


Spring 2019 CS110 Midterm Results

The CAs graded the midterm exam on Saturday. You should have received an email with a link to Gradescope to see your grades.

Go ahead and download PDFs of the original exam (<http://web.stanford.edu/class/cs110/static/midterms/CS110-Sp-2019-Midterm.html>) and our solution (<http://web.stanford.edu/class/cs110/static/midterms/CS110-Sp-2019-Midterm-Solution.pdf>).

The midterm hit on a wide array of topics under the umbrella of filesystems, multiprocessing, signals, signal handling, and concurrency issues. Scores were out of 33 and ranged from 6.5 to a 32, with a median score of 23. The graph below shows a histogram of the exam results, so you have some sense where you fell in the class. Because the median was below an 80%, 32 curves up to 100%, 27.5 curves to a 90%, 23 curves up to an 80%, 18.5 curves up to a 70%, 14 curves up to a 60%, 9.5 curves up to a 50%, etc. I consider 9.5 out of 33 to be a minimally passing grade, and anything 9 or below to be a fail.



We will accept regrades on Gradescope through **Sunday, May 11th, 11:59pm**. To be eligible for a regrade, follow the directions below:

1. For a programming problem, you **must** demonstrate that you can get your code working. You should test your fixed code and comment **all** changed lines, including syntax errors. You must copy/paste your working code into the regrade request itself. Please include a comment that says how to compile the code and how to run it. We will not regrade non-working code examples.
2. For non-programming questions — we are unlikely to re-grade pro/con questions. If you weren't obvious in your answer on the exam, we aren't going to let you write more to convince us—in other words, your original answer should have been complete and unambiguous about what you meant.
3. If you felt that you **did** meet the rubric requirements and we made an error in the grading, we will take a look.