

EE378B Homework 5 Solution

Due to: Chen Cheng

1 Part (a)

We construct a special estimator $\hat{\sigma}_0^*$ such that

$$\hat{\sigma}_0^*(G, \sigma_1^{n-m}) = \begin{cases} \hat{\sigma}_{n-m+1}^n, & \hat{\sigma}_1^{n-m} = \sigma_1^{n-m} \\ -\hat{\sigma}_{n-m+1}^n, & \hat{\sigma}_1^{n-m} = -\sigma_1^{n-m} \\ \mathbf{1}, & \text{else} \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

Note that $\hat{\sigma}_0^*$ is indeed a function of G and σ_1^{n-m} as $\hat{\sigma}$ is a function of G . If $\hat{\sigma}_0^*(G, \sigma_1^{n-m}) \neq \sigma_{n-m+1}^n$, it implies either $\hat{\sigma}_1^{n-m} \notin \{\pm\sigma_1^{n-m}\}$ and $\sigma_{n-m+1}^n \neq \mathbf{1}$; or $\hat{\sigma} \notin \{\pm\sigma\}$. Whichever the case, this suggests

$$\{\hat{\sigma} \notin \{\pm\sigma\}\} \supset \{\hat{\sigma}_0^*(G, \sigma_1^{n-m}) \neq \sigma_{n-m+1}^n\}, \quad (2)$$

and thus

$$\mathbb{P}_{\text{err},n}(\hat{\sigma}) \geq \mathbb{P}(\hat{\sigma}_0^*(G, \sigma_1^{n-m}) \neq \sigma_{n-m+1}^n) \geq \inf_{\hat{\sigma}^*} \mathbb{P}(\hat{\sigma}^*(G, \sigma_1^{n-m}) \neq \sigma_{n-m+1}^n). \quad (3)$$

2 Part (b)

Let the subgraphs of G and G_* formed by the vertices $\{n-m+1, \dots, n\}$ be \bar{G}, \bar{G}_* . We can couple \bar{G} and \bar{G}_* optimally, such that they are defined on the same probability space and

$$\mathbb{P}(G \neq G_*) = \mathbb{P}(\bar{G} \neq \bar{G}_*) = \|\bar{G} - \bar{G}_*\|_{\text{TV}}. \quad (4)$$

The first equality follows from the construction of G_* . Therefore by part (a) one would naturally have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}_{\text{err},n}(\hat{\sigma}) &\geq \inf_{\hat{\sigma}^*} \mathbb{P}(\hat{\sigma}^*(G, \sigma_1^{n-m}) \neq \sigma_{n-m+1}^n) \\ &= \inf_{\hat{\sigma}^*} \mathbb{P}(\hat{\sigma}^*(G, \sigma_1^{n-m}) \neq \sigma_{n-m+1}^n, G = G^*) - \mathbb{P}(G \neq G^*) \\ &= \inf_{\hat{\sigma}^*} \mathbb{P}(\hat{\sigma}^*(G^*, \sigma_1^{n-m}) \neq \sigma_{n-m+1}^n, G = G^*) - \mathbb{P}(G \neq G^*) \\ &= \inf_{\hat{\sigma}^*} \mathbb{P}(\hat{\sigma}^*(G^*, \sigma_1^{n-m}) \neq \sigma_{n-m+1}^n) - 2\|\bar{G} - \bar{G}_*\|_{\text{TV}} \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

Since \bar{G}, \bar{G}^* are random graphs on m vertices, by Lemma 1 we have the condition

$$\frac{m(p_n - q_n)^2}{p_n + q_n} = \frac{m(\alpha - \beta)^2 \log n}{n(\alpha + \beta)} \leq \frac{(\alpha - \beta)^2 \log n}{(\alpha + \beta)n^\epsilon} \rightarrow 0 \quad (6)$$

verified and thus $\|\bar{G} - \bar{G}_*\|_{\text{TV}} = o_n(1)$.

3 Part (c)

The infimum is achieved by the Bayes estimator, i.e.,

$$\hat{\sigma}_{\text{Bayes}}^*(G_*, \sigma_1^{n-m})$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \operatorname{argmax}_{\hat{\sigma}^*} \mathbb{P}(\sigma_{n-m+1}^n = \hat{\sigma}^* | G_*, \sigma_1^{n-m}) \\
&= \operatorname{argmax}_{\hat{\sigma}^*} \mathbb{P}(G_* | \sigma_{n-m+1}^n = \hat{\sigma}^*, \sigma_1^{n-m}) \mathbb{P}(\sigma_{n-m+1}^n = \hat{\sigma}^*) \\
&= \operatorname{argmax}_{\hat{\sigma}^*} \left(\prod_{n-m+1 \leq i \leq n, \hat{\sigma}_i^* = 1} \left(\frac{p_n}{1-p_n} \right)^{N_+(i)} \left(\frac{q_n}{1-q_n} \right)^{N_-(i)} \right) \cdot \left(\prod_{n-m+1 \leq i \leq n, \hat{\sigma}_i^* = -1} \left(\frac{q_n}{1-q_n} \right)^{N_+(i)} \left(\frac{p_n}{1-p_n} \right)^{N_-(i)} \right) \\
&\quad \cdot \prod_{i=n-m+1}^n \left((1-p_n)^{\#\{\hat{\sigma}_i^* \sigma_j = 1 : 1 \leq j \leq n-m, n-m+1 \leq i \leq n\}} \cdot (1-q_n)^{\#\{\hat{\sigma}_i^* \sigma_j = -1 : 1 \leq j \leq n-m, n-m+1 \leq i \leq n\}} \right), \quad (7)
\end{aligned}$$

where we use $\mathbb{P}(\sigma_{n-m+1}^n = \hat{\sigma}^*) = 2^{-m}$. Define $U_l(\mathbf{x}) = \#\{x_i = 1 : 1 \leq i \leq l\}$ for any vector $\mathbf{x} \in \{\pm 1\}^l$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
&\hat{\sigma}_{\text{Bayes}}^*(G_*, \sigma_1^{n-m}) \\
&= \operatorname{argmax}_{\hat{\sigma}^*} \left(\prod_{n-m+1 \leq i \leq n, \hat{\sigma}_i^* = 1} \left(\frac{p_n}{1-p_n} \right)^{N_+(i)} \left(\frac{q_n}{1-q_n} \right)^{N_-(i)} (1-p_n)^{U_{n-m}(\sigma_1^{n-m})} (1-q_n)^{n-m-U_{n-m}(\sigma_1^{n-m})} \right) \\
&\quad \cdot \left(\prod_{n-m+1 \leq i \leq n, \hat{\sigma}_i^* = -1} \left(\frac{q_n}{1-q_n} \right)^{N_+(i)} \left(\frac{p_n}{1-p_n} \right)^{N_-(i)} (1-q_n)^{U_{n-m}(\sigma_1^{n-m})} (1-p_n)^{n-m-U_{n-m}(\sigma_1^{n-m})} \right)
\end{aligned}$$

Then we can see conditional on σ_1^{n-m} , $\hat{\sigma}_{\text{Bayes},i}^*$ is a function uniquely of $(N_+(i), N_-(i))$.

4 Part (d)

We've seen the optimal $\hat{\sigma}_i^*$ is only a function of $N_+(i), N_-(i)$ conditioned on σ_1^{n-m} . Here we assume that the limit is taken conditioned on a sequence of fixed σ_1^{n-m} as $n \rightarrow \infty$. By part (b) we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}(\hat{\sigma}^*(G_*, \sigma_1^{n-m}) \neq \sigma_{n-m+1}^n) = 0. \quad (8)$$

While σ_{n-m+1}^n is uniformly distributed in $\{\pm 1\}^m$, the vertices $\{n-m+1, \dots, n\}$ on the random graph G_* are equivalent (i.e., the model is invariant under any permutation of the last m vertices). Hence

$$\mathbb{P}(\hat{\sigma}_{n-m+1}^*(N_-(n-m+1), N_+(n-m+1)) \neq \sigma_{n-m+1}) = \dots = \mathbb{P}(\hat{\sigma}_n^*(N_-(n), N_+(n)) \neq \sigma_n). \quad (9)$$

Also notice that conditioned on σ_{n-m+1}^n , $(N_-(i), N_+(i)), i = n-m+1, \dots, n$ are independent, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{P}(\hat{\sigma}^*(G_*, \sigma_1^{n-m}) \neq \sigma_{n-m+1}^n) \\
&= \mathbb{E}_{\sigma_{n-m+1}^n} [\mathbb{P}(\hat{\sigma}^*(G_*, \sigma_1^{n-m}) \neq \sigma_{n-m+1}^n | \sigma_{n-m+1}^n)] \\
&= \mathbb{E}_{\sigma_{n-m+1}^n} \left[1 - \prod_{i=n-m+1}^n (1 - \mathbb{P}(\hat{\sigma}_i^*(N_-(i), N_+(i)) \neq \sigma_i | \sigma_{n-m+1}^n)) \right] \\
&\geq \mathbb{E}_{\sigma_{n-m+1}^n} \left[1 - \exp \left(- \sum_{i=n-m+1}^n \mathbb{P}(\hat{\sigma}_i^*(N_-(i), N_+(i)) \neq \sigma_i | \sigma_{n-m+1}^n) \right) \right] \rightarrow 0 \quad (10)
\end{aligned}$$

where we used $1-x \leq e^{-x}$. Next we see that for any $\sigma_i = \sigma_j$, it must hold that

$$\mathbb{P}(\hat{\sigma}_i^*(N_-(i), N_+(i)) \neq \sigma_i | \sigma_{n-m+1}^n) = \mathbb{P}(\hat{\sigma}_j^*(N_-(j), N_+(j)) \neq \sigma_j | \sigma_{n-m+1}^n) \quad (11)$$

since the model is invariant if we exchange these two vertices. Therefore

$$\sum_{i=n-m+1}^n \mathbb{P}(\hat{\sigma}_i^*(N_-(i), N_+(i)) \neq \sigma_i | \sigma_{n-m+1}^n)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= U_m(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{n-m+1}^n) \mathbb{P}(\hat{\sigma}_n^*(N_-(n), N_+(n)) \neq \sigma_n | U_m(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{n-m+1}^n), \sigma_n = 1) \\
&\quad + (m - U_m(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{n-m+1}^n)) \mathbb{P}(\hat{\sigma}_n^*(N_-(n), N_+(n)) \neq \sigma_n | U_m(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{n-m+1}^n), \sigma_n = -1)
\end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

and consequently

$$\begin{aligned}
&U_m(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{n-m+1}^n) \mathbb{P}(\hat{\sigma}_n^*(N_-(n), N_+(n)) \neq \sigma_n | U_m(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{n-m+1}^n), \sigma_n = 1) \\
&\quad + (m - U_m(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{n-m+1}^n)) \mathbb{P}(\hat{\sigma}_n^*(N_-(n), N_+(n)) \neq \sigma_n | U_m(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{n-m+1}^n), \sigma_n = -1) \\
&\leq U_m(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{n-m+1}^n) \mathbb{P}(\hat{\sigma}_n^*(N_-(n), N_+(n)) \neq \sigma_n | \sigma_n = 1) \frac{U_m(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{n-m+1}^n)}{m} \\
&\quad + (m - U_m(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{n-m+1}^n)) \mathbb{P}(\hat{\sigma}_n^*(N_-(n), N_+(n)) \neq \sigma_n | \sigma_n = -1) \frac{m - U_m(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{n-m+1}^n)}{m} \\
&\leq 2m (\mathbb{P}(\hat{\sigma}_n^*(N_-(n), N_+(n)) \neq \sigma_n | \sigma_n = 1) \mathbb{P}(\sigma_n = 1) + \mathbb{P}(\hat{\sigma}_n^*(N_-(n), N_+(n)) \neq \sigma_n | \sigma_n = -1) \mathbb{P}(\sigma_n = -1)) \\
&\leq 2m \mathbb{P}(\hat{\sigma}_n^*(N_-(n), N_+(n)) \neq \sigma_n)
\end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

which yields

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{E}_{\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{n-m+1}^n} \left[1 - \exp \left(- \sum_{i=n-m+1}^n \mathbb{P}(\hat{\sigma}_i^*(N_-(i), N_+(i)) \neq \sigma_i | \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{n-m+1}^n) \right) \right] \\
&\geq 1 - \mathbb{E}_{U_m(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{n-m+1}^n)} [\exp(-2m \mathbb{P}(\hat{\sigma}_n^*(N_-(n), N_+(n)) \neq \sigma_n))] - o_n(1) \\
&= 1 - \exp(-2m \mathbb{P}(\hat{\sigma}_n^*(N_-(n), N_+(n)) \neq \sigma_n)) - o_n(1) \rightarrow 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

One must have

$$m \mathbb{P}(\hat{\sigma}_n^*(N_-(n), N_+(n)) \neq \sigma_n) \rightarrow 0. \tag{15}$$

5 Part (e)

Without loss of generality, we assume $\sigma_n = 1$. Then by part (c) $\hat{\sigma}_n^*(N_-(n), N_+(n)) \neq \sigma_n$ if and only if

$$\left(\frac{p_n}{1-p_n} \cdot \frac{1-q_n}{q_n} \right)^{N_+(n)-N_-(n)} \left(\frac{1-p_n}{1-q_n} \right)^{2U_{n-m}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_1^{n-m})-(n-m)} < 1, \tag{16}$$

and $N_+(n) \sim \text{Binom}(U_{n-m}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_1^{n-m}), p_n)$, $N_-(n) \sim \text{Binom}(n-m-U_{n-m}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_1^{n-m}), q_n)$ are independent random variables. Equivalently,

$$N_+(n) - N_-(n) < (2U_{n-m}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_1^{n-m}) - (n-m)) \frac{\log \left(\frac{1-q_n}{1-p_n} \right)}{\log \left(\frac{p_n}{1-p_n} \cdot \frac{1-q_n}{q_n} \right)}. \tag{17}$$

Set $Z_n := \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} (U_{n-m}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_1^{n-m}) - \frac{n-m}{2})$, we have $Z_n \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{N}(0, 1/4)$ by Slutsky and CLT. Then $\hat{\sigma}_n^*(N_-(n), N_+(n)) \neq \sigma_n$ implies for sufficiently large n

$$N_+(n) - N_-(n) < \frac{Z_n \log n}{\sqrt{n}} \cdot \frac{2}{\log \frac{\alpha}{\beta}} (\alpha - \beta) (1 + o_n(1)). \tag{18}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{P} \left(N_+(n) - N_-(n) < (2U_{n-m}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_1^{n-m}) - (n-m)) \frac{\log \left(\frac{1-q_n}{1-p_n} \right)}{\log \left(\frac{p_n}{1-p_n} \cdot \frac{1-q_n}{q_n} \right)} \right) \\
&\geq \mathbb{P} \left(N_+(n) - N_-(n) \leq -\frac{\log^2 n}{\sqrt{n}} \cdot \frac{2}{\log \frac{\alpha}{\beta}} (\alpha - \beta) (1 + o_n(1)) \right) - o_n(1)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\geq \mathbb{P} \left(\text{Binom}((n-m)/2, p_n) - \text{Binom}((n-m)/2, p_n) \leq -\frac{\log^2 n}{\sqrt{n}} \cdot \frac{2}{\log \frac{\alpha}{\beta}} (\alpha - \beta) (1 + o_n(1)) - \frac{\log^2 n}{\sqrt{n}} \alpha \right) - o_n(1) \\
&\geq \mathbb{P} \left(\text{Binom}((n-m)/2, p_n) - \text{Binom}((n-m)/2, p_n) \leq -\frac{\log n}{\log \log n} \right) - o_n(1), \tag{19}
\end{aligned}$$

while the final quantity is lower bounded by

$$\mathbb{P} \left(\text{Binom}((n-m)/2, p_n) - \text{Binom}((n-m)/2, p_n) \leq -\frac{\log n}{\log \log n} \right) \geq \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} (\sqrt{\alpha} - \sqrt{\beta})^2 \log n + o(\log n) \right) \tag{20}$$

according to Lemma 4 from "Exact recovery in the stochastic block model" [Abbe, Bandeira, Hall '15]. Hence by part (d) we have for all $\epsilon \in [0, 1)$,

$$m \exp \left(-(\sqrt{\alpha} - \sqrt{\beta})^2 \log n + o(\log n) \right) = \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} (\sqrt{\alpha} - \sqrt{\beta})^2 \log n + o(\log n) + (1 - \epsilon) \log n \right) \rightarrow 0. \tag{21}$$

This suggests $\frac{1}{2} (\sqrt{\alpha} - \sqrt{\beta})^2 \geq 1$, i.e.

$$\sqrt{\alpha} - \sqrt{\beta} \geq \sqrt{2}. \tag{22}$$