

# Assignment 3

Chris Potts, Ling 230b: Advanced semantics and pragmatics, Fall 2022

Distributed Oct 18; due Oct 25

## 1 Copular constructions

[2 points]

For each of the following analyses of *be*, provide a semantic parsetree for the sentence *Superman is Clark Kent* using any combination of Partee's type-shifters, assuming that *Superman* and *Clark Kent* both translate as expressions of type *e*.

- i.  $(\lambda x \lambda y (x = y)) : \langle e, \langle e, t \rangle \rangle$
- ii.  $(\lambda f \lambda y (f y)) : \langle \langle e, t \rangle, \langle e, t \rangle \rangle$
- iii.  $(\lambda y \lambda f (f y)) : \langle e, \langle \langle e, t \rangle, t \rangle \rangle$
- iv. the type-shifter **BE** :  $\langle \langle \langle e, t \rangle, t \rangle, \langle e, t \rangle \rangle$

## 2 Determiners and type-shifters

[2 points]

The following Japanese sentence is ambiguous between definite and indefinite interpretations of its subject. (The subject is also ambiguous between singular and plural, but let's set that aside.)

Hime wa kirei.  
princess TOPIC pretty  
'The/A princess is pretty.'

Partee suggests that we might relate such ambiguities to type-shifting and the absence of an overt determiner. We've seen that it can be challenging to keep track of the predictions such analyses make. Your tasks:

- i. Show that Partee's type-shifters can derive both of the above readings.
- ii. Assess the extent to which it also follows, from your account and assumptions like those of fragment 1, that *a princess* in English cannot be interpreted as definite.

Hat-tip to Judy Kroo for the initial version of this question.

## 3 Scope islands and Cooper Storage

[2 points]

Barker (2015:§1.6) reports that "tensed clauses are generally thought to be scope islands for universal quantifiers". Provide a way of capturing this constraint in the context of Cooper Storage. You should assume that you have free access to features in the syntax (this seems clearly to be a syntax-semantics interface constraint). It's fine to state this as a constraint on derivations, but it's even better to redefine the Cooper Storage system so that it follows as a theorem.

## 4 Scope and negation

[3 points]

Provide a compositional account of the ambiguity summarized in (1):

- (1) Every student didn't pass.
- a. *Surface*: for all students  $x$ ,  $x$  did not pass
  - b. *Inverse*: it is not the case that, for all students  $x$ ,  $x$  passed

## 5 Continuization intuitions

[1 points]

This question is based in an interactive worksheet:

<http://web.stanford.edu/class/linguist230b/assignments/ling230b-assign03.html>

The steps are basic. The goal is to give you a feel for what continuized grammars are like. Your answer can be just a sequence of expressions pasted out of the interactive tutorial.

## References

Barker, Chris. 2015. Scope. In Shalom Lappin & Chris Fox (eds.), *Handbook of contemporary semantic theory*, 40–76. Wiley-Blackwell 2nd edn.