# **EE270**

#### Large scale matrix computation, optimization and learning

Instructor : Mert Pilanci

Stanford University

Tuesday, Feb 18 2020

Randomized Linear Algebra and Optimization Lecture 14: Second-Order Optimization Algorithms, Strong Convexity and Randomized Preconditioners

#### Recap: Gradient Descent with momentum

$$x_{t+1} = x_t - \mu_t \nabla f(x_t) + \beta_t (x_t - x_{t-1})$$

• the term  $\beta_t(x_t - x_{t-1})$  is referred to as **momentum** 

#### Computational complexity

- Gradient Descent (β = 0) total computational cost κnd log(<sup>1</sup>/<sub>ε</sub>) for ε accuracy
- Gradient Descent with Momentum total computational cost  $\sqrt{\kappa} nd \log(\frac{1}{\epsilon})$  for  $\epsilon$  accuracy
- we need to know eigenvalues of  $A^T A$  to find optimal step-sizes

#### Computational complexity

- Gradient Descent (β = 0) total computational cost κnd log(<sup>1</sup>/<sub>ε</sub>) for ε accuracy
- Gradient Descent with Momentum total computational cost  $\sqrt{\kappa} nd \log(\frac{1}{\epsilon})$  for  $\epsilon$  accuracy
- we need to know eigenvalues of  $A^T A$  to find optimal step-sizes
- Conjugate Gradient doesn't require the eigenvalues explicitly and results in  $\sqrt{\kappa} nd \log(\frac{1}{\epsilon})$  operations

#### Newton's Method

Suppose f is twice differentiable, and consider a second order Taylor approximation at a point x<sub>t</sub>

$$f(y) \approx f(x_t) + \nabla f(x_t)^T (y - x_t) + \frac{1}{2} (y - x^t) \nabla^2 f(x^t) (y - x^t)$$

and minimize the approximation

• 
$$x_{t+1} = x_t - \mu_t (\nabla^2 f(x))^{-1} \nabla f(x)$$

- ▶ for minimizing functions f(Ax) where  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$
- complexity  $O(nd^2)$  to form the Hessian and  $O(d^3)$  to invert
- or alternatively  $O(nd^2)$  for factorizing the Hessian

#### Newton's Method in one dimension



Newton's Method for least squares converges in one step

Consider

$$\min_{x} \underbrace{\frac{1}{2} \|Ax - b\|_{2}^{2}}_{f(x)}$$

• gradient 
$$\nabla f(x) = A^T(Ax - b)$$

• Hessian 
$$\nabla^2 f(x) = A^T A$$

Gradient Descent:

$$x_{t+1} = x_t - \mu A^T (A x_t - b)$$

Newton's Method:

$$x_{t+1} = x_t - \mu (A^T A)^{-1} A^T (A x_t - b)$$

Fixed step size  $\mu_t = \mu$ 

#### Newton's Method with Random Projection

Randomized Newton's Method:

$$x_{t+1} = x_t - \mu (A^T S^T S A)^{-1} A^T (A x_t - b)$$

• fixed step size 
$$\mu_t = \mu$$

- computational cost:
- O(nd log n) to form SA using Fast Johnson Lindenstrauss Transform and O(d<sup>3</sup>) to invert (A<sup>T</sup>S<sup>T</sup>SA)<sup>-1</sup>
- alternatively O(md<sup>2</sup>) to factorize SA

Randomized Newton's Method:

$$x_{t+1} = x_t - \mu (A^T S^T S A)^{-1} A^T (A x_t - b)$$

• Define 
$$\Delta_t = A(x_t - x^*)$$

Randomized Newton's Method:

$$x_{t+1} = x_t - \mu (A^T S^T S A)^{-1} A^T (A x_t - b)$$

• Define 
$$\Delta_t = A(x_t - x^*)$$
  
 $\Delta_{t+1} = \Delta_t - \mu A(A^T S^T S A)^{-1} A^T \Delta_t$ 

$$\Delta_M = (I - \mu A (A^T S^T S A)^{-1} A^T)^M \Delta_0$$

-

Eigenvalues of randomly projected matrices

$$\lambda_i((U^T S^T S U)^{-1}) = \lambda_i(U^T S^T S U)^{-1}$$

- ► Recall that Approximate Matrix Multiplication for  $U^T U = I$  $\|\underbrace{U^T U}_{I} - U^T S^T S U\|_F \le \epsilon$  implies  $\sigma_{\max} \left(I - U^T S^T S U\right) \le \epsilon$
- which is identical to  $|1 \lambda_i(U^T S^T S U)| \le \epsilon \quad \forall i = 1, ..., d$
- ▶ All eigenvalues of  $U^T S^T S U$  are in the range  $[1 \epsilon, 1 + \epsilon]$

#### Optimal step-size

- ▶ All eigenvalues of  $U^T S^T S U$  are in the range  $[1 \epsilon, 1 + \epsilon]$
- ► All eigenvalues of  $(U^T S^T S U)^{-1}$  are in the range  $[\frac{1}{1-\epsilon}, \frac{1}{1+\epsilon}]$

$$\begin{split} \|\Delta_{M}\|_{2} &\leq \max_{i=1,\dots,d} \left| 1 - \mu \lambda_{i} ((U^{T} S^{T} S U)^{-1}) \right|^{M} \|\Delta_{0}\|_{2} \quad (1) \\ &= \max \left( \left| 1 - \mu \frac{1}{1 - \epsilon} \right|, \left| 1 - \mu \frac{1}{1 + \epsilon} \right| \right)^{M} \|\Delta_{0}\|_{2} \quad (2) \end{split}$$

optimal step-size that minimizes the upper-bound satisfies

$$\left|1 - \mu^* \frac{1}{1 - \epsilon}\right| = \left|1 - \mu^* \frac{1}{1 + \epsilon}\right|$$

$$\flat \ \mu^* = \frac{2}{\frac{1}{1 - \epsilon} + \frac{1}{1 + \epsilon}} = (1 - \epsilon)(1 + \epsilon)$$

# Convergence rate

$$\mu^{*} = \frac{2}{\frac{1}{1-\epsilon} + \frac{1}{1+\epsilon}} = (1-\epsilon)(1+\epsilon)$$

$$\|\Delta_{M}\|_{2} \leq \max\left(\left|1-\mu\frac{1}{1-\epsilon}\right|, \left|1-\mu\frac{1}{1+\epsilon}\right|\right)^{M} \|\Delta_{0}\|_{2} \quad (3)$$

$$= \max\left(|1-(1+\epsilon)|, |1-(1-\epsilon)|\right)^{M} \|\Delta_{0}\|_{2} \quad (4)$$

$$= \epsilon^{M} \|\Delta_{0}\|_{2} \quad (5)$$

 We may pick a row sampling matrix S as in Approximate Matrix Multiplication A<sup>T</sup>S<sup>T</sup>SA ≈ A<sup>T</sup>A

$$x^{t+1} = x_t - \mu (A^T S^T S A)^{-1} A^T (A x_t - b)$$

•  $A^T S^T S A$  is a subsampled Hessian

#### How to choose the sketch

• According to the convergence analysis we need  $||U^T S^T S U - U^T U||_2 \le \epsilon$  for some  $\epsilon > 0$  since

$$\|\Delta_M\|_2 \le \sigma_{\max} \left(I - \mu (U^T S^T S U)^{-1})^M\right) \|\Delta_0\|_2$$

#### Row sampling

Nonuniform row sampling. Probabilities  $p_i = \frac{\|u_i\|_2^2}{\sum_{j=1}^n \|u_j\|_2^2}$ 

(leverage scores, or optimal AMM for  $U^T U = I$ )

Uniform row sampling

Johnson Lindenstrauss Embeddings:

- i.i.d. Gaussian, Rademacher
- Sparse JL Transform (one/few non-zeros per column)
- Fast JL Transform (PHD based on Randomized Hadamard)

#### Number of samples/sketches required

In order to obtain the approximation

$$\mathbb{E} \| \boldsymbol{U}^{\mathsf{T}} \boldsymbol{S}^{\mathsf{T}} \boldsymbol{S} \boldsymbol{U} - \boldsymbol{U}^{\mathsf{T}} \boldsymbol{U} \|_{2} \leq \epsilon$$

Row sampling

 Nonuniform row sampling with p<sub>i</sub> = ||u<sub>i</sub>||<sup>2</sup>/<sub>∑j=1</sub> ||u<sub>j</sub>||<sup>2</sup>/<sub>2</sub> m = d log d / ε<sup>2</sup> samples are needed
 Uniform row sampling m = μn log(μn) / ε<sup>2</sup> samples are needed where μ := μ(U) := max<sub>i</sub> ||u<sub>i</sub>||<sup>2</sup>/<sub>2</sub>

Johnson Lindenstrauss Embeddings:

• i.i.d. Gaussian, Rademacher  $m = \frac{d}{\epsilon^2}$ 

Sparse JL Transform (one non-zeros per column)  $m = \frac{d^2}{\epsilon^2}$ 

- ▶ Sparse JL Transform  $(O(\frac{\log d}{\epsilon})$  non-zeros per column)  $m = \frac{d}{\epsilon^2}$
- Fast JL Transform (Randomized Hadamard)  $m = \frac{d \log d}{e^2}$

#### Coherence of a matrix

- Coherence parameter is defined as
  \(\mu := \mu(U) = \mu ax\_{i=1,...,n} \|u\_i\|\_2^2\)
- ▶ Note that  $u_i^{\top} u_i = e_i^{\top} U U^{\top} e_i = e_i^{\top} P e_i = P_{ii}$  and  $\mathbf{tr} P = d$ therefore  $\frac{d}{n} \leq \mu_U \leq 1$
- Uniform row sampling  $m = \frac{\mu n \log(\mu n)}{e^2}$  samples are required to obtain the subspace embedding

$$\|\boldsymbol{U}^{\mathsf{T}}\boldsymbol{S}^{\mathsf{T}}\boldsymbol{S}\boldsymbol{U}-\boldsymbol{U}^{\mathsf{T}}\boldsymbol{U}\|_{2}\leq\epsilon$$

*m* can be between  $\frac{d \log d}{\epsilon^2}$  (best case) and  $\frac{n \log d}{\epsilon^2}$  (worst case) depending on the distribution of  $||u_i||_2^2$ 

► Non-uniform (leverage score) sampling, or JL embeddings does not have the µ(U) coherence factor

#### How to prove sampling results: Matrix Concentration

Suppose that we sample the rows of U non-uniformly wrt a distribution p<sub>i</sub>, i = 1,.., n. How large is the spectral norm error ||U<sup>T</sup>S<sup>T</sup>SU − U<sup>T</sup>U||<sub>2</sub>? In AMM, we considered Frobenius norm error.

Concentration of sums of matrices
Theorem:<sup>1</sup> Let ũ<sub>1</sub>,..., ũ<sub>m</sub> be i.i.d. vectors such that
||ũ<sub>i</sub>||<sub>2</sub> ≤ B, ∀i, then

$$\mathbb{E} \left\| \frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=1}^{m} \tilde{u}_{j} \tilde{u}_{j}^{T} - \mathbb{E} \tilde{u}_{1} \tilde{u}_{1}^{T} \right\|_{2} \leq \epsilon := \text{constant} \times B \sqrt{\frac{\log m}{m}}$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Can be improved to a high probability result: Sampling from Large Matrices: An Approach through Geometric Functional Analysis, Rudelson and Vershynin, 2007

#### How to prove sampling results: Matrix Concentration

Suppose that we sample the rows of U non-uniformly wrt a distribution p<sub>i</sub>, i = 1,.., n. How large is the spectral norm error ||U<sup>T</sup>S<sup>T</sup>SU − U<sup>T</sup>U||<sub>2</sub>? In AMM, we considered Frobenius norm error.

Concentration of sums of matrices
Theorem:<sup>1</sup> Let ũ<sub>1</sub>,..., ũ<sub>m</sub> be i.i.d. vectors such that
||ũ<sub>i</sub>||<sub>2</sub> ≤ B, ∀i, then

$$\mathbb{E} \left\| \frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=1}^{m} \tilde{u}_{j} \tilde{u}_{j}^{T} - \mathbb{E} \tilde{u}_{1} \tilde{u}_{1}^{T} \right\|_{2} \le \epsilon := \text{constant} \times B \sqrt{\frac{\log m}{m}}$$

▶ non-uniform row sampling  $\tilde{u}_1 = u_i / \sqrt{p_i}$  with probability  $p_i \forall i$ . Note that  $\mathbb{E}u_1 u_1^T = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{u_i}{\sqrt{p_i}} \frac{u_i^T}{\sqrt{p_i}} p_i = \sum_{i=1}^n u_i u_i^T = U^T U = I$ .  $B = \max_i ||u_i||_2 / \sqrt{p_i}$ , ideally needs to be small.

<sup>1</sup>Can be improved to a high probability result: Sampling from Large Matrices: An Approach through Geometric Functional Analysis, Rudelson and Vershynin, 2007

How to prove sampling results: Matrix Concentration

**Theorem:**<sup>2</sup> Let  $\tilde{u}_1, ..., \tilde{u}_m$  be i.i.d. vectors such that  $\|\tilde{u}_i\|_2 \leq B, \forall i$ , then

$$\mathbb{E} \left\| \frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=1}^{m} \tilde{u}_{j} \tilde{u}_{j}^{T} - \mathbb{E} \tilde{u}_{1} \tilde{u}_{1}^{T} \right\|_{2} \le \epsilon := \text{constant} \times B \sqrt{\frac{\log m}{m}}$$

• non-uniform row sampling  $\tilde{u}_1 = u_i / \sqrt{p_i}$  with probability  $p_i \forall i$ .

• Using leverage score distribution  $p_i = \frac{\|u_i\|_2^2}{\sum_{j=1}^n \|u_j\|_2^2}$  we have  $B = \max_i \|u_i\|_2 / \|u_i\|_2 \sum_{j=1}^n \|u_j\|_2^2 = \operatorname{tr} U^T U = d$ 

#### • Using uniform distribution $p_i = \frac{1}{n}$ , we have $B = \max_i ||u_i||_2 / \sqrt{1/n} = n\mu(U)$ where $\mu(U) := \max_i ||u_i||_2$ is the coherence parameter of U.

▶ Picking  $m = c \frac{B^2}{\epsilon^2} \log(\frac{B^2}{\epsilon^2})$  we obtain the sampling results  $m = \frac{d \log d}{\epsilon^2}$  and  $m = \frac{\mu n \log(\mu n)}{\epsilon^2}$  respectively.

19/32

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Can be improved to a high probability result: Sampling from Large Matrices: An Approach through Geometric Functional Analysis, Rudelson and Vershynin, 2007

#### Computational complexity

- For  $\epsilon$  accuracy in the objective value, i.e.,  $||A\hat{x} Ax^*||_2 \le \epsilon$
- Gradient Descent (GD) total computational cost  $\kappa nd \log(\frac{1}{\epsilon})$
- Gradient Descent with Momentum (GD-M) total computational cost √κnd log(<sup>1</sup>/<sub>ε</sub>)
- Note that we need to know eigenvalues of A<sup>T</sup>A to find optimal step-sizes for GD and GD-M. Conjugate Gradient (CG) doesn't require the eigenvalues explicitly and results in √knd log(<sup>1</sup>/<sub>ϵ</sub>) operations
- Randomized Newton Method (using randomized Hadamard based fast JL, m = constant × d log d) total computational cost nd log n + d<sup>3</sup> log d + ndlog(<sup>1</sup>/<sub>ϵ</sub>) for n ≫ d, the complexity is O(nd log(1/ϵ))

uniform row sampling, leverage score sampling and other sketching matrices also work with different sketch sizes.

#### Preconditioning Least Squares Problems

$$\min_{x} \|Ax - b\|_2^2$$

- Convergence of GD, GD-M or CG depend on the condition number  $\kappa := \frac{\lambda_{\max}(A^T A)}{\lambda_{\min}(A^T A)}$ .
- We can precondition the problem by a variable change x = Rx' where R is an invertible matrix. Then, we form the problem

$$\min_{x'} \|ARx' - b\|_2^2$$

whose solution is  $(AR)^{\dagger}b = (R^{T}A^{T}AR)^{-1}R^{T}A^{T}b = R^{-1}(A^{T}A)^{-1}A^{T}b = R^{-1}A^{\dagger}b.$ 

Then we can recover  $x^* = Rx' = RR^{-1}A^{\dagger}b = A^{\dagger}b$ 

Condition number of AR can be better than A for carefully chosen preconditioners R, and hence GD, GD-M or CG can converge faster. Ideally, eigenvalues of R<sup>T</sup>A<sup>T</sup>AR should be all near 1.

## Preconditioning Trade-off

original problem

$$\min_{x} \|Ax - b\|_2^2$$

preconditioned problem

$$\min_{x'} \|ARx' - b\|_2^2$$

▶ R = I is the original problem  $R^T A^T A R = A^T A$ . Condition number is the same.

►  $R = (A^T A)^{-1}$  perfectly preconditions since  $(A^T A)^{-1/2} A^T A (A^T A)^{-1/2} = I$ . Condition number is 1.

Recovering the solution requires solving  $A^T A x = x'!$ we need a cheaply invertible matrix that preconditions the eigenvalues

• example: diagonal preconditioner  $R = \operatorname{diag}(A)^{-1}$ 

#### Randomized Preconditioners

original problem

$$\min_{x} \|Ax - b\|_2^2$$



$$\min_{x'} \|ARx' - b\|_2^2$$

Condition number of  $R^T A^T A R$  should be small. exploring different options

R i.i.d random, e.g., Gaussian?

#### Randomized Preconditioners

original problem

$$\min_{x} \|Ax - b\|_2^2$$



$$\min_{x'} \|ARx' - b\|_2^2$$

Condition number of  $R^T A^T A R$  should be small. exploring different options

$$\triangleright R = A^T S^T S A?$$

#### Randomized Preconditioners

original problem

$$\min_{x} \|Ax - b\|_2^2$$



$$\min_{x'} \|ARx' - b\|_2^2$$

Condition number of  $R^T A^T A R$  should be small. exploring different options

▶ *R* i.i.d random, e.g., Gaussian?

$$\triangleright R = A^T S^T S A?$$

• Let  $R = (A^T S^T S A)^{-1/2}$ . Then we have

$$R^{\mathsf{T}}A^{\mathsf{T}}AR = (A^{\mathsf{T}}S^{\mathsf{T}}SA)^{-1/2}A^{\mathsf{T}}A(A^{\mathsf{T}}S^{\mathsf{T}}SA)^{-1/2}$$

# Hessian Square Root $(A^T S^T S A)^{-1/2}$ Preconditioner

- Let  $R = (A^T S^T S A)^{-1/2}$ . Then we have
- ► Note that R<sup>T</sup>A<sup>T</sup>AR and ARR<sup>T</sup>A<sup>T</sup> have the same non-zero eigenvalues
- $ARR^T A^T = A(A^T S^T S A)^{-1/2} (A^T S^T S A)^{-1/2} A^T = A(A^T S^T S A)^{-1} A^T$

# Hessian Square Root $(A^T S^T S A)^{-1/2}$ Preconditioner

- Let  $R = (A^T S^T S A)^{-1/2}$ . Then we have
- ► Note that  $R^T A^T A R$  and  $A R R^T A^T$  have the same non-zero eigenvalues
- $ARR^T A^T = A(A^T S^T S A)^{-1/2} (A^T S^T S A)^{-1/2} A^T = A(A^T S^T S A)^{-1/2} A^T = A(A^T S^T S A)^{-1} A^T$
- ► Let  $A = U\Sigma V^T$  the Singular Value Decomposition Then we have  $A(A^T S^T S A)^{-1} A^T = U(U^T S^T S U)^{-1} U^T$ , whose eigenvalues are the eigenvalues of  $(U^T S^T S U)^{-1}$
- Therefore, subspace approximation ||U<sup>T</sup>S<sup>T</sup>SU − I||<sub>2</sub> ≤ ε implies that eigenvalues of U<sup>T</sup>S<sup>T</sup>SU are in (1 − ε, 1 + ε).
- Consequently, eigenvalues of  $R^T A^T A R$  are also in  $(1 \epsilon, 1 + \epsilon)$ , which improves the condition number to  $\kappa(AR) = \frac{1+\epsilon}{1-\epsilon}$

Non-uniform row sampling, uniform row sampling (with extra coherence dependence), JL embeddings will work

#### Implementing Randomized Preconditioning

- Generate a sketching matrix S. Recall  $R = (A^T S^T S A)^{-1/2}$
- Apply QR factorization to SA to obtain  $SA = Q_{SA}R_{SA}$  where  $R_{SA}$  is upper triangular and  $Q_{SA}$  is orthonormal.

Observe that

 $R = (A^T S^T S A)^{-1/2} = (R_{SA}^T Q_{SA}^T Q_{SA} R_{SA})^{-1} = (R_{SA}^T R_{SA})^{-1/2}$ and an inverse square root is given by  $R_{SA}$ 

Since  $R_{SA}$  is upper triangular, we can apply it to vectors in linear time using back-substitution.

#### Implementing Randomized Preconditioning

- Generate a sketching matrix S. Recall  $R = (A^T S^T S A)^{-1/2}$
- Apply QR factorization to SA to obtain  $SA = Q_{SA}R_{SA}$  where  $R_{SA}$  is upper triangular and  $Q_{SA}$  is orthonormal.

Observe that

 $R = (A^T S^T S A)^{-1/2} = (R_{SA}^T Q_{SA}^T Q_{SA} R_{SA})^{-1} = (R_{SA}^T R_{SA})^{-1/2}$ and an inverse square root is given by  $R_{SA}$ 

Since  $R_{SA}$  is upper triangular, we can apply it to vectors in linear time using back-substitution.

Solve

$$\min_{x'} \|ARx' - b\|_2^2$$

using Conjugate Gradient method or Gradient Descent with Momentum (since we know about the eigenvalues). Note that each steps requires gradient calculation  $R^T A^T (A(Rx) - b)$ , which can be done with back-substitution and matrix vector products

#### Randomized Newton vs Preconditioning

- Both approaches remove the condition number dependence
- Randomized Preconditioning requires QR decomposition and back-substitution steps
- Randomized Newton (also called Iterative Hessian Sketch) is more flexible since QR decomposition is not required. We can use approximate sub-solvers

$$x^{t+1} = x_t - (A^T S^T S A)^{-1} A^T (A x_t - b)$$
  
=  $x_t + \arg \min_z \frac{1}{2} \|SAz\|_2^2 + z^T (A^T (A x_t - b))$ 

- ► e.g., CG to approximately solve the system (A<sup>T</sup>S<sup>T</sup>SA)z = A<sup>T</sup>(Ax<sub>t</sub> − b)
- Furthermore, Randomized Newton generalizes to arbitrary functions: HessianSketch<sup>-1</sup>gradient

Gradient Descent for Convex Optimization Problems

#### Strong convexity

A convex function f is called strongly convex if there exists two positive constants  $\beta_-\leq\beta_+$  such that

$$\beta_{-} \leq \lambda_{i} \left( \nabla^{2} f(x) \right) \leq \beta_{+}$$

for every x in the domain of f

 $\lambda_{\min}(\nabla^2 f(x)) \ge \beta_ \lambda_{\max}(\nabla^2 f(x)) \le \beta_+$  Gradient Descent for Strongly Convex Functions

$$x_{t+1} = x_t - \mu_t \nabla f(x_t)$$

Suppose that f is strongly convex with parameters β<sub>-</sub>, β<sub>+</sub> let f<sup>\*</sup> := min<sub>x</sub> f(x)

#### Theorem

Set constant step-size 
$$\mu_t = \frac{1}{\beta_+}$$
  
 $f(x_{t+1}) - f^* \le (1 - \frac{\beta_-}{\beta_+})(f(x_t) - f^*)$   
recursively applying we get

► 
$$f(x_M) - f^* \le (1 - \frac{\beta_-}{\beta_+})^M (f(x_0) - f^*)$$

#### Gradient Descent for Strongly Convex Functions

# Gradient Descent with Momentum (Heavy Ball Method) for Strongly Convex Functions

$$x_{t+1} = x_t - \mu \nabla f(x_t) + \beta (x_t - x_{t-1})$$

• step-size parameter 
$$\mu = \frac{4}{(\sqrt{\beta_+} + \sqrt{\beta_-})^2}$$

- momentum parameter  $\beta = \max \left( |1 \sqrt{\mu \beta_-}|, |1 \sqrt{\mu \beta_+}| \right)^2$
- For optimizing functions f(Ax) computational complexity O(√κnd log(<sup>1</sup>/<sub>ϵ</sub>)) where κ = <sup>β+</sup>/<sub>β−</sub>

# Questions?

#### References

- Improved analysis of the subsampled randomized Hadamard transform JA Tropp - Advances in Adaptive Data Analysis, 2011 - World Scientific
- Sampling from large matrices: An approach through geometric functional analysis M Rudelson, R Vershynin -Journal of the ACM (JACM), 2007
- A fast randomized algorithm for overdetermined linear least-squares regression V Rokhlin, M Tygert. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 2008
- OSNAP: Faster numerical linear algebra algorithms via sparser subspace embeddings Jelani Nelson, Huy L. Nguyen, 2012
- Iterative Hessian sketch: Fast and accurate solution approximation for constrained least-squares M Pilanci, MJ Wainwright - The Journal of Machine Learning Research, 2016