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Introduction

- As we move towards deploying learned controllers on physical systems around us, robust performance is not only a desired property but also a design requirement to ensure the safety of both users and the system itself.
- We demonstrate that Deep RL methods are susceptible to adversarial perturbations in states, model parameters, and observations.
- We introduce Adversarially Robust Policy Learning (ARPL) - an algorithm that leverages active computation of physically-plausible adversarial examples during training in order to enable robust performance under both random and adversarial perturbations of the system.

ARPL Algorithm

ARPL is a basic augmentation for any policy gradient method. Every iteration consists of policy evaluation and improvement.

During policy evaluation, we collect N trajectories via policy rollouts. For every observation during a rollout, we 

adversarially perturb the state with probability \( \phi \) and 

magnitude \( \epsilon \) using the following perturbation 

\[ \delta = \epsilon \nabla_{\theta} \eta(\pi(s)) \]

where \( \eta \) is the L2 norm of the control produced by the 

policy \( \pi \).

Then, we run policy improvement, as prescribed by the 

policy gradient method. Our implementation uses TRPO.

Experimental Setup

Process Noise - We perturb the original environment state and explicitly set this as the environment state in the simulator. We also feed this perturbed state to the agent as an observation.

Dynamics Noise - We augment the agent’s observation with environment dynamics parameters during training and use this part of the observation vector to compute perturbations for these parameters. They are then updated in the environment.

Observation Noise - Identical to process noise, but the agent receives the unperturbed state as the observation.

We experimented with the perturbation type (process, dynamics, observation), the perturbation frequency, controlled by \( \phi \), the probability of a perturbation at every time step, and whether the perturbation is generated randomly or adversarially.

We evaluate ARPL on 4 continuous control tasks using Mujoco and Gym.

Demonstrated Robustness in Physical Dynamics Parameters

Key Idea: Can we use Adversarial Perturbations?

Physically-Plausible Threat Model

Dynamics: \( x_{t+1} = f(x_t, u_t) + \epsilon \)

Observation: \( z_t = g(x_t) + \zeta \)
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