# **Query Execution**

Instructor: Matei Zaharia

cs245.stanford.edu

# From Last Time: Indexes

**Conventional indexes** 

**B-trees** 

Hash indexes

Multi-key indexing

# Example

Find records where

#### DEPT = "Toy" AND SALARY > 50k

# **Strategy I:**

Use one index, say Dept.

Get all Dept = "Toy" records and check their salary



# **Strategy II:**

Use 2 indexes; manipulate record pointers



**Strategy III:** 

# Multi-key index One idea: 2 3 1



# k-d Tree

Splits dimensions in any order to hold k-dimensional data



# k-d Tree











# Summary

Wide range of indexes for different data types and queries (e.g. range vs exact)

Issues to balance: query time, update cost, and size of index

# **Example Storage Strategies**

#### **MySQL:** transactional DBMS

- » Row-oriented storage with 16 KB pages
- » Variable length records with headers, overflow
- » Index types:
  - B-tree
  - Hash (in memory only)
  - R-tree (spatial data)
  - Inverted lists for full text search
- » Can compress pages with Lempel-Ziv

# **Example Storage Strategies**

#### Apache Parquet + Hive: analytical data lake

- » Column-oriented storage as set of ~1 GB files (each file has a slice of all columns)
- » Various compression and encoding schemes at the level of pages in a file

• Special scheme for nested fields (Dremel)

» Header with statistics at the start of each file

• Min/max of columns, nulls, Bloom filter

» Files partitioned into directories by one key

# **Query Execution**

Overview

**Relational operators** 

**Execution methods** 

# **Query Execution Overview**

Recall that one of our key principles in data intensive systems was **declarative APIs** » Specify what you want to compute, not how

We saw how these can translate into many storage strategies

How to execute queries in a declarative API?

# **Query Execution Overview**



# **Plan Optimization Methods**

**Rule-based:** systematically replace some expressions with other expressions

- » Replace X OR TRUE with TRUE
- » Replace M\*A + M\*B with M\*(A+B) for matrices

**Cost-based:** propose several execution plans and pick best based on a **cost model** 

Adaptive: update execution plan at runtime

# **Execution Methods**

**Interpretation:** walk through query plan operators for each record

Vectorization: walk through in batches

**Compilation:** generate code (like System R)

# **Typical RDBMS Execution**



# **Query Execution**

Overview

**Relational operators** 

**Execution methods** 

# **The Relational Algebra**

Collection of operators over tables (relations) » Each table has named attributes (fields)

Codd's original RA: tables are **sets of tuples** (unordered and tuples cannot repeat)

SQL's RA: tables are **bags (multisets) of tuples**; unordered but each tuple may repeat

Basic set operators:

Intersection:  $R \cap S$ 

Union: R ∪ S

for tables with same schema

**Difference:** R – S

Cartesian Product:  $R \times S \{ (r, s) | r \in R, s \in S \}$ 

Basic set operators:

Intersection:  $R \cap S$ 

Union: R ∪ S ←

consider both distinct (set union) and non-distinct (bag union)

**Difference:** R – S

**Cartesian Product:** R × S

Special query processing operators:

**Selection:**  $\sigma_{condition}(R) \{ r \in R \mid condition(r) \text{ is true } \}$ 

**Projection:**  $\Pi_{expressions}(R) \{ expressions(r) | r \in R \}$ 

Natural Join:  $R \bowtie S \{ (r, s) \in R \times S) | r.key = s.key \}$ where key is the common fields

Special query processing operators:

Aggregation: keys G<sub>agg(attr)</sub>(R) SELECT agg(attr) FROM R GROUP BY keys

Examples: <sub>department</sub>G<sub>Max(salary)</sub>(Employees)

G<sub>Max(salary)</sub>(Employees)

# **Algebraic Properties**

Many properties about which combinations of operators are equivalent

» That's why it's called an algebra!

#### CS 245

 $R \bowtie S = S \bowtie R$  $(R \bowtie S) \bowtie T = R \bowtie (S \bowtie T)$ 

## $(R \times S) \times T = R \times (S \times T)$

Attribute order in a relation doesn't matter either

R U (S U T) = (R U S) U T

 $R \cup S = S \cup R$ 

 $R \times S = S \times R$ 

Tuple order in a relation doesn't matter (unordered)

# Properties: Unions, Products and Joins

# **Properties: Selects**

 $\sigma_{p \wedge q}(\mathsf{R}) =$ 

 $\sigma_{pvq}(R) =$ 

# **Properties: Selects**

 $\sigma_{p \land q}(R) = \sigma_{p}(\sigma_{q}(R))$   $\sigma_{p \lor q}(R) = \sigma_{p}(R) \cup \sigma_{q}(R)$ careful with repeated elements

# Bags vs. Sets

- $\mathsf{R} = \{a, a, b, b, b, c\}$
- $S = \{b, b, c, c, d\}$
- $R \cup S = ?$

# Bags vs. Sets

- $\mathsf{R} = \{a, a, b, b, b, c\}$
- $S = \{b, b, c, c, d\}$

 $R \cup S = ?$ 

- Option 1: SUM of counts
   R ∪ S = {a,a,b,b,b,b,b,c,c,c,d}
- Option 2: MAX of counts
   R ∪ S = {a,a,b,b,b,c,c,d}

# **Executive Decision**

Use "SUM" option for bag unions

Some rules that work for set unions cannot be used for bags

# **Properties: Project**

Let: X = set of attributes Y = set of attributes

 $\Pi_{X\cup Y}(\mathsf{R})$  =

# **Properties: Project**

Let: X = set of attributes Y = set of attributes

### $\Pi_{\mathsf{X}\cup\mathsf{Y}}\left(\mathsf{R}\right)=\Pi_{\mathsf{X}}(\Pi_{\mathsf{Y}}(\mathsf{R}))$

# **Properties: Project**

Let: X = set of attributes Y = set of attributes

$$\Pi_{X\cup Y}(\mathsf{R}) = \Pi_{X}(\Pi_{Y}(\mathsf{R}))$$

- Let p = predicate with only R attribs
  - q = predicate with only S attribs

m = predicate with only R, S attribs

$$\sigma_{p}(R \bowtie S) =$$
  
 $\sigma_{q}(R \bowtie S) =$ 

- Let p = predicate with only R attribs
  - q = predicate with only S attribs

m = predicate with only R, S attribs

$$\sigma_{p}(R \bowtie S) = \sigma_{p}(R) \bowtie S$$
$$\sigma_{q}(R \bowtie S) = R \bowtie \sigma_{q}(S)$$

Some rules can be derived:

 $\sigma_{p \land q}(\mathsf{R} \bowtie \mathsf{S}) =$ 

 $\sigma_{p \land q \land m}(\mathsf{R} \bowtie \mathsf{S}) =$ 

 $\sigma_{p\vee q}(\mathsf{R}\bowtie\mathsf{S}) =$ 

Some rules can be derived:

 $\sigma_{p \land q}(R \bowtie S) = \sigma_{p}(R) \bowtie \sigma_{q}(S)$  $\sigma_{p \land q \land m}(R \bowtie S) = \sigma_{m}(\sigma_{p}(R) \bowtie \sigma_{q}(S))$  $\sigma_{p \lor q}(R \bowtie S) = (\sigma_{p}(R) \bowtie S) \cup (R \bowtie \sigma_{q}(S))$ 

# **Prove One, Others for Practice**

 $\sigma_{p \wedge q}(\mathsf{R} \bowtie \mathsf{S}) = \sigma_p(\sigma_q(\mathsf{R} \bowtie \mathsf{S}))$ 

=  $\sigma_p(R \bowtie \sigma_q(S))$ 

=  $\sigma_{p}(R) \bowtie \sigma_{q}(S)$ 

# **Properties:** $\Pi$ + $\sigma$

Let x = subset of R attributes

z = attributes in predicate p (subset of R attributes)

 $\Pi_{x}(\sigma_{p}(R)) =$ 

# **Properties:** $\Pi$ + $\sigma$

Let x = subset of R attributes

z = attributes in predicate p (subset of R attributes)

$$\Pi_{x}(\sigma_{p}(\mathsf{R})) = \sigma_{p}(\Pi_{x}(\mathsf{R}))$$

# **Properties:** $\Pi$ + $\sigma$

Let x = subset of R attributes

z = attributes in predicate p (subset of R attributes)

 $\Pi_{\mathsf{x}}(\sigma_{\mathsf{p}}\left(\mathsf{R}\right)) = \Pi_{\mathsf{x}}(\sigma_{\mathsf{p}}(\Pi_{\mathsf{x}\cup\mathsf{z}}(\mathsf{R})))$ 

Let x = subset of R attributes y = subset of S attributes z = intersection of R,S attributes

 $\Pi_{\mathsf{x}\cup\mathsf{y}}(\mathsf{R}\bowtie\mathsf{S})\ =\ \Pi_{\mathsf{x}\cup\mathsf{y}}\left((\Pi_{\mathsf{x}\cup\mathsf{z}}\left(\mathsf{R}\right))\bowtie\left(\Pi_{\mathsf{y}\cup\mathsf{z}}\left(\mathsf{S}\right)\right)\right)$ 

# **Typical RDBMS Execution**



# **Example SQL Query**

SELECT title
FROM StarsIn
WHERE starName IN (
 SELECT name
 FROM MovieStar
 WHERE birthdate LIKE '%1960'
);

(Find the movies with stars born in 1960)



# Logical Query Plan



# **Improved Logical Query Plan**





# **One Physical Plan**



# **Another Physical Plan**



# **Another Physical Plan**



#### Which plan is likely to be better?

# **Estimating Plan Costs**



Covered in next few lectures!

# **Query Execution**

Overview

**Relational operators** 

**Execution methods** 

# Now That We Have a Plan, How Do We Run it?

Several different options that trade between complexity, setup time & performance

# **Example: Simple Query**

# SELECT quantity \* price FROM orders WHERE productId = 75

 $\Pi_{\text{quanity*price}} \left( \sigma_{\text{productId=75}} \left( \text{orders} \right) \right)$ 

# Method 1: Interpretation

```
interface Operator {
   Tuple next();
}
```

```
class TableScan: Operator {
   String tableName;
}
```

```
class Select: Operator {
   Operator parent;
   Expression condition;
}
```

```
class Project: Operator {
   Operator parent;
   Expression[] exprs;
}
```

```
interface Expression {
   Value compute(Tuple in);
}
```

```
class Attribute: Expression {
   String name;
}
```

```
class Times: Expression {
   Expression left, right;
}
```

```
class Equals: Expression {
   Expression left, right;
}
```

# **Example Expression Classes**

```
class Attribute: Expression {
                                   probably better to use a
  String name;
                                   numeric field ID instead
 Value compute(Tuple in) {
    return in.getField(name);
class Times: Expression {
  Expression left, right;
 Value compute(Tuple in) {
    return left.compute(in) * right.compute(in);
  }
}
```

# **Example Operator Classes**

```
class TableScan: Operator {
 String tableName;
 Tuple next() {
    // read next record from file
}
class Project: Expression {
 Operator parent;
 Expression[] exprs;
 Tuple next() {
    tuple = parent.next();
    fields = [expr(tuple) for expr in exprs];
    return new Tuple(fields);
}
```

# Running Our Query with Interpretation

```
ops = Project(
        expr = Times(Attr("quantity"), Attr("price")),
        parent = Select(
          expr = Equals(Attr("productId"), Literal(75)),
          parent = TableScan("orders")
      );
                            recursively calls Operator.next()
while(true) {
                            and Expression.compute()
  Tuple t = ops.next();
  if (t != null) {
   out.write(t);
  } else {
                                  Pros & cons of this
    break;
                                        approach?
  }
CS 245
                                                            65
```

# Method 2: Vectorization

Interpreting query plans one record at a time is simple, but it's too slow

» Lots of virtual function calls and branches for each record (recall Jeff Dean's numbers)

Keep recursive interpretation, but make Operators and Expressions run on **batches** 

# **Implementing Vectorization**

. . .

```
class TupleBatch {
   // Efficient storage, e.g.
   // schema + column arrays
}
```

```
interface Operator {
   TupleBatch next();
}
```

```
class Select: Operator {
   Operator parent;
   Expression condition;
}
```

```
class ValueBatch {
   // Efficient storage
}
```

```
interface Expression {
   ValueBatch compute(
      TupleBatch in);
}
```

```
class Times: Expression {
   Expression left, right;
}
```

. . .

# **Typical Implementation**

Values stored in columnar arrays (e.g. int[]) with a separate bit array to mark nulls

Tuple batches fit in L1 or L2 cache

Operators use SIMD instructions to update both values and null fields without branching

# **Pros & Cons of Vectorization**

- + Faster than record-at-a-time if the query processes many records
- + Relatively simple to implement
- Lots of nulls in batches if query is selective
- Data travels between CPU & cache a lot

# Method 3: Compilation

Turn the query into executable code

# **Compilation Example**

 $\Pi_{\text{quanity*price}} \left( \sigma_{\text{productId=75}} \left( \text{orders} \right) \right)$ 



# **Pros & Cons of Compilation**

- + Potential to get fastest possible execution
- + Leverage existing work in compilers
- Complex to implement
- Compilation takes time
- Generated code may not match hand-written

# What's Used Today?

Depends on context & other bottlenecks

**Transactional databases (e.g. MySQL):** mostly record-at-a-time interpretation

Analytical systems (Vertica, Spark SQL): vectorization, sometimes compilation

**ML libs (TensorFlow):** mostly vectorization (the records *are* vectors!), some compilation