

# Class 5 Exercises

CS250/EE387, Winter 2022

In this class, we'll investigate/develop the *Berlekamp-Massey Algorithm* for decoding Reed-Solomon codes. Some notation:

- We will be working with a RS code  $C \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q^n$  with length  $n = q - 1$  over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  and with evaluation points  $\gamma, \gamma^2, \dots, \gamma^{q-1}$ .
- We will try to decode  $C$  from  $e$  errors. Suppose that  $v \in \mathbb{F}_q^n$  is the received word, so  $v = c + p$  for  $c \in C$  and  $p \in \mathbb{F}_q^n$  is an error vector so that  $\text{wt}(p) \leq e$ .
- Let  $p = (p_0, \dots, p_{n-1})$  be the error vector, and let

$$E = \{i : p_i \neq 0\}$$

be the locations of the errors.

- Let  $d = n - k + 1$  be the distance of the RS code, and assume that  $e \leq \lfloor \frac{d-1}{2} \rfloor$ , so that unique decoding is possible.

Now onto the questions.

1. The first step of the Berlekamp-Massey algorithm is to compute the *syndrome*  $s = Hv$ , where  $H$  is the parity-check matrix for  $C$ . Write  $s = (s_1, \dots, s_{d-1})$ , and let  $s(Z) = \sum_{i=1}^{d-1} s_i Z^i$ .

Show that

$$s(Z) = \sum_{i \in E} p_i \left( \frac{\gamma^i Z - (\gamma^i Z)^d}{1 - \gamma^i Z} \right).$$

Hint. Use the structure of the parity-check matrix  $H$ , and first show that  $s(Z) = \sum_{i \in E} p_i \sum_{\ell=1}^d (\gamma^i Z)^\ell$ .

2. Let  $\sigma(Z) = \prod_{i \in E} (1 - \gamma^i Z)$ . Explain why we will be in good shape for decoding if we can figure out what  $\sigma$  is.
3. Consider  $\sigma(Z) \cdot s(Z)$ . Show that this can be written as

$$\sigma(Z) \cdot s(Z) = w(Z) + Z^d r(Z),$$

where  $w(Z)$  and  $r(Z)$  are polynomials, and  $\deg(w) \leq e$ . Write down an expression for  $w(Z)$ .

**At this point please fill out the number poll to “3”.**

4. Show that the following are true:

(a) For all  $r \in E$ ,  $w(\gamma^{-r}) = p_r \cdot \prod_{j \in E \setminus \{r\}} (1 - \gamma^j)$ .

**At this point please fill out the number poll to “4(a)”.**

- (b) **(Optional):**  $w(Z)$  and  $\sigma(Z)$  are relatively prime. That is, there is no polynomial  $g(Z)$  (other than  $g(Z) \equiv 1$ ) that divides them both.

(Note: if you don't feel like showing this, take it as given and skip this part; but we will probably come back together as a class after problem 4, so if you get this far you may as well think about it!).

**At this point please fill out the number poll to “4(b)”.**

5. The previous part implies that, for all  $r$  with  $e + 1 \leq r \leq d - 1$ , we have

$$\text{coefficient on } Z^r \text{ in } s(Z)\sigma(Z) = 0.$$

What is that coefficient, in terms of the coefficients of  $s$  (which we know) and the coefficients of  $\sigma$  (which we don't know)? Write down a system of  $d - e - 1$  linear constraints that the coefficients of  $\sigma$  must satisfy. Your constraints should be in terms of the  $s_i$ . Explain why there is at least one solution to this system of equations.

**At this point please fill out the number poll to “5”.**

6. Suppose we were to solve your system of equations to obtain  $(\tilde{\sigma}_0, \dots, \tilde{\sigma}_{n-1})$  and a corresponding polynomial  $\tilde{\sigma}(Z) = \sum_{i=0}^e \tilde{\sigma}_i Z^i$ . Explain why we can write

$$s(Z)\tilde{\sigma}(Z) = \tilde{w}(Z) + Z^d \tilde{r}(Z)$$

for some polynomials  $\tilde{w}(Z), \tilde{r}(Z)$  with  $\deg(\tilde{w}) \leq e$ .

**At this point please fill out the number poll to “6”.**

7. Show that  $\tilde{\sigma}(Z)w(Z) = \sigma(Z)\tilde{w}(Z)$ .

Hint: Consider  $s(Z)\sigma(Z)\tilde{\sigma}(Z)$ .

8. Explain how, given  $\tilde{\sigma}$  and  $\tilde{w}$ , to find  $\sigma$  and  $w$ .

Hint: The answer to part of it is that  $\sigma(Z) = \tilde{\sigma}(Z)/\gcd(\tilde{\sigma}, \tilde{w})$ ...but why?

Hint: Question 7, along with Part 4(b), might be useful.

**At this point please fill out the number poll to “8”.**

9. Put all the pieces together to write down an efficient (polynomial-time) algorithm to recover the codeword  $c$  given  $v$ . What is the running time of your algorithm, in terms of the number of operations over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ ? Do you see ways you might be able to speed it up?

If it helps, finding the gcd of two degree- $D$  polynomials (with, say, Euclid's algorithm) takes  $O(D^2)$  operations over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . Finding the roots of a degree- $D$  polynomial in  $\mathbb{F}_q$  can be done with  $O(D^2 \log q)$  operations over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ .