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In Brief

Differentiation of neural stem cells (NSPCs) rewrites cellular chaperone networks, fundamentally changing cellular proteostasis strategies. Chaperonin TRiC/CCT, high in NSPCs, promotes stress resilience and cellular fitness. Differentiation lowers TRiC/CCT and induces sHSPs, which promote misfolded protein sequestration into protective inclusions. Aging attenuates TRiC/CCT and NSPC proteostasis, likely contributing to proteinopathies.
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SUMMARY

Neural stem and progenitor cells (NSPCs) are critical for continued cellular replacement in the adult brain. Lifelong maintenance of a functional NSPC pool necessitates stringent mechanisms to preserve a pristine proteome. We find that the NSPC chaperone network robustly maintains misfolded protein solubility and stress resilience through high levels of the ATP-dependent chaperonin TRiC/CCT. Strikingly, NSPC differentiation rewires the cellular chaperone network, reducing TRiC/CCT levels and inducing those of the ATP-independent small heat shock proteins (sHSPs). This switches the proteostasis strategy in neural progeny cells to promote sequestration of misfolded proteins into protective inclusions. The chaperone network of NSPCs is more effective than that of differentiated cells, leading to improved management of proteotoxic stress and amyloidogenic proteins. However, NSPC proteostasis is impaired by brain aging. The less efficient chaperone network of differentiated neural progeny may contribute to age-dependent neurodegenerative diseases, such as Alzheimer’s, Parkinson’s, and Huntington’s disease (HD), and amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS). Additionally, aging itself leads to reduced proteostasis capacity across tissues, including the brain, which further enhances formation of potentially neurotoxic, misfolded proteins (Brehme et al., 2014; Hipp et al., 2019; Morimoto and Cuervo, 2014; Taylor and Dillin, 2011).

INTRODUCTION

Protein homeostasis (proteostasis) mechanisms in the brain are essential to prevent protein misfolding and aggregation-linked neurodegenerative diseases (Labbadia and Morimoto, 2015; Margulis and Finkbeiner, 2014; Morimoto and Cuervo, 2014; Taylor and Dillin, 2011). Adult brain plasticity and repair depends on neural stem and progenitor cells (NSPCs), which can both self-renew and differentiate into multiple neural cell lineages, thus facilitating learning, memory, cell replacement, and repair after injury (Aimone et al., 2006; Arvidsson et al., 2002; Bonaguidi et al., 2011; Dupret et al., 2008; Spalding et al., 2013). Brain aging dramatically impairs the regenerative potential of the NSPC pool (Apple et al., 2017; Luo et al., 2006; Maslov et al., 2004; Rando, 2006; Vilchez et al., 2014). The decreased ability of aged NSPCs to replace damaged or lost neurons may contribute to age-dependent neurodegenerative diseases, such as Alzheimer’s, Parkinson’s, and Huntington’s disease (HD), and amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS). Additionally, aging itself leads to reduced proteostasis capacity across tissues, including the brain, which further enhances formation of potentially neurotoxic, misfolded proteins (Brehme et al., 2014; Hipp et al., 2019; Morimoto and Cuervo, 2014; Taylor and Dillin, 2011).

Lifelong maintenance of a functional NSPC pool necessitates stringent mechanisms that promote genome and proteome integrity (Burkhalter et al., 2015; Llorens-Bobadilla et al., 2015; Shin et al., 2015). The complex proteostasis network (PN) maintaining the proteome consists of different molecular chaperones and clearance pathways. These contribute distinctly to cellular proteostasis (Freilich et al., 2018) but together determine polypeptide fate throughout the life of a protein (Jayaraj et al., 2020; Sala et al., 2017). How the distinct PN components recognize a misfolded protein and decide whether to refold, degrade, or sequester it into an inclusion is poorly understood, particularly in stem cells and other highly specialized cell types. Previous studies showed that proteasome levels are high in stem cells, while autophagy is induced upon differentiation (Aron et al., 2018; Ho et al., 2017; Kenyon, 2010; Koyuncu et al., 2018; Tang and Rando, 2014; Vilchez et al., 2012; Vilchez et al., 2014). The function of these changes in the context of overall proteostasis and proteome maintenance remains poorly understood. Here, we compare the PNs and strategies of adult NSPCs with their differentiated progeny. We find that differentiation induces widespread remodeling of the chaperone networks of NSPCs, fundamentally changing their strategies of proteome maintenance. Differentiation dramatically downregulates the ATP-dependent chaperonin
Figure 1. Robust Proteostasis Ability of NSPCs versus Differentiated Lineages

(A) Regenerative NSPCs, isolated from the SVZ and SGZ stem cell niches of the young adult (3 month old) mouse brain, were differentiated into three main neural lineages (i.e., astrocytes, neurons, oligodendrocytes).

(B) Stress resilience of NSPCs and their differentiated progeny, determined upon exposure to (i) oxidative stress ([H₂O₂]) and (ii) heat stress. Viability (mean ± SD) was normalized for basal conditions. Basal unstressed conditions yield lower reduction of 3-(4,5-Dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide (MTT) into formazan by differentiated progeny relative to NSPCs (metabolic activity of differentiated cells was attenuated by 49% ± 3% compared to NSPCs [Figure 5E]).

n.s., non-significant, ***p < 0.001, ****p < 0.0001.

(legend continued on next page)
TRiC/CCT and induces the ATP-independent small heat shock proteins (sHSPs). While high TRiC in NSPCs robustly maintains protein solubility and suppresses amyloid aggregation, sHSPs induced in differentiated progeny promote a switch in proteostasis strategy to rely on chaperone-dependent sequestration of misfolded proteins into inclusions. Notably, the robust NSPC chaperone network provides effective stress resilience and cellular fitness, while differentiated cells are more vulnerable to stress and amyloid deposition. Our findings reveal an unexpected complex tuning of proteostasis maintenance, whereby the chaperone composition of a cell determines its preferred protein quality control (PQC) strategy.

RESULTS

Distinct Proteostasis Capacities of NSPCs and Their Differentiated Progeny

To identify proteostasis mechanisms maintaining cellular fitness in adult NSPCs, we isolated Sox2+/Nestin+ NSPCs from the two stem cell niches in adult mouse brain: the subgranular zone (SGZ) of the hippocampal dentate gyrus and the subventricular zone (SVZ) of the anterior lateral ventricle. In culture, NSPCs are proliferative and retain their ability to both self-renew or differentiate into a mixed population of three main neural lineages, i.e., astrocytes, neurons, and oligodendrocytes, representative of the mixed in vivo differentiated cell population of the adult brain (Figures 1A, S1A, and S1B) (Artișàgioni et al., 2017; Bonaguidi et al., 2011; Walker and Kempermann, 2014). Comparing the ability of the activated, proliferative NSPCs and their differentiated progeny to withstand various proteotoxic stresses revealed dramatic differences in their cellular resistance to both oxidative stress and heat shock. While adult NSPCs were highly resistant to proteotoxic stress, the mixed population of differentiated neural cell lineages was uniformly very sensitive to these treatments (Figures 1B and S1C).

Impaired proteostasis is linked to formation of amyloid aggregates, typical of aging-linked neurodegenerative diseases (Hipp et al., 2019; Morimoto and Cuervo, 2014; Taylor and Dillin, 2011). We examined whether unstressed NSPCs and their differentiated progeny could equally prevent amyloid aggregation of endogenous proteins using Protostat, a dye that fluoresces upon selectively binding to amyloid-like protein deposits (Shen et al., 2011). NSPCs were almost completely devoid of aggregates; in contrast, Protostat+ inclusions were abundant in unstressed differentiated cells (Figure 1C). We conclude that proteostasis capacity is much higher in NSPCs than in differentiated cells, endowing NSPCs with robust stress resistance and preventing amyloid aggregation.

We next examined the impact of aging on NSPC proteostasis and fitness. Aging is associated with a decline in proteostasis capacity (Josefsen et al., 2017; Leeman et al., 2018; Morimoto and Cuervo, 2014; Schmidt and Finley, 2014; Taylor and Dillin, 2011; Vilchez et al., 2014) as well as depletion of the functional stem cell pool (Apple et al., 2017; Artișàgioni et al., 2017; Kalamakis et al., 2019; Luo et al., 2006; Maslov et al., 2004; Vilchez et al., 2014). We examined the presence of Protostat+ deposits in NSPCs isolated from either young adult, middle-aged, or aged mouse brains (i.e., 3, 12, and 24 months, respectively; hereafter referred to as young, middle-aged, or aged NSPCs). Young NSPCs were almost completely devoid from Protostat+ puncta, but their abundance was progressively enhanced during brain aging (Figure 1D). This age-dependent decline in NSPC proteostasis capacity correlated with reduced cellular fitness, which was exacerbated upon exposure to proteotoxic stress (Figure 1E). To account for possible effects of NSPC isolation and culture on cellular proteostasis, we directly examined the presence of Protostat+ deposits in mouse SGZ brain sections. While SGZ stem cells in the brains of young animals were devoid of amyloid aggregates, there was an age-dependent increase in amyloid aggregates in SGZ stem cells of middle-aged and aged mice (Figures 1F and S1D). These Protostat+ deposits co-localized with Sox2+ stem cells (Figure 1G) and were ubiquitin-positive (Figures 1H and S1E). Of note, Protostat+/ubiquitin+ protein inclusions characterize misfolding-linked neurodegenerative pathologies (Shen et al., 2011). These studies indicate that NSPCs have high proteostasis capacity, which declines during aging, leading to increased amyloid aggregation.

Differentiation Alters NSPC Management of PolyQ-Expanded Huntingtin

Next, we asked whether the distinct proteostasis capacities of adult NSPCs and their progeny also affect their management of HD-causing, polyQ-expanded Huntingtin (Htt; Escusa-Toret et al., 2013; Kaganovich et al., 2008; Polling et al., 2014). To this end, we analyzed the subcellular distribution of HttQ97 exon1-GFP in both NSPCs and their differentiated progeny. In all three differentiated neural lineages, HttQ97-GFP exhibited a punctate distribution, consistent with sequestration into inclusions (Figures 2A and 2B). Strikingly, despite its high aggregation propensity, HttQ97-GFP was mainly diffusely localized in NSPCs, with only very few inclusions (Figure 2A). To examine whether this altered HttQ97-GFP localization is linked to the
enhanced NSPC proteostasis, we next compared the cellular toxicity of HttQ97-GFP in NSPCs and differentiated cells. Exogenous expression of pathogenic Htt significantly increased cell death in the differentiated population but did not affect NSPC viability (Figure 2C). These findings suggest that NSPCs are more resilient than differentiated cells to HttQ97-GFP, while...
Figure 3. NSPC Differentiation Rewires Cellular Chaperone Circuits

(A) (i) The robust NSPC proteostasis capacity (i.e., high stress resistance, ability to maintain proteome solubility) is drastically impaired upon differentiation. The differential transcriptomes of NSPCs and their progeny was compared by RNA-seq. (ii) Proof of concept: marked enrichment of transcripts specifically expressed in the differentiated lineages following NSPC differentiation.
differentiated cells are less effective at managing polyQ misfolding and toxicity.

To extend the comparison of the proteostasis capacities of NSPCs versus the mixed population of differentiated lineages, we examined the localization of a panel of disease-linked, aggregation-prone mutants with distinct properties and chaperone requirements: the polyQ-expanded Androgen Receptor (ARQ113), the cancer-associated Von Hippel-Lindau tumor suppressor (VHL1139P), and the ALS-linked superoxide dismutase 1 (SOD1S370A) (Table S1; Escusa-Toret et al., 2013; Sontag et al., 2014). In all cases, similar to HttQ97-GFP, the misfolded protein was sequestered into inclusions in differentiated cells but remained predominantly diffusely localized in NSPCs (Figure 2D). We conclude that the NSPC proteostasis circuits maintain solubility of a wide range of disease-linked, misfolded proteins while promoting enhanced resistance to distinct proteotoxic stresses. In contrast, differentiated lineages tend to sequester misfolded proteins into inclusions and are more sensitive to proteotoxic stress.

We next examined whether NSPCs rely on their high division rates to reduce aggregate abundance and protect cells from stress by diluting misfolded proteins. Since aggregation can be concentration dependent, we first compared whether HttQ97-GFP transfection prior to or post-differentiation altered the expression or distribution of the misfolded protein. HttQ97 localization and inclusion abundance were similar for both populations of differentiated cells (Figure S2A). HttQ97-GFP expression in the differentiated progeny was comparable to that in NSPCs, despite their distinct localization (Figure S2B). In an alternative approach, NSPC proliferation was blocked using mitomycin C (MMC), which should prevent dilution of damaged proteins. MMC treatment reduced the NSPC pool size without affecting its fitness (Figures S2Cii and S2Dii). Importantly, MMC treatment did not lead to the appearance of HttQ97-GFP inclusions nor to formation of HttQ97-GFP puncta (Figures 2Fi). Biochemical analyses further indicated that NSPCs contain much higher proteasome activity as well as 19S and 20S proteasomal complex expression than their progeny (Figures S2F and S2G). However, proteasome inhibition by MG132 in NSPCs did not lead to increased endogenous amyloid formation nor to formation of HttQ97-GFP puncta (Figures 2G, 2H, and S2H). These experiments indicate that proteasome-mediated clearance cannot explain the solubility of the NSPC proteome.

Transcriptomic Analyses Reveal Rewiring of the NSPC Chaperone Network upon Differentiation

To gain insights into how differentiation affects NSPC proteostasis, we used RNA sequencing (RNA-seq) to monitor changes in the NSPC transcriptome following 7 days of differentiation (Figure 3Ai; Table S2). As expected, differentiation reduced stem cell-specific genes and enriched genes expressed in neural lineages (Figure 3Aii). Analysis of biological processes identified various pathways and categories enriched in NSPCs versus their differentiated progeny (Table S3; Figure S3A). We focused on those contributing to proteostasis.

Cellular proteostasis relies on the interplay of protein synthesis, folding, and clearance, mediated by molecular chaperones and degradation pathways acting during protein maturation. Volcano plots show differentially expressed ribosomal, proteasomal, autophagy, or chaperome genes in differentiated progeny compared to NSPCs. x axis: log2 (fold change); y axis: –log10 of q value. Triangles indicate q values below 10^-10. (B) Cellular proteostasis relies on the balance of protein synthesis, folding, and clearance, mediated by molecular chaperones and degradation pathways acting during protein maturation. Volcano plots show differentially expressed ribosomal, proteasomal, autophagy, or chaperome genes in differentiated progeny compared to NSPCs. x axis: log2 (fold change); y axis: –log10 of q value. Triangles indicate q values below 10^-10. (C) If bulk rates of protein synthesis, determined by O-propargyl-puromycin (OPP) incorporation into newly translated proteins, ii Quantification (mean ± SD) of the relative protein synthesis rates in NSPCs and differentiated progeny. Value of NSPCs was arbitrary set at 1. p = 0.041. See Figure S3B for FACS plots. (D) Volcano plots of differentially expressed genes of all five major cytosolic chaperone classes in differentiated cells compared to NSPCs. x axis: log2 (fold change); y axis: –log10 of q value. (B) If bulk rates of protein synthesis, determined by O-propargyl-puromycin (OPP) incorporation into newly translated proteins, ii Quantification (mean ± SD) of the relative protein synthesis rates in NSPCs and differentiated progeny. Value of NSPCs was arbitrary set at 1. p = 0.041. See Figure S3B for FACS plots. (D) Volcano plots of differentially expressed genes of all five major cytosolic chaperone classes in differentiated cells compared to NSPCs. x axis: log2 (fold change); y axis: –log10 of q value. (B) Cellular proteostasis relies on the balance of protein synthesis, folding, and clearance, mediated by molecular chaperones and degradation pathways acting during protein maturation. Volcano plots show differentially expressed ribosomal, proteasomal, autophagy, or chaperome genes in differentiated progeny compared to NSPCs. x axis: log2 (fold change); y axis: –log10 of q value. Triangles indicate q values below 10^-10. (B) Cellular proteostasis relies on the balance of protein synthesis, folding, and clearance, mediated by molecular chaperones and degradation pathways acting during protein maturation. Volcano plots show differentially expressed ribosomal, proteasomal, autophagy, or chaperome genes in differentiated progeny compared to NSPCs. x axis: log2 (fold change); y axis: –log10 of q value. Triangles indicate q values below 10^-10.
stress resilience; if anything, it should present a higher hurdle to proteostasis maintenance.

Next, we examined changes in clearance pathways. Consistent with previous data and our experimental observations (Figures 2F–2H and S2F–S2H), multiple 19S and 20S proteasome subunit transcripts were enriched in NSPCs (Figures 3B and S3C). Analysis of differentially regulated ubiquitin pathway genes identified important UPS-requiring processes in NSPCs, including DNA replication and repair, in addition to stress resistance (Figures S3D–S3F). Differentiation led to upregulation of autophagy pathway genes (Figures 3B and S3G; Fimia et al., 2007; Vázquez et al., 2012), suggesting a switch in clearance strategies. Of note, the experimentally measured autophagic subunit transcripts were enriched in NSPCs (Figures 3B and S3G); this could arise from a substrate overload of autophagy due to the much lower proteasome capacity compared to NSPCs (Figure S3G); this could arise from a substrate overload of autophagy due to the much lower proteasome capacity compared to NSPCs. Of note, the experimentally measured autophagic subunit transcripts were enriched in NSPCs (Figures 3B and S3G); this could arise from a substrate overload of autophagy due to the much lower proteasome capacity compared to NSPCs (Figure S3G); this could arise from a substrate overload of autophagy due to the much lower proteasome capacity compared to NSPCs (Figure S3G).

We next analyzed the differential regulation of chaperone networks. RNA-seq indicated a clear remodeling of the cellular chaperome upon NSPC differentiation (Figure 3B). We examined the differential expression of the five major cytoplasmic chaperone families with distinct functions, namely, HSP40/DnaJ, HSP70 co-factors, HSP70s, HSP90s, TRiC/CCT, and small HSPs (sHSPs) (Hartl et al., 2011; Powers and Balch, 2013; Yerbury et al., 2016) (Figure 3D). There were a few changes in expression of most Hsp70 and Hsp90 isoforms. Several specific Hsp70-cofactors of the Hsp40/DnaJ and Bag families were upregulated, downregulated, or unchanged upon differentiation (Figure 3D). Most notably, NSPC differentiation distinctly affected two chaperone classes, TRiC/CCT and the sHSPs. For both, the RNA-seq results were validated by qRT-PCR. All subunits from the ATP-dependent TRiC chaperonin, Cct1–Cct8 (Leitner et al., 2012), were downregulated in differentiated cells (Figures 3D and S4A), while several ATP-independent sHSPs were induced (Figures 3D and S4D). A Cct1 reporter further corroborated the transcriptional downregulation of Cct genes upon NSPC differentiation (Figure S4C). NSPC passage number did not affect the expression pattern of proteostasis genes, indicating that these changes are not influenced by in vitro culture (Figure S4B).

The alterations in chaperone expression during NSPC differentiation were next studied at the protein level using immunoblot analyses. We did not observe significant changes for the major HSP90 and HSP70 isoforms as well as HSP40/DnaJ isoforms DnaJA2 and DnaJB11 (Figure 3E). Of note, DnaJB6, which is unchanged at the RNA level, did decline at the protein level late during NSPC differentiation (Figure 3E; supporting the findings of Thiruvalluvan et al., 2020). We also observed that TRiC subunits were abundantly expressed in NSPCs but declined dramatically during differentiation (e.g., Cct1 in Figure 3E). Conversely, several sHSPs were undetectable in NSPCs but strongly induced during differentiation (e.g., HspB5 in Figure 3E).

These data indicate that NSPC differentiation does not simply downregulate chaperones and clearance factors but instead rewire the PN by differential expression of chaperone machineries with distinct modes of action. In particular, the ATP-dependent chaperonin TRiC is known to promote unfolding and suppress aggregation of newly translated proteins (Gestaut et al., 2019), while the ATP-independent sHSPs can stabilize misfolded proteins through sequestration in inclusions (Mogk et al., 2019). We thus hypothesized that differentiation-linked changes in the levels of these chaperone systems, likely in concert with additional chaperone co-factors and clearance pathways, underlie the distinct strategies by which NSPCs and differentiated cells manage misfolded proteins (Figure 3F).

Differential Expression of TRiC and sHSPs in NSPCs and Differentiated Progeny

To test our hypothesis, we examined further the changes in TRiC and sHSP expression upon NSPC differentiation. Immunoblot analyses confirmed that all eight Cct subunits were highly expressed in NSPCs and dramatically reduced in differentiated cells (Figure 4A). Conversely, HspB1, HspB5, and HspB8 proteins were virtually undetectable in NSPCs but highly induced upon differentiation (Figures 4B and S4E). Other sHSPs (e.g., HspB3, HspB6) remained unaffected during differentiation (Figure 4B).

Next, we compared the cellular distribution of TRiC and HspB5 in NSPCs and their progeny using immunofluorescence. We observed that the TRiC subunits Cct1 and Cct2 were highly abundant and diffusely localized in Sox2+/Nestin+ NSPCs (Figures 4C and 4D). Under these imaging conditions, TRiC was nearly undetectable in differentiated progeny. Of note, TRiC is essential and still present in differentiated cells, albeit at much reduced levels (e.g., Figure S4F for higher sensitivity imaging). In contrast to TRiC, HspB5 was undetectable in NSPCs but highly expressed in differentiated cells, including neurons, where it localized to a range of subcellular structures (from diffuse to punctate) (Figures 4D, 4E, and S4G; as also previously reported Golenhofen and Bartelt-Kirbach, 2015).

The differential expression of these chaperone systems was next assessed in intact neural stem cell niches using immunohistochemistry. TRiC was strongly expressed in Sox2+, Pax6+, and Tbr2+ NSPCs in the SGZ and SVZ of the young adult mouse brain (Figures 4F, 4H, and S4I) but expressed at much lower levels in surrounding cells (Figures 4F and S4H, Hi). Conversely, HspB5 was absent from Sox2+ SGZ NSPCs but distributed throughout the surrounding cells (Figure 4G). Of note, the constitutive Hsc70 isoform was uniformly distributed throughout the young adult SGZ niche, consistent with our data that its levels are not affected by differentiation (Figures 3 and S4J). These findings demonstrate a switch in cellular chaperone networks during NSPC differentiation, from high TRiC and low sHSP expression in NSPCs to low TRiC and high sHSP levels in the differentiated cells. We next examined whether these changes underlie their differential cellular proteostasis strategies to manage protein misfolding and toxicity.

TRiC Maintains NSPC Proteome Solubility

TRiC promotes de novo protein folding and is a potent suppressor of aggregation and toxicity of amyloidogenic proteins, including polyQ-expanded Htt and alpha-synuclein (Gestaut et al., 2019; Kitamura et al., 2006; Shahmoradian et al., 2013; Sontag et al., 2013; Tam et al., 2006). We considered whether the high TRiC levels contribute to suppressing aggregation in NSPCs. A time course of TRiC expression during NSPC
differentiation supported this idea. TRiC downregulation occurred early (within 24 h post-differentiation), together with the NSPC-specific markers Sox2 and Nestin (Figure 5 Ai). TRiC was inversely correlated to the induction of the neuronal marker NeuN (Figure 5 Ai). Notably, we started to detect HttQ97 inclusions at 24 h post-differentiation, when Cct1 levels were reduced by over 50% (Figure 5 Aii), suggesting TRiC may play a role in maintaining solubility of misfolded proteins in NSPCs.

Figure 4. Differential Expression of TRiC and HspB5 in NSPCs and Differentiated Progeny
(A and B) Immunoblots for all 8 Cct subunits of the TRiC chaperonin complex (A) or various sHsps (B) for three independent samples of NSPCs and progeny cells (differentiated for 7 days (A) or 2, 4, and 7 days (B). Gapdh (A) and actin (B) serve as loading controls. Uncropped images of the immunoblots are presented in the supplemental data file (Data S1).
(C) Cct1 and Sox2 and Nestin (NSPC markers) in NSPCs. Scale bar: 10 μm.
(D) TRiC (i; Cct1 and Cct2 subunits) and HspB5 (ii) and Hoechst in NSPCs and differentiated cells. Traces: cell outlines. Scale bars: 10 μm.
(E) HspB5 with Dcx (neuronal marker) in in vitro differentiated cells (single channels in Figure S4G). Scale bars: 10 μm.
(F) Mouse brain sections of the SGZ (i) and SVZ (ii) NSPC niches, immunostained for Sox2 (NSPC marker) and TRiC (Cct1). Scale bars: 50 μm. Insets: magnification of Sox2+/Cct1+ cells at indicated areas.
(G) Mouse SGZ brain sections immunostained for Sox2 (NSPC marker) and HspB5. Scale bar: 50 μm. Insets: magnification of Sox2+/HspB5− cells at indicated area.
Figure 5. TRiC in NSPCs Ensures Proteome Solubility and Cellular Fitness

(A) (i) Immunoblot analyses of TRiC (Cct1), NSPC markers (Nestin, Sox2), and neuronal marker (NeuN). Gapdh serves as loading control. Uncropped images of the immunoblots are presented in the supplemental data file (Data S1). (ii) Epifluorescent images of HttQ97-GFP in NSPCs or during NSPC differentiation (at day 1, 2, 4, or 7). Traces, cell outlines; arrows, aggregates. Scale bars: 10 μm.

(B) Cct1 immunoblot of control (siCtrl)- and siCct1-targeted NSPCs as well as differentiated cells. Gapdh serves as loading control. The degree of Cct1 knockdown is representative for all experiments presented.

(C) Aggregation of endogenous proteins in NSPC treated with control or a pool of siRNAs targeting Cct1; assessed by confocal imaging of Proteostat and DNA (Hoechst). (i) Scale bars: 10 μm. Insets: magnification of Proteostat fluorescence at indicated areas and quantified by fluorescence (mean ± SD; ii). Proteostat

(legend continued on next page)
To directly test the role of TRiC in NSPC proteostasis, we employed a mixed small interfering RNA (siRNA) pool (SMARTpool) that efficiently depletes cellular Cct1 and destabilizes the entire chaperonin complex (Freund et al., 2014) (Figures S5B and S5A). Co-transfection of the siRNAs with the fluorescent siGloRED siRNA transfection indicator confirmed we obtained comparable transfection efficiencies in NSPC and differentiated cell populations (Figure S5B). Immunoblot analysis of the transient siRNA-transfection efficiencies in NSPC and differentiated cell populations estimated an average TRiC depletion of ~70% over control (Figure 5B). TRiC depletion in NSPCs reduced the cellular proteome solubility, leading to significant increases in Proteostat+ aggregates of endogenous proteins, to a level approaching that of differentiated cells (Figures 5C and S5C). Direct biochemical analyses further indicated that Cct1 depletion from NSPCs reduced proteome solubility, leading to a marked increase in SDS-insoluble proteins (Figure 5D). Importantly, siRNA-mediated TRiC reduction in NSPCs significantly lowered the overall cellular fitness, to a level comparable to that of differentiated progeny (Figure 5E). Strikingly, TRiC depletion in NSPCs also reduced the diffuse HttQ97 localization and increased the fraction of cells with HttQ97 inclusions. TRiC depletion also sensitized NSPCs to HttQ97-GFP expression, suggesting this chaperonin prevents formation of toxic misfolded protein conformations (Figures 5F, S5G, and S5D). Of note, similar results were obtained upon TRiC depletion with various single siRNAs against Cct1 or multiple siRNAs targeting the Cct2 subunit (Figures S5C–S5G). Together, these experiments support a central role for TRiC in the robust proteostasis capacity of NSPCs and indicate that TRiC action precludes formation of toxic amyloids and HttQ97 aggregating species.

HSPB5 Promotes Protein Sequestration in Differentiated Progeny

We next examined the function of sHSPs induced in differentiated lineages. ATP-independent sHSPs are known to stabilize and protect misfolded proteins from irreversible aggregation by virtue of their alpha-crystallin domains (Haslbeck et al., 2019; Janowska et al., 2019). Paradoxically, increased sHSP levels during NSPC differentiation were accompanied by enhanced abundance of Proteostat-positive puncta and HttQ97 inclusions (Figures 1C, 2A, and 2D). The sHSP from S. cerevisiae Hsp42 (herein yHsp42) also functions to promote active sequestration of misfolded proteins into spatially restricted protective inclusions termed Q-bodies, which enhance cellular fitness during stress (Escusa-Toret et al., 2013). Yeast cells lacking Hsp42 (yHsp42Δ) fail to sequester misfolded proteins into inclusions, which reduces cellular fitness (Figure S6A; Escusa-Toret et al., 2013). To test whether mammalian sHSPs may serve a similar spatial PQC function, we expressed human sHSPs in cells of the yHsp42 deletion strain and asked whether they restore misfolded protein sequestration into inclusions. Significantly, several human sHSPs (i.e., HSPB3, HSPB5, HSPB6, and HSPB8) re-established Q-body formation in hsp42Δ cells (Figure S6A). These experiments indicate that some mammalian sHSPs induced during NSPC differentiation have the ability to promote spatial sequestration of misfolded proteins into PQC inclusions. Of note, HspB5, which promotes sequestration and is strongly induced upon NSPC differentiation, has been linked to HD pathology and associates with Alzheimer disease fibers (Golenhofen and Bartelt-Kirbach, 2015; Oliveira et al., 2016).

To directly test the role of sHSPs in differentiated progeny, we focused on HspB5, which was depleted using pooled siRNAs (SMARTpool) as well as various single siRNAs (Figure S6B). Reducing HspB5 levels in differentiated cells led to a significant increase in their already high sensitivity to H2O2 treatment (Figure 6A). Strikingly, HspB5 depletion caused a dramatic decline in the fraction of differentiated cells with Proteostat+ puncta, suggesting it plays a role in inclusion formation (Figures 6B and S6C). We also examined how HspB5 affects HttQ79 management in differentiated cells. Consistent with a role promoting spatial sequestration, immunostaining indicated that HspB5 co-localizes with HttQ97-GFP inclusions (Figures 6C and S6D). HspB5 depletion reduced the fraction of cells with HttQ97-GFP inclusions compared to controls (siHspB5 versus siCtrl; Figures 6D and S6E). The decline in HttQ97 sequestered in inclusions in HspB5-depleted differentiated cells was accompanied by enhanced cell sensitivity to HttQ97 expression (Figure 6E). These results suggest that the formation of misfolded protein inclusions in differentiated cells is not a passive consequence of misfolded protein aggregation but rather a protective response mediated by sHSPs, which concentrate misfolded and disease-linked mutant proteins.

As a test of this hypothesis, we ectopically expressed HA-tagged HSPB5 in NSPCs, which do not form inclusions and have high levels of TRiC. Remarkably, HSPB5 expression promoted sequestration of HttQ97 inclusions that partially co-localized with HA-HSPB5 (Figure 6F). In addition, we also observed the emergence of endogenous Proteostat+ inclusions (HA-HSPB5 versus control (i.e., empty vector [EV]-transfected) cells; Figure 6G). These data indicate that sHSPs promote spatial misfolded protein sequestration, even in the presence of a potent soluble chaperone machinery. This supports the notion that sHSPs induction in neural progeny cells serves to switch...
Figure 6. HSPB5 Promotes Sequestration of Misfolded Proteins in Protective Inclusions in Differentiated Cells

(A) Relative viability (mean ± SD) of differentiated cells, treated with control siRNAs or a siHspB5 SMARTPool, at basal and oxidative stress (16 h, 10 or 150 μM H2O2) conditions. Viability of untreated cells for each condition is set at 1 (i). Viability of siCtrl- and siHspB5-treated cells at basal conditions is set at 1 (ii). *p < 0.05; **p < 0.01; n.s., non-significant.

(B) Aggregation of endogenous proteins quantified by Proteostat fluorescence (mean ± SD) in differentiated progeny of control (siCtrl) and siHspB5-treated NSPCs. Fluorescence of siCtrl-targeted cells is set at 1. **p < 0.01. Similar results were obtained upon HspB5 depletion using single siRNAs (Figure S6 C).

(C) HspB5 and HttQ97-GFP inclusions in differentiated cells (single channels in Figure S6 D). Trace: cell outline; arrow: HspB5 localization to HttQ97-GFP aggregate. Scale bars: 10 μm.

(D) HttQ97-GFP, siGloRED, and Hoechst in differentiated progeny of siCtrl- and siHspB5-treated NSPCs. Scale bars: 10 μm. Quantification (mean ± SD) shows diffusely localized versus aggregated HttQ97-GFP (≥ 50 siRNA-targeted NSPCs and differentiated cells/experiment). Similar results were obtained in differentiated cells treated with various single siRNAs targeting HspB5 (Figure S6 E). ** p < 0.01; n.s., non-significant.

(legend continued on next page)
the cellular proteostasis strategy to spatial sequestration of misfolded proteins into inclusions to enhance cellular fitness.

**TRiC Levels Decline during Aging**

We next examined how aging affects TRiC and sHSPs levels in the brain. Proteostat staining of aged brain sections compared to young counterparts indicated that the increase in Proteostat+ inclusions was not restricted to aged NSPCs (Figures 1D–1G and S1C) but was widespread in other regions; particularly the cortex and striatum (Figures 7A, 7B, and S7A), areas typically affected in age-related proteopathies (Kalin et al., 2017; Rüb et al., 2016). We observed a decline in TRiC protein levels in the Sox2+ cells of the aged SGZ stem cell niche compared to those of young adult mice, both in vivo in mouse brain sections (Figures 7C and S7B) and in vitro in isolated NSPCs (Figures 7D). Of note, aging also reduces TRiC expression in other brain areas, including the striatum and cortex (Figures 7Cii and S7B). Analysis of Cct1 mRNA expression in aged NSPCs was significantly reduced compared to young NSPCs (Figures 7E and S7C), but for other subunits the age-dependent reductions were more variable (Figure S7C). Thus, the age-linked decline in TRiC expression may not only be driven by transcriptional changes, although lower levels of one CCT subunit will reduce assembly of the entire TRiC complex (Freund et al., 2014). In contrast to TRiC, HspB5 levels exhibited no significant aging-linked alteration in mouse brains, though minor induction of a few other sHsps was detected (Figures S7D–S7F). Thus, these findings are indicative of an aging-related decline in NSPC proteostasis, particularly TRiC, contributing to the onset and progression of neurodegenerative proteopathies.

**DISCUSSION**

The ability of adult NSPCs to self-renew and differentiate into multiple neural lineages makes them indispensable for cognitive plasticity and cellular replacement, e.g., during neurodegeneration. We find NSPC differentiation involves a shift in the logic of proteome maintenance through differential expression of core chaperone machineries with distinct modes of action, which guide non-native proteins to distinct cellular fates (Figure 3F).

Eukaryotic cells contain distinct chaperone classes guiding non-native polypeptides to their folded state or promoting PQC through refolding, degradation, and sequestration (Figure 3F). While all chaperones share the ability to recognize non-native polypeptides, they differ in their structural and mechanistic characteristics as well as in their molecular interactions within cells, including those with other proteostasis factors. NSPCs express high levels of ATP-dependent chaperonin TRiC, central to maintaining a soluble proteome and to the cellular ability to manage mutant proteins and withstand proteotoxic stress (Figure 7F). TRiC binds nascent and non-native polypeptides in its central chamber, preventing aggregation and promoting either protein folding or clearance. By contrast, differentiated neural cells strongly downregulate TRiC while inducing sHSPs, ATP-independent chaperones that stabilize non-native proteins and promote their spatial sequestration into protective inclusions (Figure 7F). Our finding that human sHSPs can, by themselves, promote misfolded protein sequestration into inclusions in yeast indicates this is an autonomous activity of this class of chaperones. Furthermore, ectopic HspB5 expression in NSPCs promotes formation of HttQ97 and endogenous amyloid inclusions. We speculate that the intrinsically disordered domains in sHSPs allow them to form phase-separated puncta that clear the cellular milieu of potentially harmful, misfolded proteins. Indeed, downregulation of HspB5 in differentiated cells increases their vulnerability to proteotoxic insults.

Our experiments revealed a central role played by changes in TRIC and sHSPs levels in a switch in proteostasis logic upon NSPCs differentiation. However, it is important to note our analysis also identifies rewiring of other chaperone co-factors and PQC factors (Figure 3). For instance, differentiation downregulates subunits of the co-translationally acting chaperone NAC (i.e., NACA and BTF3), which, similar to TRIC, also plays a role suppressing aggregation (Koplin et al., 2010; Rospert et al., 2002; Shen et al., 2019). Further, we observed differentiation-related changes in Hsp70/Hsp90 co-factors, including DNAJ and Bag proteins, which act to recruit these Hsp70/Hsp90 chaperones to various cellular structures and factors (Kampinga and Craig, 2010; Taguwa et al., 2015). Future studies should explore how these changed chaperone networks interface with either TRIC or sHSPs to promote cell-specific proteostasis. Overall, these changes illustrate how chaperone networks can be developmentally reshuffled to provide a proteostasis strategy that suits the biological needs of different cell types.

It is intriguing to consider the functional or metabolic constraints driving the changed proteostasis strategy. In principle, the high translation and cell division rates in NSPCs may require high TRIC levels (Gestaust et al., 2019), while the extensive cytoskeletal network of neurons may require stabilization by sHSPs (Singh et al., 2007). A potential rationale for the shift in proteostasis strategy may be the balance between metabolism and the demands on the energetic supply of a cell. TRIC is an energy-intensive chaperone, imposing an energetic cost to the high protein solubility and high stress resilience of NSPCs. The high levels of translation, DNA replication, and proteasome activity in NSPCs also contribute to an energetically demanding physiology. The need to maintain the NSPC regenerative potential throughout life may justify a more stringent PQC system, while differentiated cells may adequately manage their proteome with a less ATP-intensive system. The different logic and composition of the cellular chaperone networks may thus reflect a tradeoff between the physiological function and energetic demands of a given cell.
Figure 7. Aging Attenuates TRiC Expression in NSPCs as well as the Overall Brain

(A) Schematic overview of coronal brain section. Areas of images shown in (B) and (C) are indicated.

(B) Proteostat+ amyloid deposits in brain sections from young and old mice in dentate gyrus, striatum, and cortex. See Figure S7A for stitched images of full brain sections.

(C) \( \text{Cct1} \) expression in Sox2 cells.

(D) Isolated NSPCs

(E) RT-qPCR of isolated NSPCs

(F) Proteostasis network (PN) strategies to maintain cellular fitness

(legend continued on next page)
Understanding chaperone networks in distinct neural lineages may illuminate their vulnerability to amyloid diseases, particularly those linked to late-onset neurodegeneration. Based on our findings (Figures 1D–1H and A–7E), we speculate that aging generally reduces the levels of TRiC and likely other chaperone systems. Indeed, TRiC subunit downregulation was recently reported in striatal medium spiny neurons generated from HD patient fibroblasts at pre-symptomatic and symptomatic disease stages and found to be correlated with cellular age (Victor et al., 2018). In further agreement with our findings, longitudinal microarray analyses of human postmortem brain samples also find TRiC levels decline in aged human brains. Given TRiC’s anti-aggregation functions, such a decline may contribute to late-onset aggregation diseases (Brehme et al., 2014). Thus, the mechanisms of the aging-related decline in NSPC proteostasis may mirror those observed in other tissues. Alternatively, they may reflect age-related changes in the NSPC pool, such as increased quiescence due to neuroinflammatory signals (Doetsch et al., 1999; Morsehead et al., 1994; Seri et al., 2001). Interestingly, quiescent neural stem cells (NSCs) have lower TRiC transcript levels and accumulate large SDS-insoluble protein inclusions (Leeman et al., 2018).

The observation that NSPCs and differentiated progeny employ different proteostasis strategies to manage misfolded proteins helps rationalize disparate sets of previous observations regarding the link between Htt inclusion formation and toxicity. The high levels of TRiC in NSPCs explain studies in an HD mouse model showing that neural progenitor cells (NPCs) in the SVZ were almost completely devoid of Htt inclusions, which only appeared upon differentiation into neurons (Benraiss et al., 2013). Our finding that high sHSP levels mediate protein sequestration in differentiated neural lineages may explain why experiments in post-mitotic neurons found sequestration of HttQ97 into inclusions to be protective and promoting survival (Arrasate and Finkbeiner, 2012; Arrasate et al., 2004). Our findings provide a conceptual framework to interpret these observations. High TRiC levels in NSPCs maintain aggregation-prone HttQ97 in a soluble but non-toxic state. In these cells, the visible inclusions following decreased proteostasis capacity accompany proteotoxicity. By contrast, differentiated neural progeny detoxify misfolded proteins through sHSP-mediated sequestration into protective inclusions. We hypothesize that toxic and protective inclusions will differ fundamentally in their genesis, organization, and composition, and their characterization will require imaging by super-resolution microscopy (Sahl et al., 2016; Sahl and Vonk, 2019) or cryo-electron tomography (Bauerlein et al., 2017). A better understanding of the logic of misfolded protein management in affected cells is instrumental to inform strategies to prevent or delay proteotoxic diseases.

### STAR METHODS

Detailed methods are provided in the online version of this paper and include the following:

- **KEY RESOURCES TABLE**
- **LEAD CONTACT AND MATERIALS AVAILABILITY**
- **EXPERIMENTAL MODEL AND SUBJECT DETAILS**
  - Animals
  - Mouse NSPC Isolation
  - NSPC culture and differentiation
  - Free-floating brain sections
- **METHOD DETAILS**
  - Plasmids and strains
  - Reagents
  - Cell treatments and transfection
  - RNA isolation and quantitative reverse transcription PCR (qRT-PCR)
  - RNA-Sequencing
  - Proteasome fluorogenic chymotrypsin-like activity assay
  - Western blot analysis
  - Viability assays
  - Immunocytochemistry
  - Immunohistochemistry
  - Quantitative amyloid-aggregation assay
  - Fractionation of SDS-soluble and -insoluble fractions
  - Luciferase-based promoter-activity assay
  - Yeast steady-state microscopy assay
  - Rate of protein synthesis
- **QUANTIFICATION AND STATISTICAL ANALYSIS**
  - Cell density
  - Viability Assays
  - RNA-Seq analysis
  - REACTOME Enrichment Analysis
  - GO Biological Processes Enrichment Analysis
  - qRT-PCR
  - Western Blot Analysis
  - Proteostat analysis
  - Yeast steady-state Microscopy Analysis
  - Confocal Image Analysis
  - Luciferase-promoter based activity analysis
  - Statistical analysis
- **DATA AND CODE AVAILABILITY**


Astrocytes give rise to new neurons in the adult mammalian hippocampus.


## STAR★METHODS

### KEY RESOURCES TABLE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>REAGENT or RESOURCE</th>
<th>SOURCE</th>
<th>IDENTIFIER</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Antibodies</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mouse monoclonal anti-Tcp1α (B-3)</td>
<td>Santa Cruz Biotech.</td>
<td>sc-374088; RRID: AB_10918262</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rabbit polyclonal anti-Tcp1α</td>
<td>Abclonal</td>
<td>A1950; RRID: AB_2763976</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rabbit polyclonal anti-Tcp1β (antiserum; affinity purified)</td>
<td>Frydman lab, Stanford University, CA, USA</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mouse monoclonal anti-Tcp1γ (F-3)</td>
<td>Santa Cruz Biotech.</td>
<td>sc-271336; RRID: AB_10610107</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mouse monoclonal anti-Tcp1δ (H-1)</td>
<td>Santa Cruz Biotech.</td>
<td>sc-137092; RRID: AB_2073768</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mouse monoclonal anti-Tcp1ε (A-8)</td>
<td>Santa Cruz Biotech.</td>
<td>sc-271951; RRID: AB_10709576</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mouse monoclonal anti-Tcp1η (F-12)</td>
<td>Santa Cruz Biotech.</td>
<td>sc-271734; RRID: AB_10709033</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goat polyclonal anti-Tcp1ε (N-18)</td>
<td>Santa Cruz Biotech.</td>
<td>sc-13891; RRID: AB_2073919</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mouse monoclonal anti-HSP25/HSP27</td>
<td>StressMarq</td>
<td>SMC-114; RRID: AB_2120775</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rabbit polyclonal anti-HSPB3 (11-25)</td>
<td>Sigma</td>
<td>SAB1100972; RRID: AB_10607530</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rabbit polyclonal anti-αB-Crystallin</td>
<td>Enzo Life Sciences</td>
<td>ADI-SPA-223; RRID: AB_10615646</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mouse monoclonal anti-HSP20 (HSP20-11)</td>
<td>Santa Cruz Biotech.</td>
<td>sc-51955; RRID: AB_783857</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rabbit polyclonal anti-HSPB8</td>
<td>Buchner lab, Technical University of Munich, Germany</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mouse monoclonal anti-DNAJA2 (3A1)</td>
<td>Genetex</td>
<td>GTX84610; RRID: AB_10730420</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rabbit polyclonal anti-DnajB6 (antiserum)</td>
<td>Braakman lab, Utrecht University, Utrecht, the Netherlands</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rabbit polyclonal anti-DNAJB11</td>
<td>Proteintech</td>
<td>15484-1ap; RRID: AB_2094400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mouse monoclonal anti-HSP70</td>
<td>StressMarq</td>
<td>SMC-100; RRID: AB_854199</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mouse monoclonal anti-HSC70 (13D3)</td>
<td>Invitrogen</td>
<td>MA3-014; RRID: AB_325462</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mouse monoclonal anti-β-Actin</td>
<td>Sigma</td>
<td>A5441; RRID: AB_476744</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mouse monoclonal anti-GAPDH (6C5)</td>
<td>Abcam</td>
<td>Ab8245; RRID: AB_210744</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mouse monoclonal anti-Rat Nestin</td>
<td>BD Pharmigen</td>
<td>556309; RRID: AB_396534</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goat polyclonal anti-Sox-2 (Y-17)</td>
<td>Santa Cruz Biotech.</td>
<td>sc-17320; RRID: AB_2286684</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chicken polyclonal anti-Tbr2</td>
<td>Merck Millipore</td>
<td>AB15894; RRID: AB_10615604</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mouse monoclonal anti-Pax6, clone AD1.5</td>
<td>Merck Millipore</td>
<td>MAB5554; RRID: AB_570718</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rabbit polyclonal anti-GFAP</td>
<td>Invitrogen</td>
<td>180063; RRID: AB_138737</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mouse monoclonal anti-Galactocerebroside, clone mGalC</td>
<td>Merck Millipore</td>
<td>MAB342; RRID: AB_94857</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mouse monoclonal anti-Neuronal Class III β-Tubulin (TUJ1)</td>
<td>BioLegend</td>
<td>MMS-435P; RRID: AB_2313773</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goat polyclonal anti-Doublecortin (C-18)</td>
<td>Santa Cruz Biotech.</td>
<td>sc-8066; RRID: AB_2088494</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mouse monoclonal anti-NeuN, clone A60</td>
<td>Merck Millipore</td>
<td>MAB377; RRID: AB_2298772</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mouse monoclonal anti-Proteasome subunit α-type-1, –2, –3, –4, –5 &amp; –6; Macropain subunits C2, C3, C8, C9, i &amp; z</td>
<td>Enzo Life Sciences</td>
<td>PW8195; RRID: AB_11181772</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mouse monoclonal anti-PSMD2 (Clone ID: OTI1G5)</td>
<td>OriGene Technologies</td>
<td>TA503198; RRID: AB_11125845</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rabbit polyclonal anti-LC3B</td>
<td>Cell Signaling Technologies</td>
<td>2775; RRID: AB_915950</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Donkey anti-Mouse IgG (H+L) Highly Cross-Absorbed Secondary Antibody, Alexa Fluor488</td>
<td>Thermo Fisher Scientific</td>
<td>A-21202; RRID: AB_141607</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Continued

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>REAGENT or RESOURCE</th>
<th>SOURCE</th>
<th>IDENTIFIER</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Donkey anti-Rabbit IgG (H+L) Highly Cross-Absorbed Secondary Antibody, Alexa Fluor488</td>
<td>Thermo Fisher Scientific</td>
<td>A-21206; RRID: AB_2535792</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Donkey anti-Mouse IgG (H+L) Highly Cross-Absorbed Secondary Antibody, Alexa Fluor568</td>
<td>Thermo Fisher Scientific</td>
<td>A10037; RRID: AB_2534013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Donkey anti-Rabbit IgG (H+L) Highly Cross-Absorbed Secondary Antibody, Alexa Fluor568</td>
<td>Thermo Fisher Scientific</td>
<td>A10042; RRID: AB_2534017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Donkey anti-Goat IgG (H+L) Highly Cross-Absorbed Secondary Antibody, Alexa Fluor568</td>
<td>Thermo Fisher Scientific</td>
<td>A11057; RRID: AB_2534104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Donkey anti-Mouse IgG (H+L) Highly Cross-Absorbed Secondary Antibody, Alexa Fluor647</td>
<td>Thermo Fisher Scientific</td>
<td>A32787; RRID: AB_2762830</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Donkey anti-Rabbit IgG (H+L) Highly Cross-Absorbed Secondary Antibody, Alexa Fluor647</td>
<td>Thermo Fisher Scientific</td>
<td>A32795; RRID: AB_2762835</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Donkey anti-Goat IgG (H+L) Highly Cross-Absorbed Secondary Antibody, Alexa Fluor647</td>
<td>Thermo Fisher Scientific</td>
<td>A32849; RRID: AB_2762840</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Donkey anti-Mouse IgG (H+L) Secondary Antibody, IRDye 680RD</td>
<td>LI-COR Biosciences</td>
<td>926-68072; RRID: AB_10953628</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Donkey anti-Rabbit IgG (H+L) Secondary Antibody, IRDye 680RD</td>
<td>LI-COR Biosciences</td>
<td>926-68073; RRID: AB_10954442</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Donkey anti-Goat IgG (H+L) Secondary Antibody, IRDye 800CW</td>
<td>LI-COR Biosciences</td>
<td>926-32212; RRID: AB_621847</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Donkey anti-Mouse IgG (H+L) Secondary Antibody, IRDye 800CW</td>
<td>LI-COR Biosciences</td>
<td>926-32213; RRID: AB_621848</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Chemicals, Peptides, and Recombinant Proteins

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chemical</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Identifier</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Z-Leu-Leu-Leu-al, &gt; = 80% (HPLC), solid film</td>
<td>Sigma Aldrich</td>
<td>C2211</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hoechst 33342, Trihydrochloride, Trihydrate - 10 mg/mL Solution in Water</td>
<td>Thermo Fisher Scientific</td>
<td>H3570</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B27 Supplement (50x), minus vitamin A</td>
<td>Thermo Fischer Scientific</td>
<td>12587010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recombinant Human FGF-basic</td>
<td>PeproTech</td>
<td>100-18B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human EGF</td>
<td>PeproTech</td>
<td>AF-100-15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lipofectamine2000 Transfection Reagent</td>
<td>Thermo Fisher Scientific</td>
<td>11668019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DharmaFECT4 transfection reagent</td>
<td>Dharmaco RNA Technologies</td>
<td>T-2004-01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DharmaFECT Cell Culture Reagent (DCCR)</td>
<td>Thermo Fisher Scientific</td>
<td>B-004500-100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>siGLO Red Transfection Indicator</td>
<td>Dharmaco RNAi Technologies</td>
<td>D-001630-02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mitomycin C from Streptomyces caesipitosus</td>
<td>Merck</td>
<td>M4287</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thiazolyl Blue Tetrazolium Bromide</td>
<td>Sigma Aldrich</td>
<td>M5655</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7-AAD Viability Staining Solution</td>
<td>BioLegend</td>
<td>420404</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O-propargyl-puromycin</td>
<td>Thermo Fischer Scientific</td>
<td>C10459</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suc-Leu-Leu-Val-Tyr-AMC</td>
<td>Enzo Life Sciences</td>
<td>BML-P802-0005</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Critical Commercial Assays

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Assay</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Identifier</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ProteoStat® Aggresome detection kit for flow cytometry and fluorescence microscopy</td>
<td>Enzo Life Sciences</td>
<td>NZ-51035</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secrete-Pair Dual Luminescence Assay Kit</td>
<td>GeneCopoeia</td>
<td>SPDA-D030</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Deposited Data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Identifier</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RNA-Seq reads</td>
<td>This paper</td>
<td>Bioproject: PRJNA531489</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Raw and analyzed data</td>
<td>This paper</td>
<td>Stanford Data Repository (<a href="https://purl.stanford.edu/gd935jm2479">https://purl.stanford.edu/gd935jm2479</a>)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mendeley deposited dataset (Imaging data files)</td>
<td>This paper</td>
<td><a href="https://dx.doi.org/10.17632/sgdg7bv2dw.1">https://dx.doi.org/10.17632/sgdg7bv2dw.1</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>REAGENT or RESOURCE</th>
<th>SOURCE</th>
<th>IDENTIFIER</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Experimental Models: Cell Lines</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult Neural Stem and Progenitor Cells</td>
<td>This Study</td>
<td>STAR Methods</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Experimental Models: Organisms/Strains</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mouse: FVB/NJ</td>
<td>The Jackson Laboratory</td>
<td>JAX: 001800; RRID: IMSR_JAX:001800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mouse: C57BL/6</td>
<td>NIA Aging colony</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. cerevisiae: Strain background: BY4741</td>
<td>ATCC</td>
<td>ATCC: 404002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. cerevisiae: hsp42Δ (Strain background: BY4741)</td>
<td>Saccharomyces Genome Deletion Project (Winzeler et al., 1999)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Oligonucleotides</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>qRT-PCR primers, see Table S1</td>
<td>This paper</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Recombinant DNA</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plasmid: HttQ97-GFP:pcDNA3.1</td>
<td>Kaganovich et al., 2008</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plasmid: VHL158F-GFP:pcDNA3.1</td>
<td>Kaganovich et al., 2008</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plasmid: peGFP-N1:Ub-M-GFP</td>
<td>Dantuma et al., 2000</td>
<td>Addgene: 11938; RRID: Addgene_11938</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plasmid: peGFP-N1:Ub-R-GFP</td>
<td>Dantuma et al., 2000</td>
<td>Addgene: 11939; RRID: Addgene_11939</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plasmid: peGFP-N1:Ub-G76V-GFP</td>
<td>Dantuma et al., 2000</td>
<td>Addgene: 11941; RRID: Addgene_11941</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plasmid: SOD1 G37R-GFP:pcDNA3.1</td>
<td>Vonk et al., 2014</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plasmid: ARQ113-GFP:pcDNA3.1</td>
<td>Kampinga lab, University Medical Center Groningen, Groningen, the Netherlands</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plasmid: HA-HSPB5:pcDNA3.1</td>
<td>Kampinga lab, University Medical Center Groningen, Groningen, the Netherlands</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plasmid: pEZ-PG04:Tcp1</td>
<td>GeneCopoeia</td>
<td>MPRM13445-PG04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plasmid: Ub9-2:pg416Gal1-GFP</td>
<td>Escusa-Toret et al., 2013</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plasmid: yHsp42:pRS313GPD</td>
<td>Escusa-Toret et al., 2013</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plasmid: HSPB1-B9:pET21</td>
<td>Rothbard lab, Stanford University, Stanford, CA, USA</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plasmid: pAG426GPD-eGFP-ccdB</td>
<td>S. cerevisiae Advanced Gateway Destination Vectors from Lindquist lab</td>
<td>Addgene: 14324; RRID: Addgene_14324</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plasmid: pEZ-PG04:Promoter reporter clone for Mouse NM_013686 GLuc - SEAP</td>
<td>GeneCopoeia</td>
<td>MPRM13445-PF02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SMARTpool: ON-TARGETplus Tcap1 siRNA mouse</strong></td>
<td>Dharmacon RNAi Technologies</td>
<td>L-040339-01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SMARTpool: ON-TARGETplus Cct2 siRNA mouse</strong></td>
<td>Dharmacon RNAi Technologies</td>
<td>L-044246-01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SMARTpool: ON-TARGETplus mouse Hspb1 siRNA</strong></td>
<td>Dharmacon RNAi Technologies</td>
<td>L-045651-00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SMARTpool: ON-TARGETplus mouse Cryab siRNA</strong></td>
<td>Dharmacon RNAi Technologies</td>
<td>L-043201-01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ON-TARGETplus Non-targeting Pool</strong></td>
<td>Dharmacon RNAi Technologies</td>
<td>D-001810-10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Software and Algorithms</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GraphPad Prism 7.04</td>
<td>GraphPad</td>
<td><a href="https://www.graphpad.com/scientific-software/prism/">https://www.graphpad.com/scientific-software/prism/</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FlowJo</td>
<td>FlowJo</td>
<td><a href="https://flowjo.com/">https://flowjo.com/</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kallisto</td>
<td>Bray et al., 2016</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R (Version 1.0.8)</td>
<td>The R Foundation</td>
<td><a href="https://www.r-project.org/">https://www.r-project.org/</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REACTOME Enrichment Analysis (DogGO.R)</td>
<td>This paper</td>
<td><a href="https://github.com/ptdolan/dogGO">https://github.com/ptdolan/dogGO</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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LEAD CONTACT AND MATERIALS AVAILABILITY

Further information and requests for resources and reagents should be directed to and will be fulfilled by the Lead Contact, Judith Frydman (jfrydman@stanford.edu).

EXPERIMENTAL MODEL AND SUBJECT DETAILS

Animals

Wild-type FVB/N female mice were purchased from Jackson Laboratories, and C57/Bl6 female mice for the aging experiments were kindly provided by the NIA aging colony. All care and procedures were in accordance with the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals. All animal experiments were approved by Stanford’s Administrative Panel on Laboratory Care (APLAC) and in accordance with institutional and national guidelines. The animals were housed under standard laboratory conditions on a 12 h light/dark cycle with constant access to food and water.

Mouse NSPC Isolation

Isolation of adult mouse NSPCs from brain tissue was performed as described previously (Palmer et al., 1997). In brief, the brains were dissected from five-seven 3 month-old mice and the olfactory bulbs, cerebellum, and brainstem were removed. The forebrains were finely minced, digested for 30 min at 37 °C in HBSS media containing 2.5 U/ml papain (Worthington), 1 U/ml Dispase II (Roche), and 250 U/l DNase I (Sigma), and mechanically dissociated. Neural stem cells and progenitor cells were purified with two Percoll gradients (25% followed by 65%; Amersham), and plated at a density of 10^5 cells/cm^2 in self-renewal media (i.e., NeuroBasal-A medium (Invitrogen) supplemented with antibiotics (penicillin-streptomycin-glutamine; Invitrogen), 2% B27 supplement without vitamin A (Invitrogen), and growth factors bFGF and EGF (both 20 ng/ml; Peprotech). For aging experiments, NSPCs were isolated from brains dissected from 3 (young adult), 12 (middle-aged), or 24 (aged) month old mice.

NSPC culture and differentiation

Cells were incubated at 37 °C in 5% CO_2 and 20% oxygen at 95% humidity. Media was replaced every other day. For in vitro experiments, isolated NSPCs were expanded as neurospheres in vitro in self-renewal media as described in Renault et al. (Renault et al., 2009). Neurospheres were dissociated with Accutase (Chemicon), plated as single cells on poly-D-lysine (Sigma) coated plates or glass coverslips, and grown as proliferating cultures in self-renewal media. NSPC differentiation was induced (in general, one day after plating) by replacing the self-renewal media with differentiation media (NeuroBasalA medium supplemented with penicillin-streptomycin-glutamine, 2% B27 supplement without vitamin A, and 0.5% fetal bovine serum (FBS; GIBCO)) following a gentle one-time wash with differentiation media to remove growth factor residues. Cells were incubated at 37 °C in 5% CO_2 and 20% oxygen at 95% humidity. Media was replaced every other day. Unless stated otherwise, NSPCs and differentiated cells were fixed/harvested and processed in parallel. The majority of experiments presented represent NSPCs at passage number 3-6 (NSPC cultures were discarded at passage 8). Notably, no significant variations between NSPC passages were observed (see Figure S4B). Unless stated otherwise, cells were differentiated for seven days prior to analyses.

Free-floating brain sections

Free-floating brain sections (30 μm) of young adult (3 month old), middle-aged (12 month old), and aged (24 month old) mice were either kindly provided by Dr. J. Middeldorp (University Medical Center Utrecht, Utrecht, the Netherlands), and Prof. T. Wyss-Coray (Stanford University, CA, USA).

METHOD DETAILS

Plasmids and strains

For mammalian expression, HttQ97-GFP:pcDNA3,1 and VHL_L158P-GFP:pcDNA3,1 were described previously (Kaganovich et al., 2008). The N-end rule and ubiquitin fusion degradation (UFD) reporter plasmids were used to express short-lived green fluorescent proteins for quantification of ubiquitin-proteasome-dependent proteolysis (i.e., Ub-M-eGFP (stable), Ub-R-eGFP (N-end rule...
degradation signal), and Ub-G76V-eGFP (UDF signal); all three in eGFP-N1 plasmid backbone) were previously described by Dantuma et al. (Dantuma et al., 2000) and commercially obtained from Addgene plasmid repository. SOD1\(^{G37R}\)-GFP was generated by site-directed mutagenesis on the SOD1\(^{WT}\)-GFP-pcDNA3.1 plasmid (Vonk et al., 2014). ARQ113-GFP was kindly provided by H.H. Kampinga (UMCG, Groningen, the Netherlands). The Tcp1-Gaussia Luciferase (Tcp1-G-Luc) GLuc-ON promoter clone containing a \(\sim 1\) kb fragment upstream of the transcription start site and a \(\sim 0.3\) kb fragment of the exon 1 of the murine Tcp1 in pEZX-PG04 vector. This bi-cistronic vector also constitutively expresses a secondary reporter, secreted alkaline phosphatase (SEAP), which is used as internal transfection control (GeneCopoeia).

For yeast expression, the BY4741 wild-type strain and the hsp42\(\Delta\) strain, and Ubc9-2:pAG416Gal1-GFP and yHsp42:pRS313GPD constructs were described previously (Escusa-Toret et al., 2013). Untagged human sHSPB1-B9:pET21 constructs were kindly provided by J. Rothbard (Stanford University, Stanford, CA, USA), and subcloned into the pAG426GPD-eGFP-ccdB Gateway plasmid using EcoRI and HindIII restriction sites.

Reagents

The primary and secondary antibodies for SDS-PAGE western blot analysis (WB), immunohistochemistry (IHC), and immunocytochemistry (ICC) are listed in STAR Methods Key Resources Table. DNA was stained with Hoechst 33342 (Life Technologies). Indicator of siRNA-transfection (siGloRED) as well as non-targeting pools of siRNAs (siCtrl) and single and pools of multiple siRNA (SMARTpool) targeting mCct1, mCct2, and mHspB5 were purchased as ON-TARGETplus siRNAs from Dharmacon.

Cell treatments and transfection

To impair protein clearance by the proteasome, 3-15 \(\mu\)M MG132 (Z-Leu-Leu-Leu-al, Sigma) was added to the media for the indicated hours prior to cell lysis/fixation. Vehicle control (DMSO) treated samples were taken along in every experiment. To impair the proliferation rate of NSPCs, cells were treated with mitomycin C (MMC; Sigma) at indicated concentrations for indicated hours prior to fixation.

Cells were transfected using Lipofectamine2000 (Life Technologies), according to the manufacturer’s protocol, in a 1:3 DNA: Lipofectamine2000 ratio. Unless stated otherwise, per experiments, NSPCs were transfected using a transfection master mix. Four hours post-transfection, media was replaced and samples were split and cultured in either self-renewal or differentiation media to generate a NSPC and differentiated progeny pool.

siRNAs were transfected according to manufacturer’s protocol, using DharmaFECT as transfection agent. Media was replaced 18 h post-transfection. siRNA-treated cells were analyzed four days post-transfection. For some experiment, siRNA-transfection was followed by another round of transfection using Lipofectamine to introduce HttQ97-GFP into the cells (in general, one day post-siRNA transfection). In these experiment, only double-transfected cells (siGloRED\(^+/\)HttQ97-GFP\(^+\)) were included in the analyses. Unless stated otherwise, NSPCs were treated with siRNAs and maintained under self-renewal or differentiation conditions prior to analysis.

RNA isolation and quantitative reverse transcription PCR (qRT-PCR)

Total RNA was extracted using TRIzol(R)/Chloroform/Phenol method. RNA was converted to cDNA using the iScript reverse transcriptase kit (BioRad) following manufacturer’s instructions. Gene expression was detected using SybrGreen qRT-PCR expression assays in a 96-wells plate format as described by the manufacturer using MyiQ real-time PCR cycler (BioRad); cDNA templates were used at a 1:40 dilution. qRT-PCR primer sequences for all genes analyzed in this study are listed in Table S4.

RNA-sequencing

Cultures of NSPCs and differentiated progeny (three independent isolates, each at passage 3) were washed twice in cold PBS, and total RNA was purified using the QuickRNA Miniprep kit (Zymo Research). Samples were submitted to the Stanford Protein and Nucleic Acid Facility for quality assessment by Agilent Bioanalyzer to determine the RNA integrity (RIN) for each sample, which was > 9. Libraries were prepared using the TruSeq Stranded Total RNA Library Prep Gold Kit (Illumina) starting with \(\geq 100\) ng of total RNA from each sample. Libraries were quantified by KAPA Library Quantification Kit (Roche), normalized, and pooled for sequencing. All libraries were sequenced for High Output on an Illumina NextSeq 500. Sequencing was performed at the CZ Biohub facility at Stanford University. To characterize differential expression of pathways involved in differentiation and the change in proteostasis, we used a resampling method to estimate the significance of differential expression in REACTOME pathways (Croft et al., 2014).

Proteasome fluorogenic chymotrypsin-like activity assay

The in vitro assay of biochemical measurement of chymotrypsin-like proteasomal activity was performed as described previously (Vilchez et al., 2012). In brief, cells were collected in proteasome activity assay buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 250 mM sucrose, 5 mM MgCl\(_2\), 0.5 mM EDTA, 2 mM ATP, and 1 mM dithiothreitol), and lysed by passing ten times through an insulin syringe prior to centrifugation at 10,000g for 10 min at 4 C. Protein concentrations were determined by BCA protein assay (Pierce). 15 \(\mu\)g of total protein (cell lysates) were transferred to a black 96-well microtiter plate (Corning) following addition of the proteasome substrate (Suc-Leu-Leu-Val-Tyr-AMC), which becomes highly fluorescent upon proteolytic cleavage. Incubation with MG132 was taken along to inactivate proteasome activity (negative control), whereas other cells were treated with DMSO as vehicle control. Fluorescence
(Ex: 360 nm, Em: 480 nm) was monitored on a microplate fluorimeter (Infinite M1000, Tecan) every 5 min for 60 min at 37 C. For each experiment, AMC fluorescent signal of each sample was normalized to the median AMC fluorescence intensity of all samples in the experiment.

**Western blot analysis**

For protein analysis, cells were lysed in protein lysis buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.4, 10 mM EDTA, 50 mM NaCl, 1% Triton X-100) supplemented with 2 mM sodium orthovanadate, 1 mM phenylmethyl-sulphonyl fluoride, and Complete mini protease inhibitor cocktail mix (Roche) for 10 min at 4 C, and cleared by centrifugation at 16,000g for 10 min at 4 C. Protein concentrations were determined by BCA protein assay (Pierce), and 30 μg total protein lysate was used for SDS-PAGE western blot analyses. Samples were denatured at 95 C for 5 min. Proteins were transferred to 0.45 μm Immobilon-FL PVDF membranes (Millipore), and membranes were blocked in either 5% BSA or Odyssey Blocking buffer (LI-COR Biosciences) followed by primary and secondary antibody incubations (IRDye 800CW or 680RD secondary (LI-COR Biosciences) antibodies were used). Uncropped images of all immunoblots presented are provided in the Supplemental Data File (Data S1).

**Viability assays**

Unless stated otherwise, cell viability was measured by MTT assay. NSPCs were seeded in a 96-wells plate at 10,000 and 20,000 cells/well in self-renewal media (four replicates per sample condition), and media was replaced the next day or following transfection with self-renewal (wells with 10,000 cells) or differentiation (wells with 20,000 cells) media. In some experiments, cells were exposed to oxidative (various concentrations H2O2 for 16 h at 37 C) or heat (6 h at 42 C) stress prior to media removal, warm PBS wash, and 5 min incubation (at 37 C) of Hoechst dye (Life Technologies; 1:15,000 dilution) in warm PBS. Following warm PBS wash, the total number of cells/well were calculated by Hoechst fluorescence staining of nuclei using a fluorimeter (Tecan, 37°C; Ex: 350 nm, Em: 461 nm). Next, PBS was replaced by NeuroBasalA-medium supplemented with MTT (0.4 mg/ml 3-(4,5-Dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-di-phenyltetrazolium bromide, 98%; Sigma), and cells were incubated for 30-60 min in a 37 C incubator. NeuroBasalA-medium (no MTT addition) – incubated cells were taken along as negative control for background subtraction. Cells were washed with PBS and lysed with isopropanol/0.04 M HCl. The quantity of formazan produced (directly proportional to the number of metabolically active, viable cells) was measured in a spectrophotometer (Em: 530 nm, Ex: 595 nm).

For quantification of cell death by fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS) analysis using the fluorescent, cell-impermeant dye 7-amino-actinomycin D (7AAD, BioLegend), cells grown in 6-wells plates were collected upon trypsinization, counted, and cell pellets were resuspended in 0.5 mL of cell staining buffer (BioLegend) supplemented with 5 μl of 7AAD per million cells. Samples (10,000 events per sample) were analyzed after 5-10 min incubation in the dark on ice on a FACSCalibur flow cytometer (BD Biosciences) in the FL3 channel.

**Immunocytochemistry**

NSPCs were grown as adherent cells on coverslips pre-coated with poly-D-lysine. Prior to fixation with 4% paraformaldehyde for 15 min, cells were washed once with ice-cold PBS. Subsequently, cells were quenched with 10 mM NH4Cl for 5 min at room temperature following permeabilization (three times wash with 0.2% Triton X-100 in PBS) and blocking using blocking buffer (5% normal donkey serum (NDS) in PBS, 0.45 μm filtered) for 1 h at room temperature in the dark. Immunolabeling was performed in blocking buffer with the indicated primary antibodies for 2 h at room temperature in the dark. After three times washing in PBS/0.1% Triton X-100, secondary labeling was performed with secondary antibodies (diluted 1:500 in blocking buffer) for 1 h at room temperature in the dark. Slides were washed five times with PBS preceding mounting of coverslips in Immumount (ThermoScientific). Hoechst staining was performed in the one before final wash step.

**Immunohistochemistry**

Free-floating brain sections were washed three times in TBS in a 12-well transwell plate for 5 min on a shaking platform prior to antigen retrieval (30 min incubation with preheated sodium citrate, pH 6.0 / 0.05% Triton X-100 at 80 C). Sections in solution were cooled down for 20 min and washed three times in TBS following blocking in blocking buffer (TBS supplemented with 1% NDS, 1% BSA, 0.3% Triton X-100, and 0.01% sodium azide) at room temperature for 2 h on a shaking platform in a 48-well plate format. Primary antibodies were diluted in blocking buffer and labeling was done overnight (with agitation) in blocking buffer in a 96-wells plate format. Following three 5 min washes with TBS, sections were incubated for 2 h at room temperature with secondary antibodies (at a dilution of 1:400 in blocking buffer) in the dark on a shaking platform. Sections were rinsed three times for 5 min with TBS, and mounted using Vectashield with DAPI stain. For Proteostat staining of brain sections, sections were rinsed with PBS and incubated with Proteostat dye diluted in PBS according to manufacturer’s protocol. Sections were washed with PBS and destained with 1% acetic acid in PBS for 20 min on a shaking platform at room temperature. Sections were rinsed three times in PBS and either mounted in Immumount, or, when additional immunostainings were included, incubated for 2 h with blocking buffer prior to immunostaining (performed as described above).
Quantitative amyloid-aggregation assay
NSPCs were seeded as adherent cells in a poly-D-lysine coated 96-wells plate at 10,000 and 20,000 cells/well in self-renewal media (four replicates per sample condition) and media was replaced the next day or following transfection with self-renewal (wells with 10,000 cells) or differentiation (wells with 20,000 cells) media. Prior to fixation with 4% paraformaldehyde for 15 min, cells were washed once with ice-cold PBS. Proteostat and Hoechst stainings were done according to manual instructions (Enzo Life Sciences), and fluorescence was measured in a Tecan fluorimeter (Proteostat: Ex: 550 nm, Em: 600 nm; Hoechst: Ex: 350 nm, Em: 461 nm).

Fractionation of SDS-soluble and -insoluble fractions
Determination of NSPC proteome solubility was done according to the fractionation protocol reported by Juenemann et al. (Juenemann et al., 2015). Briefly, prior to collection and centrifugation of cells at 2,000 rpm for 5 min (4°C), NSPCs, seeded as adherent cells in a poly-D-lysine coated 6-well plate at 250,000 cells/well in self-renewal media and as indicated treated with siRNAs for 48-72h, were washed once in cold PBS. Cell pellets were lysed in TEX buffer (70 mM Tris-HCl, pH 6.8, 1.5% SDS, 20% glycerol). Upon brief vortexing, DNA was sheared by passing the cell lysate six times through a 21 Gauge needle. Next, proteins were reduced by addition of 1 M DTT (freshly made), and samples were boiled for 10 min at 99°C in a thermoblock while shaking at 1,000 rpm prior to centrifugation for at least 60 min at 14,000 rpm (RT). The supernatant, representing the SDS-soluble fraction, was carefully collected. The pellet fraction containing the SDS-insoluble protein complexes were completely solubilized in 100% formic acid and incubation at 37°C for 40 min while shaking in a thermoblock at 1,000 rpm. Please note that the formic acid-treatment of lysates solubilizes aggregation-prone mHTT for SDS-PAGE analysis (Hazeki et al., 2000). The formic acid was evaporated using a Speedvac. The remaining pellet was dissolved in 50 μL TEX buffer supplemented with a bromophenol blue. Prior to loading on a 4%–20% mini-PROTEAN TGX precast protein gel (BioRad), samples were boiled for 10 min at 99°C in a thermoblock while shaking at 1,000 rpm. The SDS-soluble and SDS-insoluble fractions were loaded in a 1:5 ratio. For visualization of NSPC proteome by Coomassie staining, gels were fixed for 4 h in 50% Methanol/ 10% glacial acetic acid (fixation solution was changed once an hour). Subsequently, the gels were stained for 20 min in 0.1% Coomassie R250 / 50% Methanol / 10% glacial acetic acid followed by destaining in 40% Methanol. Gels were stored in 5% glacial acetic acid prior to imaging.

Luciferase-based promoter-activity assay
NSPCs were plated onto 96-wells plates (10,000 and 20,000 cells/well, respectively) one day prior to transfection with the Tcp1-GLuc ON promoter/SEAP bi-cistronic vector. Four hours post-transfection, media was replaced and cells were maintained in either self-renewal media (wells plated with 10,000 cells) or differentiation media (wells plated with 20,000 cells). At indicated time, the secreted GLuc and SEAP activities were measured using the Secrete-Pair Dual Luminescence Assay Kit (GeneCopoeia), according to manufacturer’s protocol using a plate reader (SpectraMax i3, Molecular Devices).

Yeast steady-state microscopy assay
Cells were grown overnight in raffinose synthetic media at 28°C before dilution to an optimal density of 0.1–0.3 at 600 nm in galactose synthetic media. Cells were grown for 4-6 h at 28°C to induce expression of the galactose-inducible Ubc9-2:eGFP, and subsequently shifted to 37°C. After 30 min, an aliquot of the cells was centrifuged, washed once in water, and resuspended prior to mounting on glass slides. Fluorescence was visualized using a Zeiss Axiovert 200 inverted epifluorescence microscope and 100x/1.3 N.A. oil-immersion objective (Olympus), and a digital Axiocam MRm camera (Carl Zeiss) controlled with Axiovision software.

Rate of protein synthesis
Protein synthesis was monitored by incorporation of O-propargyl-puromycin (OPP) (Blanco et al., 2016; Liu et al., 2012). NSPCs and differentiated progeny were labeled with 5 μM OPP for 30 min to label translating nascent chains. Controls for each sample were pretreated with cycloheximide (CHX; 100 μg/mL) for 30 min prior to OPP addition to correct for non-ribosomal background labeling or left unlabeled. Cells were washed twice in PBS, gently collected by dissociation with Accutase, and washed in PBS by centrifugation (200 g, 5 min, 4°C). Cell pellets were resuspended and fixed in 1.6% PFA for 10 min at room temperature, washed, and then permeabilized with ice-cold methanol for 15 min at 4°C. Samples were labeled by incubation with a click-chemistry reaction mix comprised of 5 μM Cy5-picolyl-azide, 0.5 mM Cu/BTTAA complex, 5 mM aminoguanidine, 100 mM sodium ascorbate in PBS for 30 min. After labeling, cells were washed into FACS Buffer (PBS, 1% BSA) and fluorescence was measured by flow cytometry.

QUANTIFICATION AND STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

Cell density
Cell density was measured in a Countess II (Life Technology).

Viability Assays
For MTT viability assays, formazan absorbance measured for each sample was corrected for the total cell number/well (Hoechst fluorescence). Final viability scores were normalized to basal conditions. Analysis of 7-AAD FACS data was performed using FlowJo and Excel software.
RNA-Seq analysis
Reads were mapped using Kallisto (Bray et al., 2016), and analyzed in R using the Sleuth package. Gene-level p values for differential expression were calculated using the Wald test.

REACTOME Enrichment Analysis
We estimated p values by comparing the average change in expression in each pathway from our RNA-Seq analysis to the mean change of random chosen sets of genes of the same size. Estimates are based on up to 10^5 samples per term. The resampling was implemented in R using the script, DogGO.R (https://github.com/ptdolan/dogGO).

GO Biological Processes Enrichment Analysis
Enrichment of specific biological processes in NPSCs and Differentiated Cells potentially altered ubiquitin-related genes (based on Uniprot annotations). Enrichment of specific GO Biological Processes (BPs) were assessed using the Panther database using Fisher’s Exact Test to assess over enrichment. Significance was determined based on a FDR corrected p value (Mi et al., 2013).

qRT-PCR
The relative expression of each sample was measured by the CFX software using the comparative 2ΔΔCt method, and normalized to the mean expression of the housekeeping genes Gusb and Gapdh from replicates. Each probe was analyzed in duplicates for at least three independent samples per group.

Western Blot Analysis
Blots were scanned using the LI-COR laser-based image detection method (Odyssey CLx scanner), and analyze using the Image Studio Lite Software (LI-COR Biosciences). For chaperone expression experiments (Figures 3E, 4A, and 4B), same samples were used and results were thus verified in the same sample.

Proteostat analysis
Microscopic monitoring of endogenous amyloid-like aggregates by Proteostat (Enzo Life Sciences) was done according to manufacturer’s instructions. For quantification of ICC Proteostat data, three coverslips were stained per condition per experiment, and at least 40 cells per coverslip were counted. Post-imaging analyses were done using ImageJ software. Image channels were split, maximal projections of confocal z stacks taken (0.45 μm z-steps for 3 μm sections on average) were made followed by local background subtraction (rolling ball radius; same settings were applied to all experimental conditions), and merged. For quantification of fluorescence per cell, threshold was set to outline the positive areas followed by image conversion to black and white using the binary function. This value was divided by the sum of nuclei imaged for each sample.

Proteostat IHC imaging data analysis was done by importing raw image stacks into Fiji/ImageJ (NIH) software. The intensity value was measured, and normalized to background levels of each channel using unstained sections from aged-matched animals (rolling ball radius; same settings were applied to all experimental conditions).

Yeast steady-state Microscopy Analysis
Quantification of yeast complementation assay represents three independent experiments, in which biological triplicates constitute different transformations. Image analyses was performed by ImageJ software. Only defined bright puncta were counted in at least 70 cells per experiment.

Confocal Image Analysis
Unless stated otherwise, microscopic images (16-bit) displayed were acquired using an LSM700 or LSM880 AxioObserver confocal microscope (Carl Zeiss, Jena, Germany) by sequential excitation at 647, 568, 488 and 408 nm. The same exposure settings were used across all conditions in each individual experiment. Acquisition parameters included a speed of 400 Hz, pixel dwell time of 600 ns, line averaging of 2. Tile scans and z stacks of the area of interest were obtained and stitched using the ZEN Black software. Fiji (ImageJ) was used for image processing. Channels were split and image backgrounds were subtracted (rolling ball radius between 50 – 150 pixels), and channels were subsequently merged. The same settings were applied to all experimental conditions. For signal quantification, thresholds were set to outline the positive areas and images were converted to black and white using the binary function; gaps were filled using the watershed function. The same threshold values were used for all images across all conditions in each individual experiment. Z stack projection were generated in Fiji using the same settings for all images for each experiment. For visualization of some images displayed, brightness was adjusted (post-quantification analyses) in Fiji to enhance visualization. The same settings were applied to all images shown for each experiment. Unless stated otherwise, scale bars represent 10 μm.
**Luciferase-promoter based activity analysis**
Raw values of the promoter activities were normalized and calculated as ratio of GLuc to SEAP activities.

**Statistical analysis**
Unless state otherwise in the above, all quantitative results presented represent mean ± SD of at least three independent experiments performed, in which biological triplicates constitute three different NSPC isolations. In each experiment technical replicates were included. No statistical methods were used to predetermine sample size. Statistical comparisons were made by (two-tailed) unpaired t test assuming Gaussian distribution with Welch’s correction and one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA), as appropriate, using the GraphPad Prism 7.0 Software. Differences were considered to be significant at p < 0.05. * p < 0.05; ** p < 0.01; *** p < 0.005; **** p < 0.001, unless stated otherwise.

**DATA AND CODE AVAILABILITY**
All data to support the conclusions in this manuscript can be found in the figures, and are available from the corresponding authors upon reasonable request. RNA-Seq reads have been deposited to the NCBI short read archive under Bioproject: PRJNA531489 (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/bioproject/531489). Associated data tables can be found at the Stanford Data Repository (https://purl.stanford.edu/gd935jm2479). The resampling of the REACTOME enrichment analysis was implemented in R using the script, DogGO.R (https://github.com/ptdolan/dogGO). Original imaging data files have been deposited in Mendeley Data (https://dx.doi.org/10.17632/sgdg7bv2dw.1).