Li Intercalation in MoS2: In Situ Observation of Its Dynamics and Tuning Optical and Electrical Properties
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ABSTRACT: Two-dimensional layered materials like MoS2 have shown promise for nanoelectronics and energy storage, both as monolayers and as bulk van der Waals crystals with tunable properties. Here we present a platform to tune the physical and chemical properties of nanoscale MoS2 by electrochemically inserting a foreign species (Li+ ions) into their interlayer spacing. We discover substantial enhancement of light transmission (up to 90% in 4 nm thick lithiated MoS2) and electrical conductivity (more than 200×) in ultrathin (∼2−50 nm) MoS2 nanosheets after Li intercalation due to changes in band structure that reduce absorption upon intercalation and the injection of large amounts of free carriers. We also capture the first in situ optical observations of Li intercalation in MoS2 nanosheets, shedding light on the dynamics of the intercalation process and the associated spatial inhomogeneity and cycling-induced structural defects.
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Molybdenum disulfide (MoS2) is a member of a family of two-dimensional (2D) layered transition metal dichalcogenides (TMDs). Because of their unique combinations of physical and chemical properties,2−4 as well as their 2D nature (the ability to be thinned down to monolayer), TMDs have attracted research interest in areas of nanoelectronics,5 optoelectronics,6 energy storage devices,7 thermoelectric devices,8 flexible electronics,9 and electrocatalysis.10 The large interlayer separation in MoS2 (∼0.65 nm) provides perfect locations to accommodate guest species such as alkali metal ions (Li+, Na+, and K+) through a process known as intercalation. Intercalation in layer-structured materials such as graphite and MoS2 have been extensively studied for applications in energy storage,11 liquid phase exfoliation,12 and electrocatalysis.13,14 It has been reported that intercalation causes changes in the host materials’ electronic structure,15−17 resulting in considerable variation in their optical and electrical properties.18−21 Similarly, intercalation in Li2CoO2 has demonstrated tunable thermal conductivity through intercalation-dependent phase behavior and phonon scattering.22 Large quantity of zerovalent metal intercalation has also been developed recently.20,23,24

In this study, we develop a novel platform to electrochemically intercalate Li atoms into the interlayer spacing of ultrathin MoS2 nanosheets, controllably tuning the physical and chemical properties of the material. Our electrochemical device allows in situ characterization of both optical and electrical properties during the lithiation/delithiation process. While previous work19 focused on the effect of lithiation in MoS2 for energy storage applications by irreversibly converting MoS2 to Li2S, our study emphasizes controllably and reversibly tuning the physical properties of MoS2 films by varying Li concentration in interlayer gaps. Our work also captures the first set of in situ observations of the dynamics of the Li intercalation process in MoS2 nanosheets. Through Raman measurement and band structure calculations, we provide possible explanations for the simultaneous enhancement of optical transmission and electrical conductivity upon lithiation, providing a path to dynamically tune the physical properties of such layered materials.

Figure 1 illustrates the sample fabrication process of our electrochemical platform, which allows us to characterize the optical and electrical properties of MoS2 while we perform Li intercalation. We first mechanically exfoliated ultrathin MoS2 nanosheets (∼2−50 nm) onto SiO2/Si substrates (Figure 1a). The MoS2 thickness was determined by atomic force microscopy (AFM). We then put down metal electrodes via electron-beam (e-beam) lithography and shadow mask. Figure 1b shows the change in optical transmission of MoS2 upon intercalation and deintercalation. The MoS2 thickness was determined by atomic force microscopy (AFM). We then put down metal electrodes via electron-beam (e-beam) lithography and shadow mask.
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evaporation as shown in Figure 1b,c (see Methods for more details). To perform electrochemical intercalation, we adopted a planar nanobattery configuration (Figure 1d) where Li metal and MoS₂ nanosheets were used as counter and working electrodes, respectively. The device with electrolyte (1 M LiPF₆ in 1:1 w/w ethylene carbonate/diethyl carbonate from EMD Chemicals) was sandwiched by the top glass slide and bottom substrate and sealed with epoxy to prevent oxidation (Figure 1e). This platform allows us to carry out Li intercalation and at the same time characterize the optical and electrical properties of MoS₂ in situ. The electrochemical intercalation was performed using a SP-150 BioLogic workstation (see Methods).

With a transparent glass slide as the top seal, our electrochemical cell serves as an excellent platform to study the dynamics of Li intercalation in nanoscale MoS₂ flakes. A freshly packaged MoS₂ nanosheet typically shows an open circuit voltage (OCV) between 1.8 and 2.2 V with respect to Li/Li⁺. We carried out Li intercalation by gradually lowering the MoS₂ potential with respect to Li/Li⁺ from 2.0 to 1.0 V with steps of 0.1 V or less (Figure 2a). We avoided going below 1.0 V versus Li/Li⁺ to prevent any irreversible conversion reaction that forms Li₂S.7,25 Figure 2b–i depicts the first Li intercalation cycle for a typical MoS₂ nanosheet. We measured the thickness of this flake (Figure 2b) by AFM, which was ∼23 nm at the bottom (green region) and ∼32 nm at the top (yellow region). When we gradually lithiated this MoS₂ nanosheet by driving down its potential with respect to Li from 2.1 V (as packaged) to 1.2 V in steps of 0.1 V, we observed no significant change in the morphology and color of the flake under the optical microscope, even under extended stress time (40 min at 1.2 V versus Li/Li⁺, see Supporting Information Figure 2).

When we further lowered the MoS₂ potential to 1.1 V with respect to Li, we started to visualize the Li intercalation process under optical microscope. Figure 2c illustrates that MoS₂
started to turn dark brown in color from the edges after a few minutes. This color change gradually extended from the edges to the center of the flake with constant biasing at 1.1 V (Figure 2d) and finally covered up the entire MoS2 nanosheet after 30 min (Figure 2e). Previous studies on bulk MoS2 reported a similar plateau at ~1.1 V during Li intercalation and attributed it to a phase transition from 2H to 1T MoS2. Our observation confirms that the MoS2 flake undergoes a 2H (semiconducting) to 1T (metallic) phase transition at ~1.1 V versus Li/Li+ to accommodate more Li+ ions in its interlayer spacing. In addition, the optical images demonstrate that Li+ ions (as well as the accompanying phase transition) enter MoS2 flake from the edges and gradually diffuse toward the center of the flake. We note that in Figure 2e, MoS2 regions under the metal electrodes remained greenish yellow in color. This was probably because the metal electrodes blocked the entry of Li+ ions from the edges and also acted as a clamp to prevent or slow down the phase transformation and the resulting volume expansion. We did not go below 1.0 V versus Li/Li+ in the measurements to avoid the irreversible conversion reactions that form polysulfides.

Figure 2f–i shows the delithiation process of the same MoS2 flake as we gradually increased its potential from 1.1 to 3.2 V with respect to Li/Li+. We could clearly visualize Li+ ions moving out from the MoS2 flake edges, as MoS2 changed back to its original color, starting from the center and finally spreading to the edges. After complete delithiation at 3.2 V for 20 min (in Figure 3i), we noticed that the bottom/thinner part of the flake completely returned to its original color before lithiation (Figure 2b), suggesting a fully reversible discharge/charge cycle with little structural changes in the thinner region. We note that this is most likely not “sample damage” in the sense of changes within the covalently bonded layers but rather changes in the spacing between layers. At the top/thicker part, the color appeared to be slightly reddish compared to the original yellow. We have observed that MoS2 shows different color contrast at different thicknesses with all other factors (substrate, light intensity, exposure time, and lens aperture)
being the same. Our AFM measurements confirm that yellow MoS₂ are typically ∼25–35 nm thick while red MoS₂ typically have a thickness of ∼40–55 nm. This suggests that the color change in MoS₂ from yellow to red after one discharge/charge cycle was possibly due to an increase in MoS₂ interlayer spacing caused by intercalation. Our AFM measurements on chemically intercalated MoS₂ nanoflakes (Figure 4d) confirmed that an average of 50% increase in interlayer spacing is observed. Thus, while thinner MoS₂ might fully restore its structure upon Li intercalation (see Supporting Information Figure 4), thicker MoS₂ seems to be unable to fully recover from lithiation and tends suffer some structural changes due to the larger accumulated strain. This may explain why MoS₂ based energy storage device typically suffer significant capacity loss upon cycling, especially after the first few cycles.

We further investigated to verify if cycling causes structural changes in MoS₂ and if the yellow-to-red color change was due to cycling induced defects. Figure 3a–h shows a series of optical images of the same MoS₂ flake taken before device encapsulation with no electrolyte (Figure 3a) and at different cycles (Figure 3b–h) of Li intercalation. When fully delithiated at 3.2 V versus Li/Li⁺, we noticed that more red "bruises" start to appear on the MoS₂ nanosheet after several discharge and charge cycles of the MoS₂. After the first cycle, the top of the film showed a yellow-to-red color change most likely due to the structural changes to MoS₂ (an increase in interlayer spacing in this case) from the intercalation process. In subsequent cycles 2 and 3, more of these red spots showed up throughout the flake, suggesting accumulating changes in the MoS₂ structure upon repeated cycling.

Through the top transparent glass slide, we also performed in situ Raman spectroscopy of our MoS₂ flakes during Li intercalation. Figure 3i shows Raman spectra of MoS₂ after the addition of electrolyte (Horiba 633 nm He–Ne laser source) which has been well studied.28–30 The E₂g, (in-plane optical vibration of the Mo–S bond) and A₁g modes (out-of-plane optical vibration of S atoms) were located at ∼382 and 408 cm⁻¹, respectively. The inset illustrates the schematics of these two first-order Raman-active modes in 2H-MoS₂.

As we began the Li intercalation process by gradually lowering the MoS₂ potential from 2.1 to 1.2 V versus Li/Li⁺, Raman signals showed almost no change because Li⁺ ion concentration in MoS₂ is relatively low before the 2H to 1T transition at ∼1.1 V (see Supporting Information Figures 1 and 3). When MoS₂ was fully lithiated and transformed to the 1T phase (Figure 2c,e,g), Raman spectra were significantly different. We observed peaks at ∼150 and 200 cm⁻¹, which usually indicate a 2H to 1T phase transformation in MoS₂, as reported in previous studies of chemically intercalated MoS₂ films.31,32,33,34,35,36 However, A₁g peaks, which are typically still present in the chemically intercalated MoS₂ samples, showed significantly lower intensity during lithiation as evident in curves c,e,g in Figure 2i. Supporting Information Figure 3f shows how the A₁g mode intensity gradually decreased during lithiation and then slowly restored to its original level during delithiation. Similar observations of the reduction and broadening of the A₁g phonon were reported by Chakraborty et al.32 in monolayer MoS₂ and Kiriyha et al.33 in few-layer MoS₂ at high doping level, attributed to strong electron–phonon coupling of the A₁g mode. We suspect that in an electrochemical intercalation process with enough supply of electrons, Li⁺ ion concentrations in MoS₂ (theoretical maximum at Li⁺:MoS₂) could be significantly higher than that in a chemical intercalation process (2 h in 1.6 M n-butyl lithium solution at 300 K). Thus, the presence of these Li⁺ ions may have suppressed the out-of-plane S atom vibrations that contribute to A₁g mode (see Supporting Information Figure 5 for a comparison of Raman Spectra of MoS₂ during chemical and electrochemical intercalations). The E₂g mode in curve b also became part of a broad peak with a large line width in all subsequent curves (c–h) after the first lithiation. This peak broadening is typically a sign of structural defects in the crystal structure, possibly due to the volume expansion in MoS₂ caused by lithiation in this case. That is why the line width of the E₂g mode remained large even when Li⁺ ion concentration was low and MoS₂ was delithiated (Figure 2d,f,h).

In order to further investigate structural defects and strain built-up in MoS₂ from Li intercalation, we carried out AFM measurements on lithiated MoS₂ flakes. Because both the electrolyte and Li pellets are extremely reactive in air, we cannot perform AFM directly on the electrochemically intercalated devices. Instead, we immersed MoS₂ samples in n-butyl lithium solutions and used chemical intercalation to lithiate the flakes (see Methods for more details). Figure 4a,b shows optical images of the same MoS₂ flake before and after chemical intercalations (2 h in n-butyl lithium solution). The AFM image of the flake after intercalation is shown in Figure 4c. It confirms our previous observation that Li ions entered the MoS₂ van der Waals gaps from the edges and diffused toward the center, as it was evident from the outer ring of the lithiated region in Figure 4b,c. The color change in lithiated MoS₂ were due to an enhancement in optical transmission upon lithiation, which we will discuss in more details in the next section.

The dashed line profile across the flake is illustrated in Figure 4d. The MoS₂ thickness increases from 7.3 nm (center, un lithiated region) to 10.8 nm due to volume expansion caused by Li intercalation. There is a slight gradient of thickness from the edge (thicker) to the center (thinner) of the flake in both directions, possibly indicating the gradient of Li ion concentrations in MoS₂ from the edge (high) to the center (low). Besides the height increase due to accommodation of Li ions in the interlayer gaps, we also notice significant wrinkle formation in the lithiated region, along the entry direction of the Li ions. Because the intercalation process exerts significant strain on the MoS₂ crystal structure, the material eventually relaxes through these wrinkle formations. Similar distortion and wrinkling has been observed in the past on sodiated (Na⁺) MoS₂ films.34,35 The height of these wrinkles ranged from 20 to 50 nm with an average height of 36.7 nm for this 7.3 nm thick MoS₂ flake (Figure 4e). These irreversible wrinkles and strains in Li₂MoS₂ are possible reasons for the broadening of E₂g Raman mode after the first lithiation, and for the cycling capacity loss in MoS₂. This is especially true because the intercalated Li ion concentrations are probably higher in the electrochemical intercalation process (at 1.1 V versus Li/Li⁺) than in a chemical intercalation process (2 h in 1.6 M n-butyl lithium solution at 300 K) and therefore would cause more strain and wrinkles.

From both electrochemical and chemical intercalations, we observed significant change in color contrast of MoS₂ upon lithiation. In order to further investigate the optical properties...
of MoS₂ upon Li intercalation, we performed spectral measurements (with a Nikon LV-UDM microscope) to characterize the optical transmission before and after Li intercalations. Here we exfoliated MoS₂ flakes onto quartz substrates and immersed them into 1.6 M n-butyl lithium solution for 2 h for chemical intercalation. The lateral dimensions of these MoS₂ flakes were typically 5−20 μm, significantly larger than the spot size of the confocal microscope.

Figure 5a−c shows the transmission spectra of MoS₂ nanosheets before (red) and after (blue) 2 h of Li intercalation with initial thicknesses of 4, 9, and 25 nm, respectively. All optical transmission spectra were normalized to that of the quartz substrate that the sample sit on. We observe significant enhancement in transmission after Li intercalation at wavelengths between 400 and 700 nm, as well as slight decrease in transmission at wavelengths greater than 700 nm. This is consistent with recent observations by Wan et al.19 For the 9 nm thick MoS₂ flake, the transmission in the visible range jumped from <30% in the pristine state to >70% after Li intercalation; while thin films (<5 nm) became highly transparent (>85%) after lithiation. Examining a larger range of thicknesses in Figure 5d,e, we also observe a clear dependence of optical transmission on the sample thickness. We plot the MoS₂ transmission before and after Li intercalation versus sample thickness at 500 and 650 nm wavelengths, respectively, in Figure 5d,e. Optical transmission enhancement after lithiation is observed in all thicknesses (measured by AFM) at both wavelengths.

We attribute at least part of the MoS₂ optical transmission enhancement between 400 and 700 nm to a substantial reduction in absorption after Li intercalation. There are three distinct local minima in the transmission spectrum of 2H-MoS₂ before intercalation (red curves in Figure 5a−c) at ~455 nm (2.73 eV), 603 nm (2.03 eV), and 660 nm (1.88 eV). These are due to absorption peaks at the corresponding energies. Previous studies of MoS₂ have also reported photoluminescence (PL) bands at around 600 and 660 nm, which were attributed to band edge transitions in the K-point.36,37 Figure 6a shows the schematic of the band structure of a few-layer thick 2H-MoS₂ flake at the K-point of the Brillouin zone. The A and B excitons are two exciton bands that are produced by band splitting at the K-point, possibly due to interlayer coupling.36−38 The absorption peaks we obtained experimentally matched well with previously reported values. The high-energy absorption peak near 2.73 eV (~455 nm) we observed is also consistent with recent optical measurements of MoS₂.36,39,40 While the origin of this high-energy exciton is still under debate, theoretical calculations suggest that this exciton may come from a background peak (BG) due to phonon−electron coupling36−39 or a transition among the nesting bands between the Γ and Λ positions of the Brillouin zone.41

After Li intercalation, the 2H-MoS₂ undergoes a phase transformation to 1T-LiₓMoS₂ lattice structure, which shows metallic behavior. Enyashin et al.42 used density-functional theory to calculate the band structure of 1T-LiₓMoS₂, where the valence and conduction bands were overlapped at the K-point (see schematic in Figure 6b), consistent with fully metallic behavior. Without the band gap, the absorption in 1T-LiₓMoS₂ is substantially reduced, resulting in the optical transmission enhancement. We calculated the refractive indices of MoS₂ before and after Li intercalation (Figure 6c,d) from our thickness dependent transmission data (see Supporting Information). The imaginary parts of the MoS₂ refractive index became significantly less after Li intercalation, which is an indication of a decrease in light absorption of the material.20

Our electrochemical device also serves as a platform to allow in situ characterization and tuning of MoS₂ electrical transport properties. Four terminal MoS₂ devices were fabricated by e-beam lithography and e-beam evaporated Cr/Au contacts (Figure 1). As shown in Figure 7a, MoS₂ nanosheets demonstrated drastic improvement in electrical sheet resistance upon Li intercalation even down to a few nanometers in thickness. Four-probe measurements showed that lithiated
MoS\(_2\) (biased at 1.2 V versus Li/Li\(^+\)) typically exhibits over 2 orders of magnitude decrease in sheet resistance compared to pristine MoS\(_2\), due to the high carrier density and metallic behavior caused by Li intercalation. Together with the optical transmission enhancement, this improvement in electrical sheet resistance opens up new opportunities for applications in transparent electrodes. For example, a 4 nm thick MoS\(_2\) nanosheet exhibited optical transmission as high as 90% and showed more than 500\% improvement in sheet resistance (from 2750 to 5.4 k\(\Omega\)/sq.) upon Li intercalation. While this may be still short of the need for optoelectronic device applications (e.g., 90% and 10 \(\Omega\)/sq. for ITO) at the moment, the large and tunable enhancement in both optical transmission and electrical conductivity through intercalation are promising and provides new opportunities in optoelectronics. Furthermore, our electrochemical platform enables dynamically tuning the MoS\(_2\) sheet resistance in situ as a function of the Li ion concentration.

In Figure 7b, we plot the sheet resistance of Li\(_x\)MoS\(_2\) as a function of its relative potential with respect to Li/Li\(^+\). We observed that the Li\(_x\)MoS\(_2\) resistance decreased more than five times as we lowered the potential from \(\sim 2.3\) to \(1.2\) V versus Li/Li\(^+\). This occurs because, as we vary the Li\(_x\)MoS\(_2\) potential against Li/Li\(^+\), we are tuning the Li concentration in Li\(_x\)MoS\(_2\) and therefore the carrier density and sheet resistance. We can calculate exactly how the Li concentration in Li\(_x\)MoS\(_2\) changes as the potential in a bulk MoS\(_2\) film, from a galvanostatic discharge curve of Li electrochemical intercalation into MoS\(_2\) film (see Supporting Information Figure 1) and use that as a reference for our MoS\(_2\) nanosheets. We did not lower the Li\(_x\)MoS\(_2\) voltage to less than \(1.2\) V versus Li/Li\(^+\) in these measurements to avoid the volume expansion that may damage the electrodes or contacts. Our results suggest that Li intercalation significantly improve the MoS\(_2\) optical transparency and electrical conductance. Similar effects have also been observed recently with Cu intercalation in Bi\(_2\)Se\(_3\)\(^{18}\) and Li intercalation in graphite,\(^{18}\) making intercalation a potentially interesting technique for transparent electrode and touch screen applications.

The interlayer spacing in layered 2D materials accommodates the intercalation of large amounts of metal atoms. Our electrochemical platform enables direct observations of the reversible intercalation process in ultrathin nanosheets of 2D materials such as MoS\(_2\), and in situ characterization of their optical and electrical properties. We provide the first evidence of the dynamics of Li intercalation in nanoscale MoS\(_2\) flakes. Through our optical, Raman and AFM measurements, we gain better understanding of the intercalation process and the possible structural changes in MoS\(_2\) caused by repeated Li intercalation and deintercalation. These results provide possible explanations for cycling capacity loss in MoS\(_2\) films and shed insights on improving performance of MoS\(_2\)-based energy storage devices. Intercalation is also a powerful technique to dynamically tune the physical and chemical properties of material. Through Li intercalation, we achieve substantial improvement of MoS\(_2\) optical transmission (up to 90\% for a 4 nm flake) as well as more than 2 orders of magnitude increase in electrical conductivity for all flakes (\(\sim \)2–50 nm). Further investigations are under the way to study how intercalation could tune the thermal conductivity and Seebeck coefficient of Li\(_x\)MoS\(_2\). The capability to reversibly engineer the physical and chemical properties of nanomaterials opens up exciting opportunities in optoelectronics, transparent electrodes, energy harvesting, and storage.

**Methods. Sample Fabrication.** Ultrathin MoS\(_2\) flakes were exfoliated using the Scotch tape method onto a highly doped Si substrate with 90 nm of SiO\(_2\) (Figure 1a). Inner electrodes (70 nm of Au) were patterned with e-beam lithography and deposited through e-beam evaporation (Figure 1b). Outer electrodes (1/70 nm of Cr/Au for MoS\(_2\) and 50 nm of Cu for...
Li pouch cell within the glovebox (Figure 1c). The MoS$_2$ device was then transferred inside an Ar-filled glovebox, where a Li pellet is deposited onto the Cu electrode (isolated from MoS$_2$ electrodes). A cover glass (0.2 mm thick) was placed on top of the central region and epoxy was used to seal three sides of the glass. Because of the finite thickness of the Li pellet, a small pocket was created and fully filled with electrolyte (LiPF$_6$ in EC/DEC w/w = 1:1). The last (and fourth) side of the cover glass was then sealed with epoxy to isolate the electrolyte and Li pellet from the surrounding to prevent oxidations (Figure 1d,e). Care was taken to minimize the formation of gas bubbles.

**Electrochemical Intercalation and Electrical Transport Measurements.** Electrochemical intercalation was performed with a Biologic SP-150 potentiostat. Constant voltage charge and discharge were used to lithiate and delithiate MoS$_2$ nanosheets for in situ optical, Raman, and electrical measurements. Additional details were provided in the Supporting Information. Electrical transport characteristics of MoS$_2$ were measured with four-probe structures using a Keithley 4200-SCS parameter analyzer.

**Chemical Intercalation.** Samples were immersed into 1.6 M n-butyl lithium (Fisher Scientific) for 2 h. This was done at room temperature in an Ar-filled glovebox. After intercalation, we gently washed these samples with anhydrous hexane to remove organic residues. Samples were then sealed in an Al pocket cell within the glovebox (to slow down the oxidation of Li$_2$MoS$_4$ in air) and transferred out for further analysis (AFM, electrical, and optical measurements).

**Optical Transmission Measurements.** Light transmission spectra were performed by an inverted microscope (Nikon LV-UDM microscope). White light was collected by a 50× objective with a numerical aperture of 0.8 using bright field mode. Spectral range was 400–800 nm. The photodetector (Princeton Instruments Spectrometry CCD) was cooled down by liquid N$_2$ with exposure time of 2 s.
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