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Coreference has been traditionally defined dichotomously as identity-of-reference or non-identity-of-reference. Accordingly, the linguistic annotations of coreferentially annotated corpora (Doddington et al., 2004; Hirschman & Chinchor, 1997; Pradhan et al., 2007) identify the NPs that refer to the same entity from those that refer to different entities. However, we have pointed out in previous work (Recasens, Hovy, & Martí, 2011) that there are examples in real data that fall under neither coreference nor non-coreference, as two referents can be just nearly identical. This is evidenced by the fact that the old Postville is annotated contradictorily by the ACE (1-a) and OntoNotes (1-b) corpora (mentions annotated as coreferent are marked in italics).

(1) a. On homecoming night Postville feels like Hometown, USA, but a look around this town of 2,000 shows it’s become a miniature Ellis Island . . . For those who prefer the old Postville, Mayor John Hyman has a simple answer.

b. On homecoming night Postville feels like Hometown, USA, but a look around this town of 2,000 shows it’s become a miniature Ellis Island . . . For those who prefer the old Postville, Mayor John Hyman has a simple answer.

Adding to previous work on referential ambiguity and vagueness (van Deemter, 2010; Poesio, Sturt, Artstein, & Filik, 2006; Versley, 2008), we provide psycholinguistic evidence of the near-identity category, gaining further insight into the nature of near-identity relations. More specifically, we present a three-task experiment on the interpretation of the identity relationship between the referents of noun phrases in English and in Catalan. The experiment collected judgments from 104 subjects (70 English speakers and 34 Catalan speakers) who were asked to rate the referential sameness of two NPs in (i) a two-way choice task, (ii) a four-point scale, and (iii) a multiple-choice question type.

The results show that whereas some referential relations are classified as either Identity or Non-Identity by the majority of subjects, there is a third class of relations for which the judgments are split between Identity and Non-Identity. This evidence supports the conclusion that it does not suffice to distinguish between identity-of-reference and non-identity-of-reference, but that it is psychologically plausible to assume a middle-ground category of near-identity to include those referential relations that part of the subjects classify as Identity and the other part as Non-Identity. In addition, it emerges that such relations have a longer response time, which reveals that near-identity has a higher processing difficulty. The fact that this is true for both English and Catalan points toward the crosslinguistic nature of near-identical referents.
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