Suffix Trees and Suffix Arrays
Outline for Today

- **Suffix Tries**
  - A simple data structure for string searching.

- **Suffix Trees**
  - A powerful, and flexible data structure for string algorithms.

- **Suffix Arrays**
  - A compact alternative to suffix trees.

- **Applications of Suffix Trees and Arrays**
  - There are many!
Review from Last Time
Tries

- A **trie** is a tree that stores a collection of strings over some alphabet $\Sigma$.
- Each node corresponds to a prefix of some string in the set.
- Tries are sometimes called **prefix trees**, since each node in a trie corresponds to a prefix of one of the words in the trie.
Aho-Corasick String Matching

- The *Aho-Corasick string matching algorithm* is an algorithm for finding all occurrences of a set of strings $P_1, \ldots, P_k$ inside a string $T$.

- Runtime is $\langle O(n), O(m + z) \rangle$, where
  - $m = |T|$, 
  - $n = |P_1| + \ldots + |P_k|$, and 
  - $z$ is the number of matches.

- Great for the case where the patterns are fixed and the text to search changes.
Genomics Databases

• Many string algorithms these days are developed for or used extensively in computational genomics.

• Typically, we have a huge database with many very large strings (genomes) that we'll preprocess to speed up future operations.

• **Common problem:** given a fixed string $T$ to search and changing patterns $P_1, \ldots, P_k$, find all matches of those patterns in $T$.

• **Question:** Can we instead preprocess $T$ to make it easy to search for variable patterns?
Suffix Tries
Substrings, Prefixes, and Suffixes

- **Useful Fact 1:** Given a trie storing a set of strings $S_1, S_2, \ldots, S_k$, it's possible to determine, in time $O(|Q|)$, whether a query string $Q$ is a prefix of any $S_i$. 

![Trie Diagram]

Specifically, write $T = \alpha P \omega$; then $T$ is a prefix of the suffix $P \omega$ of $T$.
Substrings, Prefixes, and Suffixes

- **Useful Fact 1:** Given a trie storing a set of strings $S_1, S_2, \ldots, S_k$, it's possible to determine, in time $O(|Q|)$, whether a query string $Q$ is a prefix of any $S_i$.

- **Useful Fact 2:** A string $P$ is a substring of a string $T$ if and only if $P$ is a prefix of some suffix of $T$.
  - Specifically, write $T = \alpha P \omega$; then $P$ is a prefix of the suffix $P \omega$ of $T$. 

\[
\begin{array}{c|c|c}
\alpha & P & \omega \\
\hline
\end{array}
\]

\[T\]
Suffix Tries

- A **suffix trie** of $T$ is a trie of all the suffixes of $T$.
- Given any pattern string $P$, we can check in time $O(|P|)$ whether $P$ is a substring of $T$ by seeing whether $P$ is a prefix in $T$'s suffix trie.
  - (This checks whether $P$ is a prefix of some suffix of $T$.)
Suffix Tries

- A **suffix trie** of $T$ is a trie of all the suffixes of $T$.

- More generally, given any nonempty patterns $P_1, \ldots, P_k$ of total length $n$, we can detect how many of those patterns are substrings of $T$ in time $O(n)$.

- (Finding all matches is a bit trickier; more on that later.)
A Typical Transform

• Append some new character $\not\in \Sigma$ to the end of $T$, then construct the trie for $T$.

• The new $\not\in \Sigma$ character lexicographically precedes all other characters.
  • This is usually called the **sentinel**; think of it like the Theoryland version of a null terminator.

• Leaf nodes correspond to suffixes.

• Internal nodes correspond to prefixes of those suffixes.
Constructing Suffix Tries

- Once we build a single suffix trie for string $T$, we can efficiently detect whether patterns match in time $O(n)$.

- **Question:** How long does it take to construct a suffix trie?

- **Problem:** There's an $\Omega(m^2)$ lower bound on the worst-case complexity of any algorithm for building suffix tries.
A Degenerate Case
A Degenerate Case

There are $\Theta(m)$ copies of nodes chained together as $b^m\$. 
A Degenerate Case

There are $\Theta(m)$ copies of nodes chained together as $b^m\$.

Space usage: $\Omega(m^2)$.
Correcting the Problem

- Because suffix tries may have $\Omega(m^2)$ nodes, all suffix trie algorithms must run in time $\Omega(m^2)$ in the worst-case.
- Can we reduce the number of nodes in the trie?
Patricia Tries

- A “silly” node in a trie is a node that has exactly one child.
- A *Patricia trie* (or *radix trie*) is a trie where all “silly” nodes are merged with their parents.
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A **suffix tree** for a string $T$ is a Patricia trie of $T\$ where each leaf is labeled with the index where the corresponding suffix starts in $T\$. 

(Note that suffix *trees* aren't the same as suffix *tries*. To the best of my knowledge, suffix *tries* aren't used anywhere.)
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Suffix Trees

- A **suffix tree** for a string $T$ is an Patricia trie of $T$ where each leaf is labeled with the index where the corresponding suffix starts in $T$.

- (Note that suffix trees aren’t the same as suffix tries. To the best of my knowledge, suffix tries aren’t used anywhere.)
Properties of Suffix Trees

- If \(|T| = m\), the suffix tree has exactly \(m + 1\) leaf nodes.
- For any \(T \neq \varepsilon\), all internal nodes in the suffix tree have at least two children.
- Number of nodes in a suffix tree is \(\Theta(m)\).
Suffix Tree Representations

- Suffix trees may have $\Theta(m)$ nodes, but the labels on the edges can have size $\omega(1)$.
- This means that a naïve representation of a suffix tree may take $\omega(m)$ space.
- **Useful fact:** Each edge in a suffix tree is labeled with a consecutive range of characters from $w$.
- **Trick:** Represent each edge labeled with a string $\alpha$ as a pair of integers [start, end] representing where in the string $\alpha$ appears.
Suffix Tree Representations

nonsense$
012345678
Suffix Tree Representations

nonsense$
012345678
Suffix Tree Representations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>start</th>
<th>end</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8 4 0 1 3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 4 0 8 4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

nonsense$ 012345678
Building Suffix Trees

- **Claim:** It’s possible to build a suffix tree for a string of length $m$ in time $\Theta(m)$.

- *These algorithms are not trivial!* We'll discuss one of them next time.
Application: String Search
String Matching

- Suppose we preprocess a string $T$ by building a suffix tree for it.
- Given any pattern string $P$ of length $n$, we can determine, in time $O(n)$, whether $n$ is a substring of $P$ by looking it up in the suffix tree.
String Matching

- **Claim:** After spending $O(m)$ time preprocessing $T\$, can find all matches of a string $P$ in time $O(n + z)$, where $z$ is the number of matches.
String Matching

**Claim:** After spending $O(m)$ time preprocessing $T\$, can find *all* matches of a string $P$ in time $O(n + z)$, where $z$ is the number of matches.

**Observation 1:** Every occurrence of $P$ in $T$ is a prefix of some suffix of $T$. 
**String Matching**

- **Claim:** After spending $O(m)$ time preprocessing $T$, can find **all** matches of a string $P$ in time $O(n + z)$, where $z$ is the number of matches.

**Observation 2:** Every suffix of $T$ beginning with some pattern $P$ appears in the subtree found by searching for $P$. 

```plaintext
nonsense$
012345678$
```
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- **Claim:** After spending $O(m)$ time preprocessing $T\$, can find all matches of a string $P$ in time $O(n + z)$, where $z$ is the number of matches.
String Matching

- **Claim:** After spending \( O(m) \) time preprocessing \( T $, can find *all* matches of a string \( P \) in time \( O(n + z) \), where \( z \) is the number of matches.
Finding All Matches

- To find all matches of string $P$, start by searching the tree for $P$.
- If the search falls off the tree, report no matches.
- Otherwise, let $v$ be the node at which the search stops, or the endpoint of the edge where it stops if it ends in the middle of an edge.
- Do a DFS and report the numbers of all the leaves found in this subtree. The indices reported this way give back all positions at which $P$ occurs.
Finding All Matches

To find all matches of string $P$, start by searching the tree for $P$.

If the search falls off the tree, report no matches.

Otherwise, let $v$ be the node at which the search stops, or the endpoint of the edge where it stops if it ends in the middle of an edge.

- Do a DFS and report the numbers of all the leaves found in this subtree. The indices reported this way give back all positions at which $P$ occurs.
Finding All Matches

To find all matches of string $P$, start by searching the tree for $P$.

If the search falls off the tree, report no matches. Otherwise, let $v$ be the node at which the search stops, or the endpoint of the edge where it stops if it ends in the middle of an edge.

- **Do a DFS and report the numbers of all the leaves found in this subtree.** The indices reported this way give back all positions at which $P$ occurs.

  How fast is this step?
**Claim:** The DFS to find all leaves in the subtree corresponding to prefix $P$ takes time $O(z)$, where $z$ is the number of matches.

**Proof:** If the DFS reports $z$ matches, it must have visited $z$ different leaf nodes.

Since each internal node of a suffix tree has at least two children, the total number of internal nodes visited during the DFS is at most $z - 1$. During the DFS, we don't need to actually match the characters on the edges. We just follow the edges, which takes time $O(1)$.

Therefore, the DFS visits at most $O(z)$ nodes and edges and spends $O(1)$ time per node or edge, so the total runtime is $O(z)$. ■
Reverse Aho-Corasick

- Given patterns $P_1, \ldots, P_k$ of total length $n$, suffix trees can find all matches of those patterns in time $O(m + n + z)$.
  - Build the tree in time $O(m)$, then search for all matches of each $P_i$; total time across all searches is $O(n + z)$.

- Acts as a “reverse” Aho-Corasick:
  - Aho-Corasick string matching runs in time $\langle O(n), O(m+z) \rangle$
  - Suffix tree string matching runs in time $\langle O(m), O(n+z) \rangle$
Another Application:
Longest Repeated Substring
Longest Repeated Substring

• Consider the following problem:
  Given a string $T$, find the longest substring $w$ of $T$ that appears in at least two different positions.

• Some examples:
  • In monsoon, the longest repeated substring is on.
  • In banana, the longest repeated substring is ana. (The substrings can overlap.)

• Applications to computational biology: more than half of the human genome is formed from repeated DNA sequences!
Longest Repeated Substring

nonsense$ 012345678
Observation 1: If $w$ is a repeated substring of $T$, it must be a prefix of at least two different suffixes.
Observation 2: If w is a repeated substring of T, it must correspond to a prefix of a path to an internal node.

nonsense$ 012345678
Observation 3: If $w$ is a longest repeated substring, it corresponds to a full path to an internal node.
**Observation 3:** If \( w \) is a longest repeated substring, it corresponds to a full path to an internal node.
Longest Repeated Substring

- For each node \( v \) in a suffix tree, let \( s(v) \) be the string that it corresponds to.

- The **string depth** of a node \( v \) is defined as \( |s(v)| \), the length of the string \( v \) corresponds to.

- The longest repeated substring in \( T \) can be found by finding the internal node in \( T \) with the maximum string depth.
Longest Repeated Substring

- Here's an $O(m)$-time algorithm for solving the longest repeated substring problem:
  - Build the suffix tree for $T$ in time $O(m)$.
  - Run a DFS over the suffix tree, tracking the string depth as you go, to find the internal node of maximum string depth.
  - Recover the string that node corresponds to.
- **Good exercise:** How might you find the longest substring of $T$ that repeats at least $k$ times?
Challenge Problem:
Solve this problem in linear time without using suffix trees (or suffix arrays).
Time-Out for Announcements!
Problem Sets

- Problem Set 0 solutions will be up on the course website later today.
  - We’ll try to get it graded and returned as soon as possible.
- Problem Set 1 is due on Tuesday at 2:30PM.
  - Stop by office hours with questions!
  - Ask questions on Piazza!
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Back to CS166!
Generalized Suffix Trees
Suffix Trees for Multiple Strings

- Suffix trees store information about a single string and exports a huge amount of structural information about that string.
- However, many applications require information about the structure of multiple different strings.
Generalized Suffix Trees

- A *generalized suffix tree* for $T_1, \ldots, T_k$ is a Patricia trie of all suffixes of $T_1\$_1, \ldots, T_k\$_k$. Each $T_i$ has a unique end marker.
- Leaves are tagged with $i_j$, meaning “$i$th suffix of string $T_j$.”
Generalized Suffix Trees

- **Claim**: A generalized suffix tree for strings $T_1, \ldots, T_k$ of total length $m$ can be constructed in time $\Theta(m)$.

- Use a two-phase algorithm:
  - Construct a suffix tree for the single string $T_1$1 $T_2$2 $\ldots$ $T_k$k in time $\Theta(m)$.
    - This will end up with some invalid suffixes.
  - Do a DFS over the suffix tree and prune the invalid suffixes.
    - Runs in time $O(m)$ if implemented intelligently.
Applications of Generalized Suffix Trees
Longest Common Substring

- Consider the following problem:
  Given two strings $T_1$ and $T_2$, find the longest string $w$ that is a substring of both $T_1$ and $T_2$.

- Can solve in time $O(|T_1| \cdot |T_2|)$ using dynamic programming.

- Can we do better?
Observation: Any common substring of $T_1$ and $T_2$ will be a prefix of a suffix of $T_1$ and a prefix of a suffix of $T_2$. 
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Longest Common Substring

**Observation:** Any common substring of $T_1$ and $T_2$ will be a prefix of a suffix of $T_1$ and a prefix of a suffix of $T_2$. 

nonsense$\$_1$

012345678

offense$\$_2$

01234567
**Observation:** Any common substring of $T_1$ and $T_2$ will be a prefix of a suffix of $T_1$ and a prefix of a suffix of $T_2$. 

$nonsense$$_1$$^{012345678}$

$offense$$_2$$^{01234567}$
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**Observation:** Any common substring of $T_1$ and $T_2$ will be a prefix of a suffix of $T_1$ and a prefix of a suffix of $T_2.$
Longest Common Substring

Observation: Any common substring of $T_1$ and $T_2$ will be a prefix of a suffix of $T_1$ and a prefix of a suffix of $T_2$. 

nonsense$^1$
012345678

offense$^2$
01234567
Longest Common Substring

• Build a generalized suffix tree for $T_1$ and $T_2$ in time $O(m)$.
• Annotate each internal node in the tree with whether that node has at least one leaf node from each of $T_1$ and $T_2$.
  • Takes time $O(m)$ using DFS.
• Run a DFS over the tree to find the marked node with the highest string depth.
  • Takes time $O(m)$ using DFS
• Overall time: $O(m)$. 
Suffix Trees: The Catch
Space Usage

- Suffix trees are memory hogs.
- Suppose $\Sigma = \{A, C, G, T, \$\}.
- Each internal node needs 15 machine words: for each character, words for the start/end index and a child pointer.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>A</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>T</th>
<th>G</th>
<th>$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>start</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>end</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>child</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This is still $O(m)$, but it's a huge hidden constant!
Can we get the flexibility of a suffix tree without the memory costs?
Yes... kinda!
Suffix Arrays

- A suffix array for a string $T$ is an array of the suffixes of $T\$$, stored in sorted order.
- By convention, $\$$ precedes all other characters.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>nonsense$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>nonsense$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>nonsense$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>nsense$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>sense$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>ense$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>nse$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>se$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>e$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Suffix Arrays

- A **suffix array** for a string $T$ is an array of the suffixes of $T$, stored in sorted order.
- By convention, $\$$ precedes all other characters.
Representing Suffix Arrays

- Suffix arrays are typically represented implicitly by just storing the indices of the suffixes in sorted order rather than the suffixes themselves.
- Space required: $\Theta(m)$.
- More precisely, space for $T$, plus one extra word for each character.
Representing Suffix Arrays

- Suffix arrays are typically represented implicitly by just storing the indices of the suffixes in sorted order rather than the suffixes themselves.
- Space required: $\Theta(m)$.
- More precisely, space for $T\$, plus one extra word for each character.
Searching a Suffix Array

- **Recall:** $P$ is a substring of $T$ iff it's a prefix of a suffix of $T$.
- All matches of $P$ in $T$ have a common prefix, so they'll be stored consecutively.
- Can find all matches of $P$ in $T$ by doing a binary search over the suffix array.
Analyzing the Runtime

- The binary search will require $O(\log m)$ probes into the suffix array.
- Each comparison takes time $O(n)$: have to compare $P$ against the current suffix.
- Time for binary searching: $O(n \log m)$.
- Time to report all matches after that point: $O(z)$.
- Total time: $O(n \log m + z)$. 
Why the Slowdown?
A Loss of Structure

- Many algorithms on suffix trees involve looking for internal nodes with various properties:
  - Longest repeated substring: internal node with largest string depth.
  - Longest common substring: internal node with largest string depth that has a child from each string.
- Because suffix arrays do not store the tree structure, we lose access to this information.
Suffix Trees and Suffix Arrays
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<p>| | | | | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>e$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>ense$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>nonsense$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>nse$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>nsense$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>onsense$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>se$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>sense$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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8 $
7 e$
4 enese$
0 nonsense$
5 nse$
2 nsense$
1 onsense$
6 se$
3 sense$
SUFFIX TREES AND SUFFIX ARRAYS

nonsense$
012345678
The longest common prefix of a range of strings in a suffix array corresponds to the lowest common ancestor of those suffixes in the suffix tree.
Longest Common Prefixes

- Given two strings \( x \) and \( y \), the longest common prefix or (LCP) of \( x \) and \( y \) is the longest prefix of \( x \) that is also a prefix of \( y \).
- The LCP of \( x \) and \( y \) is denoted \( \text{lcp}(x, y) \).
- **Fun fact:** There is an \( O(m) \)-time algorithm for computing LCP information on a suffix array.
- Let's see how it works.
Pairwise LCP

- **Fact:** There is an algorithm (due to Kasai et al.) that constructs, in time $O(m)$, an array of the LCPs of adjacent suffix array entries.

- The algorithm isn't that complex, but the correctness argument is a bit nontrivial.
Pairwise LCP

• **Claim:** This information is enough for us to figure out the longest common prefix of a range of elements in the suffix array.
• **Claim:** This information is enough for us to figure out the longest common prefix of a range of elements in the suffix array.
• **Claim:** This information is enough for us to figure out the longest common prefix of a range of elements in the suffix array.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>e$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>ense$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>nse$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>nonsense$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>nsense$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>onsense$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>se$</td>
<td>sense$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Computing LCPs

• To preprocess a suffix array to support $O(1)$ LCP queries:
  • Use Kasai's $O(m)$-time algorithm to build the LCP array.
  • Build an RMQ structure over that array in time $O(m)$ using Fischer-Heun.
  • Use the precomputed RMQ structure to answer LCP queries over ranges.
• Requires $O(m)$ preprocessing time and only $O(1)$ query time.
Searching a Suffix Array

• **Recall:** Can search a suffix array of $T$ for all matches of a pattern $P$ in time $O(n \log m + z)$.

• If we've done $O(m)$ preprocessing to build the LCP information, we can speed this up.
Searching a Suffix Array
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nonsense$
012345678

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>e$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>ense$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>nonsense$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>nse$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>nsense$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>nonsense$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>se$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>sense$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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8 $ 
7 e$
4 ense$
0 nonsense$
5 nse$
2 nsense$
1 nonsense$
6 se$
3 sense$
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8 $
7 e$
4 ense$
0 nonsense$
5 nse$
2 nsense$
1 onsense$
6 se$
3 sense$
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Searching a Suffix Array

nonsense$
8 012345678$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>8</th>
<th>$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>e$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>ense$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>nonsense$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>nse$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>se$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>sense$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

 nons
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<p>| | | | | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>$</td>
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<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
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<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>ense$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>nonsense$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>nse$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>nsense$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>onsense$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>se$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>sense$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
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</tr>
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</tr>
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<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>nse$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>nsense$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>onsense$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>se$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>sense$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>e$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>ense$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>nonsense$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>nse$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>nonsense$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>nsense$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>nonsense$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>se$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>sense$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>nonsense$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>e$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>ense$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>nonsense$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>nse$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>nsense$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>onsense$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>se$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>sense$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Searching a Suffix Array

- Intuitively, simulate doing a binary search of the leaves of a suffix tree, remembering the deepest subtree you've matched so far.
- At each point, if the binary search probes a leaf outside of the current subtree, skip it and continue the binary search in the direction of the current subtree.
- To implement this on an actual suffix array, we use LCP information to implicitly keep track of where the bounds on the current subtree are.
Searching a Suffix Array

• **Claim:** The algorithm we just sketched runs in time $O(n + \log m + z)$.

• **Proof Sketch:** The $O(\log m)$ term comes from the binary search over the leaves of the suffix tree. The $O(n)$ term corresponds to descending deeper into the suffix tree one character at a time. Finally, we have to spend $O(z)$ time reporting matches. ■
Applications:

Longest Common Extensions
Longest Common Extensions

- Given two strings $T_1$ and $T_2$ and start positions $i$ and $j$, the \textit{longest common extension} of $T_1$ and $T_2$, starting at positions $i$ and $j$, is the length of the longest string $w$ that appears at position $i$ in $T_1$ and position $j$ in $T_2$.

- We'll denote this value by $\text{LCE}_{T_1, T_2}(i, j)$.

- Typically, $T_1$ and $T_2$ are fixed and multiple $(i, j)$ queries are specified.

Example:

\begin{tabular}{ll}
  nonsense & nonsense \\
  offense & offense \\
\end{tabular}
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- We'll denote this value by $\text{LCE}_{T_1, T_2}(i, j)$.

- Typically, $T_1$ and $T_2$ are fixed and multiple $(i, j)$ queries are specified.

---

The image contains a table with the words "non" and "sense" highlighted in yellow at the bottom and "off" and "sense" highlighted in yellow at the top, illustrating the concept of longest common extensions.
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• Given two strings $T_1$ and $T_2$ and start positions $i$ and $j$, the *longest common extension* of $T_1$ and $T_2$, starting at positions $i$ and $j$, is the length of the longest string $w$ that appears at position $i$ in $T_1$ and position $j$ in $T_2$.

• We'll denote this value by $\text{LCE}_{T_1, T_2}(i, j)$.

• Typically, $T_1$ and $T_2$ are fixed and multiple $(i, j)$ queries are specified.
Longest Common Extensions

- **Observation**: \( \text{LCE}_{T_1, T_2}(i, j) \) is the length of the longest common prefix of the suffixes of \( T_1 \) and \( T_2 \) starting at positions \( i \) and \( j \).
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- **Observation:** $\text{LCE}_{T_1, T_2}(i, j)$ is the length of the longest common prefix of the suffixes of $T_1$ and $T_2$ starting at positions $i$ and $j$. 
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- **Observation:** $\text{LCE}_{T_1, T_2}(i, j)$ is the length of the longest common prefix of the suffixes of $T_1$ and $T_2$ starting at positions $i$ and $j$. 
Longest Common Extensions

- **Observation:** $\text{LCE}_{T_1, T_2}(i, j)$ is the length of the longest common prefix of the suffixes of $T_1$ and $T_2$ starting at positions $i$ and $j$. 
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**Suffix Arrays and LCE**

- **Claim:** There is an \(O(m), O(1)\) data structure for LCE.

- **Preprocessing:**
  - Construct a *generalized suffix array* for \(T_1\) and \(T_2\) augmented with LCP information.
    - (Just build a suffix array for \(T_1$1T_2$2\).)
  - Then build a table mapping each index in the string to its index in the suffix array.

- **Query:**
  - Do an RMQ over the LCP array at the appropriate indices.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Index</th>
<th>LCP Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>nonsense$₁</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>tense$₂</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>tense$₂</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Claim: There is an $O(m), O(1)$ data structure for LCE.

Preprocessing:

- Construct a *generalized suffix array* for $T_1$ and $T_2$ augmented with LCP information.
  - (Just build a suffix array for $T_1$\$_1T_2$\$_2$.)
- Then build a table mapping each index in the string to its index in the suffix array.

Query:

- Do an RMQ over the LCP array at the appropriate indices.
**Claim:** There is an \( \langle O(m), O(1) \rangle \) data structure for LCE.

**Preprocessing:**

- Construct a *generalized suffix array* for \( T_1 \) and \( T_2 \) augmented with LCP information.
  - (Just build a suffix array for \( T_1$T_2 \).)
- Then build a table mapping each index in the string to its index in the suffix array.

**Query:**

- Do an RMQ over the LCP array at the appropriate indices.
Suffix Arrays and LCE

- **Claim:** There is an \(O(m), O(1)\) data structure for LCE.

- **Preprocessing:**
  - Construct a *generalized suffix array* for \(T_1\) and \(T_2\) augmented with LCP information.
    - (Just build a suffix array for \(T_1$1T_2$2\).)
  - Then build a table mapping each index in the string to its index in the suffix array.

- **Query:**
  - Do an RMQ over the LCP array at the appropriate indices.
An Application: Longest Palindromic Substring
Palindromes

• A palindrome is a string that's the same forwards and backwards.

• A palindromic substring of a string $T$ is a substring of $T$ that's a palindrome.

• Surprisingly, of great importance in computational biology.
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Palindromes

- A **palindrome** is a string that's the same forwards and backwards.
- A **palindromic substring** of a string $T$ is a substring of $T$ that's a palindrome.
- Surprisingly, of great importance in computational biology.
Longest Palindromic Substring

- The *longest palindromic substring* problem is the following:

  Given a string $T$, find the longest substring of $T$ that is a palindrome.

- How might we solve this problem?
An Initial Idea

• To deal with the issues of strings going forwards and backwards, start off by forming $T$ and $T^R$, the reverse of $T$.

• **Initial Idea:** Find the longest common substring of $T$ and $T^R$.

• Unfortunately, this doesn't work:
  • $T = \text{abcdefba}$
  • $T^R = \text{abdcba}$
  • Longest common substring: $ab / ba$
  • Longest palindromic substring: $a / b / c / d$
Palindromes Centers and Radii

• For now, let's focus on even-length palindromes.

• An even-length palindrome substring $ww^R$ of a string $T$ has a center and radius:
  • **Center:** The spot between the duplicated center character.
  • **Radius:** The length of the string going out in each direction.

• **Idea:** For each center, find the largest corresponding radius.
Palindrome Centers and Radii

\[ a b b a c c a b c c c b \]
Palindromes Center and Radii

| a | b | b | a | c | c | a | b | c | c | c | b |

↑
Palindrome Centers and Radii
Palindrome Centers and Radii

a b b a c c a b c c b
Palindromes Centers and Radii

\[ w = \text{a b b a c c a b c c b} \]
Palindromes Centers and Radii

\[ w \quad a \quad b \quad b \quad a \quad c \quad c \quad c \quad a \quad b \quad c \quad c \quad b \]

\[ w^R \quad b \quad c \quad c \quad b \quad a \quad c \quad c \quad a \quad b \quad b \quad a \]
Palindrome Centers and Radii

\[ w \quad \text{a b b a c c a b b c c b} \]

\[ w^R \quad \text{b c c b a c c a b b a} \]
Palindromes Centers and Radii

\[ w \quad \textcolor{orange}{\text{a b b a c c a b c c b}} \]

\[ w^R \quad \textcolor{orange}{\text{b c c b a c c a b b a}} \]
Palindrome Centers and Radii

$w = \text{a b b a c c a b c c b}$

$w^R = \text{b c c b a c c a b b a}$
Palindrome Centers and Radii

\[ w \quad \begin{array}{cccccccc}
  a & b & b & a & c & c & a & b & c & c & b \\
\end{array} \]

\[ w^R \quad \begin{array}{cccccccc}
  b & c & c & b & a & c & c & a & b & b & a \\
\end{array} \]
Palindromes Centres and Radii

\( w \)

\[
\begin{array}{cccccccccccccc}
\text{a} & \text{b} & \text{b} & \text{b} & \text{a} & \text{c} & \text{c} & \text{c} & \text{a} & \text{b} & \text{c} & \text{c} & \text{c} & \text{b}
\end{array}
\]

\( w^R \)

\[
\begin{array}{cccccccccccccc}
\text{b} & \text{c} & \text{c} & \text{b} & \text{a} & \text{c} & \text{c} & \text{a} & \text{b} & \text{b} & \text{a}
\end{array}
\]
Palindromes Centers and Radii

$w = a b b a c c a b c c b$

$w^R = b c c b a c c a b b a a$
Palindrome Centers and Radii

\[ w \quad \text{a b b a c c a b c c b} \]

\[ w^R \quad \text{b c c b a c c a b b a} \]
An Algorithm

• In time $O(m)$, construct $T^R$.
• Preprocess $T$ and $T^R$ in time $O(m)$ to support LCE queries.
• For each spot between two characters in $T$, find the longest palindrome centered at that location by executing LCE queries on the corresponding locations in $T$ and $T^R$.
  • Each query takes time $O(1)$ if it just reports the length.
  • Total time: $O(m)$.
• Report the longest string found this way.
• Total time: $O(m)$. 

Next Time

- **Constructing Suffix Trees**
  - How on earth do you build suffix trees in time $O(m)$?

- **Constructing Suffix Arrays**
  - Start by building suffix arrays in time $O(m)$...

- **Constructing LCP Arrays**
  - ... and adding in LCP arrays in time $O(m)$. 