The `mysystem` function is the first example I've provided where `fork`, `execvp`, and `waitpid` all work together to do something genuinely useful.

- The test harness we used to exercise `mysystem` is operationally a miniature shell.
  - We need to continue implementing a few additional mini-shells to fully demonstrate how `fork`, `waitpid`, and `execvp` work in practice.
  - All of this is paying it forward to your fourth assignment, where you'll implement your own shell—we call it `stsh`, for Stanford shell—to imitate the functionality of the shell (c-shell aka `csh`, or bash-shell aka `bash`, or z-shell aka `zsh`, or tc-shell aka `tcsh`, etc. are all different shell implementations) you've been using since you started using Unix.

- We also need to introduce the notion of a pipe, the `pipe` and `dup2` system calls, and how they can be used to introduce communication channels between the different processes.
Let's work through the implementation of a more sophisticated shell: the simplesh.

○ This is the best example of **fork**, **waitpid**, and **execvp** I can think of: a miniature shell not unlike those you've been using since the day you first logged into a myth machine.

○ simplesh operates as a read-eval-print loop—often called a repl—which itself responds to the many things we type in by forking off child processes.
  
  ■ Each child process is initially a deep clone of the simplesh process.
  
  ■ Each child proceeds to replace its own image with the new one we specify, e.g. `ls`, `cp`, our own CS110 `search` (which we wrote during our second lecture), or even `emacs`.
  
  ■ As with traditional shells, a trailing ampersand—e.g. as with `emacs &`—is an instruction to execute the new process in the background without forcing the shell to wait for it to finish. That means we can launch other programs from the foreground before that background process finishes.

○ Implementation of simplesh is presented on the next slide. Where helper functions don't rely on CS110 concepts, I omit their implementations (but describe them in lecture).
Here's the core implementation of simplesh (full implementation is right here):

```c
int main(int argc, char *argv[]) {
    while (true) {
        char command[kMaxCommandLength + 1];
        readCommand(command, kMaxCommandLength);
        char *arguments[kMaxArgumentCount + 1];
        int count = parseCommandLine(command, arguments, kMaxArgumentCount);
        if (count == 0) continue;
        if (strcmp(arguments[0], "quit") ==) break; // hardcoded builtin to exit shell
        bool isbg = strcmp(arguments[count - 1], ".") == 0;
        if (isbg) arguments[--count] = NULL; // overwrite "."
        pid_t pid = fork();
        if (pid == 0) execvp(arguments[0], arguments);
        if (isbg) { // background process, don't wait for child to finish
            printf("%d %s\n", pid, command);
        } else { // otherwise block until child process is complete
            waitpid(pid, NULL, 0);
        }
    }
    printf("\n");
    return 0;
}
```
Introducing the pipe system call.

- The pipe system call takes an uninitialized array of two integers—let’s call it `fds`—and populates it with two file descriptors such that everything written to `fds[1]` can be read from `fds[0].`
- Here's the prototype:

```c
int pipe(int fds[]);
```

- `pipe` is particularly useful for allowing parent processes to communicate with spawned child processes.
  - That's because the file descriptor table of the parent is cloned, and that clone is installed in the child.
  - That means the open file table entries references by the parent's pipe endpoints are also referenced by the child's copies of them. Neat.
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- How does pipe work?
  - To illustrate how pipe works and how arbitrary data can be passed over from one process to a second, let's consider the following program (available for play right here):

```c
int main(int argc, char *argv[]) {
    int fds[2];
    pipe(fds);
    pid_t pid = fork();
    if (pid == 0) {
        close(fds[1]);
        char buffer[6];
        read(fds[0], buffer, sizeof(buffer));
        printf("Read from pipe bridging processes: %s.\n", buffer);
        close(fds[0]);
        return 0;
    }
    close(fds[0]);
    write(fds[1], "hello", 6);
    waitpid(pid, NULL, 0);
    close(fds[1]);
    return 0;
}
```
How do `pipe` and `fork` work together in this example?

- The base address of a small integer array called `fds` is shared with the call to `pipe`.
- `pipe` allocates two descriptors, setting the first to read from a resource and the second to write to that same resource.
- `pipe` then plants copies of those two descriptors into indices 0 and 1 of the supplied array before it returns.
- The `fork` call creates a child process, which itself inherits a shallow copy of the parent's `fds` array.
  - The reference counts in each of the two open file entries is promoted from 1 to 2 to reflect the fact that two descriptors—one in the parent, and a second in the child—reference each of them.
  - Immediately after the `fork` call, anything printed to `fds[1]` is readable from the parent's `fds[0]` and the child's `fds[0]`.
  - Similarly, both the parent and child are capable of publishing text to the same resource via their copies of `fds[1]`. 
How do **pipe** and **fork** work together in this example?

- The parent closes `fds[0]` before it writes to anything to `fds[1]` to emphasize the fact that the parent has no interest in reading anything from the pipe.
- Similarly, the child closes `fds[1]` before it reads from `fds[0]` to emphasize the fact that it has zero interest in publishing anything to the pipe. It's imperative all write endpoints of the pipe be closed if not being used, else the read end will never know if more text is to come or not.
- For simplicity, I assume the one call to `write` in the parent press all six bytes of "hello" ("\0" included) in a single call. Similarly, I assume the one call to `read` pulls in those same six bytes into its local buffer with just the one call.
- I make the concerted effort to donate all resources back to the system before I exit. That's why I include as many `close` calls as I do in both the child and the parent before allowing them to exit.
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- Here's a more sophisticated example:
  - Using `pipe`, `fork`, `dup2`, `execvp`, `close`, and `waitpid`, we can implement the `subprocess` function, which relies on the following record definition and is implemented to the following prototype (full implementation of everything is right here):

```c
typedef struct {
    pid_t pid;
    int supplyfd;
} subprocess_t;
subprocess_t subprocess(const char *command);
```

- The child process created by `subprocess` executes the provided `command` (assumed to be a `\0`-terminated C string) by calling `"/bin/sh -c <command>"` as we did in our `mysystem` implementation.
  - Rather than waiting for `command` to finish, `subprocess` returns a `subprocess_t` with the `command` process’s `pid` and a single descriptor called `supplyfd`.
  - By design, arbitrary text can be published to the return value’s `supplyfd` field with the understanding that that same data can be ingested verbatim by the child's `stdin`. 
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- Let's first implement a test harness to illustrate how `subprocess` should work.
  - By understanding how `subprocess` works for us, we'll have an easier time understanding the details of its implementation.
  - Here's the program, which spawns a child process that reads from `stdin` and publishes everything it reads to its `stdout` in sorted order:

```c
int main(int argc, char *argv[]) {
    subprocess_t sp = subprocess("/usr/bin/sort");
    const char *words[] = {
        "felicity", "umbrage", "susurration", "halcyon",
        "pulchritude", "ablution", "somnolent", "indefatigable"
    };
    for (size_t i = 0; i < sizeof(words)/sizeof(words[0]); i++) {
        dprintf(sp.supplyfd, "%s\n", words[i]);
    }
    close(sp.supplyfd); // necessary to communicate end-of-input
    int status;
    pid_t pid = waitpid(sp.pid, &status, 0);
    return pid == sp.pid && WIFEXITED(status) ? WEXITSTATUS(status) : -127;
}
```
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- Key features of the test harness:
  - The program creates a `subprocess_t` running `sort` and publishes eight fancy SAT words to `supplyfd`, knowing those words flow through the pipe to the child's `stdin`.
  - The parent shuts the `supplyfd` down by passing it to `close` to indicate that no more data will ever be written through that descriptor. The reference count of the relevant open file entry referenced by `supplyfd` is demoted from 1 to 0 with that `close` call. That effectively sends an EOF to the process reading data from the other end of the pipe.
  - The parent then blocks within a `waitpid` call until the child exits. When the child exits, the parent assumes all of the words have been printed in sorted order to `stdout`.

```
poohbear@myth60$ ./subprocess
ablution
felicity
halcyon
indefatigable
pulchritude
somnolent
susurration
umbrage
poohbear@myth60$
```
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- Implementation of `subprocess` (error checking intentionally omitted for brevity):

```c
subprocess_t subprocess(const char *command) {
    int fds[2];
    pipe(fds);
    subprocess_t process = { fork(), fds[1] };;
    if (process.pid == 0) {
        close(fds[1]);
        dup2(fds[0], STDIN_FILENO);
        close(fds[0]);
        char *argv[] = {"/bin/sh", "-c", (char *)command, NULL};
        execvp(argv[0], argv);
    }
    close(fds[0]);
    return process;
}
```

- The write end of the pipe is embedded into the `subprocess_t`. That way, the parent knows where to publish text so it flows to the read end of the pipe, across the parent process/child process boundary. This is bonafide interprocess communication.
- The child process uses `dup2` to bind the read end of the pipe to its own standard input. Once the reassociation is complete, `fds[0]` can be closed.