

# Mathematical Logic

Part One

***Question:*** How do we formalize the definitions and reasoning we use in our proofs?

# Where We're Going

- ***Propositional Logic*** (Today)
  - Basic logical connectives.
  - Truth tables.
  - Logical equivalences.
- ***First-Order Logic*** (Friday/Monday)
  - Reasoning about properties of multiple objects.

# Propositional Logic

A ***proposition*** is a statement that is,  
by itself, either true or false.

# Some Sample Propositions

- Puppies are cuter than kittens.
- Kittens are cuter than puppies.
- Usain Bolt can outrun everyone in this room.
- CS103 is useful for cocktail parties.
- This is the last entry on this list.

# Things That Aren't Propositions



Commands  
cannot be true  
or false.

# Things That Aren't Propositions



Questions  
cannot be true  
or false.

LOLCATS.COM



# Propositional Logic

- ***Propositional logic*** is a mathematical system for reasoning about propositions and how they relate to one another.
- Every statement in propositional logic consists of ***propositional variables*** combined via ***propositional connectives***.
  - Each variable represents some proposition, such as “You liked it” or “You should have put a ring on it.”
  - Connectives encode how propositions are related, such as “If you liked it, then you should have put a ring on it.”

# Propositional Variables

- Each proposition will be represented by a ***propositional variable***.
- Propositional variables are usually represented as lower-case letters, such as *p*, *q*, *r*, *s*, etc.
- Each variable can take one one of two values: true or false.

# Propositional Connectives

- **Logical NOT:  $\neg p$** 
  - Read “*not p*”
  - $\neg p$  is true if and only if  $p$  is false.
  - Also called *logical negation*.
- **Logical AND:  $p \wedge q$** 
  - Read “*p and q*.”
  - $p \wedge q$  is true if and only if both  $p$  and  $q$  are true.
  - Also called *logical conjunction*.
- **Logical OR:  $p \vee q$** 
  - Read “*p or q*.”
  - $p \vee q$  is true if and only if at least one of  $p$  or  $q$  are true (inclusive OR)
  - Also called *logical disjunction*.

# Truth Tables

- A ***truth table*** is a table showing the truth value of a propositional logic formula as a function of its inputs.
- Useful for several reasons:
  - They give a formal definition of what a connective “means.”
  - They give us a way to figure out what a complex propositional formula says.

# The Truth Table Tool

# Summary of Important Points

- The  $\vee$  connective is an *inclusive* “or.” It's true if at least one of the operands is true.
  - Similar to the `||` operator in C, C++, Java and the `or` operator in Python.
- If we need an exclusive “or” operator, we can build it out of what we already have.

# Truth Table for XOR

This is the truth table for XOR. ***You choose*** how we can write XOR using the other logical operators:

- (A)  $(p \wedge q) \vee (p \vee q)$
- (B)  $(p \wedge q) \vee \neg(p \vee q)$
- (C)  $(p \vee q) \wedge \neg(p \wedge q)$
- (D)  $(p \wedge q) \wedge (p \vee q)$

p	q	p XOR q
F	F	F
F	T	T
T	F	T
T	T	F

Answer at [Pollevo.com/cs103](https://www.pollevo.com/cs103) or  
text **CS103** to **22333** once to join, then **A**, **B**, or **C**.

# Mathematical Implication



# Implication

- The  $\rightarrow$  connective is used to represent implications.
  - Its technical name is the *material conditional* operator.
- What is its truth table?
- Pull out a sheet of paper, make a guess, and talk things over with your neighbors!

# Truth Table for $p \rightarrow q$ (implies)

**What is the correct truth table for implication?** Enter your guess as a list of four values to fill in the rightmost column of the table.  
(ex: F, T, ?, F)

<b>p</b>	<b>q</b>	<b><math>p \rightarrow q</math></b>
F	F	
F	T	
T	F	
T	T	

Answer at [PollEv.com/cs103](https://www.pollEv.com/cs103) or  
text **CS103** to **22333** once to join, then your response.

# Truth Table for Implication

$p$	$q$	$p \rightarrow q$	
F	F	T	• Bad bracket, don't get A
F	T	T	• Bad bracket, get A
T	F	F	• <b>Perfect bracket, don't get A</b>
T	T	T	• Perfect bracket, get A

# Why This Truth Table?

- The truth values of the  $\rightarrow$  are the way they are because they're *defined* that way.
- The intuition:
  - Every propositional formula should be either true or false – that's just a guiding design principle behind propositional logic.
  - We want  $p \rightarrow q$  to be false only when  $p \wedge \neg q$  is true.
  - In other words,  $p \rightarrow q$  should be true whenever  $\neg(p \wedge \neg q)$  is true.
  - What's the truth table for  $\neg(p \wedge \neg q)$ ?

# Truth Table for Implication

$p$	$q$	$p \rightarrow q$
F	F	T
F	T	T
T	F	F
T	T	T

The implication is only false if  $p$  is true and  $q$  isn't. It's true otherwise.

You will need to commit this table to memory. We're going to be using it a lot over the rest of the week.

# The Biconditional Connective

# The Biconditional Connective

- The biconditional connective  $\leftrightarrow$  is used to represent a two-directional implication.
- Specifically,  $p \leftrightarrow q$  means both that  $p \rightarrow q$  and that  $q \rightarrow p$ .
- Based on that, what should its truth table look like?
- Take a guess, and talk it over with your neighbor!

# Biconditionals

- The ***biconditional*** connective  $p \leftrightarrow q$  is read “ $p$  if and only if  $q$ .”
- Here's its truth table:

$p$	$q$	$p \leftrightarrow q$
F	F	T
F	T	F
T	F	F
T	T	T

One interpretation of  $\leftrightarrow$  is to think of it as equality: the two propositions must have equal truth values.



# True and False

- There are two more “connectives” to speak of: true and false.
  - The symbol  $\top$  is a value that is always true.
  - The symbol  $\perp$  is value that is always false.
- These are often called connectives, though they don't connect anything.
  - (Or rather, they connect zero things.)

# Proof by Contradiction

- Suppose you want to prove  $p$  is true using a proof by contradiction.
- The setup looks like this:
  - Assume  $p$  is false.
  - Derive something that we know is false.
  - Conclude that  $p$  is true.
- In propositional logic:

$$(\neg p \rightarrow \perp) \rightarrow p$$

# Operator Precedence

- How do we parse this statement?

$$\neg x \rightarrow y \vee z \rightarrow x \vee y \wedge z$$

- Operator precedence for propositional logic:

$\neg$

$\wedge$

$\vee$

$\rightarrow$

$\leftrightarrow$

- All operators are right-associative.
- We can use parentheses to disambiguate.

# Operator Precedence

- How do we parse this statement?

$$(\neg x) \rightarrow ((y \vee z) \rightarrow (x \vee (y \wedge z)))$$

- Operator precedence for propositional logic:

$\neg$

$\wedge$

$\vee$

$\rightarrow$

$\leftrightarrow$

- All operators are right-associative.
- We can use parentheses to disambiguate.

# Operator Precedence

- The main points to remember:
  - $\neg$  binds to whatever immediately follows it.
  - $\wedge$  and  $\vee$  bind more tightly than  $\rightarrow$ .
- We will commonly write expressions like  $p \wedge q \rightarrow r$  without adding parentheses.
- For more complex expressions, we'll try to add parentheses.
- Confused? Just ask!

# The Big Table

Connective	Read As	C++ Version	Fancy Name
$\neg$	“not”	!	Negation
$\wedge$	“and”	&&	Conjunction
$\vee$	“or”		Disjunction
$\rightarrow$	“implies”	<i>see PS2!</i>	Implication
$\leftrightarrow$	“if and only if”	<i>see PS2!</i>	Biconditional
$\top$	“true”	<b>true</b>	Truth
$\perp$	“false”	<b>false</b>	Falsity

# Recap So Far

- A ***propositional variable*** is a variable that is either true or false.
- The ***propositional connectives*** are
  - Negation:  $\neg p$
  - Conjunction:  $p \wedge q$
  - Disjunction:  $p \vee q$
  - Implication:  $p \rightarrow q$
  - Biconditional:  $p \leftrightarrow q$
  - True:  $\top$
  - False:  $\perp$

# Translating into Propositional Logic



# Some Sample Propositions

*a*: I will be in the path of totality.

*b*: I will see a total solar eclipse.

"I won't see a total solar eclipse if I'm not in the path of totality."

$$\neg a \rightarrow \neg b$$

“ $p$  if  $q$ ”

translates to

$$q \rightarrow p$$

It does *not* translate to

$$p \rightarrow q$$

# Some Sample Propositions

*a*: I will be in the path of totality.

*b*: I will see a total solar eclipse.

*c*: There is a total solar eclipse today.

"If I will be in the path of totality, but there's no solar eclipse today, I won't see a total solar eclipse."

$$a \wedge \neg c \rightarrow \neg b$$

“ $p$ , but  $q$ ”

translates to

$p \wedge q$

# The Takeaway Point

- When translating into or out of propositional logic, be very careful not to get tripped up by nuances of the English language.
  - In fact, this is one of the reasons we have a symbolic notation in the first place!
- Many prepositional phrases lead to counterintuitive translations; make sure to double-check yourself!

# Propositional Equivalences

## *Quick Question:*

What would I have to show you to convince you that the statement  $p \wedge q$  is false?

## *Quick Question:*

What would I have to show you to convince you that the statement  $p \vee q$  is false?



# De Morgan's Laws

- Using truth tables, we concluded that

$$\neg(p \wedge q)$$

is equivalent to

$$\neg p \vee \neg q$$

- We also saw that

$$\neg(p \vee q)$$

is equivalent to

$$\neg p \wedge \neg q$$

- These two equivalences are called ***De Morgan's Laws***.

# De Morgan's Laws in Code

- **Pro tip:** Don't write this:

```
if (!(p() && q())) {  
    /* ... */  
}
```

- Write this instead:

```
if (!p() || !q()) {  
    /* ... */  
}
```

- (This even short-circuits correctly!)

# Logical Equivalence

- Because  $\neg(p \wedge q)$  and  $\neg p \vee \neg q$  have the same truth tables, we say that they're **equivalent** to one another.
- We denote this by writing

$$\neg(p \wedge q) \equiv \neg p \vee \neg q$$

- The  $\equiv$  symbol is not a connective.
  - The statement  $\neg(p \wedge q) \leftrightarrow (\neg p \vee \neg q)$  is a propositional formula. If you plug in different values of  $p$  and  $q$ , it will evaluate to a truth value. It just happens to evaluate to true every time.
  - The statement  $\neg(p \wedge q) \equiv \neg p \vee \neg q$  means “these two formulas have exactly the same truth table.”
- In other words, the notation  $\varphi \equiv \psi$  means “ $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  always have the same truth values, regardless of how the variables are assigned.”

# An Important Equivalence

- Earlier, we talked about the truth table for  $p \rightarrow q$ . We chose it so that

$$p \rightarrow q \equiv \neg(p \wedge \neg q)$$

- Later on, this equivalence will be incredibly useful:

$$\neg(p \rightarrow q) \equiv p \wedge \neg q$$

# Another Important Equivalence

- Here's a useful equivalence. Start with

$$p \rightarrow q \equiv \neg(p \wedge \neg q)$$

- By De Morgan's laws:

$$p \rightarrow q \equiv \neg(p \wedge \neg q)$$

$$\equiv \neg p \vee \neg\neg q$$

$$\equiv \neg p \vee q$$

- Thus  $p \rightarrow q \equiv \neg p \vee q$

# Another Important Equivalence

- Here's a useful equivalence. Start with

$$p \rightarrow q \equiv \neg(p \wedge \neg q)$$

- By De Morgan's laws:

$$p \rightarrow q \equiv \neg(p \wedge \neg q)$$

$$\equiv \neg p \vee \neg \neg q$$

$$\equiv \neg p \vee q$$

- Thus  $p \rightarrow q \equiv \neg p \vee q$

If  $p$  is false, then  $\neg p \vee q$  is true. If  $p$  is true, then  $q$  has to be true for the whole expression to be true.

# One Last Equivalence

# The Contrapositive

- The contrapositive of the statement

$$p \rightarrow q$$

is the statement

$$\neg q \rightarrow \neg p$$

- These are logically equivalent, which is why proof by contrapositive works:

$$p \rightarrow q \quad \equiv \quad \neg q \rightarrow \neg p$$



# Why All This Matters

# Why All This Matters

- Suppose we want to prove the following statement:

“If  $x + y = 16$ , then  $x \geq 8$  or  $y \geq 8$ ”

# Why All This Matters

- Suppose we want to prove the following statement:

“If  $x + y = 16$ , then  $x \geq 8$  or  $y \geq 8$ ”

$$x + y = 16 \rightarrow x \geq 8 \vee y \geq 8$$

# Why All This Matters

- Suppose we want to prove the following statement:

“If  $x + y = 16$ , then  $x \geq 8$  or  $y \geq 8$ ”

$$x < 8 \wedge y < 8 \rightarrow x + y \neq 16$$

“If  $x < 8$  and  $y < 8$ , then  $x + y \neq 16$ ”

**Theorem:** If  $x + y = 16$ , then  $x \geq 8$  or  $y \geq 8$ .

**Proof:** By contrapositive. We will prove that if  $x < 8$  and  $y < 8$ , then  $x + y \neq 16$ . Let  $x$  and  $y$  be arbitrary numbers such that  $x < 8$  and  $y < 8$ .

Note that

$$\begin{aligned}x + y &< 8 + y \\ &< 8 + 8 \\ &= 16.\end{aligned}$$

This means that  $x + y < 16$ , so  $x + y \neq 16$ , which is what we needed to show. ■

# Why This Matters

- Propositional logic is a tool for reasoning about how various statements affect one another.
- To better understand how to prove a result, it often helps to translate what you're trying to prove into propositional logic first.
- That said, propositional logic isn't expressive enough to capture all statements. For that, we need something more powerful.

# Next Time

- ***First-Order Logic***
  - Reasoning about groups of objects.
- ***First-Order Translations***
  - Expressing yourself in symbolic math!