This article proposes that patent claim construction and interpretation fully adopt Judge Benjamin Cardozo’s contextual method of contract construction and interpretation, made famous by Jacob and Youngs v. Kent, commonly known as the “Reading Pipe case.” There has been a recent trend in patent law to examine claims holistically, and this article examines whether that concept should be formally adopted, based upon Cardozo’s Reading Pipe analysis and justification, and particularly as it relates to claim interpretation and construction and its impact on remedies calculations.