert into an NFA. If L is accepted by some NFA, we can use the subset construction to convert it into a DFA that accepts the same language, so L is regular. ■

Why This Matters

- We now have two perspectives on regular languages:
 - Regular languages are languages accepted by DFAs.
 - Regular languages are languages accepted by NFAs.
- We can now reason about the regular languages in two different ways.

Time-Out for Announcements!

Problem Set Six

- Problem Set Five was due at 2:30PM today.
- Problem Set Six goes out today. It's due next Friday at 2:30PM.
 - Play around with DFAs, NFAs, language transformations, and their properties!
 - Explore how all the discrete math topics we've talked about so far come into play!

Looking for a Partner?

- I've heard from many of you that you're now looking for a problem set partner.
- Don't forget that Piazza has a lovely "Search for Teammates" feature that you can use to do this.
- It's like speed dating for theory!

DFA/NFA Editor

- We have an online DFA/NFA editor you'll use to answer and submit some of the questions for PS6.
- This tool will let you design and test your automata on a number of different inputs.
- You can also use it to explore on your own!

Extra Practice Problems 2

- Solutions are now available to Extra Practice Problems 2.
- We recommend only reading over them if you've actually attempted the problems from EPP2. 😊

Your Questions

“Any tips on finding a good partner for a group project?”

Treat it like a relationship. Make sure you're clear about your expectations from the beginning. Don't be afraid to break up with someone if you don't work well together. And play the long game - if you find someone really on that you work really well with, it can pay off wonderfully over the course of being a student here!

“Why is pset grading left on “discretion of TA”? I asked why I got points off and TA says he didn't grade mine :(”

We have a pretty detailed set of internal criteria that we use and an internal discussion channel to talk about specific cases. There's discretion in the sense of “making a judgment call in a boundary case,” but the TAs don't have full discretionary authority to give whatever grade they feel like.

“what is the MEANING of LIFE”


Haven't a clue. Are we even sure one exists? It probably has something to do with having an enjoyable experience and making things a little bit better for everyone else.

Back to CS103!


Properties of Regular Languages

The Union of Two Languages

- If L_1 and L_2 are languages over the alphabet Σ , the language $L_1 \cup L_2$ is the language of all strings in at least one of the two languages.
- If L_1 and L_2 are regular languages, is $L_1 \cup L_2$?




Machine for L_1



Machine for L_2


The Union of Two Languages

- If L_1 and L_2 are languages over the alphabet Σ , the language $L_1 \cup L_2$ is the language of all strings in at least one of the two languages.
- If L_1 and L_2 are regular languages, is $L_1 \cup L_2$?



The Intersection of Two Languages

- If L_1 and L_2 are languages over Σ , then $L_1 \cap L_2$ is the language of strings in both L_1 and L_2 .
- Question: If L_1 and L_2 are regular, is $L_1 \cap L_2$ regular as well?



$$\overline{L_1} \cup \overline{L_2}$$

Hey, it's De Morgan's laws!

Concatenation

String Concatenation

- If $w \in \Sigma^*$ and $x \in \Sigma^*$, the **concatenation** of w and x , denoted wx , is the string formed by tacking all the characters of x onto the end of w .
- Example: if $w = \text{quo}$ and $x = \text{kka}$, the concatenation $wx = \text{quokka}$.
- Analogous to the $+$ operator for strings in many programming languages.
- Some facts about concatenation:
 - The empty string ε is the **identity element** for concatenation:

$$w\varepsilon = \varepsilon w = w$$

- Concatenation is **associative**:

$$wxy = w(xy) = (wx)y$$

Concatenation

- The **concatenation** of two languages L_1 and L_2 over the alphabet Σ is the language

$$L_1L_2 = \{ wx \in \Sigma^* \mid w \in L_1 \wedge x \in L_2 \}$$

Concatenation Example

- Let $\Sigma = \{ a, b, \dots, z, A, B, \dots, Z \}$ and consider these languages over Σ :
 - ***Noun*** = { **Puppy, Rainbow, Whale, ...** }
 - ***Verb*** = { **Hugs, Juggles, Loves, ...** }
 - ***The*** = { **The** }
- The language ***TheNounVerbTheNoun*** is
 - { **ThePuppyHugsTheWhale,**
TheWhaleLovesTheRainbow,
TheRainbowJugglesTheRainbow, ... }

Concatenation


- The **concatenation** of two languages L_1 and L_2 over the alphabet Σ is the language

$$L_1L_2 = \{ wx \in \Sigma^* \mid w \in L_1 \wedge x \in L_2 \}$$

- Two views of L_1L_2 :
 - The set of all strings that can be made by concatenating a string in L_1 with a string in L_2 .
 - The set of strings that can be split into two pieces: a piece from L_1 and a piece from L_2 .
- Conceptually similar to the Cartesian product of two sets, only with strings.


Concatenating Regular Languages

- If L_1 and L_2 are regular languages, is L_1L_2 ?
- Intuition - can we split a string w into two strings xy such that $x \in L_1$ and $y \in L_2$?



Machine for L_1

b	o	o	k
----------	----------	----------	----------




Machine for L_2

k	e	e	p	e	r
----------	----------	----------	----------	----------	----------


Concatenating Regular Languages

- If L_1 and L_2 are regular languages, is L_1L_2 ?
- Intuition – can we split a string w into two strings xy such that $x \in L_1$ and $y \in L_2$?
- **Idea**: Run the automaton for L_1 on w , and whenever L_1 reaches an accepting state, optionally hand the rest off w to L_2 .
 - If L_2 accepts the remainder, then L_1 accepted the first part and the string is in L_1L_2 .
 - If L_2 rejects the remainder, then the split was incorrect.

Concatenating Regular Languages




Machine for
 L_1



Machine for
 L_2

Concatenating Regular Languages



Lots and Lots of Concatenation

- Consider the language $L = \{ \mathbf{aa}, \mathbf{b} \}$
- LL is the set of strings formed by concatenating pairs of strings in L .

$\{ \mathbf{aaaa}, \mathbf{aab}, \mathbf{baa}, \mathbf{bb} \}$

- LLL is the set of strings formed by concatenating triples of strings in L .

$\{ \mathbf{aaaaaa}, \mathbf{aaaab}, \mathbf{aabaa}, \mathbf{aabb}, \mathbf{baaaa}, \mathbf{baab}, \mathbf{bbaa}, \mathbf{bbb} \}$

- $LLLL$ is the set of strings formed by concatenating quadruples of strings in L .

$\{ \mathbf{aaaaaaaa}, \mathbf{aaaaaab}, \mathbf{aaaabaa}, \mathbf{aaaabb}, \mathbf{aabaaaa}, \mathbf{aabaab}, \mathbf{aabbaa}, \mathbf{aabbb}, \mathbf{baaaaaa}, \mathbf{baaaab}, \mathbf{baabaa}, \mathbf{baabb}, \mathbf{bbaaaa}, \mathbf{bbaab}, \mathbf{bbbaa}, \mathbf{bbbb} \}$

Language Exponentiation

- We can define what it means to “exponentiate” a language as follows:
- $L^0 = \{\varepsilon\}$
 - The set containing just the empty string.
 - Idea: Any string formed by concatenating zero strings together is the empty string.
- $L^{n+1} = LL^n$
 - Idea: Concatenating $(n+1)$ strings together works by concatenating n strings, then concatenating one more.
- **Question:** Why define $L^0 = \{\varepsilon\}$?

The Kleene Closure

- An important operation on languages is the ***Kleene Closure***, which is defined as

$$L^* = \{ w \in \Sigma^* \mid \exists n \in \mathbb{N}. w \in L^n \}$$

- Mathematically:

$$w \in L^* \quad \text{iff} \quad \exists n \in \mathbb{N}. w \in L^n$$

- Intuitively, all possible ways of concatenating zero or more strings in L together, possibly with repetition.

The Kleene Closure

If $L = \{ \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{bb} \}$, then $L^* = \{$

$\epsilon,$

$\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{bb},$

$\mathbf{aa}, \mathbf{abb}, \mathbf{bba}, \mathbf{bbbb},$

$\mathbf{aaa}, \mathbf{aabb}, \mathbf{abba}, \mathbf{abbbb}, \mathbf{bbaa}, \mathbf{bbabb}, \mathbf{bbbba}, \mathbf{bbbbbb},$

\dots

$\}$

Think of L^* as the set of strings you can make if you have a collection of stamps – one for each string in L – and you form every possible string that can be made from those stamps.

Reasoning about Infinity


- If L is regular, is L^* necessarily regular?
- **⚠ A Bad Line of Reasoning: ⚠**
 - $L^0 = \{ \varepsilon \}$ is regular.
 - $L^1 = L$ is regular.
 - $L^2 = LL$ is regular
 - $L^3 = L(LL)$ is regular
 - ...
 - Regular languages are closed under union.
 - So the union of all these languages is regular.

Reasoning About the Infinite

- If a series of finite objects all have some property, the “limit” of that process *does not* necessarily have that property.
- In general, it is not safe to conclude that some property that always holds in the finite case must hold in the infinite case.
 - (This is why calculus is interesting).


Idea: Can we directly convert an NFA for language L to an NFA for language L^* ?

The Kleene Star



Machine for L

The Kleene Star



Question: Why add the new state out front? Why not just make the old start state accepting?

Closure Properties

- ***Theorem:*** If L_1 and L_2 are regular languages over an alphabet Σ , then so are the following languages:
 - \bar{L}_1
 - $L_1 \cup L_2$
 - $L_1 \cap L_2$
 - L_1L_2
 - L_1^*
- These properties are called ***closure properties of the regular languages.***