Charm++

CS315B

Lecture 11

History

- Charm++ designed in the early 1990's
  - Based on Charm from the late 1980's

- Parallel machines of the time were
  - Custom architectures, fading in importance
  - Networks of commodity workstations
    - Much cheaper
    - Eventually became the dominant compute platform

History (Cont.)

- This is the environment that led to the rise of MPI
  - Two-level programming model
  - On-node managed with standard programming
  - Off-node managed by message passing

- Charm++ has a similar top-level design
  - With a focus on integrating object-oriented features

Chares

- The basic unit of computation and parellelism in Charm++ is a *chare*

- An object
  - A set of *entry* methods
    - Take a single *message* argument
  - Entry methods can be invoked by other chares

Message Passing Model

- A chare responds to one message at a time
  - Chares are single-threaded
  - Entry point methods always run to completion
    - No interrupts
- Flexibility in which message is handled next
  - When multiple entry point methods could be invoked, configurable policies determine choice
  - E.g., messages can have priorities

Chare Classes

- Chares are special in Charm++

```
chare MyChareType {
    entry MyChareType(args);
    entry void MyMethod(args);
}
```

- The Charm++ preprocessor/compiler generates C++ classes and methods from this spec

Creating Chares

- Chares can be created individually

```
Cproxy_X x = X::ckNew(args);
```

- To create a chare on a specific processor:

```
Cproxy_X x = X::ckNew(args,proc);
```

What Are Proxies?

- Handles on remote objects
  - The chare itself is in some unknown location, usually not local
  - The programmer iteracts with *proxy objects*

- To invoke a method on a chare, invoke the method on its local proxy

- Proxies are an artifact of being embedded in C++
  - Could be avoided in a language with its own syntax/semantics

Method Invocation on Chares

charePrxy.EntryMethod(args)

- Asynchronous, does not block
  - Calling thread continues
- And one-sided, no explicit acknowledgment

Creating Chares

- Chares can be created individually

- More commonly, *chare arrays* are used

```
carray = ClassName::ckenew(numElements)
carray[0].entry(msg)
```

Advantages of Chare Arrays

- Easy to create lots of chares
  - Which are automatically distributed around the machine

- Easy to name chares
  - A chare can easily refer to its neighbors, a distinguished chare, etc. using array indices

Hello World, Version 1

```
helloArray = Hello::cknew(numElements);
helloArray[0].sayHi(-1);

…
Hello {
        void Hello::sayHi(int from) {
            printf("Hello from %d\n", thisIndex);
           if thisIndex < (numElements – 1)
                 thisProxy[thisIndex + 1].sayHi(thisIndex);
…
```

Hello World, Version 2

```
Main {
        helloArray = Hello::cknew(numElements);
        helloArray.sayHi(-1);

        void done() {
          if (++doneCount >= numElements) CkExit();

…
Hello {
        void Hello::sayHi(int from) {
           printf("Hello from %d\n", thisIndex);
           mainProxy.done();
…
```

Chare Arrays vs. MPI

- Chare arrays provide an MPI-like model
- Message passing
- Collective operations
  - E.g., reductions
  - Global names for elements of the collection

Reductions

```
int myInt = 1;
contribute(sizeof(int), &myInt, CkReduction:sum_int);
```

- contribute is a built-in method on chare arrays
- All members of a chare array must call contribute
- contribute can also be used as a barrier:

```
contribute()
```

Comments on Control in Charm++

- Because message sends and receives are asynchronous, programs tend to be written in an event-driven style
  - Many entry point methods, each doing a small part of a larger task
- This leads to difficult-to-understand control flow
  - Hard to reason about order of entry point execution

Structured Dagger

- A mechanism for showing/enforcing intended order of entry point calls

```
chare ComputeObject {
        entry void start() {
                when first(T x)
                  when second(T y)
                    doPair(x,y)
                 }
               }
        entry void first(T x);
        entry void second(T j);
}
```

Another Problem ...

- Charm++ is based on message passing in C++
- Most C++ things are objects
- So we'll want to send objects in messages ...

PUP

- PUP = pack/unpack
- *A* serialization/deserialization framework
  - One declaration of both

```
void T::pup(PUP::er &p) {
   p|field1;
   p|field2;
   ...
}
```

But …

- No in order message delivery
- All messages are one-sided
  - Chare does not block on a message send
- Not limited to one array of chares
- Location of chares is transparent
  - And can change (e.g., for load balancing)

Read Only Data

- Can declare read only data
  - With global name, globally accessible

```
readonly Type ReadonlyVariable;
```

- readonly is really “write once”
  - In main chare

- An important facility
  - Underlying system makes sure read-only data is available everywhere

Load Balancing

- Because location of a chare is kept abstract, it is possible to migrate chares

- Charm++ has built-in load balancing
  - Runtime moves chares
  - Uses the chares' PUP methods
  - Many load balancing policies
  - And users can write their own

Load Balancing (Cont.)

- To balance load, need chares > processors
- Called *over partitioning*
  - Create more units of work than processors
  - If one processor is too heavily loaded, move some of its units of work to a lightly loaded processor
- Good if compute cost is linear in data size
  - Not so good if compute cost is superlinear

Other Mapping Policies

- User can set policies for

- Initial assignment of chares to processors
- Migration of chares
  - i.e., load balancing
- Locality
  - Affinity of chares to each other

- Reminiscent of dynamic mapping decisions in Regent

Critique of Charm++

- Consider:

- Programmability

- Control model

- Data model

Programming

- Race conditions
  - No shared memory, so no traditional races
  - But easy to miss needed synchronization
  - E.g., have all chares in a local stencil calculation contributed?

- Deadlocks
  - Easy to get with out-of-order message handling

- Tradeoff
  - Can improve performance by being more asynchronous
  - But take the risk of introducing concurrency issues

Memory Management

- Programmer is responsible for managing message allocation & deallocation
- No way for the runtime to know when a program is finished with a message
- Programmer must manage all other memory explicitly as well
  - Like MPI

Control

- Parallelism expressed at the level of chares
  - One level of parallelism
  - Well suited to clusters of sequential processors
- Ability to express hierarchy unclear
  - Early versions of Charm++ had hierarchy
  - Now in the "experts only" feature list

Data

- Minimal facilities for describing structure of data
  - Chare arrays are the main mechanism
  - Note they unify control & data decomposition

- No support for defining multiple views of data
  - Can be done, but programmer has to do it "by hand", and system will not take advantage of it

- Support for locality in load balancing/scheduling policies
  - But nothing higher level

Summary

- Charm++
  - Minimalist view: Object-Oriented MPI
  - But can do more

- Mature
  - Many ports
  - Good documentation
  - Significant applications and libraries
  - Some applications run on very large machines