Highly conductive paper for energy-storage devices
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Paper, invented more than 2,000 years ago and widely used today in our everyday lives, is explored in this study as a platform for energy-storage devices by integration with 1D nanomaterials. Here, we show that commercially available paper can be made highly conductive with a sheet resistance as low as 1 ohm per square (Ω/sq) by using simple solution processes to achieve conformal coating of single-walled carbon nanotube (CNT) and silver nanowire films. Compared with plastics, paper substrates can dramatically improve film adhesion, greatly simplify the coating process, and significantly lower the cost. Supercapacitors based on CNT-conductive paper show excellent performance. When only CNT mass is considered, a specific capacitance of 200 F/g, a specific energy of 30–47 Watt-hour/kilogram (Wh/kg), a specific power of 200,000 W/kg, and a stable cycling life over 40,000 cycles are achieved. These values are much better than those of devices on other flat substrates, such as plastics. Even in a case in which the weight of all of the dead components is considered, a specific energy of 7.5 Wh/kg is achieved. In addition, this conductive paper can be used as an excellent lightweight current collector in lithium-ion batteries to replace the existing metallic counterparts. This work suggests that our conductive paper can be a highly scalable and low-cost solution for high-performance energy storage devices.

Materials and Methods

Printable solution processing has been exploited to deposit various nanomaterials, such as fullerene, carbon nanotubes (CNTs), nanocrystals, and nanowires for large-scale applications, including thin-film transistors (1–3), solar cells (4, 5), and energy-storage devices (6, 7), because the process is low-cost while maintaining the unique properties of the nanomaterials. In these processes, flat substrates, such as glass, metallic films, Si wafers, and plastics, have been used to hold nanostructure films. Nanostructured materials are usually first capped with surfactant molecules so that they can be well-dispersed as separated particles in a solvent to form “ink.” The ink is then deposited onto the flat substrates, followed by surfactant removal and solvent evaporation. To produce high-quality films, significant efforts have been spent on ink formulation and rheology adjustment. Moreover, because the surfactants are normally insulating, and thus limit the charge transfer between the nanomaterials, their removal is particularly critical. However, this step involves extensive washing and chemical displacement, which often cause mechanical detachment of the film from the flat substrate. Polymer binders or adhesives have been used to improve the binding of nanomaterials to substrates, but these can also cause an undesirable decrease in the film conductivity. These additional procedures increase the complexity of solution processing and result in high cost and low throughput. Here, we exploit paper substrates used in daily life to solve these issues and develop a simple, low-cost, high-throughput, and printable process for achieving superior device performance.

Throughout human history, paper has been the most important medium to express and propagate information and knowledge. The Piri Reis Papyrus, the oldest existing piece of writing on paper, dates back to 2,000 B.C. (8). The critical feature that enables paper to record information in such an enduring manner is the strong adhesion of ink onto paper, resulting from the 3D hierarchical porous fiber structures, surface charges, and functional groups in paper, which are under fine control today (9). Paper has continued to expand its applications beyond information recording, and recently, novel applications such as microfluidic and electronic devices have been demonstrated. Whitesides and colleagues fabricated 3D microfluidic devices by stacking paper and adhesive tape (10) and, in a separate study, portable bioassays on patterned paper substrates (11). Researchers in the flexible electronics community have also explored paper as substrates for organic photodiodes (12), organic thin-film transistors (13, 14), circuits (15), and active matrix displays (16). In this study, we demonstrated that the application of paper can be expanded even further to important energy-storage devices by integrating with single-walled CNTs and metal nanowires by solution-based processes. The coated 1D nanomaterial films show high conductivity, high porosity, and robust chemical and mechanical stability, which lead to high-performance supercapacitors (SCs) and lithium-ion (Li-ion) batteries.

Results and Discussion

Aqueous CNT ink with sodium dodecylbenzenesulfonate (SDBS) as a surfactant was used in this study (17), where SDBS and CNT were 10 and 1–5 mg/mL in concentration, respectively. Once CNT ink was applied onto paper by the simple Meyer rod coating method (Fig. 1A), the paper was transformed into highly conductive paper with a low sheet resistance around 10 Ω/sq (Fig. 1B), which is lower than previous reports by several orders of magnitude because of the ink formulation and the choice of substrates (18, 19). Fig. 1C and D shows the conformal coating of CNTs on the fiber structure of the paper, which contributes to high film conductivity (see Figs. S1 and S2 for more details). One important reason for this conformal coating might be the porous structure of paper, which leads to large capillary force for the ink. The strong capillary force enables high contacting surface area between flexible nanotubes and paper after the solvent is absorbed and dried out. We also applied the same method to produce conductive paper based on ink of other nanoscale materials, by using Ag NWs as an example (Fig. 1E and Table S1; see Materials and Methods for detailed procedures). The sheet resistances at different effective film thicknesses for CNTs and Ag NWs are plotted in Fig. 1F. Benefitting from the conformal coating, the sheet resistances reached a low level of 1 Ω/sq for Ag NWs at the effective film thickness of 500 nm. As film thickness increased, the scaling of the resistance changed from percolation-like to linear behavior, which is similar to CNT networks on flat substrates. The cross-over from percolation to linear region was found to be ~20–30 nm on other flat substrates, which is close to our value, ~10 nm (Fig. 1F); and the difference is likely due to the length differences of CNTs (20, 21).
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Because paper absorbs solvents easily and binds with CNTs strongly, the fabrication process for the conductive paper is much simpler than that for other flat substrates, such as glass or plastics. First, contrary to other substrates, ink rheology for paper is not strict at all. In glass and plastics, the ink surface energy needs to match with that of substrates, and the viscosity must be high enough to avoid surface tension-driven defects, such as rings and dewetting in the coating and drying processes (22). Therefore, various additives are incorporated in the ink to tune the rheology properties. These insulating additives decrease the conductivity of the final film. In contrast, our CNT ink does not need any additives to adjust the rheology, which simplifies the process and leads to high film conductivity. Second, the paper does not require surfactant washing processes to achieve high film conductivity, which is necessary for other substrates. As shown in Fig. 2A and B, the sheet resistance of the CNT paper was already as low as 30 Ω/sq before washing, and there was no film delamination and sheet resistance change after washing. In contrast, washing of CNTs on Polyethylene terephthalate (PET) substrates resulted in significant film cracking and peel-off (Fig. 2B). The local resistance of CNT film on PET decreased significantly (Fig. S3A); however, the global resistance became ~1,000 times higher (Fig. 2A). Although the detailed mechanism behind this unusual phenomenon is unclear, it is likely that while solvent is sucked into paper by capillary force, surfactants become rearranged, perhaps toward porous fiber networks, such that surfactants do not hinder charge transport within CNT films as much as they do with flat substrates. This unusual property of paper renders the typical surfactant washing requirement unnecessary in the case of conductive paper, and thus its fabrication process becomes greatly simplified.

The conductive paper also has excellent mechanical properties. The conductive paper with CNT thicknesses from 100 nm to 5 μm can be bent down to a 2-mm radius (Fig. 2C) or folded without any measurable change in electrical conductivity. Fatigue tests show that the conductive paper can be bent to a 2-mm radius for 100 times with resistance increase less than 5%. These mechanical behaviors are likely due to the combined effect of the flexibility of individual CNTs, the strong binding of the CNTs with the paper fibers, and the porous morphology of the paper, which can relax the bending strain. Such flexibility could satisfy the requirement in SCs and batteries. In comparison, conductive paper with a 50-nm gold layer evaporated on Xerox paper showed Rₛ of 7 Ω/sq. The sheet resistance increased by 50% after folding the conductive gold paper three times. Moreover, the strong adhesion of the CNTs to paper lead to high film stability against damage, such as scratching and peeling-off. This is demonstrated via a Scotch tape test showing the clear superiority of using paper over the PET substrate (Fig. 2D). The Scotch tape did not peel off any CNTs on paper, and the sheet resistance remained the same, ~10 Ω/sq. As in the conformal coating, the exceptionally strong binding is attributed to the large capillary force and maximized contact area and, subsequently, Van der Waals force between the CNTs and paper (23). The superior flexibility and high stability make conductive paper promising for various rolled-up devices. It is noteworthy that the conductive paper described here is completely distinct from previously reported bulky papers (24) or other conductive paper (25) in several perspectives. (i) We used widely available commercial paper, not paper-like films produced by more complicated processes. Therefore, we could
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**Fig. 1.** Conformal coating of CNTs or Ag NWs on commercial paper. (A) Meyer rod coating of CNT or Ag NW ink on commercial Xerox paper. (B) Conductive Xerox paper after CNT coating with sheet resistance of ~10 Ω/sq. SEM images of (C) surface morphology of Xerox paper, (D) conformal CNT coating along fibers in Xerox paper, and (E) conformal Ag NW coating on Xerox paper. (F) Sheet resistances of conductive paper based on CNTs and Ag NWs with various thicknesses.
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**Fig. 2.** Various performance tests of conductive paper. (A) Sheet resistance change of CNTs on paper and PET before and after the process of washing to remove surfactants. (B) The comparison of film peeling after washing surfactants. CNT films on PET are easily peeled off, whereas CNTs on paper still stick well. (C) Sheet resistance changes after bending conductive paper into different radii. (D) Film adhesion test with Scotch tape: CNTs on paper remain bound, whereas CNTs on PET are peeled off. (E) Direct writing of CNT ink on the paper with Chinese calligraphy.
We fabricated such all-paper SCs and tested their performance in (26–33), which can result in high power density. In addition, the current collectors. CNTs deposited on porous paper are more conductive paper was studied in SC applications as active electrodes examples.

benefit from the well-established paper technology. (ii) Our conductive paper takes advances of intrinsic properties of paper, which largely simplifies the fabrication process. (iii) Our fabrication process is scalable, with roll-to-roll fashion. (iv) Other painting methods can also be applied to fabricate conductive paper. Chinese calligraphy (Fig. 2E) and pen writing (Fig. S3B) are demonstrated as examples.

Because of the high conductivity and the large surface area, the conductive paper was studied in SC applications as active electrodes and current collectors. CNTs deposited on porous paper are more accessible to ions in the electrolyte than those on flat substrates (6, 26–33), which can result in high power density. In addition, the paper itself can function well as a separator. Therefore, all-paper SCs have been realized by simple fabrication processes (Fig. 3A). We fabricated such all-paper SCs and tested their performance in both aqueous and organic electrolytes by using galvanostatic (Fig. 3B) and cyclic voltammetric (Fig. S5) methods. Detailed procedures for the device preparation and performance characterization are described in Materials and Methods and SI Materials and Methods. As shown in Fig. 3C, the specific capacitances of all-paper SCs at various currents are superior to the previously reported values with pure CNT electrodes on flat substrates (6, 26–33), and are even close to those of pseudocapacitors based on the polymer/CNT composites (34, 35). A high specific capacitance of 200 F/g was achieved for devices in sulfuric acid electrolyte (Fig. 3C). Furthermore, our devices can maintain excellent specific capacitance even under high-current operations. Even at ~40 A/g, capacitances larger than 70 F/g were maintained in both aqueous and organic phases. Such high capacitances at large currents are attributed to the excellent ion accessibility from both sides of the CNT film and intimate electrolyte–CNT wetting that originates from the porous nature of paper. For comparison, PET-based SCs prepared in the same way only showed capacity less than 50 F/g (Fig. 3C), which indicates the importance of the porous nature of paper for better SC performance. This is also confirmed by another control experiment with Au conductive paper. Devices with the same amount of CNTs on Au-coated Xerox paper (50 nm, 7 Ω/sq) showed 36 F/g at 10 A/g, which is ~5-fold lower than our CNT conductive paper SCs (~160 F/g at the same current density). This is likely because the Au film blocks pores in paper and impedes the ion access from the paper side. When operated at 3 V in organic solvent, the specific energy and power reached 47 Wh/kg and 200,000 W/kg, respectively, which exceed previously reported data (Fig. 3D) (6, 26, 27, 30, 31). Our fabrication process is scalable, with roll-to-roll fashion. More data on cyclic voltammetry (CV; Fig. S5) and thickness dependence of the capacitance (Fig. S6A) are presented in the SI Materials and Methods.

Rechargeable batteries are another type of energy-storage device with high energy density, but they are still too heavy for applications such as vehicle electrification. In this work, conductive paper was used to replace the heavy metallic current collectors, which could reduce the weight of batteries up to 20% (Table S2; see SI Materials and Methods for details) with
curves of half cells consisting of the LiMn2O4 nanorods or Li4Ti5O12
nanopowders coated on conductive paper as working electrodes
and lithium foil as counter electrodes. Voltage profiles were close
to those with metal current collectors, according to previous work,
and high-performance energy-storage devices are realized by
solution-processed nanoscale materials could bring in new op-
mportunities for advanced applications in energy storage and

capacitor efficiency was generally over 99.5% for LiMn2O4 and over
98.5% for LiMn2O4 and over 96% for Li4Ti5O12 nanowires coated on
conductive paper as current collectors and electrodes. The
power density can be achieved in such paper batteries. Previously,
Pushparaj et al. (25) fabricated “paper batteries” with CNTs themselves
as the active electrode material for Li-ion batteries. However, as an
active material, CNTs suffer from issues of poor initial Coulomb
efficiency, unsuitable voltage profiles, and fast-capacity decay (25,
37). Instead of using the CNTs to store lithium ions, we used them
to function as lightweight current collectors to achieve practical
batteries with a long cycle life. Fig. 4A shows the structure of
conductive paper-based battery. LiMn2O4 nanorods (38) and
Li4Ti5O12 nanopowders (~200 nm; Süd Chemie) or Si/C (39)
nanowires were coated onto conductive paper to act as the cathode
and anode, respectively. Fig. 4B displays the initial charge/discharge
curves of half cells consisting of the LiMn2O4 nanorods or Li4Ti5O12
nanopowders coated on conductive paper as working electrodes
and lithium foil as counter electrodes. Voltage profiles were close
to those with metal current collectors, according to previous work,
and no apparent voltage drop was observed (38, 40–42). The
cycling performance of these conductive paper-supported elec-
trodes is shown in Fig. 4C. The LiMn2O4 nanorod and Li4Ti5O12
nanopowder electrodes achieved initial discharge capacities of 110
mAh/g and 149 mAh/g, and capacity retentions of 93% and 96%
after 50 cycles at C/3, respectively. These values are comparable
with metal collector-based batteries (38, 40–42). In our devices, the
coulomb efficiency was generally ~98.5% for LiMn2O4 and over
99.5% for Li4Ti5O12. To demonstrate a practical paper battery, a 5
sq cm full cell with conductive paper acting as the current collector
in both cathode and anode was used to repeatedly light a blue LED,
as shown in Fig. 4D. In this demonstration, the cathode is LiMn2O4,
whereas the anode is carbon/silicon core/shell nanowires.

The chemical stability of conductive paper in the electrolyte
may be a main concern for practical uses. Paper has been used
as the separator in aluminum electrolytic capacitors with aque-
ous solution (43), and our tests also show that paper is stable in
aqueous electrolyte (1 M H2SO4) for 2 months. Regarding
organic electrolyte, the stability of paper is tested under both
organic electrolyte (1 M LiPF6 in ethylene carbonate/ diethyl carbonate [EC/DEC]) at 30 °C for 2 months or 50°C for
1.5 months, there was no detectable disintegration of paper and
CNTs (Fig. 5A and B). Moreover, the sheet resistance of the
conductive paper decreased from ~60 Ω/sq down to 9 Ω/sq after
soaking in the organic electrolyte, which might be due to the
dissolution of surfactant or hole doping of CNTs (44). On the
device level, batteries with CNT conductive paper as current
collectors were cycled 500 times, as shown in Fig. 5C. The
capacity retention was 95% after 280 cycles at C/3. Moreover,
during the following 220 cycles at the rate of C/2, the capacity
decay was less than 0.01% per cycle. The total time for the 500
cycles is ~3.5 months. In addition, after stopping cycling for a
week, the charge capacity only increased by 3.3%, indicating that
there is not much self-discharge for batteries with paper-based
batteries. These experiments demonstrate that paper is stable in
electrolyte for at least months, and the stable period of paper in
organic electrolyte could further extend to more than 1 year,
which is close to the shelf life requirement for some applications.

Conclusion
In conclusion, we have made highly conductive CNT paper by
conformal coating of CNTs onto commercial paper, whose
conductivity can be further enhanced by incorporating metal
nanowire strips as global current collectors for large-scale en-
ergy-storage devices (Figs. S4D). The intrinsic properties of
paper, such as high solvent absorption and strong binding with
nanomaterials, allow easy and scalable coating procedures.
Taking advantage of the mature paper technology, low cost, light
and high-performance energy-storage devices are realized by
using conductive paper as current collectors and electrodes.
The concept of using paper as a novel substrate together with
solution-processed nanoscale materials could bring in new oppor-
tunities for advanced applications in energy storage and
conversion. By combining our paper-based energy storage with
other types of devices developed, such as bioassays or displays on
paper, full paper electronics could be realized in the future.

Materials and Methods
Preparation of Inks and Conductive Paper. To form a CNT ink, CNTs grown by
laser ablation and SDBS (Sigma–Aldrich) were dispersed in deionized water.
Their concentrations were 10 and 1–5 mg/mL, respectively. After bath soni-
cation for 5 min, the CNT dispersion was probe-sonicated for 30 min at 200 W
(VC 505; Sonics) to form an ink. Meyer rods (Rdspecialties) were used to coat
the CNT ink onto Xerox paper. The sheet resistance of conductive paper was
measured by using the four-point probe technique (EDTM). To make silver
catalyst for 5 min, the CNT dispersion was probe-sonicated for 30 min at 200 W
(VC 505; Sonics) to form an ink. Meyer rods (Rdspecialties) were used to coat
the CNT ink onto Xerox paper. The sheet resistance of conductive paper was
measured by using the four-point probe technique (EDTM). To make silver
nanowire (Ag NW) ink, Ag NWs were produced in solution phase following the
method of Xia and colleagues (45). In the first step, a mixture of 0.668 g of
polyvinylpyrrolidone (PVP) and 20 mL of ethylene glycol (EG) was heated in a
flask at 170 °C. Once the temperature was stabilized, 0.050 g of silver chloride
energy density, and power density are all characterized by galvanostatic devices, cells were assembled by inserting the same separator soaked with the in the aqueous cells, small pieces of platinum were attached to the end of CNT. As in the aqueous cells, small pieces of platinum were attached to the end of CNT conductive papers to form a conductive membrane-based transistor. The current collector came out through the sealed edges of polybags and then were connected to the battery analyzer. All steps in the cell preparation were done in an argon-filled glove box (oxygen and water contents below 1 and 0.1 ppm, respectively). Typical mass loadings for data shown in the main text Fig 3 C and D are 72 – 270 μg/cm². Larger loadings up to 1 mg/cm² were also tested (Fig 3 D and E, and Fig S6C), and the capacitances are plotted in Fig S6. Capacitance, energy density, and power density are all characterized by galvanostatic measurements. A total of 0.02 – 20 mAh/cm² were applied to cells while potentials between both electrodes swept between cutoff values (0 ≤ V ≤ 0.85 – 1 V in aqueous phase, 0 ≤ V < 2.3 – 3 V in organic phase). Voltages were recorded every 0.01 – 0.2 seconds. For the cycling test in both phases of electrolyte, –5 A/g was applied. The cutoff potentials for the sulfuric acid and organic electrolyte were 0.85 and 2.3 V, respectively.

Battery Fabrication and Test. The cathode materials LiMnO₂ and anode (LiTiO₂) were synthesized according to the procedures described in the Supporting Information. 14. Eder F, et al. (2004) Organic electronics on paper.
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