## Implementing Abstractions Part Two

## Announcements

- Aubrey's Office hours cancelled today because he is sleepy


## Exams

- Can pick the up after class today or when Michael or I are in Gates 160
- Criteria will be posted online later today
- Please take a look, especially if you think there's a grading error
- Regrade requests need to happen in the next week
- We reserve the right to fix any grading mistakes we see (not just ones in your favor) so please look at the entire exam!
- Exam statistics at the end of lecture


## Pointers, Visually

```
int m = 137;
int n = 42;
int* ptr1 = &m;
int* ptr2 = &n;
*ptr1 = 2718;
*ptr2 = *ptr1;
m = 160;
ptr1 = ptr2;
```
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## Finish Bounded Stack...

## Running out of Space

- Our current implementation very quickly runs out of space to store elements.
- What should we do when this happens?
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## OurStack::grow()

## Analyzing Our Approach

- We now have a working solution, but is it an efficient solution?
- Let's analyze the big-O complexity of the five operations.
- size:
- isEmpty:
- push:
- pop:
- top:


## Analyzing Our Approach

- We now have a working solution, but is it an efficient solution?
- Let's analyze the big-O complexity of the five operations.
- size: O(1)
- isEmpty: O(1)
- push: O(n)
- pop: O(1)
- top: O(1)
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## What This Means

- What is the complexity of pushing $n$ elements and then popping them?
- Cost of the pushes:
$\cdot 1+2+3+4+\ldots+n=\mathbf{O}\left(\boldsymbol{n}^{2}\right)$
- Cost of the pops:
- $1+1+1+1+\ldots+1=\mathbf{O}(n)$
- Total cost: $\mathbf{O}\left(\boldsymbol{n}^{2}\right)$


## Validating Our Model

## Speeding up the Stack
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## What Just Happened?

- Half of our pushes are now "easy" pushes, and half of our pushes are now "hard" pushes.
- Hard pushes still take time $O(n)$.
- Easy pushes only take time O(1).
- Worst-case is still $\mathrm{O}(n)$.
- What about the average case?
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## Analyzing the Work

We cut down the amount of work by roughly one half!
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## A Different Analysis

We cut down the amount of work by roughly one half!


## How does it stack up?
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## Let's Give it a Try!

## How do we analyze this?

## Spreading the Work
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## Spreading the Work

On average, we do just 3 units of work!

This is $\mathrm{O}(1)$ work on average!


## Sharing the Burden

- We still have "heavy" pushes taking time $\mathrm{O}(n)$ and "light" pushes taking time $\mathrm{O}(1)$.
- Worst-case time for a push is $\mathrm{O}(n)$.
- Heavy pushes become so rare that the average time for a push is $\mathrm{O}(1)$.
- Can we confirm this?


## Amortized Analysis

- The analysis we have just done is called an amortized analysis.
- Reason about the total amount of work done, not the work done per operation.
- In an amortized sense, our implementation of the stack is extremely fast!
- This is one of the most common approaches to implementing Stack.


## Amortized Analysis

- People are amortizing all the time!
- E.g. Buying Groceries
- Driving to Store takes 30 minutes roundtrip.
- Actually shopping takes 5 minutes per days worth of food you buy
- If we only buy 1 days worth of food per visit then we'll spend 35 minutes a day shopping
- If we buy 1 weeks worth of food per visit, then we'll spend only 65/7 $\sim 9$ minutes on average per day.

Implementing Queue

## Implementing Queue

- We've just used dynamic arrays to implement a stack. Could we use them to implement a queue?
- Yes, but here's a better idea: could we use our stack to implement a queue?
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$\begin{array}{lll}1 & 2 & 3\end{array}$

## The Two-Stack Queue



## The Two-Stack Queue



## The Two-Stack Queue



Out


1
2
3
4

## The Two-Stack Queue



2
3

## The Two-Stack Queue

## 6



## The Two-Stack Queue

6


Out


1
2
3
4

## The Two-Stack Queue



Out


1
2
3

## The Two-Stack Queue

5


Out
In
1
2
3

## The Two-Stack Queue



Out
In

## 1

2
3
4

## The Two-Stack Queue



Out
In
2
3

## The Two-Stack Queue



Out
In

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
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## The Two-Stack Queue

- Maintain two stacks, an In stack and an Out stack.
- To enqueue an element, push it onto the In stack.
- To dequeue an element:
- If the Out stack is empty, pop everything off the In stack and push it onto the Out stack.
- Pop the Out stack and return its value.


## Let's code it up...

## Analyzing Efficiency

- How efficient is our two-stack queue?
- All enqueues just do one push.
- A dequeue might do a lot of pushes and a lot of pops.
- However, let's do an amortized analysis:
- Each element is pushed at most twice and popped at most twice.
- $n$ enqueues and $n$ dequeues thus do at most $4 n$ pushes and pops.
- Any $4 n$ pushes / pops takes $O(n)$ amortized time.
- Amortized cost: $\mathbf{O ( 1 )}$ per operation.


## Exam Notes

- Out of 71 Points
- Mean: 56
- Standard Deviation: 13
- If you have any concerns with your grade then come chat with me at some point.


## Next Time

- Linked Lists
- A different way to represent sequences of elements.
- Dynamic Allocation Revisited
- What else can we allocate?

