Speakers are sensitive to a diversity of information sources when making a choice between syntactic constructions (e.g. Jaeger, 2006; Bresnan et al., 2007; Wasow et al., 2007). When multiple cues coincide to favor one construction over another, that outcome is more probable than when cues conflict, and much more probable than when cues converge to favor an alternative construction. Previous results have shown that the production of high-probability words and syllables tends to be faster or otherwise reduced, as measured by frequency, local cooccurrence probability, or topicality (e.g. Bybee, 2000; Jurafsky et al., 2001; Bell et al., 2003; Aylett and Turk, 2004; Pluymaekers et al., 2005). It has also been shown that articulation reflects verb bias, a single-cue estimate of syntactic probability (Gahl and Garnsey, 2004).

Using word durations measured from spoken, naturalistic data, I show that syntactic probabilities incorporating diverse information sources are reflected in articulation: certain words tend to be relatively shorter in more probable constructions. This result confirms that speakers’ processing or representation of language includes knowledge about syntactic probabilities, and suggests that probabilistic knowledge is relevant at all stages of language production.
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