Research Dashboard Meeting Minutes:

7/27/10

Attendees: Rana Glasgal, Vijay Gandra, Angel Mayorga, Barbara Cole, Kathleen Thomson, Michiko Pane, Lee Merrick, Samir Pandey, Dilip Kondiparti

Absent: Sharon Bergman, Bettye Price

Location: Terman Basement

Today’s meeting was focused on identifying data candidates for reporting from Proposals perspective, and the feasibility of such reporting.

It was proposed that the team will focus on Proposal data in today’s meeting.

Decisions:

- Conversations about Hit Rate metrics put on-hold until award metrics are finalized
- Transaction count number is not required for Executive Reporting Metrics
- For drill down purposes, NIH should be treated as its own group and rest of PHS will continue to be part of PHS
- The next meeting will focus on award data.

The following categories of Proposals have been identified for possible inclusion in dashboard metrics for Research Dashboard.

- New Proposals
- Renewals
- Continuations
- Revisions/Re-submissions (includes re-groupings)

It was also decided that Fellowships, in addition to Research Grants and Contracts, would be included in the proposals data. There was less agreement about whether to distinguish between directly-received federal funding and federal funding received via subcontracts. The group may need to re-visit this to make a firm decision, or ask the Focus Group.

New Proposals:

It was decided that for purposes of the dashboard, the applicable categories are the sum of new, renewal, revision, and regroup. Clinical trials, IPA, JPA, and MTA will be excluded from proposal counts.
Contract types CTAA, IPA, JPA, MTA data lines should be excluded while reporting on proposal type metrics as these are non-competitive proposals which may mislead the metrics (these exclusions should be noted on the db; currently there is a note in the “gray section”). Both dollar amounts and number of proposals should be pulled and displayed for the proposals dashboard.

It would be nice to show the total number (or $ amt) of “new” proposals in stacked bar format, with the bars made of new, renewal, revision/ regroup.

Kathleen reported that the SOM currently is excluding the data related to CTAA, IPA, JPA, MTA contract types from its Executive reporting.

Hierarchies:

The following hierarchies have been identified for drilldown purposes on Executive Dashboards.

1. ORGANIZATIONAL

   School  Department (and division, where applicable)

   Use data from Ecertification hierarchy (Lee has this mapping from SPIDERS orgs to Admin orgs)

2. SPONSOR TYPE (aka AGENCY, for federal sponsors)

   Sponsor Type ( Federal , Non-Federal)  Sponsor Group ( NIH, NSF, DOD, DOE, Etc.)  Samir’s current roll-up looks good.

   The non-federal category will not have a drill-down since in SPIDERS the sub-categories are not clear-cut. SERA is working on this.

3. AGREEMENT TYPE – again, Samir’s current list looks good.

4. DATE

   Fiscal year  Fiscal Quarter  Fiscal Month  Date

Filters Identified so far for Proposal Metrics:

- School
- Department
- Sponsor ( Agency)
- Agreement Type
- Contract Type (Since CTAA, IPA, JPA, MTA have been excluded, other values may not be of relevance for executive Metrics)
- Proposal Type
- Proposal Fiscal Year

It should be made clear on the dashboard that the “date” dimension is based on the proposal SUBMITTED date.

Team has decided to meet every Monday for next the few weeks to finalize the requirement for Research Metric for Executive Dashboards.

**Action Items:**

Barbara Cole to call for weekly meetings until the end of August. – **Completed 7/27/10**