# CS 245 Final Exam Spring 2019 

- Please read all instructions (including these) carefully.
- There are seven problems, some with multiple parts, for a total of 100 points. You have 2.5 hours ( $\mathbf{1 5 0}$ minutes) to work on the exam.
- The exam is open notes. You may use a laptop with all communication facilities (Wi-Fi, Bluetooth, etc.) disabled.
- Please write your answers in the space provided on the exam, and clearly mark your solutions. You may use the backs of the exam pages as scratch paper. Please do not use any additional scratch paper.
- Solutions will be graded on correctness and clarity. For the long-answer problems, please show your intermediate work. Each problem has a relatively simple to explain solution, and we may deduct points if your solution is much more complex than necessary. Partial solutions will be graded for partial credit.
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In accordance with both the letter and spirit of the Honor Code, I have neither given nor received assistance on this examination.

SIGNATURE: $\qquad$

Grading: $\mathrm{P} 1=20 \mathrm{pt}, \mathrm{P} 2=17 \mathrm{pt}, \mathrm{P} 3=12 \mathrm{pt}, \mathrm{P} 4=14 \mathrm{pt}, \mathrm{P} 5=10 \mathrm{pt}, \mathrm{P} 6=15 \mathrm{pt}, \mathrm{P} 7=12 \mathrm{pt}$, total $=100 \mathrm{pt}$.

## Problem 1: Short Answer Questions (20 points)

1. (2 points) Which of these statements about serializable schedules is true? (Circle one answer.)
(a) Every serializable schedule is recoverable.
(b) Every serializable schedule contains no conflicting actions.
(c) Every 2PL schedule is serializable.
(d) None of the above.
2. (2 points) Which of these statements about recoverable schedules is true? (Circle one answer.)
(a) Every recoverable schedule is serializable.
(b) In a recoverable schedule, if a transaction T commits, then any other transaction that T read from must also have committed.
(c) In a recoverable schedule, no transaction will ever be aborted because a transaction that it read from has aborted.
(d) None of the above.
3. (2 points) In which of the following situations is optimistic concurrency control with validation likely to perform better than locking with 2PL? (Circle one answer.)
(a) A high-contention workload where all the transactions need to update a single record.
(b) A read-mostly workload, where most transactions just read a small number of data items, and a few transactions write data items.
(c) A distributed database where all the transactions need to read and write objects on multiple servers.
(d) All of the above.
4. (2 points) What is the main benefit of extendible hashing over a traditional hash table based on a single array of buckets? (Circle one answer.)
(a) Extendible hashing requires fewer random disk I/Os to access each item.
(b) Extendible hashing requires less storage space for the hash table data structure.
(c) Extendible hashing reduces the I/O needed to update the data structure when we fill a hash bucket.
(d) Extendible hashing produces fewer hash collisions.
5. (2 points) Which of these statements about deadlock are true? (Fill in all that are true.)
$\square \quad$ If all transactions use two-phase locking, they cannot deadlock.Once two transactions deadlock, one of them must be aborted to maintain correctness.Systems that support update locks ( $\mathrm{S}, \mathrm{X}$ and U modes) cannot deadlock.Validation based concurrency control schemes cannot deadlock.
6. ( 2 points) Why is the increment lock mode (I) incompatible with both the S and X modes?
7. (2 points) In the database below with hierarchical locking, transaction $T_{1}$ holds some locks. Which of the following transactions can still acquire the locks they need to run? (Fill in all that can; assume that only one of these candidate transactions would run at a time.)

8. (2 points) Which of the following statements about data lakes vs. traditional analytical data warehouses are true? (Fill in all that are true.)Data lakes provide stronger transaction isolation levels than data warehouses.Data lakes separate storage resources from compute resources, so that they can be scaled up and down independently.Data lakes store data in standard formats that are accessible by many independently developed compute engines, such as TensorFlow, Apache Spark and Hadoop.

Data lakes enable indexing schemes that data warehouses can't support, such as Z-order.
9. (2 points) Company $X$ stores private health data about its customers, but it only allows its data analysts to query this data through a differentially private query system like PINQ. What security threats does differential privacy protect Company X against? (Fill in all that are true.)Differential privacy ensures that administrators with full access to Company X's servers cannot access any customer's private data.Differential privacy ensures that a data analyst with a limited privacy budget for her queries can only learn a bounded amount of information about each customer's data.Differential privacy ensures that if two analysts with separate privacy budgets combine their results, they can't learn more information than one analysts would have on her own.Differential privacy ensures that aggregate queries such as counts do not reveal any information about the database.
10. (2 points) Recall that consensus protocols require that the sets of nodes that participate in different operations always have a non-empty intersection. Why can primary-backup systems be viewed as a form of consensus, given this definition?

## Problem 2: Transaction Schedules (17 points)

a) (4 points) For each of the following schedules of reads and writes done by transactions, indicate whether the schedule is conflict serializable, serializable, and/or serial by filling in the appropriate boxes. We use the notation from lecture, where $\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{A})$ and $\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{A})$ mean that transaction $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{i}}$ reads and writes object A respectively.
i) $\quad r_{1}(A) r_{2}(A) w_{1}(A) r_{2}(B)$Conflict serializableSerializableSerial
ii) $\quad r_{1}(A) w_{1}(A) r_{2}(A) w_{2}(B)$Conflict serializableSerializableSerial
iii) $\quad w_{1}(A) w_{2}(A) w_{1}(A) w_{2}(A) w_{1}(A)$Conflict serializableSerializableSerial
iv) $\quad w_{1}(A) w_{2}(A) w_{1}(B) w_{2}(B) w_{1}(B)$Conflict serializableSerializableSerial
b) (1 point) Draw the precedence graph for the schedule $w_{1}(A) w_{2}(A) r_{3}(B) w_{3}(B) r_{1}(B)$ :
c) ( 6 points) For each of the following schedules of lock, read and write actions done by transactions, fill the appropriate boxes to indicate whether each of the transactions is well-formed and 2PL, and whether the schedule is legal. We use the notation from lecture, where $r_{i}(A), w_{i}(A)$, $l_{i}(A)$, and $u_{i}(A)$ mean that transaction $T_{i}$ reads, writes, locks or unlocks object A respectively.
i) $\quad l_{1}(A) l_{2}(B) w_{1}(A) u_{1}(A) r_{2}(B) w_{2}(B) u_{2}(B)$$\mathrm{T}_{1}$ well-formed$\mathrm{T}_{2}$ well-formed$\mathrm{T}_{1} 2 \mathrm{PL}$$\mathrm{T}_{2} 2 \mathrm{PL}$Legal
ii) $\quad l_{1}(A) w_{1}(A) l_{2}(B) w_{2}(B) l_{1}(B) w_{1}(B) u_{1}(B) u_{1}(A)$
$\qquad$ $\mathrm{T}_{2}$ well-formed$\mathrm{T}_{1}$ 2PL$\mathrm{T}_{2} 2 \mathrm{PL}$Legal
iii) $\quad l_{1}(A) w_{1}(A) l_{2}(B) w_{2}(B) u_{2}(B) u_{1}(A) l_{2}(A) w_{2}(A) u_{2}(A)$$\mathrm{T}_{1}$ well-formed$\mathrm{T}_{2}$ well-formed$\mathrm{T}_{1} 2 \mathrm{PL}$
 $\mathrm{T}_{2} 2 \mathrm{PL}$Legal
d) (3 points) Suppose that each of the sequences of actions below is followed by an abort action for transaction $T_{1}$. Which of the other transactions would need to be rolled back? (Fill in the appropriate boxes to indicate your answer.)
i) $\quad w_{1}(A) r_{2}(A) w_{1}(B) r_{3}(B) r_{4}(C)$$\mathrm{T}_{2}$ rolls back$\mathrm{T}_{3}$ rolls back$\mathrm{T}_{4}$ rolls back
ii) $\quad w_{1}(A) w_{2}(A) w_{1}(B) r_{3}(B) r_{4}(A) r_{4}(C)$
$\mathrm{T}_{2}$ rolls back$\mathrm{T}_{3}$ rolls back$\mathrm{T}_{4}$ rolls back
iii) $\quad r_{1}(A) w_{1}(B) r_{2}(A) r_{2}(B) r_{3}(A) r_{4}(B)$$\mathrm{T}_{2}$ rolls back$\mathrm{T}_{3}$ rolls back $\square \mathrm{T}_{4}$ rolls back
e) (3 points) For each of the following schedules of read, write, commit and abort actions done by transactions, indicate whether they are recoverable, avoids-conflicting-rollback (ACR) and/or strict by filling in the appropriate boxes. We use the notation from lecture, where $r_{i}(A), w_{i}(A), c_{i}$ and $\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{i}}$ mean that transaction $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{i}}$ reads A, writes A, commits or aborts respectively.
i) $\quad w_{1}(A) r_{2}(A) w_{1}(B) r_{2}(B) c_{1} c_{2}$RecoverableACR Strict
ii) $\quad w_{1}(A) w_{1}(B) c_{1} r_{2}(A) r_{2}(B) c_{2}$

RecoverableACRStrict
iii) $\quad w_{1}(A) w_{2}(A) a_{2} c_{1} r_{3}(A) r_{3}(B) c_{3}$

RecoverableACRStrict

## Problem 3: Concurrency with Validation (12 points)

Consider a database that uses transaction validation to provide optimistic concurrency control. A user has submitted two transactions, $\mathrm{T}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{T}_{2}$, that have the following read and write sets:

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\operatorname{ReadSet}\left(\mathrm{T}_{1}\right) & =\{\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{~B}\} & \operatorname{ReadSet}\left(\mathrm{T}_{2}\right)=\{\mathrm{B}, \mathrm{D}\} \\
\text { WriteSet }\left(\mathrm{T}_{1}\right)=\{\mathrm{C}\} & & \text { WriteSet }\left(\mathrm{T}_{2}\right)=\{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{~A}\}
\end{array}
$$

According to the Validation protocol, the database will perform three steps in order for each transaction: start the transaction $(S)$, validate $(V)$, and finish $(F)$. Please assume that the $V$ step indicates the moment when both the "check" and "update VAL set" steps occur atomically, and that $F$ indicates the moment when "update FIN set" occurs. Thus, when our database processes two transactions, the following 6 events will occur in some order:

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\mathrm{T}_{1} S & \mathrm{~T}_{1} V & \mathrm{~T}_{1} F \\
\mathrm{~T}_{2} S & \mathrm{~T}_{2} V & \mathrm{~T}_{2} F
\end{array}
$$

In each of the following questions, write a sequence of the above 6 events to produce the given result OR indicate that no such schedule is possible in the box below the table.
a) (2 points) $\mathrm{T}_{1}$ validation succeeds, but $\mathrm{T}_{2}$ validation fails.

| Time $=1$ | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

OR fill in this box if no such schedule is possible:
b) (2 points) $\mathrm{T}_{1}$ validation fails, but $\mathrm{T}_{2}$ validation succeeds.

| Time $=1$ | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

OR fill in this box if no such schedule is possible:
c) (2 points) Both $\mathrm{T}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{T}_{2}$ validations fail.

| Time $=1$ | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

OR fill in this box if no such schedule is possible:
d) (2 points) Both $T_{1}$ and $T_{2}$ validations succeed.

| Time $=1$ | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

OR fill in this box if no such schedule is possible:
e) (4 points) Now, assume that T1's readset is empty:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\operatorname{ReadSet}\left(\mathrm{T}_{1}\right)=\{ \} & \operatorname{ReadSet}\left(\mathrm{T}_{2}\right)=\{\mathrm{B}, \mathrm{D}\} \\
\operatorname{WriteSet}\left(\mathrm{T}_{1}\right)=\{\mathrm{C}\} & \\
\operatorname{WriteSet}\left(\mathrm{T}_{2}\right)=\{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{~A}\}
\end{array}
$$

Which of the following statements are true?
i) A schedule exists where $T_{1}$ validation succeeds and $T_{2}$ validation fails

TrueFalse $\square$
ii) A schedule exists where $T_{1}$ validation fails and $T_{2}$ validation succeeds

TrueFalse $\square$
iii) A schedule exists both $T_{1}$ and $T_{2}$ validations fail

True $\square$
False $\square$
iv) A schedule exists both $T_{1}$ and $T_{2}$ validations succeed

TrueFalse

## Problem 4: Distributed Systems (14 points)

Consider the two-phase commit (2PC) protocol with write-ahead undo + redo logging. Assume we have a system where the only failures involve hosts halting with their disks and logs intact, followed (potentially) by reboots, with no network message loss. Suppose there is a coordinator C and two participants P1 and P2. In this question we will study the behavior of the 2PC protocol under different failure conditions.
a) (2 points) The CAP theorem applies to 2 PC . Which CAP property does 2 PC give up?

For parts b ), c ), and d), suppose we have the following sequence of events in a 2 PC :
C sends Prepare Transaction T1 to P1,P2
P1 sends Prepared to C
P2 sends No to C
b) ( $\mathbf{2}$ points) Fill in the appropriate next message following 2PC, ignoring potential redundant retransmissions.
$\qquad$ sends $\qquad$ to $\qquad$
c) (3 points) If P1 starts commiting T1 immediately after sending a "Prepared" message to C and before waiting for C's response, is the protocol still valid? Briefly explain why or why not. Yes $\square$

No $\square$
d) (3 points) If P 2 starts aborting T 1 immediately after sending a "No" message to C and before waiting for C's response, is the protocol still valid? Briefly explain why or why not.

Yes $\square$
No $\square$

For parts e) and f), suppose we have the following sequence of events in a 2 PC :

```
C sends Prepare Transaction T2 to P1,P2
P1 sends Prepared to C
P2 sends Prepared to C
P2 crashes
P2 comes back online
C sends Commit Transaction T2 to P1, P2
P1 commits, sends DONE to C
```

e) (2 points) Assuming standard 2PC operation in the face of the above scenario, fill in what we can expect P 2 to do next:

P2 $\qquad$ , sends $\qquad$ to $\qquad$
f) (2 points) P2 must make use of its log on recovery to either commit or abort. Why can P2 expect the $\log$ to be complete with respect to T 2 operations in this scenario?

## Problem 5: Failure Recovery (10 Points)

a) Ben Bittwiddle is working on a fault-tolerant transaction manager using redo logging. He implemented a log that can hold Write and Commit records, and syncs to disk after each message logged. He also wrote a StorageManager that can asynchronously persist writes to stable storage (similar to Assignment 3). Unfortunately, he has observed that his implementation sometimes outputs corrupt data after a crash. In this problem, you will help Ben debug his implementation.

Ben has implemented recovery after a crash as follows, in pseudocode:

```
initAndRecover(Log L, StorageManager S):
    offset := pointer to the truncated start of L
    end := pointer to the end of L
    while (offset < end):
        R := Read record at offset in L
        if (R is a write record):
            Queue the write that R describes to S to be persisted
            Apply the write that R describes to the in-memory table
        Advance offset past current record
```

Assume that Ben's transaction manager is otherwise correct: writes are buffered until commit, and redo logging is used. Ben's in-memory table holds the latest committed value for all keys.
(i) (2 Points) In at most 3 sentences, describe Ben's error.
(ii) (3 Points) Describe a sequence of operations, including one crash, that Ben's code would incorrectly recover from. Describing the sequence using TransactionManager API calls from Assignment 3 is fine.
b) Ben's friend Alyssa P. Hacker has implemented a similar transaction manager, also using redo logging, but she is also encountering data corruption after recovery. She implemented a function writePersisted that is called whenever writes to the StorageManager make it to disk as follows:

```
writePersisted(long key, long log_offset_of_persisted_write, byte[] value):
    old_truncation := pointer to the truncated start of L
    if (old_truncation < log_offset_of_persisted_write):
        Truncate L up to log_offset_of_persisted_write
```

In the above pseudocode, L is the log. The function writePersisted is called whenever the StorageManager persists a write that was queued to it earlier. The StorageManager guarantees that writes to the same key are always persisted in order, but writes to different keys may be persisted out of order. You can assume that Alyssa's redo logging implementation is correct outside of the writePersisted method.
(i) (2 Points) In at most 3 sentences, describe Alyssa's error.
(ii) (3 Points) Describe a sequence of operations, including one crash, that Alyssa's code would incorrectly recover from. Describing the sequence using TransactionManager API calls from Assignment 3 is fine.

## Problem 6: Cost Modeling of Different Storage Formats (15 points)

In this problem, we'll use cost models to explore the best in-memory storage format for various queries (similar to Assignment 1). Consider a database with N rows and C columns ( $\mathrm{c}_{0}, \mathrm{c}_{1}, \ldots$, $\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{C}-1}$ ), all stored as 4-byte integer fields. Each field is drawn uniformly at random from the range $[0, \mathrm{~K})$. Recall that in a row-oriented storage format, values for all columns of row 0 are stored in contiguous memory locations, then all columns of row 1 , and so on. In a column-oriented storage format, values for all rows of column 0 are stored in contiguous locations, then all rows of column 1, and so on. Assume that $\mathrm{N} \gg 16, \mathrm{C} \gg 16, \mathrm{~N} \bmod 16=0, \mathrm{C} \bmod 16=0$, and $\mathrm{N} \gg \mathrm{K}$.

You can assume that every time a memory address not in cache is accessed (read or write), the corresponding cache line is loaded into cache. A cache line is 64 contiguous bytes in memory. Assume that loading one cache line from memory into cache has a cost of $\mathbf{1}$. The system has a cache size of 16 cache lines and the least recently accessed cache line is evicted back to memory when the cache is full. Assume that accesses to cache have no cost and also that computation has no cost.

Consider the following queries. Each of them is executed with an outer loop over the rows (i.e. work for each row is completed before moving to the next one) and inner loop over the columns from left-to-right. Compute the expected cost of each one in terms of $\mathbf{N}, \mathrm{C}$, and/or $\mathbf{K}$ for each storage format. For example, $\operatorname{SUM}\left(\mathrm{c}_{0}\right)$ has a row-oriented cost of N and a column-oriented cost of $\mathrm{N} / 16$.
a) (3 points) $\operatorname{SUM}\left(\mathrm{c}_{0}+\mathrm{c}_{1}+\ldots+\mathrm{c}_{7}\right)$
i) Row-oriented: $\qquad$
ii) Column-oriented: $\qquad$
b) (3 points) $\operatorname{SUM}\left(\mathrm{c}_{0}+\mathrm{c}_{1}+\ldots+\mathrm{c}_{15}\right)$
i) Row-oriented: $\qquad$
ii) Column-oriented: $\qquad$
c) (3 points) $\operatorname{SUM}\left(\mathrm{c}_{0}+\mathrm{c}_{1}+\ldots+\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{C}-1}\right)$
i) Row-oriented: $\qquad$
ii) Column-oriented: $\qquad$

The above queries did not have predicates. However, predicates are common, so we'd like our database to support them. For queries with predicates, we also consider two more data structures:

- An index that maps each distinct value in column $\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{C}-1}$ to a list of rows that have that value in $c_{C-1}$. The cost of retrieving the row lists for $M$ contiguous values of $c_{C-1}$ from this index is $\mathbf{d M}$ for some small constant $\mathbf{d}$. Assume that reading through the row lists themselves has no cost. This index is used along with row-oriented storage.
- A row store that is sorted in ascending order of $\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{C}-1}$.

Compute the expected cost of the following query in terms of $\mathbf{N}, \mathbf{C}, \mathbf{K}, \mathbf{d}$, and/or $\mathbf{T}$ for each storage format.
d) ( 6 points) $\operatorname{SUM}\left(\mathrm{c}_{0}+\mathrm{c}_{1}+\ldots+\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{C}-1}\right)$ WHERE $\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{C}-1}<\mathrm{T}$
i) Row-oriented, unsorted: $\qquad$
ii) Column-oriented, unsorted: $\qquad$
iii) Indexed with unsorted row-oriented storage: $\qquad$
iv) Row-oriented, sorted on $\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{C}-1}$ : $\qquad$

## Problem 7: Differential Privacy (12 points)

Recall that the sensitivity of a function $f$ on sets is defined as $\Delta f=\max \left|f\left(\mathrm{D}_{1}\right)-f\left(\mathrm{D}_{2}\right)\right|$, where the max is over all pairs of sets $\mathrm{D}_{1}, \mathrm{D}_{2}$ that differ in at most one element.

SecretBuddies is a privacy-conscious social network whose data consists of a set called Users that has a record with the ID, name, age, gender, address, likes and friend list of each user. (Friendships on SecretBuddies are 2-way: if user A is friends with B, then B is friends with A). Moreover, the users' ages are all between 0 and 150, and each user on SecretBuddies is only allowed to have up to 100 friends (can you really have more secret buddies?).

SecretBuddies wants to allow its data scientists to make some queries on Users, but is worried about the differential privacy of each query. For each of the following queries on Users, compute its sensitivity, or write "unbounded" if the sensitivity is not bounded by a constant we can compute using the information in the question. (Please justify your answers. Assume that if a user is removed from the network, that user also no longer appears in any friend lists.)
a) (2 points) $f_{1}$ (Users) $=$ \# of users who are male, live in Palo Alto, and like My Little Pony
b) (2 points) $f_{2}$ (Users) = maximum age of users who like My Little Pony
c) (2 points) $f_{3}($ Users $)=$ \# of users named "Alice" who are friends with someone named "Bob"
d) (3 points) $f_{4}($ Users $)=$ \# of users connected, through some path in the friendship graph, to Zack Morsey (the CEO of SecretBuddies)
e) (3 points) $f_{5}($ Users $)=\#$ of triangles in the friendship graph (a triangle is a set of three distinct users $\{\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{C}\}$ that are all friends with each other)

