

Section 8: Machine Learning

Welcome to the last 109 section! Thanks for sticking with us all quarter!

Preamble: In our final portion of the course, we've been building up toward deep learning. Last section we looked at MLE estimators and gradient ascent. Now that we have these tools, we're able to work with a set of algorithms that can classify and make predictions of outcomes based on data: **Linear Regression, Naive Bayes, and Logistic Regression.**

Formula reference for Logistic Regression:

$$\sigma(z) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-z}}$$

$$LL(\theta) = \sum_{i=0}^n y^{(i)} \log \sigma(\theta^T \mathbf{x}^{(i)}) + (1 - y^{(i)}) \log [1 - \sigma(\theta^T \mathbf{x}^{(i)})]$$

$$\frac{\partial LL(\theta)}{\partial \theta_j} = \sum_{i=0}^n [y^{(i)} - \sigma(\theta^T \mathbf{x}^{(i)})] x_j^{(i)}$$

1. Vision Test

You decide that the vision tests given by eye doctors would be more precise if we used an approach inspired by logistic regression. In a vision test a user looks at a letter with a particular font size and either correctly guesses the letter or incorrectly guesses the letter.

You assume that the probability that a particular patient is able to guess a letter correctly is:

$$p = \sigma(\theta + f)$$

Where θ is the user's vision score and f is the font size of the letter.

Explain how you could estimate a user's vision score (θ) based on their 20 responses $(f^{(1)}, y^{(1)}) \dots (f^{(20)}, y^{(20)})$, where $y^{(i)}$ is an indicator variable for whether the user correctly identified the i th letter and $f^{(i)}$ is the font size of the i th letter. Solve for any and all partial derivatives required by the approach you describe in your answer.

2. Multiclass Bayes

In this problem we are going to explore how to write Naive Bayes for multiple output classes. We want to predict a single output variable Y which represents how a user feels about a book. Unlike in your homework, the output variable Y can take on one of the *four* values in the set $\{\text{Like, Love, Haha, Sad}\}$. We will base our predictions off of three binary feature variables X_1, X_2 , and X_3 which are indicators of the user's taste. All values $X_i \in \{0, 1\}$.

We have access to a dataset with 10,000 users. Each user in the dataset has a value for X_1, X_2, X_3 and Y . You can use a special query method **count** that returns the number of users in the dataset with the given *equality* constraints (and only equality constraints). Here are some example usages of **count**:

- count**($X_1 = 1, Y = \text{Haha}$) returns the number of users where $X_1 = 1$ and $Y = \text{Haha}$.
- count**($Y = \text{Love}$) returns the number of users where $Y = \text{Love}$.
- count**($X_1 = 0, X_3 = 0$) returns the number of users where $X_1 = 0$, and $X_3 = 0$.

You are given a new user with $X_1 = 1, X_2 = 1, X_3 = 0$. What is the best prediction for how the user will feel about the book (Y)? You may leave your answer in terms of an argmax function. You should explain how you would calculate all probabilities used in your expression. Use **Laplace estimation** when calculating probabilities.

3. The Most Important Features

Let's explore saliency, a measure of how important a feature is for classification. We define the saliency of the i th input feature for a given example (\mathbf{x}, y) to be the absolute value of the partial derivative of the log likelihood of the sample prediction, with respect to that input feature $|\frac{\partial LL}{\partial x_i}|$. In the images below, we show both input images and the corresponding saliency of the input features (in this case, input features are pixels):



First consider a trained logistic regression classifier with weights θ . Like the logistic regression classifier that you wrote in your homework it predicts binary class labels. In this question we allow the values of \mathbf{x} to be real numbers, which doesn't change the algorithm (neither training nor testing).

- a. What is the Log Likelihood of a single training example (\mathbf{x}, y) for a logistic regression classifier?
- b. Calculate is the saliency of a single feature (x_i) in a training example (\mathbf{x}, y) .
- c. Show that the ratio of saliency for features i and j is the ratio of the absolute value of their weights $\frac{|\theta_i|}{|\theta_j|}$.