Eric Roberts CS 106B Handout #18 January 23, 2013

Recursive Strategies



A Simple Illustration of Recursion

- Suppose that you are the national fundraising director for a charitable organization and need to raise \$1,000,000.
- One possible approach is to find a wealthy donor and ask for a single \$1,000,000 contribution. The problem with that strategy is that individuals with the necessary combination of means and generosity are difficult to find. Donors are much more likely to make contributions in the \$100 range.
- Another strategy would be to ask 10,000 friends for \$100 each. Unfortunately, most of us don't have 10,000 friends.
- There are, however, more promising strategies. You could, for example, find ten regional coordinators and charge each one with raising \$100,000. Those regional coordinators could in turn delegate the task to local coordinators, each with a goal of \$10,000, continuing the process reached a manageable contribution level.







- The purpose of going through the complete decomposition of the Towers of Hanoi problem is to convince you that the process works and that recursive calls are in fact no different from other method calls, at least in their internal operation.
- The danger with going through these details is that it might encourage you to do the same when you write your own recursive programs. As it happens, tracing through the details of a recursive program almost always makes such programs harder to write. Writing recursive programs becomes natural only after you have enough confidence in the process that you don't need to trace them fully.
- As you write a recursive program, it is important to believe that any recursive call will return the correct answer as long as the arguments define a simpler subproblem. Believing that to be true—even before you have completed the code—is called the recursive leap of faith.

The Recursive Paradigm

• Most recursive functions you encounter in an introductory course have bodies that fit the following general pattern:

- if (test for a simple case) { Compute and return the simple solution without using recursion. } else {
- Divide the problem into one or more subproblems that have the same form. Solve each of the problems by calling this method recursively. Return the solution from the results of the various subproblems.
- Finding a recursive solution is mostly a matter of figuring out how to break it down so that it fits the paradigm. When you do so, you must do two things:
- 1. Identify simple cases that can be solved without recursion.
- 2. Find a *recursive decomposition* that breaks each instance of the problem into simpler subproblems of the same type, which you can then solve by applying the method recursively



Mondrian Decomposition













Recursion and Fractals

- Recursion comes up in other graphical applications, most notably in the creation of *fractals*, which are mathematical structures consisting of similar figures at various different scales. Fractals were popularized in a 1982 book by the late Benoit Mandelbrot entitled *The Fractal Geometry of Nature*.
- One of the simplest fractal patterns to draw is the *Koch fractal*, named after its inventor, the Swedish mathematician Helge von Koch (1870-1924). The Koch fractal is sometimes called a *snowflake fractal* because of the beautiful, six-sided symmetries it displays as the figure becomes more detailed. as illustrated in the following diagram:





- about fractals was a 1967 article in *Science* by Mandelbrot that asked the seemingly innocuous question, "How long is the coast of England?"
- The point that Mandelbrot made in the article is that the answer depends on the measurement scale, as these images from Wikipedia show.
- This thought-experiment serves to illustrate the fact that coastlines are *fractal* in that they exhibit the same structure at every level of detail.



