Recursive Backtracking II

Solving the Eight Queens Problem
The Eight Queens Problem is a classic programming puzzle that asks whether it’s possible to place eight queens on an 8 x 8 chessboard in such a way that they can all coexist without attacking each other. Placing nine queens on an 8 x 8 is impossible—there’s a pigeonhole principle argument against it, for at least two queens would always need to occupy the same column. But it’s not immediately obvious whether eight queens can be placed on an 8 x 8 board, nor is it obvious whether N queens can be placed on an N x N board in general.

One approach—by far the most common programmatic one I know of—uses recursive backtracking to discover a solution, and that approach is spelled out on the next page:
static bool solve(QueensDisplay& display, Grid<bool>& board, int col) {
    if (col == board.numCols()) return true;
    for (int rowToTry = 0; rowToTry < board.numRows(); rowToTry++) {
        display.considerQueen(rowToTry, col);
        if (isSafe(board, rowToTry, col)) {
            board[rowToTry][col] = true;
            display.provisionallyPlaceQueen(rowToTry, col);
            if (solve(display, board, col + 1)) {
                display.permanentlyPlaceQueen(rowToTry, col);
                return true;
            }
            board[rowToTry][col] = false;
        }
        display.removeQueen(rowToTry, col);
    }
    return false;
}

static void solve(QueensDisplay& display, Grid<bool>& board) {
    solve(display, board, 0);
}

The second of the two versions is called on an empty board, and the first one implements
the recursive backtracking. Each call to solve assumes that queens have been placed in
columns 0 through col – 1 in a configuration that allows them all to coexist peacefully.
The solve call systemically searches its own column for a row where yet another queen
can be placed without introducing a conflict, and then recurs on col + 1. If the recursive
call on col + 1 returns true, then that true is immediately propagated up to whoever
called us. If it returns false, we backtrack by lifting the queen we placed and advancing
on to higher rows. Only when solve has tried to extend the partial solution it inherited in
every way possible—and failed every time—does it return false.
Solving SuDoKu Puzzles [idea by Julie Zelenski]

Recursive backtracking can also be used to solve SuDoKu puzzles by systematically considering every single way to legitimately place a number in some open square that, at least for the moment, works, and then recurring on the same board to see if that decision was a good one.

```
static bool solve(SuDoKuDisplay& display, Grid<int>& board) {
    int row, col;
    if (!findLocation(board, row, col)) return true;

    for (int digit = 1; digit <= 9; digit++) {
        if (isLegal(board, row, col, digit)) {
            board[row][col] = digit;
            display.provisionallyPlaceNumber(row, col, digit);
            if (solve(display, board)) {
                display.permanentlyPlaceNumber(row, col);
                return true;
            }
            board[row][col] = kEmpty;
            display.liftNumber(row, col);
        }
    }
    return false;
}
```

For those new to SuDoKu, the challenge is to fill in all empty squares with numbers 1 through 9 so that each digit appears exactly once per row, once per column, and once per 3 x 3 block. There is no denying the above is classic recursive backtracking—even if it’s very brute force and not very intelligent.

`isLegal` decides, given the current state of the board, whether `digit` can be placed at the identified position without violating the rules. The suite of `SuDoKuDisplay` methods update the graphics window to convey whether we’re considering, committing to, or abandoning some choice. The only function students find confusing is `findEmptyLocation`. From context, it appears to return a `true` if and only if there’s some unassigned slot, but what isn’t clear is that, when `true` is returned, `row` and `col` are
updated (by reference) to some empty location’s coordinates. It becomes clearer if you see the code for it, so here it is:

```cpp
static const int kEmpty = 0;
static bool findLocation(const Grid<int>& board, int& row, int& col) {
    for (row = 0; row < board.numRows(); row++) {
        for (col = 0; col < board.numCols(); col++) {
            if (board[row][col] == kEmpty) return true;
        }
    }
    return false;
}
```

This particular implementation just searches top-to-bottom, left-to-right until it finds something empty. It’s fairly naïve and results in a solution that takes its time for all but the most trivial of boards. However, it’s possible to search not just for any empty square, but for the empty square that is more constrained than any other. We can use the `isLegal` routine to brute-force double-`for` loop over all locations, keeping track of the location offering the smallest number of options. There’s no sense, for instance, fussing over all of the empty cells in the upper left corner of the board if there’s some cell in the lower right that can only be assigned one number.

```cpp
static const int kNumDigits = 9;
static int countNumOptions(const Grid<int>& board, int row, int col) {
    int numOptions = 0;
    for (int digit = 1; digit <= kNumDigits; digit++) {
        if (isLegal(board, row, col, digit)) numOptions++;
    }
    return numOptions;
}

static bool findLocation(const Grid<int>& board, int& row, int& col) {
    int smallestNumOptions = kNumDigits + 1;
    for (int r = 0; r < board.numRows(); r++) {
        for (int c = 0; c < board.numCols(); c++) {
            if (board[r][c] == kEmpty) {
                int numOptions = countNumOptions(board, r, c);
                if (numOptions < smallestNumOptions) {
                    row = r;
                    col = c;
                    smallestNumOptions = numOptions;
                }
            }
        }
    }
    return smallestNumOptions <= kNumDigits;
}
```