Guide to Cantor's Theorem
Hi everybody!
In Monday's lecture, we covered a proof by diagonalization where we proved that $|\mathbb{N}| \neq |\mathbb{R}|$. 
In this guide, I’d like to talk about a formal proof of Cantor’s theorem, the diagonalization argument we saw in our very first lecture.
If $S$ is a set, then $|S| < |\wp(S)|$
If $S$ is a set, then $|S| < |\wp(S)|$

Our goal will be to rigorously prove this statement.
If $S$ is a set, then $|S| < |\mathcal{P}(S)|$.

Before we can do that, though, we need to address one big unresolved question.
In Monday’s lecture, we talked about what it means for two sets to have equal cardinality and for two sets to not have equal cardinality.

If $S$ is a set, then $|S| < |\wp(S)|$
If $S$ is a set, then $|S| < |\mathcal{P}(S)|$.

Specifically, we said that $|S| = |T|$ if there is a bijection $f : S \to T$. 
If $S$ is a set, then $|S| < |\mathcal{P}(S)|$.

As a result, $|S| \neq |T|$ if there are no bijections from $S$ to $T$. 
If $S$ is a set, then $|S| < |\mathcal{P}(S)|$.
If $S$ is a set, then $|S| < |\mathcal{P}(S)|$
If $S$ is a set, then $|S| < |\mathcal{P}(S)|$.
If \( S \) is a set, then \( |S| < |\wp(S)| \)

In this statement, we’re saying that \( |S| \) is strictly smaller than \( |\wp(S)| \), but we don’t yet have a definition for what that means!
If $S$ is a set, then $|S| < |\mathcal{P}(S)|$

In other words, we can't even start proving this statement yet, since we never said what it means for one set to be strictly smaller than one another!
So, before we take a stab at proving Cantor’s theorem, let’s first go and make sure we have a definition for how to rank set cardinalities.

If $S$ is a set, then $|S| < |\mathcal{P}(S)|$
If you’ll remember, when first talked about equal cardinalities way back in our first lecture, we said that two sets have the same cardinality if we can pair off the elements of the sets with no elements uncovered.
That's why these two sets have the same cardinality.
Once we had the language of bijections, we were able to give a more formal definition of what “pairing things off” means.
Specifically, if we label these two sets $S$ and $T$ ...
We can think of the pairing as a function from $S$ to $T$. 
This function will be injective – any two different inputs produce different outputs.
It's also surjective, since any element of the codomain (here, $T$) will be paired with something in $S$. 

$f : S \to T$
That's where we get the bijection-based definition of equal set cardinality from.
Could we develop some sort of analogous definition for what it means for one set to be “at least as big” as another?
Let’s begin by picking two new sets...
...say, these two sets here.
If we try pairing off the elements of $S$ and the elements of $T$...
...we can see that there's some way to do it that uses up everything from $S$. 
There's actually a bunch of ways to do this. Here's a different one...
\[ S \{ \text{penny}, \text{nickel}, \text{dime} \} \]

\[ T \{ \text{apple}, \text{orange}, \text{strawberry}, \text{lemon} \} \]

...and here's one more.
This gives us a nice intuition for what it means for one set to have at least as many elements as the other.
Specifically, we'll say that $T$ is at least as big as $S$ if there's a way to pair the elements that uses up everything in $S$, even if it doesn't use up everything in $T$. 
Before moving on, let's make sure that this definition works in some other cases. If it doesn't, then chances are it's not a very good definition!
For example, let's take a look at the sets $\mathbb{N}$ and $\mathbb{Z}$. 

$\mathbb{N}$: 0 1 2 3 ... 

$\mathbb{Z}$: ... -3 -2 -1 0 1 2 3 ...
Can we pair off the naturals and the integers so that every natural number is paired off with some integer?
Yes!
Here’s one very natural way to do this.
In lecture, we proved that $|\mathbb{N}| = |\mathbb{Z}|$. That means that we could in principle pair all the elements of both sets off, though it's a lot easier to do that if we don't need to pair off all the integers.
So far, it seems like this is a pretty good definition to work with!
Here’s what we have so far. This definition is expressed in terms of a pairing between the two sets.
When we talked about equal cardinalities, we started with a definition like this one, then revised it by talking about the pairing in terms of a certain type of function between the two sets.

\[ |S| \leq |T| \text{ if the elements of } S \text{ and } T \text{ can be paired up in a way that uses all the elements of } S, \text{ but (not necessarily) all the elements of } T. \]
Could we do something like that here?

\[ \mathbb{N} \quad 0 \quad 1 \quad 2 \quad 3 \quad \ldots \]

\[ \mathbb{Z} \quad \ldots \quad -3 \quad -2 \quad -1 \quad 0 \quad 1 \quad 2 \quad 3 \quad \ldots \]

\[ |S| \leq |T| \quad \text{if} \quad \text{the elements of } S \text{ and } T \text{ can be paired up in a way that uses all the elements of } S, \text{ but (not necessarily) all the elements of } T. \]
The answer is yes!

\[ |S| \leq |T| \quad \text{if} \quad \text{the elements of } S \text{ and } T \text{ can be paired up in a way that uses all the elements of } S, \text{ but (not necessarily) all the elements of } T. \]
Let's look at the pairing we have right here.

\[ \mathbb{N} \quad 0 \quad 1 \quad 2 \quad 3 \quad ... \]

\[ \mathbb{Z} \quad ... \quad -3 \quad -2 \quad -1 \quad 0 \quad 1 \quad 2 \quad 3 \quad ... \]

\[ |S| \leq |T| \quad \text{if} \quad \text{the elements of } S \text{ and } T \text{ can be paired up in a way that uses all the elements of } S, \text{ but (not necessarily) all the elements of } T. \]
Let's imagine it as a function from $\mathbb{N}$ to $\mathbb{Z}$.

$$|S| \leq |T| \quad \text{if} \quad \text{the elements of } S \text{ and } T \text{ can be paired up in a way that uses all the elements of } S, \text{ but (not necessarily) all the elements of } T.$$
What properties will this function have?

\[ f : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{Z} \]

\[ \mathbb{N} \quad 0 \quad 1 \quad 2 \quad 3 \quad \ldots \]

\[ \mathbb{Z} \quad \ldots \quad -3 \quad -2 \quad -1 \quad 0 \quad 1 \quad 2 \quad 3 \quad \ldots \]

\[ |S| \leq |T| \quad \text{if} \quad \text{the elements of } S \text{ and } T \text{ can be paired up in a way that uses all the elements of } S, \text{ but (not necessarily) all the elements of } T. \]
Well, since it’s pairing off elements of $\mathbb{N}$ with elements of $\mathbb{Z}$, we know that different elements of $\mathbb{N}$ map to different elements of $\mathbb{Z}$.

$|S| \leq |T|$ if the elements of $S$ and $T$ can be paired up in a way that uses all the elements of $S$, but (not necessarily) all the elements of $T$.
That's the definition of an injective function!

\[ f : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{Z} \]

\[ \mathbb{Z} \quad \ldots \quad -3 \quad -2 \quad -1 \quad 0 \quad 1 \quad 2 \quad 3 \quad \ldots \]

\[ |S| \leq |T| \quad \text{if} \quad \text{the elements of } S \text{ and } T \text{ can be paired up in a way that uses all the elements of } S, \text{ but (not necessarily) all the elements of } T. \]
However, this particular function is not surjective, since it leaves a lot of elements of \( \mathbb{Z} \) uncovered.

\[ |S| \leq |T| \quad \text{if} \quad \text{the elements of } S \text{ and } T \text{ can be paired up in a way that uses all the elements of } S, \text{ but (not necessarily) all the elements of } T. \]
That means that this function isn’t a bijection, but it is injective.

\[ f : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{Z} \]

\[ \mathbb{N} \quad \vdots \quad 0 \quad 1 \quad 2 \quad 3 \quad \ldots \]

\[ \mathbb{Z} \quad \vdots \quad -3 \quad -2 \quad -1 \quad 0 \quad 1 \quad 2 \quad 3 \quad \ldots \]

\[ |S| \leq |T| \text{ if the elements of } S \text{ and } T \text{ can be paired up in a way that uses all the elements of } S, \text{ but (not necessarily) all the elements of } T.\]
So it seems like our idea of “a pairing of elements that uses everything from the first set, but not necessarily the second” can be expressed as “an injective function from the first set to the second!”

\[
\begin{array}{ccccccc}
\mathbb{N} & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & \ldots \\
f : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{Z} & & & & & & \\
\mathbb{Z} & \ldots & -3 & -2 & -1 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & \ldots \\
\end{array}
\]

\(|S| \leq |T|\) if the elements of \(S\) and \(T\) can be paired up in a way that uses all the elements of \(S\), but (not necessarily) all the elements of \(T\).
In fact, that’s precisely how we’re going to define the \( \leq \) relation over cardinality.

\[ |S| \leq |T| \quad \text{if} \quad \text{the elements of } S \text{ and } T \text{ can be paired up in a way that uses all the elements of } S, \text{ but (not necessarily) all the elements of } T. \]
Here’s the official definition of what it means for one set to be at least as large as another.

\[ |S| \leq |T| \quad \text{if} \quad \text{there is an injective function } f: S \to T \]
$|S| \leq |T|$ if there is an \textit{injective} function $f: S \rightarrow T$

You might be wondering... why is this the definition of “less than or equal to” for sets rather than “strictly less than?”
$|S| \leq |T|$ if there is an *injective* function $f : S \rightarrow T$
Here’s two sets we’ve seen from before, along with a bijection between them.

\[ |S| \leq |T| \quad \text{if there is an } \textbf{injective} \text{ function } f : S \to T \]
Because $f$ is a bijection from $S$ to $T$, we know that $|S| = |T|$.
At the same time, this function $f$ is also an injection (remember, all bijections are also injective!)
So, according to our definition, this means that $|S| \leq |T|$. That's okay, though – it matches our intuition.
That's why we use this as a definition of $\leq$ over cardinalities – it's to be consistent with our definition of $=$ over cardinalities.

$|S| \leq |T|$ if there is an **injective** function $f : S \rightarrow T$
If $S$ is a set, then $|S| < |\mathcal{P}(S)|$.

So let’s jump back to the statement of Cantor’s theorem.
If $S$ is a set, then $|S| < |\mathcal{P}(S)|$.

Earlier, we said that we couldn’t proceed to prove this statement because we didn’t have a formal definition for this statement.
If $S$ is a set, then $|S| < |\wp(S)|$.

We just found a way to define the $\leq$ relation over set cardinalities, but we still haven’t said what the $<$ relation over cardinalities is!
If $S$ is a set, then $|S| < |\mathcal{P}(S)|$

In other words, we still can’t prove this because we still don’t have a definition!
If $S$ is a set, then $|S| < |\mathcal{P}(S)|$.

So why did we go down what seems like it was probably a totally random detour?
If $S$ is a set, then $|S| < |\wp(S)|$

The reason is that we now have formal definitions for $|S| \leq |T|$ and $|S| = |T|$. 
If $S$ is a set, then $|S| < |\mathcal{P}(S)|$.

Can we use those definitions to provide a nice definition of what $|S| < |T|$ means?
Let's take a look at these two sets $S$ and $T$. 
First, notice that $|S| \leq |T|$, since we can find an injection from $S$ to $T$. 
We can also see that $|S| \neq |T|$, since no matter how hard we try, we’ll never find a bijection between the two sets.
So we’ve seen that $|S| \leq |T|$ and that $|S| \neq |T|$...
…and intuitively it seems like the set $S$ is strictly smaller than the set $T$. 
Perhaps that observation would make for a good definition of less-than over cardinalities!
In fact, here’s how we’re going to define the less-than relation over set cardinalities.

$$|S| < |T| \quad \text{if} \quad |S| \leq |T| \text{ and } |S| \neq |T|$$
$|S| < |T|$ if $|S| \leq |T|$ and $|S| \neq |T|$}

This seems, intuitively, like it's a pretty nice definition. It makes sense given our understanding of what $\leq$ and $=$ are supposed to mean and how they relate to $<$. 
|S| < |T| if |S| ≤ |T| and |S| ≠ |T|

But remember that the ≤ and ≠ relations over cardinalities are defined in terms of functions between sets. Let’s see what this definition says when we expand this out a bit.
There is an injective function $f : S \to T$

This part of the definition says that there should be an injective function from $S$ to $T$...
There is an injective function $f : S \to T$ if $|S| \leq |T|$ and $|S| \neq |T|$. No function $f : S \to T$ is a bijection. 

...and this part says that there aren't any bijective functions from $S$ to $T$. 
So, if we want to prove that one set is strictly smaller than one another, we should proceed in two parts.

$|S| < |T|$ if $|S| \leq |T|$ and $|S| \neq |T|$

There is an injective function $f : S \rightarrow T$

No function $f : S \rightarrow T$ is a bijection.

So, if we want to prove that one set is strictly smaller than one another, we should proceed in two parts.
First, we should find an injective function from the smaller set to the larger one.

There is an injective function $f : S \rightarrow T$ if $|S| \leq |T|$ and $|S| \neq |T|$, then $|S| < |T|$. No function $f : S \rightarrow T$ is a bijection.
Second, we should prove that there are no bijections from the smaller set to the larger one.

\[ |S| < |T| \quad \text{if} \quad |S| \leq |T| \quad \text{and} \quad |S| \neq |T| \]

There is an injective function \( f : S \to T \)

No function \( f : S \to T \) is a bijection.
If we can prove both of these statements, then we’ve shown everything that we’ve needed to show!

- \(|S| < |T|\) if \(|S| \leq |T|\) and \(|S| \neq |T|\)

There is an injective function \(f : S \rightarrow T\)

No function \(f : S \rightarrow T\) is a bijection.
If $S$ is a set, then $|S| < |\mathcal{P}(S)|$.

So let's now take a deeper look at Cantor's theorem.
If \( S \) is a set, then \(|S| < |\wp(S)|\)

We now have a formal definition for this statement!
We now know what we need to prove!

We need to find an injection from $S$ to $\wp(S)$ and to prove that there's no bijection from $S$ to $\wp(S)$.

If $S$ is a set, then $|S| < |\wp(S)|$.

We now know what we need to prove! We need to find an injection from $S$ to $\wp(S)$ and to prove that there's no bijection from $S$ to $\wp(S)$.
Let's start with this part. Turns out, it's not too bad!

If $S$ is a set, then $|S| < |\powerset(S)|$

There is an injection $f : S \to \powerset(S)$

There is no bijection $f : S \to \powerset(S)$
**Lemma 1:** If $S$ is a set, then there's an injection from $S$ to $\mathcal{P}(S)$. 

We now have this task set up before us. How exactly might we go about doing this?
Lemma 1: If $S$ is a set, then there's an injection from $S$ to $\mathcal{P}(S)$.

Well, when confronted with a new problem to solve, it never hurts to draw some pictures and try to figure out what it is that we need to do.
**Lemma 1:** If $S$ is a set, then there's an injection from $S$ to $\mathcal{P}(S)$. 

First, let's refresh some terminology.
Lemma 1: If $S$ is a set, then there's an injection from $S$ to $\mathcal{P}(S)$.
**Lemma 1:** If $S$ is a set, then there's an injection from $S$ to $\mathcal{P}(S)$.

This is the power set of $S$. 
Lemma 1: If $S$ is a set, then there's an injection from $S$ to $\mathcal{P}(S)$.

That's the set of all subsets of $S$. 

The power set of $S$. 
The set of all subsets of $S$. 

That's the set of all subsets of $S$. 


Lemma 1: If $S$ is a set, then there's an injection from $S$ to $\mathcal{P}(S)$.

The power set of $S$.
The set of all subsets of $S$.

So if our goal is to find an injection from $S$ to $\mathcal{P}(S)$, we're looking for an injection from the set $S$ to the set of all subsets of $S$. 

😊
Lemma 1: If $S$ is a set, then there's an injection from $S$ to $\wp(S)$.

Let's start with a nice set $S$, say, the set $\{1, 2, 3\}$. 
Lemma 1: If $S$ is a set, then there's an injection from $S$ to $\mathcal{P}(S)$.

We'll draw $S$ over here.

1
2
3
Lemma 1: If $S$ is a set, then there's an injection from $S$ to $\mathcal{P}(S)$.

Now, let's think about what the power set of $S$ looks like.
**Lemma 1:** If $S$ is a set, then there's an injection from $S$ to $\mathcal{P}(S)$.

If you're not sure what elements are in that set, take a minute to work it out on your own.
**Lemma 1:** If $S$ is a set, then there's an injection from $S$ to $\mathcal{P}(S)$.

So you're good on what the power set contains? Awesome!
**Lemma 1:** If $S$ is a set, then there's an injection from $S$ to $\mathcal{P}(S)$.

Here's what that looks like.
Lemma 1: If $S$ is a set, then there's an injection from $S$ to $\mathcal{P}(S)$.

Now, we need to find an injection here from $S$ to $\mathcal{P}(S)$. 
**Lemma 1:** If $S$ is a set, then there's an injection from $S$ to $\mathcal{P}(S)$.

Remember our intuition from before - we want to pair off the elements of $S$ with the elements of $\mathcal{P}(S)$ so that we use up all the elements of $S$. 

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$S$</th>
<th>$\mathcal{P}(S)$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>$\emptyset$, {1}, {1, 2}, {1, 3}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>{2}, {1, 2}, {2, 3}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>{3}, {1, 3}, {1, 2, 3}</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Lemma 1:** If \( S \) is a set, then there's an injection from \( S \) to \( \mathcal{P}(S) \).

It's okay if some elements of \( \mathcal{P}(S) \) are left over – after all, we're ultimately trying to prove that \( |S| < |\mathcal{P}(S)| \)!
**Lemma 1:** If $S$ is a set, then there's an injection from $S$ to $\wp(S)$.

There are a lot of ways we could do this.
Lemma 1: If $S$ is a set, then there's an injection from $S$ to $\mathcal{P}(S)$.
**Lemma 1:** If $S$ is a set, then there's an injection from $S$ to $\mathcal{P}(S)$.

Here's another!
**Lemma 1:** If $S$ is a set, then there's an injection from $S$ to $\mathcal{P}(S)$.

And here's one more.
Lemma 1: If $S$ is a set, then there's an injection from $S$ to $\wp(S)$.

Now, ultimately, we're not trying to show that for this particular choice of $S$ that an injection like this exists.
**Lemma 1**: If $S$ is a set, then there's an injection from $S$ to $\mathcal{P}(S)$.

Rather, we're trying to show that no matter what set $S$ we pick, we can always find an injection from $S$ to $\mathcal{P}(S)$.
**Lemma 1:** If $S$ is a set, then there's an injection from $S$ to $\mathcal{P}(S)$.

That means that we should try to look for some kind of pattern we can use that will always let us find an injection that works.
**Lemma 1:** If $S$ is a set, then there's an injection from $S$ to $\wp(S)$.

One observation that's useful for doing that here is that for every element of $S$...
Lemma 1: If $S$ is a set, then there's an injection from $S$ to $\mathcal{P}(S)$.

There's a singleton set containing just that element over in $\mathcal{P}(S)$. 
Lemma 1: If $S$ is a set, then there's an injection from $S$ to $\mathcal{P}(S)$.

So perhaps we might want to look at this particular injection.
**Lemma 1:** If $S$ is a set, then there's an injection from $S$ to $\mathcal{P}(S)$.

\[
\begin{align*}
S & \quad \rightarrow \quad \mathcal{P}(S) \\
1 \quad & \quad \rightarrow \quad \{1\} \\
2 \quad & \quad \rightarrow \quad \{2\} \\
3 \quad & \quad \rightarrow \quad \{3\} \\
\end{align*}
\]

This is a nice injection because there's a clean rule associating elements of $S$ with elements of $\mathcal{P}(S)$. 

\[
\begin{align*}
f : S & \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(S) \\
f(x) & = \{x\}
\end{align*}
\]
Lemma 1: If \( S \) is a set, then there's an injection from \( S \) to \( \mathcal{P}(S) \).

\[
f : S \to \mathcal{P}(S) \\
f(x) = \{x\}
\]

With a little thought, we can see that no matter what set we choose for \( S \), this function will always be well-defined.
Lemma 1: If $S$ is a set, then there's an injection from $S$ to $\wp(S)$. 

$f : S \rightarrow \wp(S)$

$f(x) = \{x\}$

So if we want to prove the above lemma, perhaps we should try showing that this particular function always works as an injection from $S$ to $\wp(S)$. 

$S$

1

2

3

$\wp(S)$

$\emptyset$

$\{1\}$

$\{2\}$

$\{3\}$

$\{1, 2\}$

$\{1, 3\}$

$\{2, 3\}$

$\{1, 2, 3\}$
**Lemma 1:** If $S$ is a set, then there's an injection from $S$ to $\wp(S)$.

**Proof:** Let $S$ be any set and consider the function $f : S \to \wp(S)$ defined as $f(x) = \{x\}$. To see that this is a valid function from $S$ to $\wp(S)$, note that for any $x \in S$, we have $\{x\} \subseteq S$. Therefore, $\{x\} \in \wp(S)$ for any $x \in S$, so $f$ is a legal function from $S$ to $\wp(S)$.

Let's now prove that $f$ is injective. Consider any $x_1, x_2 \in S$ where $f(x_1) = f(x_2)$. We'll prove that $x_1 = x_2$. Because $f(x_1) = f(x_2)$, we have $\{x_1\} = \{x_2\}$. Since two sets are equal if and only if their elements are the same, this means that $x_1 = x_2$, as required. ■
**Lemma 1:** If $S$ is a set, then there's an injection from $S$ to $\mathcal{P}(S)$.

**Proof:** Let $S$ be any set and consider the function $f : S \to \mathcal{P}(S)$ defined as $f(x) = \{x\}$. To see that this is a valid function from $S$ to $\mathcal{P}(S)$, note that for any $x \in S$, we have $\{x\} \subseteq S$. Therefore, $\{x\} \in \mathcal{P}(S)$ for any $x \in S$, so $f$ is a legal function from $S$ to $\mathcal{P}(S)$.

Let's now prove that $f$ is injective. Consider any $x_1, x_2 \in S$ where $f(x_1) = f(x_2)$. We'll prove that $x_1 = x_2$. Because $f(x_1) = f(x_2)$, we have $\{x_1\} = \{x_2\}$. Since two sets are equal if and only if their elements are the same, this means that $x_1 = x_2$, as required. ■
So, how did we get here, and where are we going?
If $S$ is a set, then $|S| < |\wp(S)|$
As we saw earlier, this means that we need to prove these two statements.

There is an injection \( f : S \to \mathcal{P}(S) \)
There is no bijection \( f : S \to \mathcal{P}(S) \)

If \( S \) is a set, then \( |S| < |\mathcal{P}(S)| \)

As we saw earlier, this means that we need to prove these two statements.
If $S$ is a set, then $|S| < |\wp(S)|$

We just finished that first step — great!
Now, we need to prove this part... and that's where we're going to use a diagonal argument.

If $S$ is a set, then $|S| < |\wp(S)|$
**Lemma 2:** If $f : S \to \wp(S)$ is a function, then it is not bijective.
Lemma 2: If $f : S \to \mathcal{P}(S)$ is a function, then it is not bijective.

The proof that we’re going to do here is, essentially, a more rigorous version of the one we did on the first day of class.
**Lemma 2:** If $f : S \rightarrow \wp(S)$ is a function, then it is not bijective.
Lemma 2: If $f : S \rightarrow \wp(S)$ is a function, then it is not bijective.

Let's imagine that we have a set $S$ and that its elements are $x_0, x_1, x_2, \text{etc.}$
Lemma 2: If \( f : S \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(S) \) is a function, then it is not bijective.

Generally speaking, not all sets are going to look like this. Some might not have infinitely many elements. Others, like \( \mathbb{R} \), have too many elements to assign a number to each one!
Lemma 2: If \( f : S \rightarrow \wp(S) \) is a function, then it is not bijective.

\[
\begin{align*}
\chi_0 \\
\chi_1 \\
\chi_2 \\
\chi_3 \\
\chi_4 \\
\chi_5 \\
\ldots
\end{align*}
\]

But that's okay for now. This is just a visual intuition, and we'll address that when we try to make everything super formal and airtight.
Lemma 2: If $f : S \to \mathcal{P}(S)$ is a function, then it is not bijective.

The result we're going to prove involves showing that no choice of a function $f$ from $S$ to $\mathcal{P}(S)$ is a bijection.
**Lemma 2:** If \( f : S \rightarrow \wp(S) \) is a function, then it is not bijective.

\[
\begin{align*}
\chi_0 \\
\chi_1 \\
\chi_2 \\
\chi_3 \\
\chi_4 \\
\chi_5 \\
\ldots
\end{align*}
\]
**Lemma 2:** If $f: S \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(S)$ is a function, then it is not bijective.

Here's one example function $f$. There's no particular pattern here in the function we picked - it's just a random function to help us build an intuition.
Lemma 2: If \( f : S \rightarrow \wp(S) \) is a function, then it is not bijective.

\[
\begin{align*}
&x_0 \rightarrow \{ x_0, x_2, x_4, \ldots \} \\
&x_1 \rightarrow \{ x_0, x_3, x_4, \ldots \} \\
&x_2 \rightarrow \{ x_4, \ldots \} \\
&x_3 \rightarrow \{ x_1, x_3, x_4, \ldots \} \\
&x_4 \rightarrow \{ x_0, x_5, \ldots \} \\
&x_5 \rightarrow \{ x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, \ldots \}
\end{align*}
\]

...  

Our ultimate goal is to prove that this function is not bijective.
Lemma 2: If $f : S \to \wp(S)$ is a function, then it is not bijective.

$x_0 \rightarrow \{ x_0, x_2, x_4, ... \}$

$x_1 \rightarrow \{ x_0, x_3, x_4, ... \}$

$x_2 \rightarrow \{ x_4, ... \}$

$x_3 \rightarrow \{ x_1, x_3, x_4, ... \}$

$x_4 \rightarrow \{ x_0, x_5, ... \}$

$x_5 \rightarrow \{ x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, ... \}$

... That's going to be a challenge, since we can't assume that this function follows any nice pattern.
**Lemma 2:** If \( f : S \rightarrow \wp(S) \) is a function, then it is not bijective.

\[
\begin{align*}
    x_0 & \rightarrow \{ x_0, x_2, x_4, \ldots \} \\
    x_1 & \rightarrow \{ x_0, x_3, x_4, \ldots \} \\
    x_2 & \rightarrow \{ x_4, \ldots \} \\
    x_3 & \rightarrow \{ x_1, x_3, x_4, \ldots \} \\
    x_4 & \rightarrow \{ x_0, x_5, \ldots \} \\
    x_5 & \rightarrow \{ x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, \ldots \} \\
\end{align*}
\]

Our approach will be to show that this function is not surjective. If we can do that, then we can say with certainty that \( f \) is not bijective.
**Lemma 2:** If \( f : S \rightarrow \wp(S) \) is a function, then it is not bijective.

\[
\begin{align*}
x_0 & \mapsto \{ x_0, x_2, x_4, \ldots \} \\
x_1 & \mapsto \{ x_0, x_3, x_4, \ldots \} \\
x_2 & \mapsto \{ x_4, \ldots \} \\
x_3 & \mapsto \{ x_1, x_3, x_4, \ldots \} \\
x_4 & \mapsto \{ x_0, x_5, \ldots \} \\
x_5 & \mapsto \{ x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, \ldots \}
\end{align*}
\]

To do so, we’re going to have to find a way to show that there’s some subset of \( S \) that isn’t covered by our function.
**Lemma 2:** If $f : S \rightarrow \wp(S)$ is a function, then it is not bijective.

Visually, that means that we need to find a set that's not paired with anything in the left-hand column.
Lemma 2: If $f : S \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(S)$ is a function, then it is not bijective.

$x_0 \rightarrow \{ x_0, x_2, x_4, \ldots \}$

$x_1 \rightarrow \{ x_0, x_3, x_4, \ldots \}$

$x_2 \rightarrow \{ x_4, \ldots \}$

$x_3 \rightarrow \{ x_1, x_3, x_4, \ldots \}$

$x_4 \rightarrow \{ x_0, x_5, \ldots \}$

$x_5 \rightarrow \{ x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, \ldots \}$

$\ldots$

To do so, we’re going to use a trick invented by Georg Cantor, which will require us to redraw this picture a bit.
**Lemma 2:** If $f : S \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(S)$ is a function, then it is not bijective.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$x_0$</th>
<th>$x_1$</th>
<th>$x_2$</th>
<th>$x_3$</th>
<th>$x_4$</th>
<th>$x_5$</th>
<th>...</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

$x_0 \rightarrow \{ x_0, x_2, x_4, ... \}$

$x_1 \rightarrow \{ x_0, x_3, x_4, ... \}$

$x_2 \rightarrow \{ x_4, ... \}$

$x_3 \rightarrow \{ x_1, x_3, x_4, ... \}$

$x_4 \rightarrow \{ x_0, x_5, ... \}$

$x_5 \rightarrow \{ x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, ... \}$

...  

"We're going to start off by writing the elements of $S$ across the top, like this."
Lemma 2: If $f : S \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(S)$ is a function, then it is not bijective.

$x_0 \rightarrow \{ x_0, x_2, x_4, \ldots \}$

$x_1 \rightarrow \{ x_0, x_3, x_4, \ldots \}$

$x_2 \rightarrow \{ x_4, \ldots \}$

$x_3 \rightarrow \{ x_1, x_3, x_4, \ldots \}$

$x_4 \rightarrow \{ x_0, x_5, \ldots \}$

$x_5 \rightarrow \{ x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, \ldots \}$

... 

We can then imagine spacing out the elements that are in each of these sets so that we start to have something that looks more like a grid.
**Lemma 2:** If \( f : S \to \wp(S) \) is a function, then it is not bijective.

\[
\begin{array}{ccccccc}
\hline
x_0 & x_1 & x_2 & x_3 & x_4 & x_5 & \ldots \\
\hline
\end{array}
\]

\[
x_0 \rightarrow \{ x_0, x_2, x_4, \ldots \}
\]

\[
x_1 \rightarrow \{ x_0, x_3, x_4, \ldots \}
\]

\[
x_2 \rightarrow \{ x_4, \ldots \}
\]

\[
x_3 \rightarrow \{ x_1, x_3, x_4, \ldots \}
\]

\[
x_4 \rightarrow \{ x_0, x_5, \ldots \}
\]

\[
x_5 \rightarrow \{ x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, \ldots \}
\]

\[
\ldots
\]

Cantor’s insight – which is the real trick behind the proof – is the following.
Lemma 2: If \( f : S \to \wp(S) \) is a function, then it is not bijective.

The trick, as you saw in lecture one, is to look at this main diagonal and use it to build a set that's not mapped to.
**Lemma 2:** If $f : S \rightarrow \wp(S)$ is a function, then it is not bijective.

\[
\begin{array}{cccccc}
\mathbf{x}_0 & \mathbf{x}_1 & \mathbf{x}_2 & \mathbf{x}_3 & \mathbf{x}_4 & \mathbf{x}_5 & \ldots \\
\end{array}
\]

\[
\mathbf{x}_0 \rightarrow \{ \mathbf{x}_0, \mathbf{x}_2, \mathbf{x}_4, \ldots \} \\
\mathbf{x}_1 \rightarrow \{ \mathbf{x}_0, \mathbf{x}_3, \mathbf{x}_4, \ldots \} \\
\mathbf{x}_2 \rightarrow \{ \mathbf{x}_4, \ldots \} \\
\mathbf{x}_3 \rightarrow \{ \mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_3, \mathbf{x}_4, \ldots \} \\
\mathbf{x}_4 \rightarrow \{ \mathbf{x}_0, \mathbf{x}_5, \ldots \} \\
\mathbf{x}_5 \rightarrow \{ \mathbf{x}_0, \mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \mathbf{x}_3, \mathbf{x}_4, \mathbf{x}_5, \ldots \} \\
\ldots
\]

This is a two-step process.
**Lemma 2:** If $f : S \rightarrow \wp(S)$ is a function, then it is not bijective.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>$x_0$</th>
<th>$x_1$</th>
<th>$x_2$</th>
<th>$x_3$</th>
<th>$x_4$</th>
<th>$x_5$</th>
<th>...</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$x_0$</td>
<td>${ x_0, x_2, x_4, \ldots }$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$x_1$</td>
<td>${ x_0, x_3, x_4, \ldots }$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$x_2$</td>
<td>${ \ldots }$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$x_3$</td>
<td>${ x_1, x_3, x_4, \ldots }$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$x_4$</td>
<td>${ x_0, \ldots }$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$x_5$</td>
<td>${ x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, \ldots }$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>...</td>
<td>$\ldots$</td>
<td>$\ldots$</td>
<td>$\ldots$</td>
<td>$\ldots$</td>
<td>$\ldots$</td>
<td>$\ldots$</td>
<td>$\ldots$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>${ x_0, x_3, x_5, \ldots }$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

First, we’re going to form a set of all the elements on the diagonal.
**Lemma 2:** If \( f : S \to \mathcal{P}(S) \) is a function, then it is not bijective.

This color-coding hopefully makes it a bit easier to see where everything comes from.
**Lemma 2:** If $f : S \to \mathcal{P}(S)$ is a function, then it is not bijective.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>...</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>x_0</td>
<td>x_1</td>
<td>x_2</td>
<td>x_3</td>
<td>x_4</td>
<td>x_5</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- $x_0 \rightarrow \{ x_0, x_2, x_4, \ldots \}$
- $x_1 \rightarrow \{ x_0, x_3, x_4, \ldots \}$
- $x_2 \rightarrow \{ x_4, \ldots \}$
- $x_3 \rightarrow \{ x_1, x_3, x_4, \ldots \}$
- $x_4 \rightarrow \{ x_0, x_5, \ldots \}$
- $x_5 \rightarrow \{ x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, \ldots \}$

... $\{ x_0, x_3, x_5, \ldots \}$

Next, we're going to "flip" this set.
**Lemma 2:** If $f : S \rightarrow \wp(S)$ is a function, then it is not bijective.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$x_0$</th>
<th>$x_1$</th>
<th>$x_2$</th>
<th>$x_3$</th>
<th>$x_4$</th>
<th>$x_5$</th>
<th>...</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

- $x_0 \rightarrow \{ x_0, x_2, x_4, \ldots \}$
- $x_1 \rightarrow \{ x_0, x_3, x_4, \ldots \}$
- $x_2 \rightarrow \{ x_4, \ldots \}$
- $x_3 \rightarrow \{ x_1, x_3, x_4, \ldots \}$
- $x_4 \rightarrow \{ x_0, x_5, \ldots \}$
- $x_5 \rightarrow \{ x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, \ldots \}$

... 

\[\{ x_0, x_3, x_5, \ldots \}\]

We’re going to remove everything that used to be in this set...
Lemma 2: If $f : S \rightarrow \wp(S)$ is a function, then it is not bijective.

Then, we’re going to add everything that was missing from the original set.
Lemma 2: If $f : S \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(S)$ is a function, then it is not bijective.

This new set – which is called the **diagonal set** – is specifically built to be different from every row above.
Lemma 2: If $f : S \to \wp(S)$ is a function, then it is not bijective.

Let's see why this is.
**Lemma 2:** If $f : S \to \wp(S)$ is a function, then it is not bijective.

For example, we can ask whether this set is equal to the set matched with $x_0$. 
**Lemma 2:** If $f : S \rightarrow \wp(S)$ is a function, then it is not bijective.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$x_0$</th>
<th>$x_1$</th>
<th>$x_2$</th>
<th>$x_3$</th>
<th>$x_4$</th>
<th>$x_5$</th>
<th>...</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>${x_0,}$</td>
<td>$x_2, x_4, \ldots$</td>
<td>${}$</td>
<td>$x_3, x_4, \ldots$</td>
<td>${x_1, x_3, x_4, \ldots}$</td>
<td>${x_0, x_5, \ldots}$</td>
<td>${x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, \ldots}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

But we can see that’s not the case. The set paired with $x_0$ contains $x_0$, but our diagonal set doesn’t contain $x_0$. 
**Lemma 2:** If \( f : S \to \wp(S) \) is a function, then it is not bijective.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( x_0 )</th>
<th>( x_1 )</th>
<th>( x_2 )</th>
<th>( x_3 )</th>
<th>( x_4 )</th>
<th>( x_5 )</th>
<th>...</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

\( x_0 \) \[\mapsto\] \{ \( x_0 \), \( x_2 \), \( x_4 \), \( \ldots \) \}

\( x_1 \) \[\mapsto\] \{ \( x_0 \), \( x_3 \), \( x_4 \), \( \ldots \) \}

\( x_2 \) \[\mapsto\] \{ \( x_4 \), \( \ldots \) \}

\( x_3 \) \[\mapsto\] \{ \( x_1 \), \( x_3 \), \( x_4 \), \( \ldots \) \}

\( x_4 \) \[\mapsto\] \{ \( x_0 \), \( x_5 \), \( \ldots \) \}

\( x_5 \) \[\mapsto\] \{ \( x_0 \), \( x_1 \), \( x_2 \), \( x_3 \), \( x_4 \), \( x_5 \), \( \ldots \) \}

... \[\mapsto\] \{ \( x_1 \), \( x_2 \), \( x_4 \), \( \ldots \) \}

So maybe this set is paired with \( x_1 \)?
**Lemma 2:** If $f : S \to \wp(S)$ is a function, then it is not bijective.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>$x_0$</th>
<th>$x_1$</th>
<th>$x_2$</th>
<th>$x_3$</th>
<th>$x_4$</th>
<th>$x_5$</th>
<th>...</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$x_0$</td>
<td>${ x_0, x_2, x_4, \ldots }$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$x_1$</td>
<td>${ x_0, x_3, x_4, \ldots }$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$x_2$</td>
<td>${ x_4, \ldots }$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$x_3$</td>
<td>${ x_1, x_3, x_4, \ldots }$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$x_4$</td>
<td>${ x_0, x_5, \ldots }$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$x_5$</td>
<td>${ x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, \ldots }$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Well, that can't be the case, since the set paired with $x_1$ doesn't contain $x_1$, but the diagonal set does contain $x_1$. 

**Lemma 2:** If $f : S \to \mathcal{P}(S)$ is a function, then it is not bijective.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$x_0$</th>
<th>$x_1$</th>
<th>$x_2$</th>
<th>$x_3$</th>
<th>$x_4$</th>
<th>$x_5$</th>
<th>...</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

$x_0 \rightarrow \{ x_0, x_2, x_4, ... \}$

$x_1 \rightarrow \{ x_0, x_3, x_4, ... \}$

$x_2 \rightarrow \{ x_0, x_3, x_4, ... \}$

$x_3 \rightarrow \{ x_1, x_3, x_4, ... \}$

$x_4 \rightarrow \{ x_0, x_5, ... \}$

$x_5 \rightarrow \{ x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, ... \}$

... \[ \{ x_1, x_2, x_4, ... \} \]

More generally...
**Lemma 2:** If $f : S \to \wp(S)$ is a function, then it is not bijective.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$x_0$</th>
<th>$x_1$</th>
<th>$x_2$</th>
<th>$x_3$</th>
<th>$x_4$</th>
<th>$x_5$</th>
<th>...</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

$x_0 \rightarrow \{ x_0, x_2, x_4, \ldots \}$

$x_1 \rightarrow \{ x_0, x_3, x_4, \ldots \}$

$x_2 \rightarrow \{ x_4, \ldots \}$

$x_3 \rightarrow \{ x_1, x_3, x_4, \ldots \}$

$x_4 \rightarrow \{ x_0, x_5, \ldots \}$

$x_5 \rightarrow \{ x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, \ldots \}$

...as we move down the diagonal...
**Lemma 2:** If $f : S \rightarrow \wp(S)$ is a function, then it is not bijective.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>$x_0$</th>
<th>$x_1$</th>
<th>$x_2$</th>
<th>$x_3$</th>
<th>$x_4$</th>
<th>$x_5$</th>
<th>...</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$x_0$</td>
<td>${x_0, x_2, x_4, \ldots}$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$x_1$</td>
<td>${x_0, x_3, x_4, \ldots}$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$x_2$</td>
<td>${}$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$x_4, \ldots}$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$x_3$</td>
<td>${x_1, x_3, x_4, \ldots}$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$x_4$</td>
<td>${x_0, }x_1, x_3, x_4, \ldots}$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$x_5, \ldots}$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$x_5$</td>
<td>${x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, \ldots}$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

... we always see that there's a mismatch between the set in that row ...
**Lemma 2:** If $f : S \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(S)$ is a function, then it is not bijective.

| $x_0$ | $x_1$ | $x_2$ | $x_3$ | $x_4$ | $x_5$ | ...
|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|

$x_0 \rightarrow \{ x_0, \ x_2, \ x_4, \ ... \}$

$x_1 \rightarrow \{ x_0, \ x_3, \ x_4, \ ... \}$

$x_2 \rightarrow \{ \ x_4, \ ... \}$

$x_3 \rightarrow \{ x_1, \ x_3, \ x_4, \ ... \}$

$x_4 \rightarrow \{ x_0, \ x_5, \ ... \}$

$x_5 \rightarrow \{ x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, \ldots \}$

... and the diagonal set we built.

**Lemma 2:** If $f : S \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(S)$ is a function, then it is not bijective.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>$x_0$</th>
<th>$x_1$</th>
<th>$x_2$</th>
<th>$x_3$</th>
<th>$x_4$</th>
<th>$x_5$</th>
<th>...</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$x_0$</td>
<td>${ x_0, x_2, x_4, \ldots }$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$x_1$</td>
<td>${ x_0, x_3, x_4, \ldots }$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$x_2$</td>
<td>${ }$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$x_4, \ldots$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$x_3$</td>
<td>${ x_1, x_3, x_4, \ldots }$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$x_4$</td>
<td>${ x_0, }$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$x_5, \ldots$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$x_5$</td>
<td>${ x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, \ldots }$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As a result, we know that this new set isn't paired with anything.
Lemma 2: If $f : S \rightarrow \wp(S)$ is a function, then it is not bijective.

Formally speaking, if we call our set $D \ldots$
Lemma 2: If $f : S \to \wp(S)$ is a function, then it is not bijective.

$$
\begin{array}{cccccc}
\hline 
 x_0 & x_1 & x_2 & x_3 & x_4 & x_5 & \ldots \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

\begin{align*}
x_0 & \rightarrow \{ x_0, x_2, x_4, \ldots \} \\
x_1 & \rightarrow \{ x_0, x_3, x_4, \ldots \} \\
x_2 & \rightarrow \{ x_4, \ldots \} \quad f : S \to \wp(S) \\
x_3 & \rightarrow \{ x_1, x_3, x_4, \ldots \} \\
x_4 & \rightarrow \{ x_0, x_5, \ldots \} \\
x_5 & \rightarrow \{ x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, \ldots \} \\
\ldots & \rightarrow \{ x_1, x_2, x_4, \ldots \} \\
\end{align*}

Set $D$ and this particular function is $f$. 
Lemma 2: If $f : S \to \mathcal{P}(S)$ is a function, then it is not bijective.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$x_0$</th>
<th>$x_1$</th>
<th>$x_2$</th>
<th>$x_3$</th>
<th>$x_4$</th>
<th>$x_5$</th>
<th>$\ldots$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

$x_0 \mapsto \{x_0, x_2, x_4, \ldots\}$

$x_1 \mapsto \{x_0, x_3, x_4, \ldots\}$

$x_2 \mapsto \{x_4, \ldots\}$

$x_3 \mapsto \{x_1, x_3, x_4, \ldots\}$

$x_4 \mapsto \{x_0, x_5, \ldots\}$

$x_5 \mapsto \{x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, \ldots\}$

\[\ldots\]

Then we see that $f(x) \neq D$ for any $x \in S$, meaning that $f$ isn't surjective!
Lemma 2: If $f : S \rightarrow \wp(S)$ is a function, then it is not bijective.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$x_0$</th>
<th>$x_1$</th>
<th>$x_2$</th>
<th>$x_3$</th>
<th>$x_4$</th>
<th>$x_5$</th>
<th>$\ldots$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

$x_0 \mapsto \{ x_0, x_2, x_4, \ldots \}$

$x_1 \mapsto \{ x_0, x_3, x_4, \ldots \}$

$x_2 \mapsto \{ x_4, \ldots \}$

$f : S \rightarrow \wp(S)$

$x_3 \mapsto \{ x_1, x_3, x_4, \ldots \}$

$x_4 \mapsto \{ x_0, x_5, \ldots \}$

$x_5 \mapsto \{ x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, \ldots \}$

The beauty behind this construction is that it doesn’t matter what choice of $f$ we make.
**Lemma 2:** If $f : S \to \wp(S)$ is a function, then it is not bijective.

$$
\begin{align*}
\forall x_0 & \rightarrow \{ x_0, x_2, x_4, \ldots \} \\
\forall x_1 & \rightarrow \{ x_0, x_3, x_4, \ldots \} \\
\forall x_2 & \rightarrow \{ x_4, \ldots \} \\
\forall x_3 & \rightarrow \{ x_1, x_3, x_4, \ldots \} \\
\forall x_4 & \rightarrow \{ x_0, x_5, \ldots \} \\
\forall x_5 & \rightarrow \{ x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, \ldots \} \\
\cdots & \rightarrow \{ x_1, x_2, x_4, \ldots \}
\end{align*}
$$

If we take the diagonal and flip it, we'll always find a set that nothing maps to.

Set $D$
**Lemma 2:** If $f : S \to \mathcal{P}(S)$ is a function, then it is not bijective.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>$x_0$</th>
<th>$x_1$</th>
<th>$x_2$</th>
<th>$x_3$</th>
<th>$x_4$</th>
<th>$x_5$</th>
<th>$\ldots$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$x_0$</td>
<td>${ x_0, x_2, x_4, \ldots }$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$x_1$</td>
<td>${ x_0, x_3, x_4, \ldots }$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$x_2$</td>
<td>${ \ldots }$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$x_3$</td>
<td>${ x_1, x_3, x_4, \ldots }$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$x_4$</td>
<td>${ x_0, \ldots }$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$x_5$</td>
<td>${ x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, \ldots }$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Set $D = \{ x_1, x_2, x_4, \ldots \}$

Our challenge now is to convert this picture into a rigorous proof.
Lemma 2: If \( f : S \to \mathcal{P}(S) \) is a function, then it is not bijective.

\[
\begin{array}{cccccc}
  x_0 & x_1 & x_2 & x_3 & x_4 & x_5 & \ldots \\
  \{ x_0, \} & \{ x_0, x_2, \} & \{ x_0, x_2, x_4, \} & \{ x_1, x_3, x_4, \} & \{ x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, \} & \{ x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, \} & \ldots \\
\end{array}
\]

\[ f : S \to \mathcal{P}(S) \]

To do that, we’re going to need to find a way to rigorously define the set \( D \).
Lemma 2: If $f : S \to \mathcal{P}(S)$ is a function, then it is not bijective.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$x_0$</th>
<th>$x_1$</th>
<th>$x_2$</th>
<th>$x_3$</th>
<th>$x_4$</th>
<th>$x_5$</th>
<th>...</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

$x_0 \to \{ x_0, \ x_2, \ x_4, \ ... \}$

$x_1 \to \{ x_0, \ x_3, \ x_4, \ ... \}$

$x_2 \to \{ \ x_4, \ ... \}$

$x_3 \to \{ x_1, \ x_3, \ x_4, \ ... \}$

$x_4 \to \{ x_0, \ x_5, \ ... \}$

$x_5 \to \{ x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, \ ... \}$

$\ldots$

Set $D$:

$\{ \ x_1, x_2, x_4, \ldots \}$

Once we’ve done that, we can write a formal proof that $f(x) \neq D$ for any $x \in S$. 
Lemma 2: If $f : S \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(S)$ is a function, then it is not bijective.

\[
\begin{array}{cccccc}
x_0 & x_1 & x_2 & x_3 & x_4 & x_5 & \ldots \\
\end{array}
\]

- $x_0 \rightarrow \{ x_0, x_2, x_4, \ldots \}$
- $x_1 \rightarrow \{ x_0, x_3, x_4, \ldots \}$
- $x_2 \rightarrow \{ x_4, \ldots \}$
- $x_3 \rightarrow \{ x_1, x_3, x_4, \ldots \}$
- $x_4 \rightarrow \{ x_0, x_5, \ldots \}$
- $x_5 \rightarrow \{ x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, \ldots \}$

\[\ldots \rightarrow \{ x_1, x_2, x_4, \ldots \} \]

Set $D$

So... what is this set $D$?
Lemma 2: If \( f : S \to \wp(S) \) is a function, then it is not bijective.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( x_0 )</th>
<th>( x_1 )</th>
<th>( x_2 )</th>
<th>( x_3 )</th>
<th>( x_4 )</th>
<th>( x_5 )</th>
<th>...</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( x_0 )</td>
<td>( x_1 )</td>
<td>( x_2 )</td>
<td>( x_3 )</td>
<td>( x_4 )</td>
<td>( x_5 )</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>{ ( x_0 ), ( x_2 ), ( x_4 ), ... }</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( x_1 )</td>
<td>( x_0 )</td>
<td>( x_3 ), ( x_4 ), ...</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>{ ( x_0 ), ... }</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( x_2 )</td>
<td>( x_1 )</td>
<td>( x_3 ), ( x_4 ), ...</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( x_0 )</td>
<td>( x_1 ), ( x_3 ), ( x_4 ), ...</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( x_3 )</td>
<td>( x_0 )</td>
<td>( x_1 ), ( x_4 ), ...</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( x_4 )</td>
<td>( x_0 ), ( x_1 ), ( x_2 ), ( x_3 ), ( x_4 ), ( x_5 ), ...</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( x_5 )</td>
<td>( x_0 ), ( x_1 ), ( x_2 ), ( x_3 ), ( x_4 ), ( x_5 ), ...</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Set \( D \)

Since sets are uniquely defined by the elements they contain, a reasonable first step is to see what’s in \( D \).
**Lemma 2:** If \( f : S \to \wp(S) \) is a function, then it is not bijective.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>( x_0 )</th>
<th>( x_1 )</th>
<th>( x_2 )</th>
<th>( x_3 )</th>
<th>( x_4 )</th>
<th>( x_5 )</th>
<th>( ... )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( x_0 )</td>
<td>{ ( x_0 ), ( x_2 ), ( x_4 ), ( ... ) }</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( x_1 )</td>
<td>{ ( x_0 ), ( x_3 ), ( x_4 ), ( ... ) }</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( x_2 )</td>
<td>{ ( x_4 ), ( ... ) }</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( x_3 )</td>
<td>{ ( x_1 ), ( x_3 ), ( x_4 ), ( ... ) }</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( x_4 )</td>
<td>{ ( x_0 ), ( x_5 ), ( ... ) }</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( x_5 )</td>
<td>{ ( x_0 ), ( x_1 ), ( x_2 ), ( x_3 ), ( x_4 ), ( x_5 ), ( ... ) }</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Although in this discussion I’m going to use the example \( f \) given here, this will work for any choice of \( f \).
Lemma 2: If $f : S \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(S)$ is a function, then it is not bijective.

Let's look at $x_1$, for example.
**Lemma 2:** If \( f : S \to \mathcal{P}(S) \) is a function, then it is not bijective.

\[
\begin{array}{cccccc}
  x_0 & x_1 & x_2 & x_3 & x_4 & x_5 & \ldots \\
\hline
  x_0 & \{ x_0, x_2, x_4, \ldots \} & \{ x_0 \} & \{ x_1, x_3, x_4, \ldots \} & \{ x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, \ldots \} & \{ x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, \ldots \} & \ldots \\
\end{array}
\]

We included \( x_1 \) in this set because \( x_1 \) isn't on the main diagonal of this grid.
Lemma 2: If $f : S \rightarrow \wp(S)$ is a function, then it is not bijective.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$x_0$</th>
<th>$x_1$</th>
<th>$x_2$</th>
<th>$x_3$</th>
<th>$x_4$</th>
<th>$x_5$</th>
<th>$\ldots$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

$x_0 \rightarrow \{ x_0, x_2, x_4, \ldots \}$

$x_1 \rightarrow \{ x_0, x_3, x_4, \ldots \}$

$x_2 \rightarrow \{ x_4, \ldots \}$

$x_3 \rightarrow \{ x_1, x_3, x_4, \ldots \}$

$x_4 \rightarrow \{ x_0, x_5, \ldots \}$

$x_5 \rightarrow \{ x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, \ldots \}$

$\ldots \rightarrow \{ \ldots \}$

Set $D$

How can we describe this more rigorously, without appealing to this picture?
Lemma 2: If $f : S \to \wp(S)$ is a function, then it is not bijective.

Well, let's take a look at that.
Lemma 2: If $f : S \rightarrow \wp(S)$ is a function, then it is not bijective.

First, notice that we've highlighted this particular set. What set is this?
**Lemma 2:** If $f : S \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(S)$ is a function, then it is not bijective.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$x_0$</th>
<th>$x_1$</th>
<th>$x_2$</th>
<th>$x_3$</th>
<th>$x_4$</th>
<th>$x_5$</th>
<th>...</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

$x_0 \rightarrow \{ x_0, x_2, x_4, ... \}$

$x_1 \rightarrow \{ x_0, x_3, x_4, ... \}$

$x_2 \rightarrow \{ x_4, ... \}$

$x_3 \rightarrow \{ x_1, x_3, x_4, ... \}$

$x_4 \rightarrow \{ x_0, x_5, ... \}$

$x_5 \rightarrow \{ x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, ... \}$

... $\rightarrow \{ x_1, x_2, x_4, ... \}$

Mathematically, this highlighted row is $f(x_1)$, the set paired with $x_1$.
**Lemma 2:** If \( f : S \rightarrow \wp(S) \) is a function, then it is not bijective.

The fact that \( x_1 \) isn’t on the diagonal means that \( x_1 \) isn’t in this set.
Lemma 2: If \( f : S \rightarrow \wp(S) \) is a function, then it is not bijective. Formally speaking, we say that \( x_1 \notin f(x_1) \).
Lemma 2: If $f : S \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(S)$ is a function, then it is not bijective.

Set $D$

So, because $x_1 \notin f(x_1)$, we included $x_1$ in $D$. 
Lemma 2: If \( f : S \to \mathcal{P}(S) \) is a function, then it is not bijective.

\[
\begin{array}{cccccc}
  x_0 & x_1 & x_2 & x_3 & x_4 & x_5 & \ldots \\
  \rightarrow & \{ x_0, & x_2, & x_4, & \ldots \} \\
  x_1 & \rightarrow & \{ x_0, & x_3, & x_4, & \ldots \} \\
  x_2 & \rightarrow & \{ & x_4, & \ldots \} \\
  x_3 & \rightarrow & \{ x_1, & x_3, & x_4, & \ldots \} \\
  x_4 & \rightarrow & \{ x_0, & & x_5, & \ldots \} \\
  x_5 & \rightarrow & \{ x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, \ldots \} \\
\end{array}
\]

Similarly, let’s take a look at why \( x_2 \) is in our set.
Lemma 2: If $f : S \to \wp(S)$ is a function, then it is not bijective.

We included it because it's missing off the diagonal.
**Lemma 2:** If \( f : S \to \wp(S) \) is a function, then it is not bijective.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>( x_0 )</th>
<th>( x_1 )</th>
<th>( x_2 )</th>
<th>( x_3 )</th>
<th>( x_4 )</th>
<th>( x_5 )</th>
<th>...</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( x_0 )</td>
<td>{ ( x_0 ), ( x_2 ), ( x_4 ), ... }</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( x_1 )</td>
<td>{ ( x_0 ), ( x_3 ), ( x_4 ), ... }</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( x_2 )</td>
<td>{ }</td>
<td>( x_4 ), ... }</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( x_3 )</td>
<td>{ ( x_1 ), ( x_3 ), ( x_4 ), ... }</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( x_4 )</td>
<td>{ ( x_0 ), ( x_5 ), ... }</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( x_5 )</td>
<td>{ ( x_0 ), ( x_1 ), ( x_2 ), ( x_3 ), ( x_4 ), ( x_5 ), ... }</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\[ f(\boxed{x_2}) \]

This highlighted set is \( f(x_2) \)...
Lemma 2: If $f: S \rightarrow \wp(S)$ is a function, then it is not bijective.

... and notice that $x_2 \notin f(x_2)$. 

Set $D$
Lemma 2: If $f : S \to \wp(S)$ is a function, then it is not bijective.

We included $x_2$ in $D$ because $x_2 \notin f(x_2)$. 
**Lemma 2:** If \( f : S \rightarrow \wp(S) \) is a function, then it is not bijective.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( x_0 )</th>
<th>( x_1 )</th>
<th>( x_2 )</th>
<th>( x_3 )</th>
<th>( x_4 )</th>
<th>( x_5 )</th>
<th>...</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

\( x_0 \rightarrow \{ x_0, x_2, x_4, \ldots \} \)

\( x_1 \rightarrow \{ x_0, x_3, x_4, \ldots \} \)

\( x_2 \rightarrow \{ \ldots, x_4, \ldots \} \)

\( x_3 \rightarrow \{ x_1, x_3, x_4, \ldots \} \)

\( x_4 \rightarrow \{ x_0, \ldots, x_5, \ldots \} \)

\( x_5 \rightarrow \{ x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, \ldots \} \)

\( \ldots \rightarrow \{ \ldots, x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_4, \ldots \} \)

Set \( D \)

This same reason explains why we have \( x_4 \) here - we include it because \( x_4 \notin f(x_4) \).
**Lemma 2:** If $f : S \to \mathcal{P}(S)$ is a function, then it is not bijective.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$x_0$</th>
<th>$x_1$</th>
<th>$x_2$</th>
<th>$x_3$</th>
<th>$x_4$</th>
<th>$x_5$</th>
<th>$\ldots$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$x_0$</td>
<td>${ x_0, x_2, x_4, \ldots }$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$x_1$</td>
<td>${ x_0, x_3, x_4, \ldots }$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$x_2$</td>
<td>${ x_0, x_4, \ldots }$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$x_3$</td>
<td>${ x_1, x_3, x_4, \ldots }$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$x_4$</td>
<td>${ x_0, x_5, \ldots }$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$x_5$</td>
<td>${ x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, \ldots }$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

So it seems like we have a general pattern going here.
**Lemma 2:** If $f : S \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(S)$ is a function, then it is not bijective.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>$x_0$</th>
<th>$x_1$</th>
<th>$x_2$</th>
<th>$x_3$</th>
<th>$x_4$</th>
<th>$x_5$</th>
<th>...</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$x_0$</td>
<td>${ x_0, x_2, x_4, \ldots }$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$x_1$</td>
<td>${ x_0, x_3, x_4, \ldots }$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$x_2$</td>
<td>${ x_4, \ldots }$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$x_3$</td>
<td>${ x_1, x_3, x_4, \ldots }$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$x_4$</td>
<td>${ x_0, x_5, \ldots }$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$x_5$</td>
<td>${ x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, \ldots }$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Specifically, if we find an $x$ where $x \notin f(x)$, then it ends up in $D$. 

Set $D$
Lemma 2: If $f : S \to \mathcal{P}(S)$ is a function, then it is not bijective.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$x_0$</th>
<th>$x_1$</th>
<th>$x_2$</th>
<th>$x_3$</th>
<th>$x_4$</th>
<th>$x_5$</th>
<th>...</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$x_0$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>${ x_0, x_2, x_4, \ldots }$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$\vdash x_1$ $\rightarrow \{ x_0, x_3, x_4, \ldots \}$

$\vdash x_2$ $\rightarrow \{ x_4, \ldots \}$

$\vdash x_3$ $\rightarrow \{ x_1, x_3, x_4, \ldots \}$

$\vdash x_4$ $\rightarrow \{ x_0, \ldots \}$

$\vdash x_5$ $\rightarrow \{ x_0, x_1, x_2, \ldots \}$

(That's actually really important, so make a note of this for later on.)

\[ \text{Set } D \]

Specifically, if we find an $x$ where $x \notin f(x)$, then it ends up in $D$. 
Lemma 2: If $f : S \rightarrow \wp(S)$ is a function, then it is not bijective.

|   | $x_0$ | $x_1$ | $x_2$ | $x_3$ | $x_4$ | $x_5$ | ...
|---|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
$x_0$ | $\{x_0, x_2, x_4, \ldots\}$ |
$x_1$ | $\{x_0, x_3, x_4, \ldots\}$ |
$x_2$ | $\{x_4, \ldots\}$ |
$x_3$ | $\{x_1, x_3, x_4, \ldots\}$ |
$x_4$ | $\{x_0, x_5, \ldots\}$ |
$x_5$ | $\{x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, \ldots\}$ |

So what about the elements that aren't in our set $D$?
**Lemma 2:** If \( f : S \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(S) \) is a function, then it is not bijective.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( x_0 )</th>
<th>( x_1 )</th>
<th>( x_2 )</th>
<th>( x_3 )</th>
<th>( x_4 )</th>
<th>( x_5 )</th>
<th>...</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

\[ x_0 \rightarrow \{ x_0, \ x_2, \ x_4, \ ... \} \]

\[ x_1 \rightarrow \{ x_0, \ \ x_3, \ x_4, \ ... \} \]

\[ x_2 \rightarrow \{ \ x_4, \ \ \ \ ... \} \]

\[ x_3 \rightarrow \{ \ x_1, \ x_3, \ x_4, \ ... \} \]

\[ x_4 \rightarrow \{ x_0, \ x_5, \ ... \} \]

\[ x_5 \rightarrow \{ x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, \ ... \} \]

Let's start with \( x_0 \). Why isn't it in \( D \)?
Lemma 2: If $f : S \rightarrow \wp(S)$ is a function, then it is not bijective.

Well, it’s not in $D$ because $x_0$ does appear on the diagonal.
Lemma 2: If $f : S \to \wp(S)$ is a function, then it is not bijective.

Set $D = f(x_0)$

This particular row is $f(x_0)$...
Lemma 2: If \( f : S \to \mathcal{P}(S) \) is a function, then it is not bijective.

Set \( D \)

... and what we’re seeing is that \( x_0 \in f(x_0) \).
**Lemma 2:** If \( f : S \to \wp(S) \) is a function, then it is not bijective.

| \( x_0 \) | \( x_1 \) | \( x_2 \) | \( x_3 \) | \( x_4 \) | \( x_5 \) | ...
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
\( x_0 \) & \( \{ x_0, \ x_2, \ x_4, \ ... \} \)
\( x_1 \) & \( \{ x_0, \ x_3, \ x_4, \ ... \} \)
\( x_2 \) & \( \{ \ x_4, \ ... \} \)
\( x_3 \) & \( \{ \ x_1, \ x_3, \ x_4, \ ... \} \)
\( x_4 \) & \( \{ x_0, \ x_5, \ ... \} \)
\( x_5 \) & \( \{ x_0, \ x_1, \ x_2, \ x_3, \ x_4, \ x_5, \ ... \} \)
...

Set \( D \)

Similar reasoning explains why we didn’t include \( x_3 \) in our set...
**Lemma 2:** If \( f : S \to \wp(S) \) is a function, then it is not bijective.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( x_0 )</th>
<th>( x_1 )</th>
<th>( x_2 )</th>
<th>( x_3 )</th>
<th>( x_4 )</th>
<th>( x_5 )</th>
<th>...</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

\( x_0 \) → \( \{ x_0, x_2, x_4, ... \} \)

\( x_1 \) → \( \{ x_0, x_3, x_4, ... \} \)

\( x_2 \) → \( \{ x_4, ... \} \)

\( x_3 \) → \( \{ x_1, x_3, x_4, ... \} \)

\( x_4 \) → \( \{ x_0, x_5, ... \} \)

\( x_5 \) → \( \{ x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, ... \} \)

Set \( D \)

... as well as why \( x_5 \) is missing.
Lemma 2: If $f : S \to \wp(S)$ is a function, then it is not bijective.

So it seems like we have another general rule...
**Lemma 2:** If $f : S \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(S)$ is a function, then it is not bijective.

| $x_0$ | $x_1$ | $x_2$ | $x_3$ | $x_4$ | $x_5$ | ...
|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|

- $x_0 \rightarrow \{ x_0, x_2, x_4, \ldots \}$
- $x_1 \rightarrow \{ x_0, x_3, x_4, \ldots \}$
- $x_2 \rightarrow \{ x_4, \ldots \}$
- $x_3 \rightarrow \{ x_1, x_3, x_4, \ldots \}$
- $x_4 \rightarrow \{ x_0, x_5, \ldots \}$
- $x_5 \rightarrow \{ x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, \ldots \}$

... the set $D$ excludes every $x$ where $x \in f(x)$. 

Set $D$
Lemma 2: If $f : S \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(S)$ is a function, then it is not bijective.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>$x_0$</th>
<th>$x_1$</th>
<th>$x_2$</th>
<th>$x_3$</th>
<th>$x_4$</th>
<th>$x_5$</th>
<th>...</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$x_0$</td>
<td>${ x_0, x_2, x_4, \ldots }$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$x_1$</td>
<td>${ x_0, x_3, x_4, \ldots }$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$x_2$</td>
<td>${ x_4, \ldots }$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$x_3$</td>
<td>${ x_1, x_3, x_4, \ldots }$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$x_4$</td>
<td>${ x_0, x_5, \ldots }$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$x_5$</td>
<td>${ x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, \ldots }$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Set $D$
Lemma 2: If $f : S \to \mathcal{P}(S)$ is a function, then it is not bijective.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$x_0$</th>
<th>$x_1$</th>
<th>$x_2$</th>
<th>$x_3$</th>
<th>$x_4$</th>
<th>$x_5$</th>
<th>...</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

$x_0 \rightarrow \{ x_0, x_2, x_4, \ldots \}$

$x_1 \rightarrow \{ x_0, x_3, x_4, \ldots \}$

$x_2 \rightarrow \{ x_4, \ldots \}$

$x_3 \rightarrow \{ x_1, x_3, x_4, \ldots \}$

$x_4 \rightarrow \{ x_0, \ldots \}$

$x_5 \rightarrow \{ x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, \ldots \}$

...  

If $x \notin f(x)$, then $x \in D$.

Set $D$

If we choose any $x$ and see that $x \notin f(x)$, then $x \in D$. 
Lemma 2: If \( f : S \to \mathcal{P}(S) \) is a function, then it is not bijective.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( x_0 )</th>
<th>( x_1 )</th>
<th>( x_2 )</th>
<th>( x_3 )</th>
<th>( x_4 )</th>
<th>( x_5 )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( { x_0, x_2, x_4, \ldots } )</td>
<td>( { x_0, x_3, x_4, \ldots } )</td>
<td>( { x_4, \ldots } )</td>
<td>( { x_1, x_3, x_4, \ldots } )</td>
<td>( { x_0, \ldots } )</td>
<td>( { x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, \ldots } )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

If \( x \notin f(x) \), then \( x \in D \).
If \( x \in f(x) \), then \( x \notin D \).

Similarly, if we choose any \( x \) and find that \( x \in f(x) \), then \( x \notin D \).
Lemma 2: If $f : S \to \wp(S)$ is a function, then it is not bijective.

Collectively, this lets us define our set $D$ purely mathematically.
**Lemma 2**: If $f : S \to \wp(S)$ is a function, then it is not bijective.

Specifically:

$$D = \{ x \in S \mid x \notin f(x) \}$$
Lemma 2: If $f : S \to \wp(S)$ is a function, then it is not bijective.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>$x_0$</th>
<th>$x_1$</th>
<th>$x_2$</th>
<th>$x_3$</th>
<th>$x_4$</th>
<th>$x_5$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$x_0$</td>
<td>${ x_0, x_2, x_4, \ldots }$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$x_1$</td>
<td>${ x_0, x_3, x_4, \ldots }$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$x_2$</td>
<td>${ \quad x_4, \ldots }$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$x_3$</td>
<td>${ x_1, x_3, x_4, \quad }$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$x_4$</td>
<td>${ x_0, \quad \quad x_5 }$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$x_5$</td>
<td>${ x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, \quad }$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

If $x \notin f(x)$, then $x \in D$.
If $x \in f(x)$, then $x \notin D$.

$D = \{ x \in S \mid x \notin f(x) \}$

Set $D$

Take a minute to see why this is - it's a consequence of the two above rules.
Lemma 2: If $f : S \to \mathcal{P}(S)$ is a function, then it is not bijective.

If $x \notin f(x)$, then $x \in D$.  
If $x \in f(x)$, then $x \notin D$.

$D = \{ x \in S \mid x \notin f(x) \}$

Phew! That was a long setup.
Lemma 2: If $f : S \rightarrow \wp(S)$ is a function, then it is not bijective.

If $x \notin f(x)$, then $x \in D$.
If $x \in f(x)$, then $x \notin D$.

$$D = \{ x \in S | x \notin f(x) \}$$

The whole point of that visual exercise was to figure out a mathematical way of describing that diagonal set.
**Lemma 2**: If $f : S \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(S)$ is a function, then it is not bijective.

If $x \notin f(x)$, then $x \in D$.  
If $x \in f(x)$, then $x \notin D$.

$$D = \{ x \in S \mid x \notin f(x) \}$$

At this point, we actually don't need to draw any more pictures. Everything we need is summarized by the above symbolic notation.
Lemma 2: If \( f : S \to \wp(S) \) is a function, then it is not bijective.

\[
D = \{ x \in S \mid x \notin f(x) \}
\]

In fact, just knowing this particular choice of \( D \) allows us to write a formal proof that \(|S| \neq |\wp(S)|!\).
Lemma 2: If $f : S \rightarrow \varnothing(S)$ is a function, then it is not bijective.

If $x \notin f(x)$, then $x \in D$. 
If $x \in f(x)$, then $x \notin D$. 

$D = \{ x \in S \mid x \notin f(x) \}$
Lemma 2: If $S$ is a set, then $|S| \neq |\mathcal{P}(S)|$.

Proof: Let $S$ be an arbitrary set. We will prove that $|S| \neq |\mathcal{P}(S)|$ by showing that there are no bijections from $S$ to $\mathcal{P}(S)$. To do so, choose an arbitrary function $f: S \to \mathcal{P}(S)$. We will prove that $f$ is not surjective.

Starting with $f$, we define the set $D = \{ x \in S | x \notin f(x) \}$.

(1) We will show that there is no $y \in S$ such that $f(y) = D$. To do so, we proceed by contradiction. Suppose that there is some $y \in D$ such that $f(y) = D$. By the definition of $D$, we know that $y \in D$ iff $y \notin f(y)$.

(2) By assumption, $f(y) = D$. Combined with (2), this tells us $y \in D$ iff $y \notin D$.

(3) This is impossible. We have reached a contradiction, so our assumption must have been wrong. Therefore, there is no $y \in S$ such that $f(y) = D$, so $f$ is not surjective. This means that $f$ is not a bijection, and since our choice of $f$ was arbitrary, we conclude that there are no bijections between $S$ and $\mathcal{P}(S)$.

Thus $|S| \neq |\mathcal{P}(S)|$, as required. ■

Okay! 3... 2... 1... let's jam!
**Lemma 2:** If $S$ is a set, then $|S| \neq |\wp(S)|$.

**Proof:** Let $S$ be an arbitrary set. We will prove that $|S| \neq |\wp(S)|$ by showing that there are no bijections from $S$ to $\wp(S)$.

This proof starts off, as many proofs do, by just saying what we're going to be doing.
**Lemma 2:** If $S$ is a set, then $|S| \neq |\wp(S)|$.

**Proof:** Let $S$ be an arbitrary set. We will prove that $|S| \neq |\wp(S)|$ by showing that there are no bijections from $S$ to $\wp(S)$.

This is mostly a recap of our previous strategy.
**Lemma 2:** If $S$ is a set, then $|S| \neq |\wp(S)|$.

**Proof:** Let $S$ be an arbitrary set. We will prove that $|S| \neq |\wp(S)|$ by showing that there are no bijections from $S$ to $\wp(S)$. To do so, choose an arbitrary function $f : S \to \wp(S)$. We will prove that $f$ is not surjective.

This is where we lay out the specific strategy we're going to use. There's a lot of ways to prove that there aren't any bijections, and we're going to do it by (as you saw) showing that no function from $S$ to $\wp(S)$ can be a bijection.
**Lemma 2:** If $S$ is a set, then $|S| \neq |\wp(S)|$.

**Proof:** Let $S$ be an arbitrary set. We will prove that $|S| \neq |\wp(S)|$ by showing that there are no bijections from $S$ to $\wp(S)$. To do so, choose an arbitrary function $f : S \to \wp(S)$. We will prove that $f$ is not surjective.

Starting with $f$, we define the set

$$D = \{ x \in S \mid x \notin f(x) \}.$$  

(1)

This is where we lay out the key idea behind the proof – we can start with this arbitrary function $f$ and produce a set $D$ that $f$ doesn’t map anything to.
**Lemma 2:** If $S$ is a set, then $|S| \neq |\wp(S)|$.

**Proof:** Let $S$ be an arbitrary set. We will prove that $|S| \neq |\wp(S)|$ by showing that there are no bijections from $S$ to $\wp(S)$. To do so, choose an arbitrary function $f : S \to \wp(S)$. We will prove that $f$ is not surjective.

Starting with $f$, we define the set

$$D = \{ x \in S \mid x \notin f(x) \}. \quad (1)$$

We worked really hard to come up with this set $D$, and now we get to see just how cool a set it is.
Lemma 2: If $S$ is a set, then $|S| \neq |\wp(S)|$.

Proof: Let $S$ be an arbitrary set. We will prove that $|S| \neq |\wp(S)|$ by showing that there are no bijections from $S$ to $\wp(S)$. To do so, choose an arbitrary function $f : S \to \wp(S)$. We will prove that $f$ is not surjective.

Starting with $f$, we define the set

$$D = \{ x \in S \mid x \notin f(x) \}. \quad (1)$$

We will show that there is no $y \in S$ such that $f(y) = D$.

Ultimately, we're going to show that $f$ isn't surjective. Here, we're saying how: we're going to show that nothing maps to $D$. 
Lemma 2: If $S$ is a set, then $|S| \neq |\wp(S)|$.

Proof: Let $S$ be an arbitrary set. We will prove that $|S| \neq |\wp(S)|$ by showing that there are no bijections from $S$ to $\wp(S)$. To do so, choose an arbitrary function $f : S \to \wp(S)$. We will prove that $f$ is not surjective.

Starting with $f$, we define the set

$$D = \{ x \in S \mid x \notin f(x) \}. \quad (1)$$

We will show that there is no $y \in S$ such that $f(y) = D$.

(I chose the name $y$ here because the name $x$ was taken earlier, and I didn’t want to confuse the two. You’ll see why in a second.)
**Lemma 2:** If $S$ is a set, then $|S| \neq |\wp(S)|$.

**Proof:** Let $S$ be an arbitrary set. We will prove that $|S| \neq |\wp(S)|$ by showing that there are no bijections from $S$ to $\wp(S)$. To do so, choose an arbitrary function $f : S \to \wp(S)$. We will prove that $f$ is not surjective.

Starting with $f$, we define the set

$$D = \{ x \in S \mid x \notin f(x) \}. \quad (1)$$

We will show that there is no $y \in S$ such that $f(y) = D$. To do so, we proceed by contradiction. Suppose that there is some $y \in S$ such that $f(y) = D$.

And now things really get rolling. Let's suppose that you could find a choice of $y$ such that $f(y) = D$. 
Lemma 2: If $S$ is a set, then $|S| \neq |\wp(S)|$.

Proof: Let $S$ be an arbitrary set. We will prove that $|S| \neq |\wp(S)|$ by showing that there are no bijections from $S$ to $\wp(S)$. To do so, choose an arbitrary function $f : S \to \wp(S)$. We will prove that $f$ is not surjective.

Starting with $f$, we define the set

$$D = \{ x \in S \mid x \notin f(x) \}. \quad (1)$$

We will show that there is no $y \in S$ such that $f(y) = D$. To do so, we proceed by contradiction. Suppose that there is some $y \in S$ such that $f(y) = D$.

Our intuition behind building the set $D$ was to get a contradiction between whether $y \in f(y)$ and whether $y \in D$. Specifically,

- if $y \notin f(y)$, then $y \in D$, and
- if $y \in f(y)$, then $y \notin D$.

This is just the mathematical way of talking about what happens on the diagonal.
**Lemma 2:** If $S$ is a set, then $|S| \neq |\wp(S)|$.

**Proof:** Let $S$ be an arbitrary set. We will prove that $|S| \neq |\wp(S)|$ by showing that there are no bijections from $S$ to $\wp(S)$. To do so, choose an arbitrary function $f : S \to \wp(S)$. We will prove that $f$ is not surjective.

Starting with $f$, we define the set

$$D = \{ x \in S \mid x \notin f(x) \}. \quad (1)$$

We will show that there is no $y \in S$ such that $f(y) = D$. To do so, we proceed by contradiction. Suppose that there is some $y \in S$ such that $f(y) = D$.

The rest of this proof is a way of formalizing, mathematically, what goes wrong.
Lemma 2: If $S$ is a set, then $|S| \neq |\mathcal{P}(S)|$.

Proof: Let $S$ be an arbitrary set. We will prove that $|S| \neq |\mathcal{P}(S)|$ by showing that there are no bijections from $S$ to $\mathcal{P}(S)$. To do so, choose an arbitrary function $f : S \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(S)$. We will prove that $f$ is not surjective.

Starting with $f$, we define the set

$$D = \{ x \in S \mid x \notin f(x) \}. \quad (1)$$

We will show that there is no $y \in S$ such that $f(y) = D$. To do so, we proceed by contradiction. Suppose that there is some $y \in S$ such that $f(y) = D$. By the definition of $D$, we know that

$$y \in D \text{ iff } y \notin f(y). \quad (2)$$

We're going to begin with this observation. Let's see where this comes from.
Lemma 2: If $S$ is a set, then $|S| \neq |\wp(S)|$.

Proof: Let $S$ be an arbitrary set. We will prove that $|S| \neq |\wp(S)|$ by showing that there are no bijections from $S$ to $\wp(S)$. To do so, choose an arbitrary function $f : S \rightarrow \wp(S)$. We will prove that $f$ is not surjective.

Starting with $f$, we define the set

$$D = \{ x \in S \mid x \notin f(x) \}. \tag{1}$$

We will show that there is no $y \in S$ such that $f(y) = D$. To do so, we proceed by contradiction. Suppose that there is some $y \in S$ such that $f(y) = D$. By the definition of $D$, we know that

$$y \in D \iff y \notin f(y). \tag{2}$$

What would have to happen for $y \in D$ to be true?
Lemma 2: If $S$ is a set, then $|S| \neq |\wp(S)|$.

Proof: Let $S$ be an arbitrary set. We will prove that $|S| \neq |\wp(S)|$ by showing that there are no bijections from $S$ to $\wp(S)$. To do so, choose an arbitrary function $f : S \to \wp(S)$. We will prove that $f$ is not surjective.

Starting with $f$, we define the set

$$D = \{ x \in S \mid x \notin f(x) \}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

We will show that there is no $y \in S$ such that $f(y) = D$. To do so, we proceed by contradiction. Suppose that there is some $y \in S$ such that $f(y) = D$. By the definition of $D$, we know that

$$y \in D \iff y \notin f(y).$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

Well, according to the definition of $D$...
Lemma 2: If $S$ is a set, then $|S| \neq |\wp(S)|$.

Proof: Let $S$ be an arbitrary set. We will prove that $|S| \neq |\wp(S)|$ by showing that there are no bijections from $S$ to $\wp(S)$. To do so, choose an arbitrary function $f : S \rightarrow \wp(S)$. We will prove that $f$ is not surjective.

Starting with $f$, we define the set

$$D = \{ x \in S \mid x \notin f(x) \}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

We will show that there is no $y \in S$ such that $f(y) = D$. To do so, we proceed by contradiction. Suppose that there is some $y \in S$ such that $f(y) = D$. By the definition of $D$, we know that

$$y \in D \text{ iff } y \notin f(y).$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

... that would mean that $y$ isn't an element of the set it belongs to.
Lemma 2: If $S$ is a set, then $|S| \neq |\wp(S)|$.

Proof: Let $S$ be an arbitrary set. We will prove that $|S| \neq |\wp(S)|$ by showing that there are no bijections from $S$ to $\wp(S)$. To do so, choose an arbitrary function $f : S \to \wp(S)$. We will prove that $f$ is not surjective.

Starting with $f$, we define the set

$$D = \{ x \in S \mid x \notin f(x) \}.$$  \hfill (1)

We will show that there is no $y \in S$ such that $f(y) = D$. To do so, we proceed by contradiction. Suppose that there is some $y \in S$ such that $f(y) = D$. By the definition of $D$, we know that

$$y \in D \iff y \notin f(y).$$  \hfill (2)

We can put an "iff" between these two statements because $D$ contains precisely the elements $x$ where $x \notin f(x)$. 

Thus $|S| \neq |\wp(S)|$, as required. \hfill $\blacksquare$
Lemma 2: If $S$ is a set, then $|S| \neq |\wp(S)|$.

Proof: Let $S$ be an arbitrary set. We will prove that $|S| \neq |\wp(S)|$ by showing that there are no bijections from $S$ to $\wp(S)$. To do so, choose an arbitrary function $f : S \to \wp(S)$. We will prove that $f$ is not surjective.

Starting with $f$, we define the set

$$D = \{ x \in S \mid x \notin f(x) \}. \quad (1)$$

We will show that there is no $y \in S$ such that $f(y) = D$. To do so, we proceed by contradiction. Suppose that there is some $y \in S$ such that $f(y) = D$. By the definition of $D$, we know that

$$y \in D \text{ iff } y \notin f(y). \quad (2)$$

Right now, nothing special seems to happen. Here's where things get interesting.
Lemma 2: If $S$ is a set, then $|S| \neq |\wp(S)|$.

Proof: Let $S$ be an arbitrary set. We will prove that $|S| \neq |\wp(S)|$ by showing that there are no bijections from $S$ to $\wp(S)$. To do so, choose an arbitrary function $f : S \to \wp(S)$. We will prove that $f$ is not surjective.

Starting with $f$, we define the set

$$D = \{ x \in S \mid x \notin f(x) \}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

We will show that there is no $y \in S$ such that $f(y) = D$. To do so, we proceed by contradiction. Suppose that there is some $y \in S$ such that $f(y) = D$. By the definition of $D$, we know that

$$y \in D \iff y \notin f(y).$$ \hspace{1cm} (2)

By assumption, $f(y) = D$. Combined with (2), this tells us

$$y \in D \iff y \notin D.$$ \hspace{1cm} (3)

We specifically assumed that $f(y) = D$. That means we can rewrite statement (2) as shown here. If you're wondering why we can do this...
Lemma 2: If $S$ is a set, then $|S| \neq |\wp(S)|$.

Proof: Let $S$ be an arbitrary set. We will prove that $|S| \neq |\wp(S)|$ by showing that there are no bijections from $S$ to $\wp(S)$. To do so, choose an arbitrary function $f : S \to \wp(S)$. We will prove that $f$ is not surjective.

Starting with $f$, we define the set

$$D = \{ x \in S \mid x \notin f(x) \}. \quad (1)$$

We will show that there is no $y \in S$ such that $f(y) = D$. To do so, we proceed by contradiction. Suppose that there is some $y \in S$ such that $f(y) = D$. By the definition of $D$, we know that

$$y \in D \text{ iff } y \notin f(y). \quad (2)$$

By assumption, $f(y) = D$. Combined with (2), this tells us

$$y \in D \text{ iff } y \notin D. \quad (3)$$

... notice that we're just substituting $D$ for $f(y)$ in the right-hand side of this statement.
Lemma 2: If $S$ is a set, then $|S| \neq |\wp(S)|$.

Proof: Let $S$ be an arbitrary set. We will prove that $|S| \neq |\wp(S)|$ by showing that there are no bijections from $S$ to $\wp(S)$. To do so, choose an arbitrary function $f : S \to \wp(S)$. We will prove that $f$ is not surjective.

Starting with $f$, we define the set

$$D = \{ x \in S \mid x \notin f(x) \}. \quad (1)$$

We will show that there is no $y \in S$ such that $f(y) = D$. To do so, we proceed by contradiction. Suppose that there is some $y \in S$ such that $f(y) = D$. By the definition of $D$, we know that

$$y \in D \iff y \notin f(y). \quad (2)$$

By assumption, $f(y) = D$. Combined with (2), this tells us

$$y \in D \iff y \notin D. \quad (3)$$

And hey! Does this statement look, you know, kinda fishy?
Lemma 2: If $S$ is a set, then $|S| \neq |\wp(S)|$.

**Proof:** Let $S$ be an arbitrary set. We will prove that $|S| \neq |\wp(S)|$ by showing that there are no bijections from $S$ to $\wp(S)$. To do so, choose an arbitrary function $f : S \to \wp(S)$. We will prove that $f$ is not surjective.

Starting with $f$, we define the set

$$D = \{ x \in S \mid x \notin f(x) \}.$$  \hfill (1)

We will show that there is no $y \in S$ such that $f(y) = D$. To do so, we proceed by contradiction. Suppose that there is some $y \in S$ such that $f(y) = D$. By the definition of $D$, we know that

$$y \in D \iff y \notin f(y).$$  \hfill (2)

By assumption, $f(y) = D$. Combined with (2), this tells us

$$y \in D \iff y \notin D.$$  \hfill (3)

It should! This says that a statement is true if and only if it's false... but that's impossible!
Lemma 2: If $S$ is a set, then $|S| \neq |\wp(S)|$.

Proof: Let $S$ be an arbitrary set. We will prove that $|S| \neq |\wp(S)|$ by showing that there are no bijections from $S$ to $\wp(S)$. To do so, choose an arbitrary function $f : S \to \wp(S)$. We will prove that $f$ is not surjective.

Starting with $f$, we define the set

$$D = \{ x \in S \mid x \notin f(x) \}. \quad (1)$$

We will show that there is no $y \in S$ such that $f(y) = D$. To do so, we proceed by contradiction. Suppose that there is some $y \in S$ such that $f(y) = D$. By the definition of $D$, we know that

$$y \in D \text{ iff } y \notin f(y). \quad (2)$$

By assumption, $f(y) = D$. Combined with (2), this tells us

$$y \in D \text{ iff } y \notin D. \quad (3)$$

This is impossible.

Hey! That's what I just said.
Now we just need to bring it home.
**Lemma 2:** If $S$ is a set, then $|S| \neq |\mathcal{P}(S)|$.

**Proof:** Let $S$ be an arbitrary set. We will prove that $|S| \neq |\mathcal{P}(S)|$ by showing that there are no bijections from $S$ to $\mathcal{P}(S)$. To do so, choose an arbitrary function $f : S \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(S)$. We will prove that $f$ is not surjective.

Starting with $f$, we define the set

$$D = \{ x \in S \mid x \notin f(x) \}. \quad (1)$$

We will show that there is no $y \in S$ such that $f(y) = D$. To do so, we proceed by contradiction. Suppose that there is some $y \in S$ such that $f(y) = D$. By the definition of $D$, we know that

$$y \in D \text{ iff } y \notin f(y). \quad (2)$$

By assumption, $f(y) = D$. Combined with (2), this tells us

$$y \in D \text{ iff } y \notin D. \quad (3)$$

This is impossible. We have reached a contradiction, so our assumption must have been wrong.

This contradiction means, as most do, that our initial assumption was wrong.
Lemma 2: If $S$ is a set, then $|S| \neq |\mathcal{P}(S)|$.

Proof: Let $S$ be an arbitrary set. We will prove that $|S| \neq |\mathcal{P}(S)|$ by showing that there are no bijections from $S$ to $\mathcal{P}(S)$. To do so, choose an arbitrary function $f : S \to \mathcal{P}(S)$. We will prove that $f$ is not surjective.

Starting with $f$, we define the set

$$D = \{ x \in S \mid x \notin f(x) \}. \quad (1)$$

We will show that there is no $y \in S$ such that $f(y) = D$. To do so, we proceed by contradiction. Suppose that there is some $y \in S$ such that $f(y) = D$. By the definition of $D$, we know that

$$y \in D \text{ iff } y \notin f(y). \quad (2)$$

By assumption, $f(y) = D$. Combined with (2), this tells us

$$y \in D \text{ iff } y \notin D. \quad (3)$$

This is impossible. We have reached a contradiction, so our assumption must have been wrong.

Scanning back in the proof, we can see that this is what we were assuming – that there was something that mapped to $D$. 
**Lemma 2:** If \( S \) is a set, then \( |S| \neq |\wp(S)| \).

**Proof:** Let \( S \) be an arbitrary set. We will prove that \( |S| \neq |\wp(S)| \) by showing that there are no bijections from \( S \) to \( \wp(S) \). To do so, choose an arbitrary function \( f : S \to \wp(S) \). We will prove that \( f \) is not surjective.

Starting with \( f \), we define the set

\[
D = \{ x \in S \mid x \notin f(x) \}. \tag{1}
\]

We will show that there is no \( y \in S \) such that \( f(y) = D \). To do so, we proceed by contradiction. Suppose that there is some \( y \in S \) such that \( f(y) = D \). By the definition of \( D \), we know that

\[
y \in D \iff y \notin f(y). \tag{2}
\]

By assumption, \( f(y) = D \). Combined with (2), this tells us

\[
y \in D \iff y \notin D. \tag{3}
\]

This is impossible. We have reached a contradiction, so our assumption must have been wrong. Therefore, there is no \( y \in S \) such that \( f(y) = D \), so \( f \) is not surjective.

So I guess that means that's not possible, and that in turn means that \( f \) is not surjective!
**Lemma 2:** If $S$ is a set, then $|S| \neq |\wp(S)|$.

**Proof:** Let $S$ be an arbitrary set. We will prove that $|S| \neq |\wp(S)|$ by showing that there are no bijections from $S$ to $\wp(S)$. To do so, choose an arbitrary function $f : S \to \wp(S)$. We will prove that $f$ is not surjective.

Starting with $f$, we define the set

$$D = \{ x \in S \mid x \notin f(x) \}. \quad (1)$$

We will show that there is no $y \in S$ such that $f(y) = D$. To do so, we proceed by contradiction. Suppose that there is some $y \in S$ such that $f(y) = D$. By the definition of $D$, we know that

$$y \in D \iff y \notin f(y). \quad (2)$$

By assumption, $f(y) = D$. Combined with (2), this tells us

$$y \in D \iff y \notin D. \quad (3)$$

This is impossible. We have reached a contradiction, so our assumption must have been wrong. Therefore, there is no $y \in S$ such that $f(y) = D$, so $f$ is not surjective. This means that $f$ is not a bijection, and since our choice of $f$ was arbitrary, we conclude that there are no bijections between $S$ and $\wp(S)$. Thus $|S| \neq |\wp(S)|$, as required. ■

And at this point we're basically done! We showed that an arbitrary function $f$ from $S$ to $\wp(S)$ isn't a bijection, so we can conclude that $|S| \neq |\wp(S)|$. ☺️
Lemma 2: If $S$ is a set, then $|S| \neq |\wp(S)|$.

Proof: Let $S$ be an arbitrary set. We will prove that $|S| \neq |\wp(S)|$ by showing that there are no bijections from $S$ to $\wp(S)$. To do so, choose an arbitrary function $f : S \to \wp(S)$. We will prove that $f$ is not surjective.

Starting with $f$, we define the set

$$D = \{ x \in S \mid x \notin f(x) \}. \quad (1)$$

We will show that there is no $y \in S$ such that $f(y) = D$. To do so, we proceed by contradiction. Suppose that there is some $y \in S$ such that $f(y) = D$. By the definition of $D$, we know that

$$y \in D \text{ iff } y \notin f(y). \quad (2)$$

By assumption, $f(y) = D$. Combined with (2), this tells us

$$y \in D \text{ iff } y \notin D. \quad (3)$$

This is impossible. We have reached a contradiction, so our assumption must have been wrong. Therefore, there is no $y \in S$ such that $f(y) = D$, so $f$ is not surjective. This means that $f$ is not a bijection, and since our choice of $f$ was arbitrary, we conclude that there are no bijections between $S$ and $\wp(S)$. Thus $|S| \neq |\wp(S)|$, as required. ■

This is a dense proof. There's a lot of just plain unpacking what we need to prove, plus the highly unusual choice of $D$. 😊
**Lemma 2:** If $S$ is a set, then $|S| \neq |\wp(S)|$.

**Proof:** Let $S$ be an arbitrary set. We will prove that $|S| \neq |\wp(S)|$ by showing that there are no bijections from $S$ to $\wp(S)$. To do so, choose an arbitrary function $f : S \to \wp(S)$. We will prove that $f$ is not surjective.

Starting with $f$, we define the set

$$D = \{ x \in S \mid x \notin f(x) \}. \tag{1}$$

We will show that there is no $y \in S$ such that $f(y) = D$. To do so, we proceed by contradiction. Suppose that there is some $y \in S$ such that $f(y) = D$. By the definition of $D$, we know that

$$y \in D \text{ iff } y \notin f(y). \tag{2}$$

By assumption, $f(y) = D$. Combined with (2), this tells us

$$y \in D \text{ iff } y \notin D. \tag{3}$$

This is impossible. We have reached a contradiction, so our assumption must have been wrong. Therefore, there is no $y \in S$ such that $f(y) = D$, so $f$ is not surjective. This means that $f$ is not a bijection, and since our choice of $f$ was arbitrary, we conclude that there are no bijections between $S$ and $\wp(S)$. Thus $|S| \neq |\wp(S)|$, as required. ■
Lemma 2: If \( S \) is a set, then \( |S| \neq |\wp(S)| \).

Proof: Let \( S \) be an arbitrary set. We will prove that \( |S| \neq |\wp(S)| \) by showing that there are no bijections from \( S \) to \( \wp(S) \). To do so, choose an arbitrary function \( f : S \to \wp(S) \). We will prove that \( f \) is not surjective.

Starting with \( f \), we define the set
\[
D = \{ x \in S \mid x \notin f(x) \}. \tag{1}
\]

We will show that there is no \( y \in S \) such that \( f(y) = D \). To do so, we proceed by contradiction. Suppose that there is some \( y \in S \) such that \( f(y) = D \). By the definition of \( D \), we know that
\[
y \in D \text{ iff } y \notin f(y). \tag{2}
\]

By assumption, \( f(y) = D \). Combined with (2), this tells us
\[
y \in D \text{ iff } y \notin D. \tag{3}
\]

This is impossible. We have reached a contradiction, so our assumption must have been wrong. Therefore, there is no \( y \in S \) such that \( f(y) = D \), so \( f \) is not surjective. This means that \( f \) is not a bijection, and since our choice of \( f \) was arbitrary, we conclude that there are no bijections between \( S \) and \( \wp(S) \). Thus \( |S| \neq |\wp(S)| \), as required. \( \blacksquare \)

First, you have the visual intuition for the proof. I encourage you to look back at the visual and to make sure you see where these choices come from.
Lemma 2: If $S$ is a set, then $|S| \neq |\wp(S)|$.

Proof: Let $S$ be an arbitrary set. We will prove that $|S| \neq |\wp(S)|$ by showing that there are no bijections from $S$ to $\wp(S)$. To do so, choose an arbitrary function $f : S \to \wp(S)$. We will prove that $f$ is not surjective.

Starting with $f$, we define the set

$$D = \{ x \in S \mid x \notin f(x) \}. \quad (1)$$

We will show that there is no $y \in S$ such that $f(y) = D$. To do so, we proceed by contradiction. Suppose that there is some $y \in S$ such that $f(y) = D$. By the definition of $D$, we know that

$$y \in D \text{ iff } y \notin f(y). \quad (2)$$

By assumption, $f(y) = D$. Combined with (2), this tells us

$$y \in D \text{ iff } y \notin D. \quad (3)$$

This is impossible. We have reached a contradiction, so our assumption must have been wrong. Therefore, there is no $y \in S$ such that $f(y) = D$, so $f$ is not surjective. This means that $f$ is not a bijection, and since our choice of $f$ was arbitrary, we conclude that there are no bijections between $S$ and $\wp(S)$. Thus $|S| \neq |\wp(S)|$, as required. ■

Second, you’ll have the upcoming problem set, where you’ll get to play around with the proof to see how it works. Trust us, it’s not as bad as it looks!
Phew! We’ve covered a lot of ground here. Let’s take stock of what we covered here.
First, we came up with a rigorous definition of what $|S| \leq |T|$ means. This allows us to prove results about how set cardinalities rank against one another... something you (hypothetically speaking) might need for the upcoming problem set.
Second, we used that definition to come up with a definition for $|S| < |T|$, which lets us say that one set is strictly smaller than another.

$|S| < |T| \quad \text{if} \quad |S| \leq |T| \quad \text{and} \quad |S| \neq |T|$

There is an injective function $f : S \rightarrow T$

No function $f : S \rightarrow T$ is a bijection.
If $S$ is a set, then $|S| < |\mathcal{P}(S)|$.

This in turn allowed us to determine what, specifically, we need to prove to prove Cantor's theorem.
**Lemma 1:** If $S$ is a set, then there's an injection from $S$ to $\mathcal{P}(S)$. 

We saw how to find an injection from $S$ to $\mathcal{P}(S)$ for any set $S$. 

$f : S \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(S) 
\quad f(x) = \{x\}$
Lemma 2: If \( f : S \to \mathcal{P}(S) \) is a function, then it is not bijective.

\[
\begin{array}{cccccc}
   x_0 & x_1 & x_2 & x_3 & x_4 & x_5 & \ldots \\
\end{array}
\]

\[
x_0 \rightarrow \{ x_0, x_2, x_4, \ldots \} \\
x_1 \rightarrow \{ x_0, x_3, x_4, \ldots \} \\
x_2 \rightarrow \{ x_4, \ldots \} \\
x_3 \rightarrow \{ x_1, x_3, x_4, \ldots \} \\
x_4 \rightarrow \{ x_0, \ldots \} \\
x_5 \rightarrow \{ x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, \ldots \} \\
\ldots
\]

Set \( D \)

We used the visual intuition of the diagonal argument to precisely define the diagonal set.
Lemma 2: If $S$ is a set, then $|S| \neq |\wp(S)|$.

Proof: Let $S$ be an arbitrary set. We will prove that $|S| \neq |\wp(S)|$ by showing that there are no bijections from $S$ to $\wp(S)$. To do so, choose an arbitrary function $f : S \to \wp(S)$. We will prove that $f$ is not surjective.

Starting with $f$, we define the set

$$D = \{ x \in S \mid x \notin f(x) \}. \quad (1)$$

We will show that there is no $y \in S$ such that $f(y) = D$. To do so, we proceed by contradiction. Suppose that there is some $y \in S$ such that $f(y) = D$. By the definition of $D$, we know that

$$y \in D \text{ iff } y \notin f(y). \quad (2)$$

By assumption, $f(y) = D$. Combined with (2), this tells us

$$y \in D \text{ iff } y \notin D. \quad (3)$$

This is impossible. We have reached a contradiction, so our assumption must have been wrong. Therefore, there is no $y \in S$ such that $f(y) = D$, so $f$ is not surjective. This means that $f$ is not a bijection, and since our choice of $f$ was arbitrary, we conclude that there are no bijections between $S$ and $\wp(S)$. Thus $|S| \neq |\wp(S)|$, as required. \[\blacksquare\]
Hope this helps!

Please feel free to ask questions if you have them.
Did you find this useful? If so, let us know! We can go and make more guides like these.