Chapter 7

Introduction to finite fields

This chapter provides an introduction to several kinds of abstract algebraic structures, particularly groups, fields, and polynomials. Our primary interest is in finite fields, i.e., fields with a finite number of elements (also called Galois fields). In the next chapter, finite fields will be used to develop Reed-Solomon (RS) codes, the most useful class of algebraic codes. Groups and polynomials provide the requisite background to understand finite fields.

A field is more than just a set of elements: it is a set of elements under two operations, called addition and multiplication, along with a set of properties governing these operations. The addition and multiplication operations also imply inverse operations called subtraction and division. The reader is presumably familiar with several examples of fields, such as the real field $\mathbb{R}$, the complex field $\mathbb{C}$, the field of rational numbers $\mathbb{Q}$, and the binary field $\mathbb{F}_2$.

7.1 Summary

In this section we briefly summarize the results of this chapter. The main body of the chapter will be devoted to defining and explaining these concepts, and to proofs of these results.

For each prime $p$ and positive integer $m \geq 1$, there exists a finite field $\mathbb{F}_{p^m}$ with $p^m$ elements, and there exists no finite field with $q$ elements if $q$ is not a prime power. Any two fields with $p^m$ elements are isomorphic.

The integers modulo $p$ form a prime field $\mathbb{F}_p$ under mod-$p$ addition and multiplication. The polynomials $\mathbb{F}_p[x]$ over $\mathbb{F}_p$ modulo an irreducible polynomial $g(x) \in \mathbb{F}_p[x]$ of degree $m$ form a finite field with $p^m$ elements under mod-$g(x)$ addition and multiplication. For every prime $p$, there exists at least one irreducible polynomial $g(x) \in \mathbb{F}_p[x]$ of each positive degree $m \geq 1$, so all finite fields may be constructed in this way.

Under addition, $\mathbb{F}_{p^m}$ is isomorphic to the vector space $(\mathbb{F}_p)^m$. Under multiplication, the nonzero elements of $\mathbb{F}_{p^m}$ form a cyclic group $\{1, \alpha, \ldots, \alpha^{p^m-2}\}$ generated by a primitive element $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{p^m}$.

The elements of $\mathbb{F}_{p^m}$ are the $p^m$ roots of the polynomial $x^{p^m} - x \in \mathbb{F}_p[x]$. The polynomial $x^{p^m} - x$ is the product of all monic irreducible polynomials $g(x) \in \mathbb{F}_p[x]$ such that $\deg g(x)$ divides $m$. The roots of a monic irreducible polynomial $g(x) \in \mathbb{F}_p[x]$ form a cyclotomic coset of $\deg g(x)$ elements of $\mathbb{F}_{p^m}$ which is closed under the operation of raising to the $p$th power.

For every $n$ that divides $m$, $\mathbb{F}_{p^m}$ contains a subfield with $p^n$ elements.

7.2 The integers

We begin with a brief review of the familiar factorization properties of the set $\mathbb{Z}$ of integers. We will use these properties immediately in our discussion of cyclic groups and their subgroups and of prime fields. Moreover, we will model our later discussion of the factorization properties of polynomials on the discussion here.

7.2.1 Definitions

An integer $n$ is said to be a divisor of an integer $i$ if $i$ is an integer multiple of $n$; i.e., $i = qn$ for some integer $q$. Thus all integers are trivially divisors of 0.

The integers that have integer inverses, namely $\pm 1$, are called the units of $\mathbb{Z}$. If $u$ is a unit and $n$ is a divisor of $i$, then $un$ is a divisor of $i$ and $n$ is a divisor of $ui$. Thus the factorization of an integer can only be unique up to a unit $u$, and $ui$ has the same divisors as $i$. We therefore consider only factorizations of positive integers into products of positive integers.

Every nonzero integer $i$ is divisible by 1 and $i$; these divisors are called trivial. An integer $n$ is said to be a factor of an integer $i$ if $n$ is positive and a nontrivial divisor of $i$. For example, 1 has no nontrivial divisors and thus no factors.

A positive integer greater than 1 that has no nontrivial divisors is called a prime integer.

7.2.2 Mod-$n$ arithmetic

Given a positive integer $n$, every integer $i$ may be uniquely expressed as $i = qn + r$ for some integer remainder $r$ in the interval $0 \leq r \leq n - 1$ and some integer quotient $q$. This may be proved by the Euclidean division algorithm, which if $i \geq n$ just subtracts $n$ from $i$ repeatedly until the remainder lies in the desired interval.

The remainder $r$, denoted by $r = i \mod n$, is the more important part of this expression. The set of possible mod-$n$ remainders is the set of $n$ integers $R_n = \{0, 1, \ldots, n - 1\}$. Evidently $n$ is a divisor of $i$ if and only if $i \mod n = 0$.

Remainder arithmetic using the mod-$n$ remainder set $R_n$ is called “mod-$n$ arithmetic.” The rules for mod-$n$ arithmetic follow from the rules for integer arithmetic as follows. Let $r = i \mod n$ and $s = j \mod n$; then, as integers, $r = i - qn$ and $s = j - tn$ for some quotients $q$ and $t$. Then

$$\begin{align*}
    r + s &= i + j - (q + t)n; \\
    rs &= ij - (qj + ti)n + qtn^2.
\end{align*}$$

Hence $(r + s) \mod n = (i + j) \mod n$ and $rs \mod n = ij \mod n$; i.e., the mod-$n$ remainder of the sum or product of two integers is equal to the mod-$n$ remainder of the sum or product of their mod-$n$ remainders, as integers.
The mod-$n$ addition and multiplication rules are therefore defined as follows:

\[ r \oplus s = (r + s) \mod n; \]
\[ r \star s = (rs) \mod n, \]

where “$r$” and “$s$” denote elements of the remainder set $R_n$ on the left and the corresponding ordinary integers on the right. This makes mod-$n$ arithmetic consistent with ordinary integer arithmetic in the sense expressed in the previous paragraph.

### 7.2.3 Unique factorization

Given a positive integer $i$, we may factor $i$ into a unique product of prime factors by simply factoring out primes no greater than $i$ until we arrive at the quotient 1, as the reader has known since grade school. For the time being, we will take this unique factorization property as given. A proof will be given as an exercise after we prove the corresponding property for polynomials.

### 7.3 Groups

We now introduce groups.

**Definition 7.1** A group is a set of elements $G = \{a, b, c, \ldots\}$ and an operation $\oplus$ for which the following axioms hold:

- **(a) Closure:** for any $a \in G$, $b \in G$, the element $a \oplus b$ is in $G$.
- **(b) Associative law:** for any $a, b, c \in G$, $(a \oplus b) \oplus c = a \oplus (b \oplus c)$.
- **(c) Identity:** There is an identity element $0$ in $G$ for which $a \oplus 0 = 0 \oplus a = a$ for all $a \in G$.
- **(d) Inverse:** For each $a \in G$, there is an inverse $(-a)$ such that $a \oplus (-a) = 0$.

In general it is not necessary that $a \oplus b = b \oplus a$. A group $G$ for which $a \oplus b = b \oplus a$ for all $a, b \in G$ is called abelian or commutative. In these notes all groups will be abelian.

In view of the associative law, we may write $(a \oplus b) \oplus c$ as $a \oplus b \oplus c$ without ambiguity. Moreover, in an abelian group the elements $a, b, c$ may be written in any order.

By axiom (c), every group must have at least one element, namely the identity element 0. A group with only one element is called a trivial group.

Frequently, the operation in a group is called multiplication, usually represented either by $\star$ or juxtaposition. The identity is then denoted by 1 (or $e$), and the inverse of $a$ by $a^{-1}$. Additive notation is generally used only for abelian groups, whereas multiplicative notation is used for both abelian and nonabelian groups. Since we consider only abelian groups, we will use additive notation when the nature of the group is unspecified.

As an example, the set of integers $\mathbb{Z}$ with the usual addition operation $+$ forms an abelian group. Also, the real field $\mathbb{R}$ forms an additive abelian group under ordinary addition in which the identity is 0 and the inverse of $a$ is $-a$. More interestingly, as the reader should verify, the nonzero elements of $\mathbb{R}$ form a multiplicative abelian group under ordinary multiplication, in which the identity is 1 and the inverse of $a$ is $a^{-1} = 1/a$. We will see that every field has similar additive and multiplicative group properties.
This example illustrates that the group structure (i.e., the properties stemming from the group operation ⊕) may reflect only part of the structure of the given set of elements; e.g., the additive group structure of \( \mathbb{R} \) takes no account of the fact that real numbers may also be multiplied, and the multiplicative group structure of \( \mathbb{R} - \{0\} \) takes no account of the fact that real numbers may also be added.

We abbreviate \( b \oplus (-a) \) for any \( a, b \in G \) by \( b - a \) and regard “−” as an additional operation implicitly defined by the axioms. In an additive group, “−” is called subtraction; in a multiplicative group, “−” is called division and denoted by / or \( \div \).

Because of the inverse operation, cancellation is always permissible; i.e., if \( x \oplus a = y \oplus a \), we can add \(-a\) to both sides, showing that \( x = y \). Similarly, one can move terms from one side of an equation to the other; i.e., \( x \oplus a = y \) implies \( x = y - a \).

**Exercise 1** (Inverses and cancellation)

(a) Verify the following set of implications for arbitrary elements \( a, b \) of a group \( G \) which is not necessarily abelian:

\[
\text{If } b \oplus a = 0 \Rightarrow b = -a \Rightarrow a \oplus b = 0 \Rightarrow a = -b \Rightarrow b \oplus a = 0.
\]

(b) Use this result to show that the inverse is unique, i.e., that \( a \oplus b = 0 \Rightarrow b = -a \), and that the inverse also works on the left, i.e., \( b \oplus a = 0 \Rightarrow b = -a \). Note that this shows that cancellation is permitted on either the right or the left.

(c) Show that the identity element is unique, i.e., that for \( a, b \in G \), \( a \oplus b = a \Rightarrow b = 0 \) and \( b \oplus a = a \Rightarrow b = 0 \).

If \( G \) has a finite number of elements, \( G = \{a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n\} \), then \( G \) is said to be finite and \( |G| = n \) is said to be the order of \( G \). The group operation \( \oplus \) may then be specified by an \( n \times n \) “addition table” whose entry at row \( i \), column \( j \) is \( a_i \oplus a_j \). The cancellation property implies that if \( a_j \neq a_k \), then \( a_i \oplus a_j \neq a_i \oplus a_k \). This means that all elements in any row \( i \) of the addition table are distinct; i.e., each row contains each element of \( G \) exactly once. Similarly, each column contains each element of \( G \) exactly once. Thus the group axioms restrict the group operation \( \oplus \) more than might be immediately evident.

### 7.3.1 Alternative group axioms

The property that a “row of the addition table,” namely \( a \oplus G = \{a \oplus b \mid b \in G\} \) is just the set of elements of \( G \) in a different order (i.e., a permutation of \( G \)) is a fundamental property of any group \( G \). We will now show that this permutation property may be taken as one of the group axioms. Subsequently we will use this property to prove that certain sets are groups.

**Theorem 7.1 (Alternative group axioms)** Let \( G = \{a, b, c, \ldots\} \) be a set of elements on which an operation \( \oplus \) is defined. Then \( G \) is a group under the operation \( \oplus \) if and only if the following axioms hold:

(a') **Permutation property:** For each \( a \in G \), \( a \oplus G = \{a \oplus b \mid b \in G\} \) is a permutation of \( G \).

(b) **Associative law:** for any \( a, b, c \in G \), \( (a \oplus b) \oplus c = a \oplus (b \oplus c) \).

(c) **Identity:** There is an identity element \( 0 \) in \( G \) for which \( a \oplus 0 = 0 \oplus a = a \) for all \( a \in G \).
Proof. We need to show that, given that the associative law \((b)\) and the identity axiom \((c)\) hold, the permutation property \((a')\) is equivalent to closure \((a)\) plus the inverse axiom \((d)\).

\(((a) + (d) \Rightarrow (a'))\) If \(G\) is a group under \(\oplus\), then by the closure property every element \(a \oplus b\) is in \(G\). Moreover, the fact that \(a \in G\) has an inverse \(-a \in G\) implies that every element \(b \in G\) may be written as \(a \oplus (-a \oplus b) \in a \oplus G\), so every element of \(G\) is in \(a \oplus G\). Finally, from the cancellation property, \(a \oplus b = a \oplus c\) implies \(b = c\). Thus the correspondence between \(G\) and \(a \oplus G\) defined by \(b \leftrightarrow a \oplus b\) is one-to-one; i.e., a permutation.

\(((a') \Rightarrow (a) + (d))\) Conversely, if \(a \oplus G\) is a permutation of \(G\) for every \(a \in G\), then \((a)\) the closure property holds; i.e., \(a \oplus b \in G\) for all \(a, b \in G\); \((b)\) since \(0 \in a \oplus G\), there must exist a unique \(b \in G\) such that \(a \oplus b = 0\), so \(a\) has a unique inverse \(-a = b\) under \(\oplus\). Thus \(G\) is a group under \(\oplus\).

The properties of “rows” \(a \oplus G\) hold equally for “columns” \(G \oplus a\), even when \(G\) is nonabelian.

For example, the set \(\mathbb{R}^*\) of nonzero elements of the real field \(\mathbb{R}\) form an abelian group under real multiplication, because real multiplication is associative and commutative with identity 1, and \(\alpha \mathbb{R}^*\) is a permutation of \(\mathbb{R}^*\) for any \(\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^*\).

**Exercise 2** (Invertible subsets).

(a) Let \(H\) be a set of elements on which an associative operation \(\oplus\) is defined with identity 0, and let \(G\) be the subset of elements \(h \in H\) which have unique inverses \(-h\) such that \(h \oplus -h = 0\). Show that \(G\) is a group under \(\oplus\).

(b) Show that the nonzero elements of the complex field form a group under complex multiplication.

(c) Show that the set of invertible \(n \times n\) real matrices forms a (nonabelian) group under real matrix multiplication.

(d) What are the invertible elements of \(\mathbb{Z}\) under multiplication? Do they form a group? □

### 7.3.2 Finite cyclic groups

An important example of a finite abelian group is the set of remainders \(R_n = \{0, 1, \ldots, n - 1\}\) under mod-\(n\) addition, where \(n\) is any given positive integer. This group is called “the integers mod \(n\),” and is denoted by \(\mathbb{Z}_n\). Note that \(\mathbb{Z}_1\) is the trivial group \(\{0\}\).

**Exercise 3** (small cyclic groups). Write down the addition tables for \(\mathbb{Z}_2, \mathbb{Z}_3\) and \(\mathbb{Z}_4\). Verify that each group element appears precisely once in each row and column of each table. □

A finite group \(G\) of order \(n\) is called **cyclic** if it is isomorphic to \(\mathbb{Z}_n\). By “isomorphic,” we mean that there is a one-to-one correspondence \(G \leftrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_n\) that transforms the addition table of \(G\) into the addition table of \(\mathbb{Z}_n\), and **vice versa**. Since \(\mathbb{Z}_n\) is abelian, any cyclic group is abelian.

For example, let \(G\) consist of the two elements \(\{\pm 1\}\), which form a group under multiplication with “addition table”

\[
\begin{array}{c|cc}
\times & +1 & -1 \\
\hline
+1 & +1 & -1 \\
-1 & -1 & +1 \\
\end{array}
\]

\(G\) is evidently isomorphic to \(\mathbb{Z}_2\) under the one-to-one correspondence \(\{+1 \leftrightarrow 0, -1 \leftrightarrow 1\}\).

A finite group \(G\) of order \(n\) is called a **single-generator group** if it contains a particular element \(g \in G\), called the **generator**, such that each element of \(G\) can be expressed as the sum, \(g \oplus \cdots \oplus g\),
of some number of repetitions of \( g \). Thus each element of \( G \) appears in the sequence of elements \( \{g, g \oplus g, g \oplus g \oplus g, \ldots\} \). We denote such an \( i \)-fold sum by \( ig \), where \( i \) is a positive integer and \( g \) is a group element; i.e.,

\[
1g = g, 2g = g \oplus g, \ldots, ig = g \oplus \cdots \oplus g, \ldots
\]

**Theorem 7.2 (Finite cyclic groups)** A finite group \( G \) of order \( n \) is cyclic if and only if it is a single-generator group with generator \( g \) and with elements \( \{0g, 1g, 2g, \ldots, (n - 1)g\} \). \( G \) is then isomorphic to \( \mathbb{Z}_n \) under the one-to-one correspondence \( ig \leftrightarrow i \).

**Proof.** \((\Leftarrow)\) Suppose that \( G \) is a single-generator group with elements \( \{g, 2g, 3g, \ldots\} \). Since \( G \) includes the identity element 0, we must have \( ig = 0 \) for some positive integer \( i \). Let \( n \) be the smallest such integer; thus \( ng = 0 \) and \( ig \neq 0 \) for \( 1 \leq i \leq n - 1 \). Adding the sum of \( j \) \( g \)'s for any \( j \geq 0 \) to each side of \( ig \neq 0 \) results in \( (i + j)g \neq jg \). Thus the elements \( \{1g, 2g, \ldots, ng = 0\} \) must all be different.

We can also add \( jg \) to both sides of the equality \( ng = 0 \), yielding \( (j + n)g = jg \) for any \( j \geq 0 \). Thus for each \( i > n \), \( ig \) is equal to some earlier element in the sequence, namely \( (i - n)g \). The elements \( \{1g, 2g, \ldots, ng = 0\} \) therefore constitute all of the distinct elements in \( G \), and the order of \( G \) is \( |G| = n \). If we define \( 0g \) to be the identity 0, then \( G = \{0g = 0, 1g, \ldots, (n - 1)g\} \).

Finally, we show that addition in \( G \) follows the rules of mod-\( n \) addition. Since \( ng = 0 \), we also have \( 2ng = 0, 3ng = 0 \), etc. Any integer \( i \) may be uniquely written as \( i = qn + r \), where the remainder \( r = i \) mod \( n \) is in \( R_n = \{0, 1, \ldots, n - 1\} \); thus \( ig = (qn)g + rg = rg \), where \( rg = (i \mod n)g \) is one of the elements of \( G \). Thus the addition rule of \( G \) is \( ig \oplus jg = (i + j) \mod n)g \), for \( 0 \leq i, j < n \). In other words, the addition table of \( G \) is the same as that of \( \mathbb{Z}_n \) under the one-to-one correspondence \( ig \in G \leftrightarrow i \in \mathbb{Z}_n \), so \( G \) and \( \mathbb{Z}_n \) are isomorphic; i.e., \( G \) is cyclic.

\((\Rightarrow)\) Conversely, suppose that \( G \) is cyclic; i.e., \( G \) is isomorphic to \( \mathbb{Z}_n \) under some 1-1 correspondence \( G \leftrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_n \). Denote the element of \( G \) that corresponds to \( i \in \mathbb{Z}_n \) by \( ig \), for \( 0 \leq i < n \). Then, by the isomorphism, the addition rule of \( G \) must be \( ig \oplus jg = (i + j \mod n)g \), for \( 0 \leq i, j < n \). In particular, \( 0g \) must be the identity of \( G \), and \( 2g = 1g \oplus 1g \), \( 3g = 1g \oplus 2g = 1g \oplus 1g \oplus 1g \), and so forth; thus \( G \) is a single-generator group with generator \( 1g \).

Figure 1 illustrates the cyclic structure of \( G \) that arises from the relation \( (j + n)g = jg \).

\[
\begin{align*}
0 &= ng = 2ng = \cdots \\
(n-1)g &= (2n-1)g = \cdots \\
2g &= (n+2)g = \cdots \\
3g &= (n+3)g = \cdots \\
4g &= (n+4)g = \cdots
\end{align*}
\]

Figure 1. The cyclic structure of a cyclic group: the sequence \( \{1g, 2g, \ldots\} \) goes from the group element \( g \) up to \( ng = 0 \), then returns to \( g \) and continues to cycle.
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In multiplicative notation, the elements of a cyclic group \( G \) of order \( n \) with generator \( g \) are denoted by \( \{g^0, g^1, g^2, \ldots, g^{n-1}\} \), the multiplication rule is \( g^i \ast g^j = g^{(i+j \text{ mod } n)} \), the identity is \( g^0 = 1 \), and the inverse of \( g^i \neq 1 \) is \( g^{n-i} \). For example, if \( \omega = e^{2\pi i/n} \), the set \( \{1, \omega, \omega^2, \ldots, \omega^{n-1}\} \) of complex \( n \)th roots of unity is a cyclic group under complex multiplication, isomorphic to \( \mathbb{Z}_n \).

### 7.3.3 Subgroups

A subgroup \( S \) of a group \( G \) is a subset of the elements of the group that satisfies the group axioms under the group operation of \( G \). Thus if \( a, b \in S \), then \( a \oplus b \in S \) and \(-a \in S \). A subgroup \( S \) must thus include the identity element of \( G \) and the inverse of each element in \( S \).

For example, the set of integers \( \mathbb{Z} \) is a subgroup of the additive group of \( \mathbb{R} \).

If \( G \) is abelian, then \( S \) must be abelian; however, \( S \) may be abelian even if \( G \) is nonabelian.

For any \( g \in G \), we define the coset (translate) \( S \oplus g = \{s \oplus g \mid s \in S\} \). The zero coset \( S \oplus 0 \) is thus equal to \( S \) itself; moreover, by Theorem 7.1, \( S \oplus g = S \) whenever \( g \in S \). The elements of any coset \( S \oplus g \) are distinct, because \( s \oplus g = s' \oplus g \) implies \( s = s' \); thus every coset has size \(|S|\).

The following simple lemma has far-reaching implications:

**Lemma 7.3 (Cosets)** Two cosets \( S \oplus g \) and \( S \oplus h \) are: (a) the same, if \( g - h \in S \); (b) disjoint, if \( g - h \notin S \).

**Proof.** If \( g - h \in S \), then the elements of \( S \oplus h \) include \( (g-h) \oplus h = g \) and therefore all elements of \( S \oplus g \), so \( S \oplus g \subseteq S \oplus h \); similarly \( S \oplus h \subseteq S \oplus g \).

On the other hand, if \( S \oplus g \) and \( S \oplus h \) have any element in common, say \( s \oplus g = s' \oplus h \), then \( g - h = s' - s \in S \); thus, \( g - h \notin S \) implies that \( S \oplus g \) and \( S \oplus h \) are disjoint. 

It follows that the distinct cosets \( S \oplus g \) of a subgroup \( S \subseteq G \) form a disjoint partition of \( G \), since every element \( g \in G \) lies in some coset, namely \( S \oplus g \). If \( G \) is finite, \( G \) is therefore the disjoint union of a finite number \(|C|\) of cosets of \( S \subseteq G \), each of size \(|S|\); therefore \(|G| = |C||S|\). This proves Lagrange’s theorem:

**Theorem 7.4 (Lagrange)** If \( S \) is a subgroup of a finite group \( G \), then \(|S| \) divides \(|G|\).

Some important corollaries of Lagrange’s theorem are as follows.

First, suppose that a finite group \( G \) has prime order, \(|G| = p \). Then the only possible orders of a subgroup are 1 and \( p \). A subgroup of order 1 must be the trivial subgroup \( \{0\} \), since \( a \oplus a = a \) implies \( a = 0 \). A subgroup of order \( p \) must be \( G \) itself, since it must include every element of \( G \). Therefore the only subgroups of a group \( G \) of prime order are the trivial subgroups \( \{0\} \) and \( G \).

Consider the single-generator subgroup \( \{g, g \oplus g, \ldots \} \) generated by any nonzero element \( g \in G \). It has order at least 2, and therefore its order must be \( p \); i.e., any nonzero element of \( G \) generates \( G \). This implies that \( G \) is cyclic and isomorphic to \( \mathbb{Z}_p \) under any correspondence \( ig \in G \leftrightarrow i \in \mathbb{Z}_p, g \neq 0 \).

In summary:

**Corollary 7.5 (Groups of prime order)** Let \( G \) be a group of prime order \( p \). Then the only subgroups of \( G \) are \( \{0\} \) and \( G \). \( G \) is cyclic, and may be generated by any of its nonzero elements.
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For example, \( \mathbb{Z}_5 \) may be generated by any of its nonzero elements, as follows:

\[
\mathbb{Z}_5 = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5 \mod 0\} = \{2, 4, 1, 3, 5 \mod 0\} = \{3, 1, 4, 2, 5 \mod 0\} = \{4, 3, 2, 1, 5 \mod 0\}.
\]

Second, let \( S \) be a subgroup of the group \( (\mathbb{Z}_2)^n \) of all \( 2^n \) binary \( n \)-tuples under componentwise mod-2 addition. By Lagrange’s theorem, \( S \) must have order \( 2^k \) for some \( k \) in the range \( 0 \leq k \leq n \). Since \( S \) is closed under multiplication by 0 or 1, \( S \) is in fact an \( (n,k) \) binary linear block code (BLBC); conversely, a BLBC is an additive subgroup of \( (\mathbb{Z}_2)^n \) of order \( 2^k \). Thus we could have defined a BLBC simply by its group property, rather than as a vector space as in Chapter 5.

**Corollary 7.6 (BLBC = subgroup of \( (\mathbb{Z}_2)^n \))** A subset \( S \) of \( (\mathbb{Z}_2)^n \) of size \( 2^k \) is an \( (n,k) \) binary linear block code if and only if \( S \) is a subgroup of \( (\mathbb{Z}_2)^n \).

As we saw in Chapter 5, the most important properties of a BLBC follow from its group structure, rather than its vector space structure, and we could have carried through most of our development using group properties only. In particular, using the same greedy algorithm as in Chapter 5, we could find a set of \( k \) generators \( \{g_i, 1 \leq i \leq k\} \) for \( S \) as a group; i.e., such that \( S \) is the set of all \( 2^k \) possible additive combinations of the generators.

### 7.4 Fields

**Definition 7.2** A field is a set \( F \) of at least two elements, with two operations \( \oplus \) and \( \ast \), for which the following axioms are satisfied:

- The set \( F \) forms an abelian group (whose identity is called 0) under the operation \( \oplus \).
- The set \( F^* = F \setminus \{0\} = \{a \in F, a \neq 0\} \) forms an abelian group (whose identity is called 1) under the operation \( \ast \).
- Distributive law: For all \( a, b, c \in F \), \( (a \oplus b) \ast c = (a \ast c) \oplus (b \ast c) \).

The operation \( \oplus \) is called addition (and often denoted by \( + \)), and the operation \( \ast \) is called multiplication (and often denoted by juxtaposition). As in ordinary arithmetic, we often omit the parentheses around a product of elements, using the convention “multiplication before addition;” e.g., we interpret \( a \oplus b \ast c \) as \( a \oplus (b \ast c) \).

The reader may verify that \( \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}, \mathbb{Q} \) and \( \mathbb{F}_2 \) each form a field according to this definition under conventional addition and multiplication.

**Exercise 4.** Show that for any element \( a \in F \), \( a \ast 0 = 0 \). \( \square \)

### 7.4.1 Prime fields

A fundamental example of a finite (Galois) field is the set \( \mathbb{F}_p \) of mod-\( p \) remainders, where \( p \) is a given prime number. Here, as in \( \mathbb{Z}_p \), the set of elements is \( R_p = \{0, 1, \ldots, p - 1\} \), and the operation \( \oplus \) is mod-\( p \) addition. The multiplicative operation \( \ast \) is mod-\( p \) multiplication; i.e., multiply integers as usual and then take the remainder after division by \( p \).
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**Theorem 7.7 (Prime fields)** The set $R_n = \{0, 1, \ldots, n - 1\}$ forms a field under mod-$n$ addition and multiplication if and only if $n$ is a prime number $p$.

**Proof.** We have already seen that the elements of $R_n$ form an abelian group under addition modulo $n$, namely the cyclic group $Z_n$.

In $Z_n$, the associative, commutative and distributive properties of addition and multiplication modulo $n$ follow from the corresponding properties of ordinary addition and multiplication. $Z_n$ has a multiplicative identity, namely 1.

If $n$ is not a prime, then $n = ab$ for some integers $a, b$ in the range $1 < a, b < n$. The product $a \cdot b$ is therefore equal to 0, modulo $n$; thus $Z_n - \{0\}$ is not closed under mod-$n$ multiplication, which implies that $Z_n$ is not a field.

On the other hand, suppose that $n$ is equal to a prime $p$. To see that the nonzero elements of $Z_p$ form a group under multiplication, we show that they have the permutation property. By unique factorization, the product of two nonzero integers $a, b < p$ cannot equal 0 mod $p$. Therefore the nonzero elements of $Z_p$ are closed under multiplication mod $p$. Also, for $a, b, c \neq 0$ and $b \neq c$ we have $a(b - c) \mod p \neq 0$. Thus $ab \neq ac \mod p$, which implies $a \cdot b \neq a \cdot c$. Consequently there are no zeroes or repetitions in the set of $p - 1$ elements $\{a^1, a^2, \ldots, a^{p-1}\}$, which means they must be a permutation of the nonzero elements of $Z_p$. \[\square\]

This prime field with $p$ elements will be denoted by $\mathbb{F}_p$. We will shortly show that $\mathbb{F}_p$ is essentially the only field with $p$ elements.

### 7.4.2 The prime subfield of a finite field, and prime field uniqueness

A subfield $\mathbb{G}$ of a field $\mathbb{F}$ is a subset of the field that is itself a field under the operations of $\mathbb{F}$. For example, the real field $\mathbb{R}$ is a subfield of the complex field $\mathbb{C}$. We now show that every finite field $\mathbb{F}$ has a subfield that is isomorphic to a prime field $\mathbb{F}_p$.

Let $\mathbb{F}$ be a finite field with $q = |\mathbb{F}|$ elements. By the field axioms, $\mathbb{F}$ has an additive identity 0 and a multiplicative identity 1.

Consider the single-generator subgroup of the additive group of $\mathbb{F}$ that is generated by 1, namely $S(1) = \{1, 1 \oplus 1, \ldots\}$. Let $n = |S(1)|$. By the finite cyclic groups theorem, $S(1)$ is isomorphic to $Z_n = \{0, 1, 2, \ldots, n - 1\}$ under the correspondence $i1 \in S(1) \subseteq \mathbb{F} \leftrightarrow i \in Z_n$. The elements of $S(1)$ are called the *integers* of $\mathbb{F}$.

By the distributive law in $\mathbb{F}$, the product $i \cdot j$ (in $\mathbb{F}$) of two nonzero elements in $S(1)$ is simply the sum of $ij$ ones, which must be the element of $S(1)$ corresponding to $ij \mod n$. Thus the multiplication rule of $\mathbb{F}$ must reduce to mod-$n$ multiplication in $S(1)$. It then follows from the prime fields theorem that $n$ must be equal to a prime $p$ in order that $S(1)$ be a field.

In summary:

**Theorem 7.8 (Prime subfields)** The integers of any finite field $\mathbb{F}$ form a subfield isomorphic to a prime field $\mathbb{F}_p$ under the correspondence $1 \oplus \cdots \oplus 1 \in \mathbb{F} \leftrightarrow i \in \mathbb{F}_p$.

The prime $p$ is called the *characteristic* of $\mathbb{F}$. Since the $p$-fold sum of the identity 1 with itself is 0, the $p$-fold sum of every field element $\beta \in \mathbb{F}$ with itself is 0: $p\beta = 0$. 
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As an additive group, $S(1)$ is a subgroup of the additive group of $\mathbb{F}$. Therefore, by Lagrange’s theorem, $p$ divides $q$. If $q$ is actually a prime $p$, then $|S(1)|$ must equal 1 or $p$; but a field cannot have only one element. Thus if $|\mathbb{F}| = p$, then $\mathbb{F}$ must be isomorphic to $\mathbb{F}_p$:

**Corollary 7.9 (Prime field uniqueness)** Every field $\mathbb{F}$ with a prime number $p$ of elements is isomorphic to $\mathbb{F}_p$ under the correspondence $\underbrace{1 \oplus \cdots \oplus 1}_{i \text{ terms}} \in \mathbb{F} \leftrightarrow i \in \mathbb{F}_p$.

In view of this elementary isomorphism, we will denote any field with a prime number $p$ of elements by $\mathbb{F}_p$.

### 7.5 Polynomials

We now consider polynomials over $\mathbb{F}_p$, namely polynomials whose coefficients lie in $\mathbb{F}_p$ and for which polynomial addition and multiplication is performed in $\mathbb{F}_p$. We will see that the factorization properties of polynomials are similar to those of the integers, and that the analogue to mod-$n$ arithmetic is arithmetic modulo a polynomial $f(x)$.

A nonzero polynomial $f(x)$ of degree $m$ over a field $\mathbb{F}$ is an expression of the form

$$f(x) = f_0 + f_1 x + f_2 x^2 + \cdots + f_m x^m,$$

where $f_i \in \mathbb{F}$, $0 \leq i \leq m$, and $f_m \neq 0$. We say that $\deg(f(x)) = m$. The symbol $x$ represents an indeterminate (or “placeholder”), not an element of $\mathbb{F}$; i.e., two polynomials are different if and only if their coefficients are different$^1$. The nonzero polynomials of degree 0 are simply the nonzero field elements $f_0 \in \mathbb{F}$. There is also a special *zero polynomial* $f(x) = 0$ whose degree is defined by convention as $\deg 0 = -\infty$; we will explain the reason for this convention shortly. The set of all polynomials over $\mathbb{F}$ in an indeterminate $x$ is denoted by $\mathbb{F}[x]$.

The rules for adding, subtracting or multiplying polynomials are the same over a general field $\mathbb{F}$ as over the real field $\mathbb{R}$, except that coefficient operations are in $\mathbb{F}$. In particular, addition and subtraction are performed componentwise. For multiplication, the coefficients of a polynomial product $f(x) = h(x)g(x)$ are determined by convolution:

$$f_i = \sum_{j=0}^{i} h_j g_{i-j}.$$

If two nonzero polynomials are multiplied, then their degrees add; i.e., $\deg(f(x)g(x)) = \deg f(x) + \deg g(x)$. The convention $\deg 0 = -\infty$ ensures that this formula continues to hold when $h(x)$ or $g(x)$ is the zero polynomial.

The set $\mathbb{F}[x]$ has many of the properties of a field. It is evidently an abelian group under addition whose identity is the zero polynomial $0 \in \mathbb{F}[x]$. It is closed under multiplication, which is both associative and commutative and which distributes over addition. It has a multiplicative identity $1 \in \mathbb{F}[x]$, and the cancellation law holds.

---

$^1$Over the real field $\mathbb{R}$, a polynomial $f(x)$ is sometimes regarded as a function $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$. This alternative viewpoint makes little difference in the real case, since two polynomials over $\mathbb{R}$ are different if and only if the corresponding polynomial functions are different. However, over finite fields it is important to maintain the distinction. For example, over $\mathbb{F}_2$ the polynomial functions $x$ and $x^2$ both map $0 \to 0, 1 \to 1$, yet the polynomials $x$ and $x^2$ are different.
However, in general we cannot divide evenly by a nonzero polynomial, since a polynomial $f(x)$ with $\deg f(x) > 0$ has no multiplicative inverse. Therefore $\mathbb{F}[x]$ is a ring, not a field, like the ring of integers $\mathbb{Z}$. We now develop a series of properties of $\mathbb{F}[x]$ that resemble those of $\mathbb{Z}$.

7.5.1 Definitions

A polynomial $g(x)$ is said to be a divisor of a polynomial $f(x)$ if $f(x)$ is a polynomial multiple of $g(x)$; i.e., $f(x) = q(x)g(x)$ for some polynomial $q(x)$. Thus all polynomials are trivially divisors of the zero polynomial 0.

The polynomials that have polynomial inverses are the nonzero degree-0 polynomials $\beta \in \mathbb{F}^* = \mathbb{F} - \{0\}$. These are called the units of $\mathbb{F}[x]$. If $u(x)$ is a unit polynomial and $g(x)$ is a divisor of $f(x)$, then $u(x)g(x)$ is a divisor of $f(x)$ and $g(x)$ is a divisor of $u(x)f(x)$. Thus the factorization of a polynomial can be unique only up to a unit polynomial $u(x)$, and $u(x)f(x)$ has the same divisors as $f(x)$.

A monic polynomial is a nonzero polynomial $f(x)$ of degree $m$ with high-order coefficient $f_m$ equal to 1; i.e., $f(x) = f_0 + f_1 x + f_2 x^2 + \cdots + x^m$. Every nonzero polynomial $g(x)$ may be written as the product $g(x) = g_m f(x)$ of a monic polynomial $f(x)$ of the same degree with a unit polynomial $u(x) = g_m$, and the product of two monic polynomials is monic. We may therefore consider only factorizations of monic polynomials into products of monic polynomials.

Every nonzero polynomial $f(x)$ is divisible by 1 and $f(x)$; these divisors are called trivial. A polynomial $g(x)$ is said to be a factor of a polynomial $f(x)$ if $g(x)$ is monic and a nontrivial divisor of $f(x)$. Thus the degree of any factor $g(x)$ of $f(x)$ satisfies $1 \leq \deg g(x) < \deg f(x)$.

A polynomial $g(x)$ of degree 1 or more that has no factors is called an irreducible polynomial, and a monic irreducible polynomial is called a prime polynomial. Our goal now is to show that every monic polynomial has a unique factorization into prime polynomial factors.

7.5.2 Mod-$g(x)$ arithmetic

Given a monic polynomial $g(x)$ of degree $m$, every polynomial $f(x)$ may be expressed as $f(x) = q(x)g(x) + r(x)$ for some polynomial remainder $r(x)$ such that $\deg r(x) < m$ and some polynomial quotient $q(x)$. This may be proved by the Euclidean long division algorithm of high school, with component operations in $\mathbb{F}$; i.e., divide $g(x)$ into $f(x)$ by long division, high-degree terms first, stopping when the degree of the remainder is less than that of $g(x)$. The following exercise shows that the resulting quotient $q(x)$ and remainder $r(x)$ are unique.

**Exercise 6** (Euclidean division algorithm).

(a) For the set $\mathbb{F}[x]$ of polynomials over any field $\mathbb{F}$, show that the distributive law holds: $(f_1(x) + f_2(x))h(x) = f_1(x)h(x) + f_2(x)h(x)$.

(b) Use the distributive law to show that for any given $f(x)$ and $g(x)$ in $\mathbb{F}[x]$, there is a unique $q(x)$ and $r(x)$ with $\deg r(x) < \deg g(x)$ such that $f(x) = q(x)g(x) + r(x)$. □

2The axioms of a ring are similar to those for a field, except that there is no multiplicative inverse. For example, $\mathbb{Z}$ and $\mathbb{Z}_n$ (for $n$ not a prime) are rings. In fact, $\mathbb{Z}$ and $\mathbb{F}[x]$ are integer domains, which are the nicest kind of rings. An integer domain is a ring with commutative multiplication and a multiplicative identity 1 such that the nonzero elements are closed under multiplication.

**Exercise 5.** Show that an integer domain with a finite number of elements must be a finite field. [Hint: consider its cyclic multiplicative subgroups.] □
The remainder polynomial $r(x)$, denoted by $r(x) = f(x) \mod g(x)$, is the more important part of this decomposition. The set of all possible remainder polynomials is the set $R_{\mathbb{F},m} = \{r_0 + r_1 x + \cdots + r_{m-1} x^{m-1} \mid r_j \in \mathbb{F}, 0 \leq j \leq m - 1\}$, whose size is $|R_{\mathbb{F},m}| = |\mathbb{F}|^m$. Evidently $g(x)$ is a divisor of $f(x)$ if and only if $f(x) \mod g(x) = 0$.

Remainder arithmetic using the remainder set $R_{\mathbb{F},m}$ is called “mod-$g(x)$ arithmetic.” The rules for mod-$g(x)$ arithmetic follow from the rules for polynomial arithmetic as follows. Let $r(x) = f(x) \mod g(x)$ and $s(x) = h(x) \mod g(x)$; then, as polynomials, $r(x) = f(x) - q(x)g(x)$ and $s(x) = h(x) - t(x)g(x)$ for some quotient polynomials $q(x)$ and $t(x)$. Then
\[
\begin{align*}
    f(x) + h(x) &= r(x) + s(x) - (q(x) + t(x))g(x); \\
    f(x)h(x) &= r(x)s(x) - (q(x)s(x) + t(x)r(x))g(x) + q(x)t(x)g^2(x).
\end{align*}
\]
Hence $(f(x) + h(x)) \mod g(x) = (r(x) + s(x)) \mod g(x)$ and $f(x)h(x) \mod g(x) = r(x)s(x) \mod g(x)$. In other words, the mod-$g(x)$ remainder of the sum or product of two polynomials is equal to the mod-$g(x)$ remainder of the sum or product of their mod-$g(x)$ remainders.

The mod-$g(x)$ addition and multiplication rules are therefore defined as follows:
\[
\begin{align*}
    r(x) \oplus s(x) &= (r(x) + s(x)) \mod g(x); \\
    r(x) \ast s(x) &= (r(x)s(x)) \mod g(x),
\end{align*}
\]
where “$r(x)$” and “$s(x)$” denote elements of the remainder set $R_{\mathbb{F},m}$ on the left and the corresponding ordinary polynomials on the right. This makes mod-$g(x)$ arithmetic consistent with ordinary polynomial arithmetic in the sense of the previous paragraph.

Note that the mod-$g(x)$ addition rule is just componentwise addition of coefficients in $\mathbb{F}$. In this sense the additive groups of $R_{\mathbb{F},m}$ and of the vector space $\mathbb{F}^m$ of $m$-tuples over $\mathbb{F}$ are isomorphic.

### 7.5.3 Unique factorization

By definition, every monic polynomial $f(x)$ is either irreducible or can be factored into a product of monic polynomial factors, each of lower degree. In turn, if a factor is not irreducible, it can be factored further. Since factor degrees are decreasing but bounded below by 1, we must eventually arrive at a product of monic irreducible (prime) polynomials. The following theorem shows that there is only one such set of prime polynomial factors, regardless of the order in which the polynomial is factored.

**Theorem 7.10 (Unique factorization of polynomials)** Over any field $\mathbb{F}$, every monic polynomial $f(x) \in \mathbb{F}[x]$ of degree $m \geq 1$ may be written in the form
\[
f(x) = \prod_{i=1}^{k} a_i(x),
\]
where each $a_i(x), 1 \leq i \leq k$, is a prime polynomial in $\mathbb{F}[x]$. This factorization is unique, up to the order of the factors.

**Proof.** We have already shown that $f(x)$ may be factored in this way, so we need only prove uniqueness. Thus assume hypothetically that the theorem is false and let $m$ be the smallest
degree such that there exists a degree-\( m \) monic polynomial \( f(x) \) with more than one such factorization,
\[
f(x) = a_1(x) \cdot \ldots \cdot a_k(x) = b_1(x) \cdot \ldots \cdot b_j(x); \quad j, k \geq 1,
\]
(7.1)
where \( a_1(x), \ldots, a_k(x) \) and \( b_1(x), \ldots, b_j(x) \) are prime polynomials. We will show that this implies a polynomial \( f'(x) \) with degree less than \( m \) with non-unique factorization, and this contradiction will prove the theorem. Now \( a_1(x) \) cannot appear on the right side of (7.1), else it could be factored out for an immediate contradiction. Similarly, \( b_1(x) \) cannot appear on the left. Without loss of generality, assume \( \deg b_1(x) \leq \deg a_1(x) \). By the Euclidean division algorithm, \( a_1(x) = q(x)b_1(x) + r(x) \). Since \( a_1(x) \) is irreducible, \( r(x) \neq 0 \) and \( 0 \leq \deg r(x) < \deg b_1(x) \leq \deg a_1(x) \). Thus \( r(x) \) has a prime factorization \( r(x) = \beta r_1(x) \cdots r_n(x) \), where \( \beta \) is the high-order coefficient of \( r(x) \), and \( b_1(x) \) is not a divisor of any of the \( r_i(x) \), since it has greater degree. Substituting into (7.1), we have
\[
(q(x)b_1(x) + \beta r_1(x) \cdots r_n(x))a_2(x) \cdots a_k(x) = b_1(x) \cdots b_j(x),
\]
or, defining \( f'(x) = r_1(x) \cdots r_n(x)a_2(x) \cdots a_k(x) \) and rearranging terms,
\[
f'(x) = r_1(x) \cdots r_n(x)a_2(x) \cdots a_k(x) = \beta^{-1}b_1(x)(b_2(x) \cdots b_j(x) - q(x)a_2(x) \cdots a_k(x)).
\]

Now \( f'(x) \) is monic, because it is a product of monic polynomials; it has degree less than \( f(x) \), since \( \deg r(x) < \deg a_1(x) \); and it has two different factorizations, with \( b_1(x) \) a factor in one but not a divisor of any of the factors in the other; contradiction.

**Exercise 7.** Following this proof, prove unique factorization for the integers \( \mathbb{Z} \). 

### 7.5.4 Enumerating prime polynomials

The prime polynomials in \( \mathbb{F}[x] \) are analogous to the prime numbers in \( \mathbb{Z} \). One way to enumerate the prime polynomials is to use an analogue of the sieve of Eratosthenes. For integers, this method goes as follows: Start with a list of all integers greater than 1. The first integer on the list is 2, which is prime. Erase all multiples of 2 (even integers). The next remaining integer is 3, which must be the next prime. Erase all multiples of 3. The next remaining integer is 5, which must be the next prime. Erase all multiples of 5. And so forth.

Similarly, to find the prime polynomials in \( \mathbb{F}_2[x] \), for example, first list all polynomials of degree 1 or more in \( \mathbb{F}_2[x] \) in order of degree. (Note that all nonzero polynomials in \( \mathbb{F}_2[x] \) are monic.) No degree-1 polynomial can have a factor, so the two degree-1 polynomials, \( x \) and \( x + 1 \), are both prime. Next, erase all degree-2 multiples of \( x \) and \( x + 1 \), namely
\[
\begin{align*}
x^2 &= x \ast x; \\
x^2 + x &= x \ast (x + 1); \\
x^2 + 1 &= (x + 1) \ast (x + 1)
\end{align*}
\]
from the list of four degree-2 polynomials. This leaves one prime degree-2 polynomial, namely \( x^2 + x + 1 \). Next, erase all degree-3 multiples of \( x \), \( x + 1 \), and \( x^2 + x + 1 \) from the list of eight degree-3 polynomials, namely the six polynomials
\[
\begin{align*}
x^3 &= x \ast x \ast x; \\
x^3 + x^2 &= (x + 1) \ast x \ast x;
\end{align*}
\]
The remaining two polynomials, namely \( x^3 + x^2 + 1 \) and \( x^3 + x + 1 \), must therefore be prime.

**Exercise 8.** Find all prime polynomials in \( \mathbb{F}_2[x] \) of degrees 4 and 5. [Hint: There are three prime polynomials in \( \mathbb{F}_2[x] \) of degree 4 and six of degree 5.]

Continuing in this way, we may list all prime polynomials in \( \mathbb{F}_2[x] \) up to any desired degree. It turns out that the number \( N(m) \) of prime polynomials of \( \mathbb{F}_2[x] \) of degree \( m \) is \( N(m) = 2, 1, 2, 3, 6, 9, 18, 30, 56, 99, \ldots \) for \( m = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, \ldots \). (In Section 7.9 we will give a simpler method to compute \( N(m) \), and will show that \( N(m) > 0 \) for all \( m \).

A similar sieve algorithm may be used to find the prime polynomials in \( \mathbb{F}[x] \) over any finite field \( \mathbb{F} \). The algorithm starts with a listing of the monic polynomials ordered by degree, and successively erases the multiples of lower-degree prime polynomials.

### 7.6 A construction of a field with \( p^m \) elements

We now show how to construct a field with \( p^m \) elements for any prime integer \( p \) and positive integer \( m \geq 1 \). Its elements will be the set \( R_{\mathbb{F},m} \) of remainder polynomials of degree less than \( m \), and multiplication will be defined modulo an irreducible polynomial \( g(x) \) of degree \( m \). We will subsequently show that that every finite field is isomorphic to a finite field that is constructed in this way.

The construction assumes the existence of a prime polynomial \( g(x) \in \mathbb{F}_p[x] \) of degree \( m \). The proof that such a polynomial exists for all prime \( p \) and \( m \geq 1 \) will be deferred until later. The field that we construct will be denoted by \( \mathbb{F}_{g(x)} \).

The set of elements of \( \mathbb{F}_{g(x)} \) will be taken to be the mod-\( g(x) \) remainder set \( R_{\mathbb{F},p,m} = \{ r_0 + r_1 x + \cdots + r_{m-1} x^{m-1} \mid r_j \in \mathbb{F}_p, 0 \leq j \leq m - 1 \} \), whose size is \( |R_{\mathbb{F},p,m}| = p^m \).

The addition and multiplication rules will be taken to be those of mod-\( g(x) \) arithmetic. We must show that the axioms of a field are satisfied with these definitions.

The associative, commutative and distributive laws for mod-\( g(x) \) arithmetic follow from the corresponding laws for ordinary polynomial arithmetic.

Mod-\( g(x) \) addition of two remainder polynomials in \( \mathbb{F}_{g(x)} \) yields a remainder polynomial of degree \( < m \) in \( \mathbb{F}_{g(x)} \). \( \mathbb{F}_{g(x)} \) evidently forms an abelian group under mod-\( g(x) \) addition. (As already mentioned, this group is isomorphic to the additive group of \( (\mathbb{F}_p)^m \).)

Mod-\( g(x) \) multiplication of two remainder polynomials \( r(x), s(x) \) yields the remainder polynomial \( t(x) = r(x)s(x) \mod g(x) \). The following exercise shows that the nonzero elements of \( \mathbb{F}_{g(x)} \) form an abelian group under mod-\( g(x) \) multiplication:

**Exercise 9.** Let \( g(x) \) be a prime polynomial of degree \( m \), and let \( r(x), s(x), t(x) \) be polynomials in \( \mathbb{F}_{g(x)} \).

(a) Prove the distributive law, i.e., \( (r(x) + s(x)) \ast t(x) = r(x) \ast t(x) + s(x) \ast t(x) \). [Hint: Express each product as a remainder using the Euclidean division algorithm.]

(b) For \( r(x) \neq 0 \), show that \( r(x) \ast s(x) \neq r(x) \ast t(x) \) if \( s(x) \neq t(x) \).
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(c) For $r(x) \neq 0$, show that as $s(x)$ runs through all nonzero polynomials in $\mathbb{F}_g(x)$, the product $r(x) * s(x)$ also runs through all nonzero polynomials in $\mathbb{F}_g(x)$.

(d) Using part (c) and Theorem 7.1, show that the nonzero elements of $\mathbb{F}_g(x)$ form an abelian group under mod-$g(x)$ multiplication.

Since we have verified the three field axioms, we have proved:

**Theorem 7.11 (Construction of $\mathbb{F}_g(x)$)** If $g(x)$ is a prime polynomial of degree $m$ over a prime field $\mathbb{F}_p$, then the set of remainder polynomials $R_{\mathbb{F}_p,m}$ with mod-$g(x)$ arithmetic forms a finite field $\mathbb{F}_g(x)$ with $p^m$ elements.

**Example 1.** Let us construct a finite field with $2^2 = 4$ elements using the prime degree-2 polynomial $g(x) = x^2 + x + 1 \in \mathbb{F}_2[x]$.

There are four remainder polynomials mod $x^2 + x + 1$, namely $\{0, 1, x, x+1\}$. Addition is componentwise mod 2. For multiplication, note that $x * x = x+1$ since $x^2 \mod (x^2 + x + 1) = x+1$. Also $x * x * x = x * (x+1) = 1$ since $x^3 \mod (x^2 + x + 1) = 1$. The three nonzero elements $\{1, x, x+1\}$ thus form a cyclic group under mod-$g(x)$ multiplication, which verifies the second field axiom for this example.

The complete mod-$g(x)$ addition and multiplication tables are as follows:

\[
\begin{array}{cccc}
\oplus & 0 & 1 & x & x+1 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & x & x+1 \\
1 & 1 & 0 & x+1 & x \\
x & x & x+1 & 0 & 1 \\
x+1 & x+1 & x & 1 & 0 \\
\end{array}
\quad
\begin{array}{cccc}
* & 0 & 1 & x & x+1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 1 & x & x+1 \\
x & 0 & x & x+1 & 1 \\
x+1 & x & x+1 & 1 & x \\
\end{array}
\]

7.7 The multiplicative group $\mathbb{F}_q^*$ is cyclic

In this section we consider an arbitrary finite field $\mathbb{F}_q$ with $q$ elements. By the second field axiom, the set $\mathbb{F}_q^*$ of all $q - 1$ nonzero elements must form a finite abelian group under multiplication. In this section we will show that this group is actually cyclic.

We start by showing that every element of $\mathbb{F}_q^*$ is a root of the polynomial $x^q - 1 \in \mathbb{F}_q[x]$. Thus we first need to discuss roots of polynomials over arbitrary fields.

7.7.1 Roots of polynomials

Let $\mathbb{F}[x]$ be the set of polynomials over an arbitrary field $\mathbb{F}$. If $f(x) \in \mathbb{F}[x]$ has a degree-1 factor $x - \alpha$ for some $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}$, then $\alpha$ is called a root of $f(x)$.

Since any $f(x)$ may be uniquely expressed as $f(x) = q(x)(x - \alpha) + \beta$ for some quotient $q(x)$ and some $\beta \in \mathbb{F}$ (i.e., for some remainder $r(x) = \beta$ of degree less than 1), it follows that $f(\alpha) = \beta$. Therefore $\alpha$ is a root of $f(x)$ if and only if $f(\alpha) = 0$ — i.e., if and only if $\alpha$ is a root of the polynomial equation $f(x) = 0$.

By degree additivity, the degree of a polynomial $f(x)$ is equal to the sum of the degrees of its prime factors, which are unique by unique factorization. Therefore a polynomial of degree $m$ can have at most $m$ degree-1 factors. This yields what is sometimes called the fundamental theorem of algebra:
Theorem 7.12 (Fundamental theorem of algebra) Over any field \( F \), a monic polynomial \( f(x) \in \mathbb{F}[x] \) of degree \( n \) can have no more than \( n \) roots in \( \mathbb{F} \). If it does have \( n \) roots \( \{ \beta_1, \ldots, \beta_n \} \), then the unique factorization of \( f(x) \) is \( f(x) = (x - \beta_1) \cdots (x - \beta_n) \).

Since the polynomial \( x^n - 1 \) can have at most \( n \) roots in \( \mathbb{F} \), we have an important corollary:

Theorem 7.13 (Cyclic multiplicative subgroups) In any field \( \mathbb{F} \), the multiplicative group \( \mathbb{F}^* \) of nonzero elements has at most one cyclic subgroup of any given order \( n \). If such a subgroup exists, then its elements \( \{1, \beta, \ldots, \beta^{n-1}\} \) satisfy

\[
x^n - 1 = (x - 1)(x - \beta) \cdots (x - \beta^{n-1}).
\]

For example, the complex multiplicative group \( \mathbb{C}^* \) has precisely one cyclic subgroup of each finite size \( n \), consisting of the \( n \) complex \( n \)th roots of unity. The real multiplicative group \( \mathbb{R}^* \) has cyclic subgroups of size 1 (\( \{1\} \)) and 2 (\( \{\pm 1\} \)), but none of any larger size.

Exercise 10. For \( 1 \leq j \leq n \), the \( j \)th elementary symmetric function \( \sigma_j(S) \) of a set \( S \) of \( n \) elements of a field \( \mathbb{F} \) is the sum of all \( \binom{n}{j} \) products of \( j \) distinct elements of \( S \). In particular, \( \sigma_1(S) \) is the sum of all elements of \( S \), and \( \sigma_n(S) \) is the product of all elements of \( S \).

(a) Show that if \( S = \{1, \beta, \ldots, \beta^{n-1}\} \) is a cyclic subgroup of \( \mathbb{F}^* \), then \( \sigma_j(S) = 0 \) for \( 1 \leq j \leq n-1 \) and \( \sigma_n(S) = (-1)^{n+1} \). In particular,

\[
\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \beta^j = 0, \quad \text{if } n > 1; \quad \prod_{j=0}^{n-1} \beta^j = (-1)^{n+1}.
\]

Verify for \( S = \{\pm 1, \pm i\} \) (the four complex \( 4 \)th roots of unity).

(b) Prove that for any odd prime integer \( p \),

\[
(p - 1)! = 1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 \cdot \cdots (p - 1) = -1 \mod p.
\]

Verify for \( p = 3, 5 \) and 7.

7.7.2 Factoring \( x^q - x \) over \( \mathbb{F}_q \)

For any \( \beta \in \mathbb{F}_q^* \), consider the single-generator subgroup \( S(\beta) = \{1, \beta, \beta^2, \beta^3, \ldots\} \) of \( \mathbb{F}_q^* \) generated by \( \beta \). The size \( |S(\beta)| \) of this subgroup is called the multiplicative order of \( \beta \).

By the finite cyclic groups theorem, \( |S(\beta)| = q - 1 \), and by Lagrange’s theorem, \( |S(\beta)| \) must divide \( |\mathbb{F}_q^*| = q - 1 \). It follows that \( \beta^{q-1} = 1 \) for all \( \beta \in \mathbb{F}_q^* \).

In other words, every \( \beta \in \mathbb{F}_q^* \) is a root of the polynomial equation \( x^{q-1} = 1 \), or equivalently of the polynomial \( x^{q-1} - 1 \in \mathbb{F}_q[x] \). By the polynomial roots theorem, \( x^{q-1} - 1 \) can have at most \( q - 1 \) roots in \( \mathbb{F}_q \), so these are all the roots of \( x^{q-1} - 1 \). Thus \( x^{q-1} - 1 \) factors into the product of the degree-1 polynomials \( x - \beta \) for all \( \beta \in \mathbb{F}_q^* \). Moreover, since \( 0 \in \mathbb{F}_q \) is a root of the polynomial \( x \) and \( x(x^{q-1} - 1) = x^q - x \), the polynomial \( x^q - x \) factors into the product of the degree-1 polynomials \( x - \beta \) for all \( \beta \in \mathbb{F}_q^* \).

To summarize:
7.7. THE MULTIPLICATIVE GROUP $\mathbb{F}_q^*$ IS CYCLIC

**Theorem 7.14** In a finite field $\mathbb{F}_q$ with $q$ elements, every nonzero field element $\beta \in \mathbb{F}_q$ satisfies $\beta^{q-1} = 1$ and has a multiplicative order $|S(\beta)|$ that divides $q - 1$. The nonzero elements of $\mathbb{F}_q$ are the $q - 1$ distinct roots of the polynomial $x^{q-1} - 1 \in \mathbb{F}_q[x]$; i.e.,

$$x^{q-1} - 1 = \prod_{\beta \in \mathbb{F}_q^*} (x - \beta). \quad (7.2)$$

The elements of $\mathbb{F}_q$ are the $q$ distinct roots of the polynomial $x^q - x \in \mathbb{F}_q[x]$; i.e.,

$$x^q - x = \prod_{\beta \in \mathbb{F}_q^*} (x - \beta). \quad (7.3)$$

**Exercise 11.**

(a) Verify (7.2) for the prime field $\mathbb{F}_5$.

(b) Verify (7.2) for the field $\mathbb{F}_4$ that was constructed in Example 1. [Hint: use a symbol other than $x$ for the indeterminate in (7.2).]

7.7.3 Every finite field has a primitive element

A *primitive element* of a finite field $\mathbb{F}_q$ is an element $\alpha$ whose multiplicative order $|S(\alpha)|$ equals $q - 1$. If $\alpha$ is a primitive element, then the cyclic group $\{\alpha, \alpha^2, \ldots, \alpha^{q-1} = 1\}$ is a set of $q - 1$ distinct nonzero elements of $\mathbb{F}_q^*$, which therefore must be all the nonzero elements. Thus if we can show that $\mathbb{F}_q$ has at least one primitive element, then we will have shown that its nonzero elements $\mathbb{F}_q^*$ form a cyclic group under multiplication of size $q - 1$.

In order to show that every finite field $\mathbb{F}_q$ has at least one element of multiplicative order $q - 1$, we will show that there are not enough elements of lower order to exhaust $\mathbb{F}_q^*$.

We know that the multiplicative order $d$ of every element of $\mathbb{F}_q^*$ divides $q - 1$, and that $\mathbb{F}_q^*$ has at most one cyclic subgroup of order $d$, which implies that there are at most $d$ elements of order $d$. When $q - 1$ does not have too many factors, this is enough to settle the question. For example, if $q - 1 = 15$, then the possible multiplicative orders of elements of $\mathbb{F}_q^*$ are the divisors 1, 3, 5 and 15 of 15; but there can be at most one element of order 1 (the multiplicative identity), 3 of order 3, and 5 of order 5, so at least $15 - 5 - 3 - 1 = 6$ elements have order 15.

If $q - 1$ is highly composite, then we will need sharper arguments. For example, if $q - 1 = 24$, then the possible orders are 1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 8, 12 and 24, so it seems possible at first that all of the elements could have orders less then 24. However, notice that in a multiplicative cyclic group of order 2, namely $\{\beta, \beta^2 = 1\}$, one of the elements (1) actually has multiplicative order 1, so only one has order 2. In a multiplicative group of order 4, namely $\{\beta, \beta^2, \beta^3, \beta^4 = 1\}$, one of the elements (1) has order 1, and one ($\beta^2$) has order 2, so only two have order 4.

The number of elements in a cyclic group $G = \{\beta, \beta^2, \ldots, \beta^n = 1\}$ with $n$ elements that have order $n$ is called the Euler number $\phi(n)$. By elementary number theory, $\beta^i$ has order $n$ if and only if $i$ is relatively prime to $n$. More generally, the order of $\beta^i$ is $n/\gcd(i, n)$, where $\gcd(i, n)$ is the greatest common divisor of $i$ and $n$; there is one cyclic subgroup of $G$ of order $d$ for each divisor of $n$ (namely $S(\beta^{n/d}) = \{\beta^{n/d}, \beta^{2n/d}, \ldots, \beta^{d(n/d)} = 1\}$); and the number of elements of order $d$ in $S(\beta^{n/d})$, and thus in $G$, is $\phi(d)$. 
For example, if \( n = 24 \), then in a cyclic group of order 24, namely \( \{ \beta^i, 1 \leq i \leq 24 \} \), there is one element \( (\beta^{24} = 1) \) of order 1, one \( (\beta^{12}) \) of order 2, two \( (\beta^8, \beta^{16}) \) of order 3, two \( (\beta^6, \beta^{18}) \) of order 4, two \( (\beta^4, \beta^{20}) \) of order 6, four \( (\beta^3, \beta^9, \beta^{15}, \beta^{15}) \) of order 8, and four \( (\beta^2, \beta^{10}, \beta^{14}, \beta^{22}) \) of order 12. So there must be \( \phi(24) = 8 \) elements of order 24, namely \( \beta, \beta^5, \beta^7, \beta^{11}, \beta^{13}, \beta^{17}, \beta^{19}, \beta^{23} \).

Since every element of a cyclic group \( G \) with \( n \) elements has an order \( d \) that divides \( n \), we have

\[
\phi(n) = \sum_{d \mid n} \phi(d).
\]  

(7.4)

The notation \( d : d \mid n \) means the set of positive integers \( d \), including 1 and \( n \), that divide \( n \).

All Euler numbers may be determined recursively from this expression. For example, \( \phi(1) = 1, \phi(2) = 2 - \phi(1) = 1, \phi(3) = 3 - \phi(1) = 2, \phi(4) = 4 - \phi(1) - \phi(2) = 2, \ldots \)

**Exercise 11.** Show that \( \phi(n) \geq 1 \) for all \( n \geq 1 \). [Hint: Find the order of the generator \( \beta \) of the cyclic group \( G \).]

Since every cyclic group of size \( n \) is isomorphic to \( G \cong \mathbb{Z}_n \), these results apply to every finite cyclic group. In particular, every cyclic group \( G \) of size \( n \) has \( \phi(n) \) generators that generate \( G \), called the **primitive elements** of \( G \). \( G \) contains one cyclic subgroup of order \( d \) for each \( d \) that divides \( n \), and \( \phi(d) \) elements of order \( d \).

**Exercise 12.** Show that every subgroup of \( \mathbb{Z}_n \) is cyclic. [Hint: Let \( s \) be the smallest nonzero element in a subgroup \( S \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_n \), and compare \( S \) to the subgroup generated by \( s \).]

We now conclude our proof that \( \mathbb{F}_q^* \) has at least one element of multiplicative order \( q - 1 \). By the cyclic subgroups theorem, \( \mathbb{F}_q^* \) has at most one cyclic subgroup of each size \( d \), and in each such subgroup the number of elements actually of order \( d \) is the Euler number \( \phi(d) \). By Lagrange’s theorem, the multiplicative order \( |S(\beta)| \) of each nonzero element \( \beta \in \mathbb{F}_q^* \) divides \( q - 1 \). Therefore the number of elements of all possible orders is at most

\[
\sum_{d \mid (q-1)} \phi(d),
\]

which equals \( q - 1 \) by (7.4). But since this must equal the total number of elements in \( \mathbb{F}_q^* \), namely \( q - 1 \), we conclude that there must be \( \phi(d) \) elements of each order \( d \) that divides \( q - 1 \). In particular, there must be \( \phi(q - 1) \geq 1 \) (by Exercise 11) elements of order \( q - 1 \). Thus a primitive element \( \alpha \) of order \( q - 1 \) exists, and \( \mathbb{F}_q^* \) is cyclic. In summary:

**Theorem 7.15 (\( \mathbb{F}_q^* \) is cyclic)**  Given any field \( \mathbb{F}_q \) with \( q \) elements, the nonzero elements of \( \mathbb{F}_q \) form a multiplicative cyclic group \( \mathbb{F}_q^* = \{ \alpha, \alpha^2, \ldots, \alpha^{q-2} \} \). Consequently \( \mathbb{F}_q^* \) has \( \phi(d) \geq 1 \) elements of multiplicative order \( d \) for every \( d \) that divides \( q - 1 \), and no elements of any other order. In particular, \( \mathbb{F}_q^* \) has \( \phi(q - 1) \geq 1 \) primitive elements.

Henceforth we will usually write the elements of a finite field \( \mathbb{F}_q \) as \( \{0, 1, \alpha, \alpha^2, \ldots, \alpha^{q-2}\} \), where \( \alpha \) denotes a primitive element. For \( \mathbb{F}_{g(e)} \), denoting a field element \( \beta \) as a power of \( \alpha \) rather than as a remainder polynomial helps to avoid confusion when we consider polynomials in \( \beta \).

**Example 2.** The prime field \( \mathbb{F}_5 \) has \( \phi(1) = 1 \) element of order 1 (the element 1), \( \phi(2) = 1 \) element of order 2 (namely \( 4 = -1 \)), and \( \phi(4) = 2 \) primitive elements of order 4 (namely, 2 and 3). We can therefore write \( \mathbb{F}_5 = \{0, 1, 2, 2^2, 2^3\} \), since \( 2^2 = 4 \) and \( 2^3 = 3 \mod 5 \). \( \square \)
Example 3. A field \( \mathbb{F}_{16} = \{0, 1, \alpha, \ldots, \alpha^{14}\} \) with 16 elements has

- \( \phi(1) = 1 \) element of order 1 (the element 1);
- \( \phi(3) = 2 \) elements of order 3 \((\alpha^5 \text{ and } \alpha^{10})\);
- \( \phi(5) = 4 \) elements of order 5 \((\alpha^3, \alpha^6, \alpha^9, \alpha^{12})\), and
- \( \phi(15) = 8 \) primitive elements of order 15 \((\alpha, \alpha^2, \alpha^4, \alpha^7, \alpha^8, \alpha^{11}, \alpha^{13}, \alpha^{14})\).

The “logarithmic” representation of the nonzero elements of \( \mathbb{F}_q \) as distinct powers of a primitive element \( \alpha \) is obviously highly convenient for multiplication and division. Multiplication in \( \mathbb{F}_q \) is often carried out by using such a “log table” to convert a polynomial \( f(x) \in \mathbb{F}_q \) to the exponent \( i \) such that \( f(x) = \alpha^i \), and then using an inverse “antilog table” to convert back after adding or subtracting exponents. (Note that the zero element can be included in this scheme if we define \( 0 = \alpha^{-\infty} \).)

7.8 Every finite field is isomorphic to a field \( \mathbb{F}_g(x) \)

We now wish to show that every finite field \( \mathbb{F}_q \) is isomorphic to a field \( \mathbb{F}_g(x) \) of the type that we have previously constructed. In particular, this will show that the number of elements of a finite field must be \( q = p^m \), a prime power.

The development relies on the properties of minimal polynomials, which are the factors that appear in the unique factorization of \( x^q - x \) over the prime subfield \( \mathbb{F}_p \) of \( \mathbb{F}_q \).

7.8.1 Factoring \( x^q - x \) into minimal polynomials over \( \mathbb{F}_p \)

Again, consider any field \( \mathbb{F}_q \) with \( q \) elements. We have seen in Theorem 7.14 that the polynomial \( x^q - x \in \mathbb{F}_q[x] \) factors completely into \( q \) degree-1 factors \( x - \beta \in \mathbb{F}_q[x], \beta \in \mathbb{F}_q \).

We have also seen that if \( \mathbb{F}_q \) has characteristic \( p \), then \( \mathbb{F}_q \) has a prime subfield \( \mathbb{F}_p \) with \( p \) elements. The prime subfield \( \mathbb{F}_p \) contains the integers of \( \mathbb{F}_q \), which include \( \{0, \pm 1\} \). Therefore we may regard \( x^q - x \) alternatively as a polynomial in \( \mathbb{F}_p[x] \).

By unique factorization, \( x^q - x \) factors over \( \mathbb{F}_p \) into a unique product of prime polynomials \( g_i(x) \in \mathbb{F}_p[x] \):

\[
x^q - x = \prod_i g_i(x). \tag{7.5}
\]

Since each coefficient of \( g_i(x) \) is an element of \( \mathbb{F}_p \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q \), it is also an element of \( \mathbb{F}_q \), so \( g_i(x) \) is also a monic polynomial in \( \mathbb{F}_q[x] \). We therefore have the following two factorizations of \( x^q - x \) in \( \mathbb{F}_q[x] \):

\[
x^q - x = \prod_{\beta \in \mathbb{F}_q} (x - \beta) = \prod_i g_i(x). \tag{7.6}
\]

Since the first factorization is the unique prime factorization, it follows that each monic polynomial \( g_i(x) \) of degree greater than 1 must be reducible over \( \mathbb{F}_q \), and must factor into a product of degree-1 monic polynomials; i.e.,

\[
g_i(x) = \prod_{j=1}^{\deg g_i(x)} (x - \beta_{ij}). \tag{7.7}
\]
The prime polynomials \( g_i(x) \) are called the *minimal polynomials* of \( \mathbb{F}_q \). Since each \( \beta \in \mathbb{F}_q \) appears exactly once on the left side of (7.6), it also appears as a factor in exactly one minimal polynomial in (7.7). Thus the elements of \( \mathbb{F}_q \) are partitioned into disjoint sets \( \{ \beta_1, \ldots, \beta_k \} \) where \( k = \deg g_i(x) \), and each \( \beta \in \mathbb{F}_q \) is a root of exactly one minimal polynomial of \( \mathbb{F}_q \), called the minimal polynomial of \( \beta \).

The key property of the minimal polynomial of \( \beta \) is the following:

**Lemma 7.16** Let \( g(x) \) be the minimal polynomial of any given \( \beta \in \mathbb{F}_q \). Then \( g(x) \) is the monic polynomial of least degree in \( \mathbb{F}_p[x] \) such that \( g(\beta) = 0 \). Moreover, for any \( f(x) \in \mathbb{F}_p[x] \), \( f(\beta) = 0 \) if and only if \( g(x) \) divides \( f(x) \).

**Proof:** Let \( h(x) \in \mathbb{F}_p[x] \) be a monic polynomial of least degree such that \( h(\beta) = 0 \). Using the Euclidean division algorithm, \( g(x) = q(x)h(x) + r(x) \) where \( \deg r(x) < \deg h(x) \). Since \( h(\beta) = g(\beta) = 0 \), we must have \( r(\beta) = 0 \). By the smallest degree property of \( h(x) \), this implies that \( r(x) = 0 \), so \( h(x) \) divides \( g(x) \). But since \( g(x) \) is irreducible, \( h(x) \) cannot have degree less than \( g(x) \); i.e., \( \deg h(x) = \deg g(x) \). Moreover, since both \( h(x) \) and \( g(x) \) are monic, this implies that \( h(x) = g(x) \). Thus \( g(x) \) is the monic polynomial of least degree in \( \mathbb{F}_p[x] \) such that \( g(\beta) = 0 \).

Now let \( f(x) \) be any polynomial in \( \mathbb{F}_p[x] \) that satisfies \( f(\beta) = 0 \). By Euclidean division, \( f(x) = q(x)g(x) + r(x) \) with \( \deg r(x) < \deg g(x) \). Thus \( r(\beta) = f(\beta) = 0 \). Since \( \deg r(x) < \deg g(x) \), \( r(\beta) = 0 \) if and only if \( r(x) = 0 \); i.e., if and only if \( g(x) \) divides \( f(x) \).

**Example 1** (cont.). Again consider the field \( \mathbb{F}_4 \) of Example 1, whose elements we now write as \( \{0, 1, \alpha, \alpha^2\} \), where \( \alpha \) may be taken as \( x \) or \( x + 1 \). This field has characteristic 2. The prime factorization of the binary polynomial \( x^4 - x = x^4 + x \in \mathbb{F}_2[x] \) is

\[
x^4 + x = x(x + 1)(x^2 + x + 1),
\]

so the minimal polynomials of \( \mathbb{F}_4 \) are \( x, x + 1 \) and \( x^2 + x + 1 \). The elements 0 and 1 are the roots of \( x \) and \( x + 1 \), respectively. From (7.7), the other two elements of \( \mathbb{F}_4 \), namely \( \alpha \) and \( \alpha^2 \), must be roots of \( x^2 + x + 1 \in \mathbb{F}_2[x] \). We verify that

\[
x^2 + x + 1 = (x + \alpha)(x + \alpha^2)
\]

since \( \alpha + \alpha^2 = 1 \) and \( \alpha \cdot \alpha^2 = \alpha^3 = 1 \). 

### 7.8.2 Valuation maps, minimal polynomials and subfields

Given a field \( \mathbb{F}_q \) with prime subfield \( \mathbb{F}_p \), we now consider evaluating a nonzero polynomial \( f(x) = \sum_i f_i x^i \in \mathbb{F}_p[x] \) at an element \( \beta \in \mathbb{F}_q \) to give a value

\[
f(\beta) = \sum_{i=0}^{\deg f(x)} f_i \beta^i
\]

in \( \mathbb{F}_q \), where \( f_i \) is taken as an element of \( \mathbb{F}_q \) for the purposes of this evaluation. The value of the zero polynomial at any \( \beta \) is 0.
The value \( f(\beta) \) depends on both the polynomial \( f(x) \) and the field element \( \beta \in F_q \). Rather than regarding \( f(\beta) \) as a function of \( \beta \), as the notation suggests, we will regard \( f(\beta) \) as a function of the polynomial \( f(x) \in F_p[x] \) for a fixed \( \beta \). In other words, we consider the map \( m_\beta : F_p[x] \to F_q \) that is defined by \( m_\beta(f(x)) = f(\beta) \).

The set of values \( m_\beta(F_p[x]) \) of this map as \( f(x) \) ranges over polynomials in \( F_p[x] \) is by definition the subset of elements \( G_\beta \subseteq F_q \) that can be expressed as linear combinations over \( F_p \) of powers of \( \beta \). We will show that \( G_\beta \) forms a subfield of \( F_q \) that is isomorphic to the polynomial remainder field \( F_{g(x)} \), where \( g(x) \) is the minimal polynomial of \( \beta \), namely the monic polynomial of least degree such that \( g(\beta) = 0 \).

We observe that the map \( m_\beta : F_p[x] \to F_q \) preserves addition and multiplication; i.e., \( m_\beta(f_1(x) + f_2(x)) = m_\beta(f_1(x)) + m_\beta(f_2(x)) \) since both sides equal \( f_1(\beta) + f_2(\beta) \), and \( m_\beta(f_1(x)f_2(x)) = m_\beta(f_1(x))m_\beta(f_2(x)) \) since both sides equal \( f_1(\beta)f_2(\beta) \).

We can now prove the desired isomorphism between the fields \( F_{g(x)} \) and \( G_\beta \):

**Theorem 7.17 (Subfields generated by \( \beta \in F_q \))** For any \( \beta \in F_q \), let \( g(x) \) be the minimal polynomial of \( \beta \). Then the set of all linear combinations \( G_\beta = \{ f(\beta) = \sum_i f_i \beta^i, f(x) \in F_p[x] \} \) over \( F_p \) of powers of \( \beta \) is equal to the set \( \{ r(\beta), r(x) \in R_{F_p,m} \} \) of values of remainder polynomials \( r(x) \in R_{F_p,m} \), and \( G_\beta \) is a field which is isomorphic to the field \( F_{g(x)} \) under the correspondence \( r(\beta) \in G_\beta \leftrightarrow r(x) \in R_{F_p,m} \).

**Proof.** We first verify that the correspondence \( m_\beta : R_{F_p,m} \to G_\beta \) is one-to-one (invertible). First, if \( f(\beta) \) is any element of \( G_\beta \), then by Euclidean division we can write \( f(x) = q(x)g(x) + r(x) \) where \( r(x) \in R_{F_p,m} \), and then \( f(\beta) = q(\beta)g(\beta) + r(\beta) = r(\beta) \), so \( f(\beta) = r(\beta) \) for some remainder polynomial \( r(x) \). Thus \( m_\beta(R_{F_p,m}) = m_\beta(F_p[x]) = G_\beta \). On the other hand, no two remainder polynomials \( r(x) \), \( s(x) \) with degrees less than \( m \) can evaluate to the same element of \( G_\beta \), because if \( r(\beta) = s(\beta) \), then \( r(x) - s(x) \) is a nonzero polynomial of degree less than \( g(x) \) that evaluates to \( 0 \), contradiction.

Now, as we have already seen, \( m_\beta(r(x) + s(x)) = m_\beta(r(x)) + m_\beta(s(x)) \) and \( m_\beta(r(x)s(x)) = m_\beta(r(x))m_\beta(s(x)) \), which verifies that this correspondence is an isomorphism.

We remark that \( G_\beta \) may be viewed as the smallest subfield of \( F_q \) containing the element \( \beta \), because any subfield containing \( \beta \) must also contain all powers of \( \beta \) and all linear combinations of powers of over \( F_p \).

### 7.8.3 Isomorphism theorems

We have shown that every finite field \( F_q \) contains a primitive element \( \alpha \). In this case, the subfield \( G_\alpha \) consisting of all linear combinations over \( F_p \) of powers of \( \alpha \) must evidently be the whole field \( F_q \). Thus we obtain our main theorem:

**Theorem 7.18 (Every finite field is isomorphic to a field \( F_{g(x)} \))** Every finite field \( F_q \) of characteristic \( p \) with \( q \) elements is isomorphic to a polynomial remainder field \( F_{g(x)} \), where \( g(x) \) is a prime polynomial in \( F_p[x] \) of degree \( m \). Hence \( q = p^m \) for some positive integer \( m \).

**Exercise 14.** For which integers \( q, 1 \leq q \leq 12 \), does a finite field \( F_q \) exist?
Finally, we wish to show that all fields with \( p^m \) elements are isomorphic. The following lemma shows that every prime polynomial \( g(x) \) of degree \( m \) (we are still assuming that there exists at least one) is a minimal polynomial of every field with \( p^m \) elements:

**Lemma 7.19** Every prime polynomial \( g(x) \in \mathbb{F}[x] \) of degree \( m \) divides \( x^{p^m} - x \).

**Proof.** If \( g(x) \) is a prime polynomial in \( \mathbb{F}[x] \) of degree \( m \), then the set \( R_{g,m} \) with mod-\( g(x) \) arithmetic forms a field \( F_{g(x)} \) with \( p^m \) elements. The remainder polynomial \( x \in R_{g,m} \) is a field element \( \beta \in F_{g(x)} \). Evidently \( g(\beta) = 0 \), but \( r(\beta) \neq 0 \) if \( \deg r(x) < m \); therefore \( g(x) \) is the minimal polynomial of \( \beta \). Since \( \beta^{p^m-1} = 1 \), \( \beta \) is a root of \( x^{p^m-1} - 1 \). This implies that \( g(x) \) divides \( x^{p^m-1} - 1 \), and thus also \( x^{p^m} - x \). \( \square \)

Consequently every field of size \( p^m \) includes \( m \) elements whose minimal polynomial is \( g(x) \). Therefore by the same construction as above, we can prove:

**Theorem 7.20 (All finite fields of the same size are isomorphic)** For any prime polynomial \( g(x) \in \mathbb{F}[x] \) of degree \( m \), every field of \( p^m \) elements is isomorphic to the polynomial remainder field \( \mathbb{F}_{g(x)} \).

### 7.8.4 More on the factorization of \( x^{p^n} - x \)

We can now obtain further information on the factorization of \( x^q - x \). In view of Theorem 7.18, we now set \( q = p^n \).

We first show that the set of roots of a minimal polynomial \( g_i(x) \in \mathbb{F}_p[x] \) is closed under the operation of taking the \( p \)th power. This follows from the curious but important fact that over a field \( \mathbb{F} \) of characteristic \( p \), taking the \( p \)th power is a linear operation. For example, when \( p = 2 \), squaring is linear because

\[
(\alpha + \beta)^2 = \alpha^2 + \alpha \beta + \alpha \beta + \beta^2 = \alpha^2 + \beta^2. 
\]

More generally, over any field \( \mathbb{F} \),

\[
(\alpha + \beta)^p = \sum_{j=0}^{p} \binom{p}{j} \alpha^j \beta^{p-j},
\]

where \( \binom{p}{j} \alpha^j \beta^{p-j} \) denotes the sum of \( \binom{p}{j} \) terms equal to \( \alpha^j \beta^{p-j} \). If \( \mathbb{F} \) has characteristic \( p \), then the integer \( \binom{p}{j} = p!/(j!(n-j)!) \) may be reduced mod \( p \). Now \( p! \) contains a factor of \( p \), but for \( 1 \leq j \leq p-1 \), \( j! \) and \( (n-j)! \) do not contain a factor of \( p \). Therefore \( \binom{p}{j} = 0 \mod p \) for \( 1 \leq j \leq p-1 \), and

\[
(\alpha + \beta)^p = \alpha^p + \beta^p.
\]

By taking the \( p \)th power \( n \) times, we may extend this result as follows:

**Lemma 7.21 (Linearity of taking the \( p^n \)th power)** Over any field \( \mathbb{F} \) of characteristic \( p \), for any \( n \geq 1 \), taking the \( p^n \)th power is linear; i.e.,

\[
(\alpha + \beta)^{p^n} = \alpha^{p^n} + \beta^{p^n}.
\]
Note that if \( F \) has \( q = p^n \) elements, then \( \beta^{p^m} = \beta \) for all \( \beta \in F \), so this lemma becomes repetitive for \( n \geq m \).

**Exercise 15.** Using this lemma, prove that if \( f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{m} f_i x^i \), then

\[
f^{p^n}(x) = (f_0 + f_1 x + f_2 x^2 + \cdots + f_{m} x^m)^n = f_0^n + f_1^n x^{p^n} + f_2^n x^{2p^n} + \cdots + f_m^n x^{mp^n}.
\]

This result yields a useful test for whether a polynomial \( f(x) \in F[x] \) is in \( F_p[x] \) or not, and a useful formula in case it is:

**Lemma 7.22 (Prime subfield polynomials)** For any field \( F \) of characteristic \( p \) and any \( f(x) \in F[x] \), \( f^p(x) = f(x^p) \) if and only if \( f(x) \in F_p[x] \); i.e., if and only if all coefficients \( f_i \) are in the prime subfield \( F_p \subseteq F \).

**Proof.** By Exercise 14, we have

\[
f^p(x) = (f_0 + f_1 x + f_2 x^2 + \cdots + f_n x^n)^p = f_0^p + f_1^p x^p + f_2^p x^{2p} + \cdots + f_n^p x^{np}.
\]

Now the elements of \( F \) that are in \( F_p \) are precisely the \( p \) roots of the polynomial \( x^p - x \); thus \( \beta^p = \beta \) if and only if \( \beta \in F_p \). Thus the right side of this equation simplifies to \( f(x^p) \) if and only if \( f_i \in F_p \) for all \( i \).

**Exercise 16.** Prove that a positive integer \( n \) is prime if and only if \( (x - a)^n = x^n - a \mod n \) for every integer \( a \) that is relatively prime to \( n \).

Using Lemma 7.22, we now show that the roots of a minimal polynomial are a **cyclotomic coset** of the form \( \{ \beta, \beta^p, \beta^{p^2}, \ldots \} \):

**Theorem 7.23 (Roots of minimal polynomials)** Let \( g(x) \) be a minimal polynomial of a finite field \( F \) with \( p^n \) elements. Then the roots of \( g(x) \) are a set of the form \( \{ \beta, \beta^p, \beta^{p^2}, \ldots, \beta^{p^{n-1}} \} \), where \( n \) is a divisor of \( m \). Moreover, \( g(x) \) divides \( x^{p^n} - x \).

**Proof.** Let \( \beta \) be any root of \( g(x) \). Since \( g(x) \in F_p[x] \), Lemma 7.22 shows that \( g(x^p) = g^p(x) \). Therefore \( g(\beta^p) = g^p(\beta) = 0 \). Thus \( \beta^p \) is also a root of \( g(x) \). Iterating, \( \beta^{p^2}, \beta^{p^3}, \ldots, \beta^{p^j}, \ldots \) are all roots of \( g(x) \). Because \( F \) is finite, these roots cannot all be distinct. Therefore let \( n \) be the smallest integer such that \( \beta^{p^n} = \beta \). Thus \( \beta^{p^j} \neq \beta^{p^j+k} \) for \( 0 \leq j < n, 1 \leq k < n \); i.e., all elements of the set \( \{ \beta, \beta^p, \beta^{p^2}, \ldots, \beta^{p^{n-1}} \} \) are distinct. Thus \( \beta, \beta^p, \beta^{p^2}, \ldots \) is a cyclic sequence and \( \beta^{p^j} = \beta \) if and only if \( n \) is a divisor of \( j \). Since \( \beta^{p^m} = \beta \), we see that \( n \) must divide \( m \).

Finally, we show that these roots are all of the roots of \( g(x) \); i.e., \( \deg g(x) = n \) and

\[
g(x) = \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} (x - \beta^{p^i}).
\]

The right side of this equation is a monic polynomial \( h(x) \in F[x] \) of degree \( n \). Since the roots of \( h(x) \) are roots of \( g(x) \), \( h(x) \) must divide \( g(x) \) in \( F[x] \). Now, using Lemma 7.22, we can prove

---

\(^3\)This is the basis of the polynomial-time primality test of [Agrawal, Kayal and Saxena, 2002].
that $h(x)$ is actually a polynomial in $F_p[x]$, because

$$h^p(x) = \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} (x - \beta^{p^i})^p = \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} (x^p - \beta^{p^{i+1}}) = \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} (x^p - \beta^{p^i}) = h(x^p),$$

where we use the linearity of taking the $p$th power and the fact that $\beta^{p^n} = \beta$. Therefore, since $g(x)$ has no factors in $F_p[x]$, $g(x)$ must actually be equal to $h(x)$.

Finally, since the roots of $g(x)$ all satisfy $\beta^{p^n} = \beta$, they are all roots of the polynomial $x^{p^n} - x$, which implies that $g(x)$ divides $x^{p^n} - x$.

This theorem has some important implications. First, the degree $n$ of a minimal polynomial $g(x)$ of a finite field $F$ with $p^m$ elements must be a divisor of $m$. Second, the subfield $G_\beta$ of $F$ generated by a root $\beta$ of $g(x)$ must have $p^n$ elements. Third, $x^{p^n} - x$ divides $x^{p^m} - x$, since the elements of $G_\beta$ are all the roots of $x^{p^n} - x$ and are also roots of $x^{p^m} - x$.

Conversely, let $g(x)$ be any prime polynomial in $F_p[x]$ of degree $n$. Then there is a finite field generated by $g(x)$ with $p^m$ elements. This proves that $g(x)$ divides $x^{p^n} - x$, and thus $g(x)$ divides $x^{p^m} - x$ for every multiple $m$ of $n$. Thus the divisors of $x^{p^m} - x$ include every prime polynomial in $F_p[x]$ whose degree $n$ divides $m$.

Moreover, $x^{p^m} - x$ has no repeated factors. We proved this earlier assuming the existence of a field $F$ with $p^m$ elements; however, we desire a proof that does not make this assumption. The following exercise yields such a proof.

**Exercise 17** ($x^{p^m} - x$ has no repeated factors). The formal derivative of a degree-$n$ polynomial $f(x) \in F_p[x]$ is defined as

$$f'(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} (j \mod p)f_jx^{j-1}$$

(a) Show that if $f(x) = g(x)h(x)$, then $f'(x) = g'(x)h(x) + g(x)h'(x)$.

(b) Show that an prime polynomial $g(x)$ is a repeated divisor of $f(x)$ if and only if $g(x)$ is a divisor of both $f(x)$ and $f'(x)$.

(c) Show that $x^{p^m} - x$ has no repeated prime factors over $F_p$. □

Now we can conclude our discussion of the factorization of $x^{p^m} - x$ as follows:

**Theorem 7.24 (Factors of $x^{p^m} - x$)** The polynomial $x^{p^m} - x$ factors over $F_p$ into the product of the prime polynomials in $F_p[x]$ whose degrees divide $m$, with no repetitions. □

For example, over $F_2$, we have

\[
\begin{align*}
  x^2 + x &= x(x + 1); \\
  x^4 + x &= x(x + 1)(x^2 + x + 1); \\
  x^8 + x &= x(x + 1)(x^3 + x^2 + 1)(x^3 + x + 1); \\
  x^{16} + x &= x(x + 1)(x^2 + x + 1)(x^4 + x^3 + 1)(x^4 + x^3 + x^2 + x + 1)(x^4 + x + 1).
\end{align*}
\]

**Exercise 18.** Find all prime polynomials $g(x) \in F_3[x]$ of degree 1 and 2 over the ternary field $F_3$. Show that the product of these polynomials is $x^9 - x = x^9 + 2x$. Explain, with reference to $F_9$. □
7.9 Finite fields $\mathbb{F}_{p^m}$ exist for all prime $p$ and $m \geq 1$

At last we can prove that for every prime $p$ and positive integer $m$ there exists a prime polynomial $g(x) \in \mathbb{F}_p[x]$ of degree $m$. This will prove the existence of a finite field $\mathbb{F}_{g(x)}$ with $p^m$ elements.

Using the factorization of Theorem 7.24, we will show that there do not exist enough prime polynomials of degree less than $m$ that their product could have degree $p^m$.

Let $N(n)$ denote the number of prime polynomials over $\mathbb{F}_p$ of degree $n$. The product of these polynomials has degree $nN(n)$. Since $x^{p^m} - x$ is the product of these polynomials for all divisors $n$ of $m$, and there are no repeated factors, its degree $p^m$ is equal to

$$p^m = \sum_{n: n|m} nN(n) \quad (7.8)$$

This formula may be solved recursively for each $N(m)$, starting with $N(1) = p$.

Exercise 19. Calculate $N(m)$ for $p = 2$ for $m = 1$ to 10. Check your results against those stated in Section 7.5.4.

Now we are in a position to prove the desired theorem:

**Theorem 7.25 (Existence of prime polynomials)** Let $N(m)$ be the number of prime polynomials in $\mathbb{F}_p[x]$ of degree $m$, which is given recursively by (7.8). For every prime $p$ and positive integer $m$, $N(m) > 0$.

**Proof.** Note first that $nN(n) \leq p^n$. Thus

$$p^m \leq mN(m) + \sum_{n < m: n|m} p^n \leq mN(m) + (m/2)p^{m/2},$$

where we have upperbounded the number of terms in the sum by $m/2$ and upperbounded each term by $p^{m/2}$, since the largest divisor of $m$ other than $m$ is at most $m/2$. Thus

$$mN(m) \geq p^m - (m/2)p^{m/2} = p^{m/2}(p^{m/2} - m/2).$$

The quantity $p^{m/2} - m/2$ is positive for $p = 2, m = 2$, and is increasing in both $p$ and $m$. Thus $mN(m)$ is positive for all prime $p$ and all $m \geq 2$. Moreover $N(1) = p$. □

Since a finite field $\mathbb{F}_{g(x)}$ with $p^m$ elements can be constructed from any prime polynomial $g(x) \in \mathbb{F}_p[x]$ of degree $m$, this implies:

**Theorem 7.26 (Existence of finite fields)** For every prime $p$ and positive integer $m$, there exists a finite field with $p^m$ elements.

Moreover, for each $n$ that divides $m$, there exists a unique subfield $\mathbb{G}$ with $p^n$ elements, namely the roots of the polynomial $x^{p^n} - x$:

**Theorem 7.27 (Existence of finite subfields)** Every finite field with $p^m$ elements has a subfield with $p^n$ elements for each positive integer $n$ that divides $m$. 

In summary, the factorization of $x^{p^m} - x$ into minimal polynomials partitions the elements of $\mathbb{F}_{p^m}$ into cyclotomic cosets whose properties are determined by their minimal polynomials. The roots of $g(x)$ have multiplicative order $k$ if $g(x)$ divides $x^k - 1$ and does not divide $x^j - 1$ for $j < k$. Moreover, the roots of $g(x)$ are elements of the subfield with $p^n$ elements if and only if $g(x)$ divides $x^{p^n} - x$, or equivalently if their order $k$ divides $p^n - 1$.

**Example 3** (cont.) Over $\mathbb{F}_2$, the polynomial $x^{16} + x$ factors as follows:

$$x^{16} + x = x(x + 1)(x^2 + x + 1)(x^4 + x^3 + 1)(x^4 + x^3 + x^2 + x + 1)(x^4 + x + 1).$$

Moreover, $x^3 + 1 = (x + 1)(x^2 + x + 1)$ and $x^5 + 1 = (x + 1)(x^4 + x^3 + x^2 + x + 1)$. The primitive elements are thus the roots of $x^4 + x + 1$ and $x^4 + x^3 + 1$. If we choose a root of $x^4 + x + 1$ as $\alpha$, then $\mathbb{F}_{16} = \{0, 1, \alpha, \ldots, \alpha^{14}\}$ partitions into cyclotomic cosets as follows:

- One zero element (0), minimal polynomial $x$;
- One element of order 1 (1), minimal polynomial $x + 1$;
- Two elements of order 3 ($\alpha^5, \alpha^{10}$), minimal polynomial $x^2 + x + 1$;
- Four elements of order 5 ($\alpha^3, \alpha^6, \alpha^9, \alpha^{12}$), minimal polynomial $x^4 + x^3 + x^2 + x + 1$;
- Four elements of order 15 ($\alpha, \alpha^2, \alpha^4, \alpha^8$), minimal polynomial $x^4 + x + 1$;
- Four elements of order 15 ($\alpha^7, \alpha^{14}, \alpha^{13}, \alpha^{11}$), minimal polynomial $x^4 + x^3 + 1$.

$\mathbb{F}_{16}$ has a prime subfield $\mathbb{F}_2$ consisting of the elements whose minimal polynomials divide $x^2 + x$, namely 0 and 1. It also has a subfield $\mathbb{F}_4$ consisting of the elements whose minimal polynomials divide $x^4 + x$, namely $\{0, 1, \alpha^5, \alpha^{10}\}$. Alternatively, $\mathbb{F}_4^* \cong \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$ consists of the three elements of $\mathbb{F}_{16}^*$ whose multiplicative orders divide 3.

**Exercise 20** (construction of $\mathbb{F}_{32}$).

(a) Find the prime polynomials in $\mathbb{F}_2[x]$ of degree 5, and determine which have primitive roots.

(b) For some minimal polynomial $g(x)$ with a primitive root $\alpha$, construct a field $\mathbb{F}_{g(x)}$ with 32 elements. Give a table with the elements partitioned into cyclotomic cosets as above. Specify the minimal polynomial and the multiplicative order of each nonzero element. Identify the subfields of $\mathbb{F}_{g(x)}$.

(c) Show how to do multiplication and division in $\mathbb{F}_{g(x)}$ using this “log table.” Discuss the rules for multiplication and division in $\mathbb{F}_{g(x)}$ when one of the field elements involved is the zero element $0 \in \mathbb{F}_{g(x)}$.

(d) [Optional] If you know something about maximum-length shift-register (MLSR) sequences, show that there exists a correspondence between the “log table” given above and a certain MLSR sequence of length 31.