# Thinking Recursively Part IV 

## From Last Time...

Most of the "interesting" exhaustive recursive programs can be reduced to either generating subsets or permutations

## Subset Decision Tree

## A?



## Permutations Decision Tree



A good first step to solving an exhaustive recursive problem is first determine if it's related to generating subsets or permutations.

New Stuff...

## Sensor Placement



## Sensor Placement



## Sensor Placement

- Goal is still to maximize covered area
- New Constraint: Can only pick k sensors
- Similar to subset example, no known efficient algorithms for solving this problem perfectly for arbitrary $\mathbf{k}$
- How can we generate all possible choices?


## Generating Combinations

- Suppose that we want to find every way to choose exactly one element from a set.
- We could do something like this:

```
foreach (int x in mySet) {
```

    cout \(\ll \mathrm{x} \ll\) endl;
    \}

## Generating Combinations

- Suppose that we want to find every way to choose exactly two elements from a set.
- We could do something like this:

```
foreach (int x in mySet) {
    foreach (int y in mySet) {
        if (x != y) {
        cout << x << ", " << y << endl;
        }
    }
}
```


## 

- Suppose that we want to find every way to choose exactly three elements from a set.
- We could do something like this:

```
foreach (int x in mySet) {
    foreach (int y in mySet) {
        foreach (int z in mySet) {
        if (x != Y && x != z && y != z) {
        cout << x << ", " << Y << ", " << Z << endl;
    }
    }
    }
}
```


## Generating Combinations

- If we know how many elements we want in advance, we can always just nest a whole bunch of loops.
- But what if we don't know in advance?


## Pascal's Triangle Revisited

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 1 \\
& 11 \\
& 121 \\
& \begin{array}{llll}
1 & 3 & 3 & 1
\end{array} \\
& \begin{array}{lllll}
1 & 4 & 6 & 4 & 1
\end{array} \\
& \begin{array}{llllll}
1 & 5 & 10 & 10 & 5 & 1
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$
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## Pascal's Triangle Revisited

$$
\begin{gathered}
(0,0) \\
(0,1)(1,1) \\
(0,2)(1,2)(2,2) \\
(0,3)(1,3)(2,3)(3,3) \\
(0,4)(1,4)(2,4)(3,4)(4,4) \\
(0,5)(1,5)(2,5)(3,5)(4,5)(5,5)
\end{gathered}
$$

## Pascal's Triangle Revisited

$(0,0)$

$$
\begin{gathered}
(0,1)(1,1) \\
(0,2)(1,2)(2,2) \\
(0,3)(1,3)(2,3)(3,3) \\
(0,4)(1,4)(2,4)(3,4)(4,4) \\
(0,5)(1,5)(2,5)(3,5)(4,5)(5,5)
\end{gathered}
$$
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## Pascal's Triangle Revisited
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## Generating Combinations



How many ways are there to pick 0 things from this set?

## Generating Combinations



How many ways are there to pick 100 things from this set?

## combinations (Pseudocode)

## Combinations, Recursively

- How to pick $k$ elements from a set?
- Base Cases:
- If $k$ is 0 , the only option is to pick the empty set.
- Otherwise, if $k$ is greater than the number of elements of the set, there are no options.
- Recursive Step:
- Pick some element $x$ from the set.
- Find all ways of picking $k$ elements of what remains.
- Find all ways of picking $k-1$ elements of what remains, then add $x$ back in.


# combinations.cpp (Computer) 

## Combinations

- Even though a combination is a different mathematical structure, generating combinations is nearly identical to generating subsets
- All we needed to add was an extra parameter and an extra base case.


## A Little Word Puzzle

"What nine-letter word can be reduced to a single-letter word one letter at a time by removing letters, leaving it a legal word at each step?"

## The Startling Truth

## S TARTLING

## The Startling Truth

## STARTING

## The Startling Truth

## STARING

## The Startling Truth

## S TRING

## The Startling Truth

## S T I NG

## The Startling Truth

## S I NG

## The Startling Truth

## S I N

## The Startling Truth

## I N

## The Startling Truth

## Is there really just one nine-letter word with this property?

## Shrinkapen Words

- Let's define a shrinkable word as a word that can be reduced down to one letter by removing one character at a time, leaving a word at each step.
- Base Cases:
- Any string that is not a word cannot be a shrinkable word.
- Any single-letter word is shrinkable.
- A, I, O
- Recursive Step:
- Any multi-letter word is shrinkable if you can remove a letter to form a shrinkable word.


# shrinkable-words.cpp (Pseudocode) 

## shrinkable-words.cpp (Computer)

## Recursive Backtracking

- The function we have just written is an example of recursive backtracking.
- At each step, we try one of many possible options.
- If any option succeeds, that's great! We're done.
- If none of the options succeed, then this particular problem can't be solved.
- In recursive backtracking we care about finding "one thing" instead of "generating all things"


## Recursive Backtracking

- I claimed that most exhaustive recursive problems can be reduced to generating permutations or subsets.
- Is shrinkable words a subsets or permutations problem?
- Like permutations, we are computing an ordering: the order in which we remove characters.
- Instead of adding characters to a string we are removing characters from a string.


## Decision Tree



## Decision Tree
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## Failure in Backtracking
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## Failure in Backtracking

## STARTLING
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## S TARLING

SARLING

## Failure in Backtracking

## STARTLING

## S TARLING



## Recursive Backtracking

if (problem is sufficiently simple)
return whether or not the problem is solvable
\} else \{
for (each choice) \{
try out that choice.
if (that choice leads to success) \{
return success
\}
\}
return failure

## Recursive Backtracking

if (problem is sufficiently simple)
return whether or not the problem is solvable
\} else \{
for (each choice) \{
try out that choice.
if (that choice leads to success)
return succes
\}
\}
return failure
Note that if it succeeds, then we return success. If it doesn't succeed, that doesn't mean we've failed - it just means we need to try out the next option.

## Failure in Backtracking

- Returning false in recursive backtracking does not mean that the entire problem is unsolvable!
- Instead, it just means that the current subproblem is unsolvable.
- Whoever made the call to this function can then try other options.
- Only when all options are exhausted can we know that the problem is unsolvable.


## Ur Doin It Rong!
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## Ur Doin It Rong!



## Next Week

- Algorithmic Efficiency
- How can we compare the speed of two different algorithms?
- Sorting Algorithms
- Implementing Collections Classes

