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1. Multiple Choice (18 points)
For each of the following questions, color all the circles you think are correct. No explanations are required.

(a) (2 points) Which of the following statement about Skip-gram are correct?
  ○ It predicts the center word from the surrounding context words
  ○ The final word vector for a word is the average or sum of the input vector $v$ and output vector $u$ corresponding to that word
  ○ When it comes to a small corpus, it has better performance than GloVe
  ○ It makes use of global co-occurrence statistics

(b) (2 points) Which of the following statements about dependency trees are correct?
  ○ Each word is connected to exactly one dependent (i.e., each word has exactly one outgoing edge)
  ○ A dependency tree with crossing edges is called a “projective” dependency tree.
  ○ Assuming it parses the sentence correctly, the last transition made by the dependency parser from class and assignment 2 will always be a RIGHT-ARC connecting ROOT to some word.
  ○ None of the above

(c) (2 points) Which of the following statements is true of language models?
  ○ Neural window-based models share weights across the window
  ○ Neural window-based language models suffer from the sparsity problem, but n-gram language models do not
  ○ The number of parameters in an RNN language model grows with the number of time steps
  ○ Neural window-based models can be parallelized, but RNN language models cannot

(d) (2 points) Assume $x$ and $y$ get multiplied together element-wise $x \ast y$. $S_x$ and $S_y$ are the shapes of $x$ and $y$ respectively. For which $S_x$ and $S_y$ will Numpy / Tensorflow throw an error?
  ○ $S_x = [1, 10], S_y = [10, 10]$
  ○ $S_x = [10, 1], S_y = [10, 1]$
  ○ $S_x = [10, 100], S_y = [100, 10]$
  ○ $S_x = [1, 10, 100], S_y = [1, 1, 1]$

(e) (2 points) Suppose that you are training a neural network for classification, but you notice that the training loss is much lower than the validation loss. Which of the following can be used to address the issue (select all that apply)?
  ○ Use a network with fewer layers
  ○ Decrease dropout probability
  ○ Increase L2 regularization weight
  ○ Increase the size of each hidden layer
(f) (2 points) Suppose a classifier predicts each possible class with equal probability. If there are 10 classes, what will the cross-entropy error be on a single example?

- \(- \log(10)\)
- \(-0.1 \log(1)\)
- \(- \log(0.1)\)
- \(-10 \log(0.1)\)

(g) (2 points) Suppose we have a loss function \(f(x, y; \theta)\), defined in terms of parameters \(\theta\), inputs \(x\) and labels \(y\). Suppose that, for some special input and label pair \((x_0, y_0)\), the loss equals zero. True or false: it follows that the gradient of the loss with respect to \(\theta\) is equal to zero.

- True
- False

(h) (2 points) During backpropagation, when the gradient flows backwards through the sigmoid or tanh non-linearities, it cannot change sign.

- True
- False

(i) (2 points) Suppose we are training a neural network with stochastic gradient descent on minibatches. True or false: summing the cost across the minibatch is equivalent to averaging the cost across the minibatch, if in the first case we divide the learning rate by the minibatch size.

- True
- False

2. Short Questions (32 points)

Please write answers to the following questions in a sentence or two.

(a) Suppose we want to classify movie review text as (1) either positive or negative sentiment, and (2) either action, comedy or romance movie genre. To perform these two related classification tasks, we use a neural network that shares the first layer, but branches into two separate layers to compute the two classifications. The loss is a weighted sum of the two cross-entropy losses.

\[
\begin{align*}
  h &= \text{ReLU}(W_0 x + b_0) \\
  \hat{y}_1 &= \text{softmax}(W_1 h + b_1) \\
  \hat{y}_2 &= \text{softmax}(W_2 h + b_2) \\
  J &= \alpha \text{CE}(y_1, \hat{y}_1) + \beta \text{CE}(y_2, \hat{y}_2)
\end{align*}
\]

Here input \(x \in \mathbb{R}^{10}\) is some vector encoding of the input text, label \(\hat{y}_1 \in \mathbb{R}^2\) is a one-hot vector encoding the true sentiment, label \(\hat{y}_2 \in \mathbb{R}^3\) is a one-hot vector encoding the true movie genre, \(h \in \mathbb{R}^{10}\) is a hidden layer, \(W_0 \in \mathbb{R}^{10 \times 10}, W_1 \in \mathbb{R}^{2 \times 10}, W_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 10}\) are weight matrices, and \(\alpha\) and \(\beta\) are scalars that balance the two losses.

i. (4 points) To compute backpropagation for this network, we can use the multivariable chain rule. Assuming we already know:

\[
\begin{align*}
  \frac{\partial \hat{y}_1}{\partial x} &= \Delta_1, \\
  \frac{\partial \hat{y}_2}{\partial x} &= \Delta_2, \\
  \frac{\partial J}{\partial \hat{y}_1} &= \delta_3^T, \\
  \frac{\partial J}{\partial \hat{y}_2} &= \delta_4^T
\end{align*}
\]
What is $\frac{\partial J}{\partial x}$?

ii. (3 points) When we train this model, we find that it underfits the training data. Why might underfitting happen in this case? Provide at least one suggestion to reduce underfitting.

(b) Practical Deep Learning Training

i. (2 points) In assignment 1, we saw that we could use gradient check, which calculates numerical gradients using the central difference formula, as a way to validate the accuracy of our analytical gradients. Why don’t we use the numerical gradient to train neural networks in practice?

ii. (3 points) In class, we learned how the ReLU activation function ($\text{ReLU}(z) = \max(0, z)$) could ”die” or become saturated/inactive when the input is negative. A friend of yours suggests the use of another activation function $f(z) = \max(0.2z, 2z)$ which he claims will remove the saturation problem. Would this address the problem? Why or why not?
iii. (3 points) The same friend also proposes another activation function $g(z) = 1.5z$. Would this be a good activation function? Why or why not?

iv. (4 points) There are several tradeoffs when choosing the batch size for training. Name one advantage for having very large batch sizes during training. Also, name one advantage for having very small batch sizes during training.

v. (2 points) Suppose we are training a feed-forward neural network with several hidden layers (all with ReLU non-linearities) and a softmax output. A friend suggests that you should initialize all the weights (including biases) to zeros. Is this a good idea or not? Explain briefly in 1-2 sentences.

(c) (4 points) Word2Vec represents a family of embedding algorithms that are commonly used in a variety of contexts. Suppose in a recommender system for online shopping, we have information about co-purchase records for items $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n$ (for example, item $x_i$ is commonly bought together with item $x_j$). Explain how you would use ideas similar to Word2Vec to recommend similar items to users who have shown interest in any one of the items.
In lectures and Assignment 2 you learned about transition-based dependency parsing. Another model for parsing sentences is a graph-based dependency parser. It takes as input a sentence \([w_1, w_2, ..., w_T]\). First, it encodes the sentence as a sequence of \(d\)-dimensional vectors \([h_1, h_2, ..., h_T]\) (usually using a bidirectional LSTM, but the particular details don’t matter for this question). Next, it assigns a score \(s(i, j)\) to each possible dependency \(i \rightarrow j\) going from word \(w_i\) to word \(w_j\) (in this question, the model only predicts which edges are in the dependency graph, not the types of the edges). The score is computed as \(s(i, j) = h_i^T A h_j\) where \(A\) is a \(d \times d\) weight matrix. There is also a score for having an edge going from \(\text{ROOT}\) to a word \(w_j\) given as \(s(\text{ROOT}, j) = w^T h_j\) where \(w\) is a \(d\)-dimensional vector of weights. Lastly, the model assigns each word \(j\) the head whose edge scores the highest: \(\text{argmax}_{i \in [1, ..., T]} s(i, j)\).

i. (3 points) Is there a kind of parse tree this model can produce, but a transition-based dependency parser can’t? If so, what is this kind of tree?

ii. (2 points) Suppose the model instead scored each edge with a simple dot product: \(s(i, j) = h_i^T h_j\). Why would this not work as well?

iii. (2 points) What is one disadvantage of graph-based dependency parsers compared to transition-based dependency parsers?

3. Word Vectors (12 points)

(a) (3 points) Although pre-trained word vectors work very well in many practical downstream tasks, in some settings it’s best to continue to learn (i.e. ‘retrain’) the word vectors as parameters of our neural network. Explain why retraining the
word vectors may hurt our model if our dataset for the specific task is too small.

(b) (2 points) Give 2 examples of how we can evaluate word vectors. For each example, please indicate whether it is intrinsic or extrinsic.

(c) (4 points) In lectures, we saw how word vectors can alternatively be learned via co-occurrence count-based methods. How does Word2Vec compare with these methods? Please briefly explain one advantage and one disadvantage of the Word2Vec model.

(d) (3 points) Alice and Bob have each used the Word2Vec algorithm to obtain word embeddings for the same vocabulary of words $V$. In particular, Alice has obtained ‘context’ vectors $u^A_w$ and ‘center’ vectors $v^A_w$ for every $w \in V$, and Bob has obtained ‘context’ vectors $u^B_w$ and ‘center’ vectors $v^B_w$ for every $w \in V$.

Suppose that, for every pair of words $w, w' \in V$, the inner product is the same in both Alice and Bob’s model: $\langle u^A_w, v^A_w \rangle = \langle u^B_w, v^B_w \rangle$. Does it follow that, for every word $w \in V$, $v^A_w = v^B_w$? Why or why not?
4. Backpropagation (17 points)

In class, we used the sigmoid function as our activation function. The most widely used activation function in deep learning now is ReLU: Rectified Linear Unit:

\[ \text{ReLU}(z) = \max(0, z) \]

In this problem, we’ll explore using the ReLU function in a neural network. Throughout this problem, you are allowed (and highly encouraged) to use variables to represent intermediate gradients (i.e. \( \delta_1, \delta_2, \) etc.).

(a) (2 points) Compute the gradient of the ReLU function, i.e. derive \( \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \text{ReLU} \).

*Hint: You can use the following notation:*

\[ 1\{x > 0\} = \begin{cases} 
1 & \text{if } x > 0, \\
0 & \text{if } x \leq 0
\end{cases} \]

(b) Now, we’ll use the ReLU function to construct a multi-layer neural network.

Below, the figure on the left shows a computation graph for a single ReLU hidden layer at layer \( i \). The second figure shows the computation graph for the full neural network we’ll use in this problem. The network is specified by the equations below:

**ReLU layer**

\[
\begin{align*}
z_1 &= W_1 x + b_1 \\
h_1 &= \text{ReLU}(z_1) \\
z_2 &= W_2 h_1 + b_2 \\
h_2 &= \text{ReLU}(z_2) \\
\hat{y} &= \text{softmax}(h_2) \\
J &= \text{CE}(y, \hat{y})
\end{align*}
\]

**ReLU layers**

\[
\begin{align*}
z_1 &= W_1 x + b_1 \\
h_1 &= \text{ReLU}(z_1) \\
z_2 &= W_2 h_1 + b_2 \\
h_2 &= \text{ReLU}(z_2) \\
\hat{y} &= \text{softmax}(h_2) \\
J &= \text{CE}(y, \hat{y})
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\text{CE}(y, \hat{y}) = - \sum_i y_i \log(\hat{y}_i)
\]
The dimensions of our parameters and variables are $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{D_x \times 1}$, $\mathbf{W}_1 \in \mathbb{R}^{H \times D_x}$, $\mathbf{b}_1 \in \mathbb{R}^H$, $\mathbf{W}_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{D_y \times H}$, $\mathbf{b}_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{D_y}$, $\hat{\mathbf{y}} \in \mathbb{R}^{D_y \times 1}$. Note: $\mathbf{x}$ is a single column vector.

i. (4 points) Compute the gradients $\frac{\partial J}{\partial \mathbf{W}_2}$ and $\frac{\partial J}{\partial \mathbf{b}_2}$.

Hint: Recall from PA1 that $\frac{\partial J}{\partial \theta} = \hat{\mathbf{y}} - \mathbf{y}$, where $\theta$ is the inputs of softmax.

ii. (4 points) Compute the gradients $\frac{\partial J}{\partial \mathbf{W}_1}$ and $\frac{\partial J}{\partial \mathbf{b}_1}$. You may use gradients you have already derived in the previous part.
(c) (7 points) When neural networks become very deep (i.e. have many layers), they become difficult to train due to the vanishing gradient problem – as the gradient is back-propagated through many layers, repeated multiplication can make the gradient extremely small, so that performance plateaus or even degrades.

An effective approach, particularly in computer vision applications, is ResNet. The core idea of ResNet is skip connections that skip one or more layers. See the computation graph below:

Neural network with skip connections

\[ z_1 = W_1 x + b_1 \]
\[ h_1 = \text{ReLU}(z_1) \]
\[ d = h_1 + x \]
\[ z_2 = W_2 d + b_2 \]
\[ h_2 = \text{ReLU}(z_2) \]
\[ \theta = h_2 + d \]
\[ \hat{y} = \text{softmax}(\theta) \]
\[ J = \text{CE}(y, \hat{y}) \]

For this part, the dimensions from part B still apply, but assume \( D_y = D_x = H \). **Compute the gradient** \( \frac{\partial J}{\partial x} \). Again, you are allowed (and highly encouraged) to use variables to represent intermediate gradients (i.e. \( \delta_1, \delta_2 \), etc.)

**Hint**: Use the computational graph to compute the upstream and local gradients for each node. Recall that downstream = upstream * local. Alternatively, compute each of these gradients in order to build up your answer: \( \frac{\partial J}{\partial \theta}, \frac{\partial J}{\partial h_2}, \frac{\partial J}{\partial z_2}, \frac{\partial J}{\partial d}, \frac{\partial J}{\partial x} \). Show your work so we are able to give partial credit!

*Please write your answer in the box provided on the next page.*
5. RNNs (21 points)
RNNs are versatile! In class, we learned that this family of neural networks have many important advantages and can be used in a variety of tasks. They are commonly used in many state-of-the-art architectures for NLP.

(a) For each of the following tasks, state how you would run an RNN to do that task. In particular, specify how the RNN would be used at test time (not training time), and specify

1. how many outputs i.e. number of times the softmax $\hat{y}(t)$ is called from your RNN. If the number of outputs is not fixed, state it as arbitrary.
2. what each $\hat{y}(t)$ is a probability distribution over (e.g. distributed over all species of cats)
3. which inputs are fed at each time step to produce each output

The inputs are specified below.

i. (3 points) Named-Entity Recognition: For each word in a sentence, classify that word as either a person, organization, location, or none.
Inputs: A sentence containing $n$ words.

ii. (3 points) Sentiment Analysis: Classify the sentiment of a sentence ranging from negative to positive (integer values from 0 to 4).
Inputs: A sentence containing $n$ words.
iii. (3 points) Language models: generating text from a chatbot that was trained to speak like you by predicting the next word in the sequence. Input: A single start word or token that is fed into the first time step of the RNN.

(b) You build a sentiment analysis system that feeds a sentence into a RNN, and then computes the sentiment class between 0 (very negative) and 4 (very positive), based only on the final hidden state of the RNN.

i. (2 points) What is one advantage that an RNN would have over a neural window-based model for this task?

ii. (2 points) You observe that your model predicts very positive sentiment for the following passage:
Yesterday turned out to be a terrible day.
I overslept my alarm clock, and to make matters worse, my dog ate my homework. At least my dog seems happy...
Why might the model misclassify the appropriate sentiment for this sentence?
iii. (4 points) Your friend suggests using an LSTM instead. Recall the units of an LSTM cell are defined as:

\[ i_t = \sigma(W^{(i)}x_t + U^{(i)}h_{t-1}) \]
\[ f_t = \sigma(W^{(f)}x_t + U^{(f)}h_{t-1}) \]
\[ o_t = \sigma(W^{(o)}x_t + U^{(o)}h_{t-1}) \]
\[ \tilde{c}_t = \tanh(W^{(c)}x_t + U^{(c)}h_{t-1}) \]
\[ c_t = f_t \odot c_{t-1} + i_t \odot \tilde{c}_t \]
\[ h_t = o_t \odot \tanh(c_t) \]

where the final output of the last LSTM cell is defined by \( \hat{y}_t = \text{softmax}(h_tW + b) \).

The final cost function \( J \) uses the cross-entropy loss. Consider an LSTM for two time steps, \( t \) and \( t - 1 \).

![LSTM diagram]

Derive the gradient \( \frac{\partial J}{\partial U^{(c)}} \) in terms of the following gradients: \( \frac{\partial h_t}{\partial h_{t-1}} \), \( \frac{\partial h_t}{\partial U^{(c)}} \), \( \frac{\partial J}{\partial h_t} \), \( \frac{\partial o_t}{\partial U^{(c)}} \), \( \frac{\partial c_t}{\partial c_{t-1}} \), \( \frac{\partial c_t}{\partial c_t} \), \( \frac{\partial h_t}{\partial c_t} \), and \( \frac{\partial h_t}{\partial x_t} \). Not all of the gradients may be used. You can leave the answer in the form of chain rule and do not have to calculate any individual gradients in your final result.
iv. (2 points) Which part of the gradient $\frac{\partial J}{\partial U(c)}$ allows LSTMs to mitigate the effect of the vanishing gradient problem? Explain in two sentences or less how this would help classify the correct sentiment for the sentence in part b).

v. (2 points) Rather than using the last hidden state to output the sentiment of a sentence, what could be a better solution to improve the performance of the sentiment analysis task?