EE270 Large scale matrix computation, optimization and learning

Instructor: Mert Pilanci

Stanford University

Thursday, Jan 23 2020

Randomized Linear Algebra Lecture 7: Least Squares Optimization and Random Projections

Recap: Johnson Lindenstrauss Lemma

Let $\epsilon \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$. Given any set of points $\{x_1, ..., x_n\}$ in \mathbb{R}^d , there exists a map $S : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$ with $m = \frac{9 \log(n)}{\epsilon^2 - \epsilon^3}$ such that

$$1 - \epsilon \le \frac{\|Sx_i - Sx_j\|_2^2}{\|x_i - x_j\|_2^2} \le 1 + \epsilon$$

Recap: Johnson Lindenstrauss Lemma

Let $\epsilon \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$. Given any set of points $\{x_1, ..., x_n\}$ in \mathbb{R}^d , there exists a map $S : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$ with $m = \frac{9 \log(n)}{\epsilon^2 - \epsilon^3}$ such that

$$1 - \epsilon \le \frac{\|Sx_i - Sx_j\|_2^2}{\|x_i - x_j\|_2^2} \le 1 + \epsilon$$

Note that the target dimension m is independent of the original dimension d, and depends only on the number of points n and the accuracy parameter.

Recap: Johnson Lindenstrauss Lemma

Let $\epsilon \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$. Given any set of points $\{x_1, ..., x_n\}$ in \mathbb{R}^d , there exists a map $S : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$ with $m = \frac{9 \log(n)}{\epsilon^2 - \epsilon^3}$ such that

$$1 - \epsilon \le \frac{\|Sx_i - Sx_j\|_2^2}{\|x_i - x_j\|_2^2} \le 1 + \epsilon$$

- Note that the target dimension m is independent of the original dimension d, and depends only on the number of points n and the accuracy parameter.
- ▶ more surprises: picking an $m \times d$ random matrix $S = \frac{1}{\sqrt{m}}G$ with $G_{ij} \sim N(0,1)$ standard normal works with high probability!

True 'projections': random subspaces also work

- Pick $S_{(i)}$ uniformly random on the unit sphere
- Pick $S_{(i+1)}$ uniformly random on the unit sphere and $\bot S_{(i)},...S_{(1)}$
- ► *S* is a projection matrix, which projects onto a uniformly random subspace

$$\mathbb{P}\left\{\left|\|Su\|_2 - \sqrt{\frac{m}{d}}\right| > t\right\} \le 2e^{\frac{-t^2d}{2}}$$

- Applying union bound for all points i, j = 1, ..., d gives a similar result
- ▶ Random i.i.d. S matrices are easier to generate and approximately orthogonal: $\mathbb{E}S^TS = I$

Computationally cheaper random matrices

- Gaussian $S_{ij} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{m}}N(0,1)$
- Rademacher

$$S_{ij} = \begin{cases} +\frac{1}{m} & \text{with probability } \frac{1}{\sqrt{m}} \\ -\frac{1}{\sqrt{m}} & \text{with probability } \frac{1}{2} \end{cases}$$
 (1)

Bernoulli-Rademacher

$$S_{ij} = \begin{cases} +\frac{\sqrt{3}}{\sqrt{m}} & \text{with probability } \frac{1}{2} \\ 0 & \text{with probability } \frac{2}{3} \\ -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{\sqrt{m}} & \text{with probability } \frac{1}{2} \end{cases}$$
 (2)

- other sparse matrices (e.g. one non-zero per column)
- ► Fourier transform based matrices



Random projection for Approximate Matrix Multiplication

▶ Let the approximate product of AB be $C = AS^TSB$

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\|AB - C\|_F > 3\epsilon \|A\|_F \|B\|_F\right] \le \delta$$

- ► Follows from JL Moment property
- $S\in \mathbb{R}^{m imes n}\sim rac{1}{\sqrt{m}} imes$ random i.i.d. sub-Gaussian, e.g., ± 1 , or N(0,1) with $m=rac{c_1}{\epsilon^2}\lograc{1}{\delta}$
- ▶ $S \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n} \sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{m}} \times \text{CountSketch matrix (one nonzero per column, which is } \pm 1$ at a uniformly random location) with $m = \frac{c_2}{c^2 \delta}$
- $S \in \mathbb{R}^{m imes n} \sim rac{1}{\sqrt{m}} imes \mathsf{Fast} \ \mathsf{JL} \ \mathsf{Transform} \ \mathsf{with} \ m = rac{c_3}{\epsilon} \log rac{1}{\delta}$

Random projection for Approximate Matrix Multiplication

▶ Let the approximate product of AB be $C = AS^TSB$

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\|AB - C\|_F > 3\epsilon \|A\|_F \|B\|_F\right] \le \delta$$

- ► Follows from JL Moment property
- $S \in \mathbb{R}^{m imes n} \sim rac{1}{\sqrt{m}} imes ext{random i.i.d. sub-Gaussian, e.g., } \pm 1$, or N(0,1) with $m = rac{c_1}{\epsilon^2} \log rac{1}{\delta}$
- ► $S \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n} \sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{m}} \times \text{CountSketch matrix (one nonzero per column, which is } \pm 1$ at a uniformly random location) with $m = \frac{c_2}{\epsilon^2 \delta}$
- $S \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n} \sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{m}} imes \mathsf{Fast} \ \mathsf{JL} \ \mathsf{Transform} \ \mathsf{with} \ m = \frac{c_3}{\epsilon} \log \frac{1}{\delta}$
- ► Sparse JL and Fast JL are more efficient
- advantages: doesn't require any knowledge about matrices A and B (oblivious)
- optimal sampling probabilities depend on the column/row norms of A and B



- Predict the value of a continuous target variable y $(a_1, b_1), ..., (a_n, b_n)$ $a_i \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $b_i \in \mathbb{R}$
- ▶ Linear regression $f(a) = x^T a + x_0$

- Predict the value of a continuous target variable y $(a_1, b_1), ..., (a_n, b_n)$ $a_i \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $b_i \in \mathbb{R}$
- ▶ Linear regression $f(a) = x^T a + x_0$
- ▶ Performance measure: minimum sum of squares

$$\min_{x,x_0} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (b_i - x^T a_i - x_0)^2$$

- Predict the value of a continuous target variable y $(a_1, b_1), ..., (a_n, b_n)$ $a_i \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $b_i \in \mathbb{R}$
- ▶ Linear regression $f(a) = x^T a + x_0$
- ▶ Performance measure: minimum sum of squares

$$\min_{x,x_0} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (b_i - x^T a_i - x_0)^2$$

• we can add a regularization term $\lambda ||x||_2^2$

$$\min_{x,x_0} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (b_i - x^T a_i - x_0)^2 + \lambda ||x||_2^2$$

Loss function:

$$L(x,x_0) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (b_i - x^T a_i - x_0)^2 + \lambda ||x||_2^2$$

- ▶ plugging x_0^* in $L(x, x_0)$ $L(x, x_0^*) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (b_i - \bar{b} - x^T (a_i - \bar{a}))^2 + \lambda ||x||_2^2$

Loss function:

$$L(x,x_0) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (b_i - x^T a_i - x_0)^2 + \lambda ||x||_2^2$$

- Plugging x_0^* in $L(x, x_0)$ $L(x, x_0^*) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (b_i \bar{b} x^T (a_i \bar{a}))^2 + \lambda ||x||_2^2$ define centered data $\tilde{a}_i = a_i \bar{a}$ and $\tilde{b}_i = b_i \bar{b}$ $\min \ ||\tilde{A}x \tilde{b}||_2^2 + n\lambda ||x||_2^2$

Loss function:

$$L(x,x_0) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (b_i - x^T a_i - x_0)^2 + \lambda ||x||_2^2$$

- plugging x_0^* in $L(x, x_0)$ $L(x, x_0^*) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (b_i - \bar{b} - x^T (a_i - \bar{a}))^2 + \lambda ||x||_2^2$ define centered data $\tilde{a}_i = a_i - \bar{a}$ and $\tilde{b}_i = b_i - \bar{b}$ $\min_x ||\tilde{A}x - \tilde{b}||_2^2 + n\lambda ||x||_2^2$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x}L(x,x_0^*) = 2\tilde{A}^T(\tilde{A}x^* - \tilde{b}) + 2n\lambda x^* = 0$$
 optimal solution $x^* = (\tilde{A}^T\tilde{A} + n\lambda I)^{-1}\tilde{A}^T\tilde{b}$

Autoregressive Models

$$b[n] = a[n+1] \approx \sum_{k} x_k a[n-k]$$

► AR(2) model : two non-zero filter coefficients

$$a[n+1] = -x_0 a[n] - x_1 a[n-1]$$

and error term $e_n = 0$


Example: Sine wave $a[n] = \sin(\alpha n)$ satisfies AR(2) model




Autoregressive models

► We can predict future values using

$$b[n] = \sum_{k} a[n-k]x_k$$





Least Squares Problems and Random Projection


▶ Given $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$ and $b \in \mathbb{R}^d$ find the best linear fit $Ax \approx b$ according to

$$\min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} \|Ax - b\|_2^2$$

- ightharpoonup no regularization, i.e., $\lambda=0$
- ▶ If A is full column rank then

Geometry

$$\min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} \|Ax - b\|_2^2$$



Singular Value Decomposition

▶ Every $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ has a singular value decomposition

$$A = U\Sigma V^T$$

where $U \in \mathbb{K} \times \mathbb{K}$ has orthonormal columns Σ is diagonal with non-increasing non negative entries V^T has orthonormal rows

- ► Pseudoinverse $A^{\dagger} = V \Sigma^{-1} U^{T}$
- Least Square solution $x_{LS} = (A^T A)^{-1} A^T b = A^{\dagger} b = V \Sigma^{-1} U^T b$

Classical Methods for Least Squares

- Direct methods
- ► Cholesky decomposition: Form A^TA and decompose $A^TA = R^TR$ where R is upper triangular. Solve normal equations $(A^TA)^{-1} = (R^TR)^{-1}A^Tb$
- ▶ QR decomposition: A = QR, solve $Rx = Q^Tb$
- Singular Value Decomposition: $x_{LS} = V \Sigma^{-1} U^T b$ Direct methods have typically $O(nd^2)$ complexity
- Indirect methods
- Gradient descent with momentum (Chebyshev iteration)
- Conjugate Gradient
- Other iterative methods Indirect methods have typically $O(\sqrt{\kappa} nd)$ complexity, where κ is the condition number

Faster Least Squares Optimization: Random Projection

▶ Left-sketching

Form SA and Sb where $S \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ is a random projection matrix

► Solve the smaller problem

$$\min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} \|SAx - Sb\|_2^2$$

using any classical method.
 Direct method complexity md²

Faster Least Squares Optimization: Random Projection

▶ Left-sketching

Form SA and Sb where $S \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ is a random projection matrix

► Solve the smaller problem

$$\min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} \|SAx - Sb\|_2^2$$

using any classical method.
 Direct method complexity md²

Approximation Result

lackbox Let $S \in \mathbb{R}^{m imes d}$ be a Johnson-Lindenstrauss Embedding

$$x_{LS} = \arg\min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} \underbrace{\|Ax - b\|_2^2}_{f(x)}$$

$$\tilde{x} = \arg\min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} \|SAx - Sb\|_2^2$$

- ▶ If $m \ge \text{constant} \times \frac{rank(A)}{\epsilon^2}$ then,
- $f(x_{LS}) \le f(\tilde{x}) \le (1 + \epsilon^2) f(x_{LS})$
- $\|A(x_{LS} \tilde{x})\|_2^2 \le \epsilon^2$ with high probability

Gaussian Sketch

▶ Let S be $\frac{1}{m} \times$ i.i.d. Gaussian. $\mathbb{E}[S^T S] = I$

$$\tilde{x} = \arg\min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} \|SAx - Sb\|_2^2$$

▶ Is $\mathbb{E}\left[\tilde{x}\right]$ equal to x_{LS} ?

Questions?