EE276 Homework $#6$

Due on March 1, 5pm

1. Rate distortion for uniform source with Hamming distortion.

Consider a source X uniformly distributed on the set $\{1, 2, ..., m\}$. Find the rate distortion function for this source with Hamming distortion, i.e.,

$$
d(x, \hat{x}) = \begin{cases} 0, & x = \hat{x} \\ 1, & x \neq \hat{x} \end{cases}
$$

via the following steps:

- (a) Argue that $R(D) = 0$ when $D \geq 1 \frac{1}{n}$ $\frac{1}{m}$.
- (b) Show that for $D \leq 1 \frac{1}{n}$ $\frac{1}{m}$, $I(X; \hat{X}) \ge \log_2 m - h_2(D) - D \log_2 (m - 1)$ for any joint distribution (X, \hat{X}) satisfying the distortion constraint D. Hint: Fano's inequality.
- (c) Find distribution $p(\hat{x}|x)$ that achieves the above lower bound when $0 \leq D \leq 1-\frac{1}{n}$ $\frac{1}{m}$.
- (d) Use the above parts to write down the rate-distortion function $R(D)$ for $D \geq 0$.

2. Convexity of rate distortion function.

Assume $(X, Y) \sim p(x, y) = p(x)p(y|x)$. In this problem, you will show that for fixed $p(x)$, $I(X; Y)$ is a convex function of $p(y|x)$.

(a) The log sum inequality states that for *n* positive numbers a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n , and b_1, b_2, \cdots, b_n , we have

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i \log \frac{a_i}{b_i} \ge \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i\right) \log \left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} b_i}\right)
$$

with equality if and only if $\frac{a_i}{b_i}$ =constant. Using this inequality (you don't have to prove this inequality), show that $D(p||q)$ is convex in (p, q) , i.e.,

$$
\lambda D(p_1||q_1) + (1 - \lambda)D(p_2||q_2) \ge D(\lambda p_1 + (1 - \lambda)p_2||\lambda q_1 + (1 - \lambda)q_2)
$$

(b) Let $p_1(y|x)$ and $p_2(y|x)$ be two different conditional distributions. For $i \in \{1,2\},$ let $p_i(x, y) = p_i(y|x)p(x)$, i.e., their corresponding joint distributions. For $0 \leq$ $\lambda \leq 1$, let $p_{\lambda}(y|x) \stackrel{\Delta}{=} \lambda p_1(y|x) + (1-\lambda)p_2(y|x)$. Show that

$$
p_{\lambda}(y) = \lambda p_1(y) + (1 - \lambda)p_2(y)
$$

 (c) The mutual information between random variables X and Y can be alternatively written as

$$
I(X;Y) = D(p(x,y)||p(x)p(y))
$$

Using this in addition to the results of the previous parts show that for fixed $p(x)$, $I(X; Y)$ is convex in $p(y|x)$.

(d) Using the previous part, show that the rate distortion function $R^{(I)}(D)$ is convex in the distortion parameter D.

3. Shannon lower bound.

Let X be a continuous random variable with mean zero and variance σ^2 . $R(D)$ is the corresponding rate-distortion function for mean-squared distortion.

(a) Show the lower bound:

$$
h(X) - \frac{1}{2}\log(2\pi eD) \le R(D).
$$

(b) Using the joint distribution shown in Figure 1, show the upper bound on $R(D)$:

$$
R(D) \le \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{\sigma^2}{D} \tag{1}
$$

Are Gaussian random variables harder or easier to describe than other random variables with the same variance?

Figure 1: Joint distribution for upper bound on rate distortion function. The circle with the dot represents multiplication.

4. Rate distortion for two independent sources. Let $\{X_i\}$ be iid ~ $p(x)$ with distortion $d(x, \hat{x})$ and rate distortion function $R_X(D)$. Similarly, let $\{Y_i\}$ be iid $\sim p(y)$ with distortion $d(y, \hat{y})$ and rate distortion function $R_Y(D)$.

Suppose we now wish to describe the process $\{(X_i,Y_i)\}$ subject to distortions $\mathbb{E}[d(X,\hat{X})]\leq$ D_1 and $\mathbb{E}[d(Y, \hat{Y})] \leq D_2$. Thus a rate $R_{X,Y}(D_1, D_2)$ is sufficient, where

$$
R_{X,Y}(D_1, D_2) = \min_{p(\hat{x}, \hat{y}|x,y): \mathbb{E}[d(X,\hat{X})] \le D_1, \mathbb{E}[d(Y,\hat{Y})] \le D_2} I(X,Y;\hat{X},\hat{Y})
$$

Suppose the $\{X_i\}$ process and the $\{Y_i\}$ process are independent of each other.

Express $R_{X,Y}(D_1, D_2)$ in terms of $R_X(D_1)$ and $R_Y(D_2)$. Can one simultaneously compress two independent sources better than by compressing the sources individually?

5. Distortion-rate function. Let

$$
D(R) = \min_{p(\hat{x}|x): I(X;\hat{X}) \le R} \mathbb{E}[d(X,\hat{X})]
$$
\n(2)

be the distortion rate function.

- (a) Is $D(R)$ increasing or decreasing in R ?
- (b) Is $D(R)$ convex or concave in R?
- (c) Let X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n be i.i.d. $\sim p(x)$. Suppose one is given a code (X^n, \hat{X}^n) with

$$
\frac{1}{n}I(X^n; \widehat{X}^n) \le R
$$

and resulting distortion $D = \mathbb{E}[d(X^n, \hat{X}^n)]$. We want to show that $D \ge D(R)$. Give reasons for the following steps in the proof:

$$
D = \mathbb{E}[d(X^n, \hat{X}^n(i(X^n)))] \tag{3}
$$

$$
\stackrel{(a)}{=} \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}d(X_i,\hat{X}_i)\right]
$$
\n(4)

$$
\stackrel{(b)}{=} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}[d(X_i, \hat{X}_i)] \tag{5}
$$

$$
\stackrel{(c)}{\geq} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} D\left(I(X_i; \hat{X}_i)\right) \tag{6}
$$

$$
\stackrel{(d)}{\geq} D\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n} I(X_i; \hat{X}_i)\right) \tag{7}
$$

$$
\stackrel{(e)}{\geq} D\left(\frac{1}{n}I(X^n; \hat{X}^n)\right) \tag{8}
$$

$$
\geq D(R) \tag{9}
$$