Effect of chemical pressure on the charge density wave transition in rare-earth tritellurides $R$Te$_3$
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The charge density wave transition is investigated in the bilayer family of rare-earth tritelluride $R$Te$_3$ compounds ($R=$Sm, Gd, Tb, Dy, Ho, Er, and Tm) via high-resolution x-ray diffraction and electrical resistivity. The transition temperature increases monotonically with increasing lattice parameter from 244(3) K for TmTe$_3$ to 416(3) K for SmTe$_3$. The heaviest members of the series, $R=$Dy, Ho, Er, and Tm, are observed to have a second transition at a lower temperature, which marks the onset of an additional charge density wave with wave vector almost equal in magnitude to the first, but oriented in the perpendicular direction.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Charge density waves (CDWs) are electronic instabilities found in low-dimensional materials with highly anisotropic electronic structures.¹ Since the CDW is predominantly driven by Fermi-surface (FS) nesting, it is especially sensitive to pressure-induced changes in the electronic structure. A well known example is NbSe$_2$, for which the CDW can be completely suppressed by an applied pressure of 35 kbar, favoring the competing superconducting phase.² Chemical pressure (the incorporation of larger or smaller ions to expand or contract the crystal lattice) can be used to mimic the effect of external pressure, providing a valuable tuning parameter for such materials. In this regard, rare-earth containing compounds are particularly valuable because the lattice parameter for such materials is sensitive to external pressure, providing a valuable tuning parameter for almost the entire rare-earth series, with $R$=La–Nd, Sm, and Gd–Tm,³–⁵ and provide a unique opportunity to follow the effect of chemical pressure on FS nesting and CDW formation.

The rare-earth tritellurides have a crystal structure that is layered and weakly orthorhombic (space group Cmcm),⁴ consisting of double layers of nominally square-planar Te sheets, separated by corrugated $R$Te slabs. In this space group, the long $b$ axis is perpendicular to the Te planes. For most of the rare earths, the material has an incommensurate lattice modulation at room temperature, with a single in-plane wave vector of approximately $(2/7) c^*$ ($c^* = 2\pi/c$).⁵–⁹ For the heaviest rare earths, the same modulation has been observed slightly below room temperature.¹⁰ The band structure has been calculated for the unmodulated structure, yielding a simple FS consisting of slightly warped inner and outer diamond sheets formed from $p_z$ and $p_x$ orbitals of Te atoms in the square-planar layers, both doubled due to the effects of bilayer splitting, with minimal $b$-axis dispersion.¹¹ The susceptibility $\chi(q)$ has a peak at a wave vector which is identical, to within experimental error, with the observed incommensurate lattice modulation.¹²–¹⁴ Angle resolved photoemission spectroscopy (ARPES) has revealed that portions of the FS which are nested by this wave vector are indeed gapped, implying that the gain in one-electron energy contributes to the CDW formation.¹⁵–¹⁸ There is a small but finite electronic contribution to the heat capacity, and the resistivity is observed to be metallic down to the lowest temperatures, confirming the presence of a small fraction of ungapped, reconstructed FS in the CDW state.⁷,¹⁹,²⁰ARPES measurements have shown that the maximum value of the CDW gap scales with the lattice parameter, with published values of $\sim$280 meV for SmTe$_3$ (Ref. 15) and $\sim$400 meV for CeTe$_3$.¹⁶ This trend has been confirmed by optical conductivity measurements, which also reveal that the remaining fraction of ungapped FS in the CDW state is larger for compounds with smaller lattice parameters.²¹,²² However, to date the most prominent feature of this class of material, the CDW transition, has not been reported for this family of compounds. In this paper, we identify the CDW transition via high-resolution x-ray diffraction and resistivity measurements, and show that the transition temperature $T_c$ varies by a remarkable amount, over 200 K, across the rare-earth series. In addition, we observe a second transition for the heaviest members of the series—$R=$Dy, Ho, Er, and Tm—and we show for ErTe$_3$ that this marks the onset of a second CDW with a wave vector $q_z \sim (1/3)a^*$ of similar magnitude and perpendicular to the first. The presence of this second transition is intimately linked to the effect of chemical pressure on the electronic structure.

II. EXPERIMENTAL METHODS

Single crystals of $R$Te$_3$ were grown by slow cooling a binary melt as described previously.²⁰ The electrical resistivity was measured up to 450 K for geometric bars cut and cleaved from the larger as-grown crystals, using an LR-700 ac resistance bridge operating at 16 Hz. Typical contact resistances of 1–3 $\Omega$ were achieved using sputtered gold pads. In-plane measurements were made for arbitrary current directions in the ac plane, using a standard four point contact geometry. The $b$-axis resistivity was measured using a modified Montgomery geometry, with one current and one voltage contact on the top face of the platelike crystal, and the other
voltage and current contacts on the bottom face. The aspect ratio of the equivalent isotropic crystal was typically 1:1 or slightly less, indicating that this technique may slightly underestimate the absolute value of the $b$-axis resistivity. Several measurements were made for each compound in the series.

High-resolution single crystal x-ray diffraction experiments were performed at the Stanford Synchrotron Radiation Laboratory (SSRL) on Beamlines 7-2 and 11-3. Data were collected in reflection geometry using x-ray energies of 9–13 keV. Either 1 or 2 mrad slits or a Ge(111) crystal analyzer was used to define the diffracted beam. The sample was mounted in an Anton-Paar furnace for heating above room temperature, and kept in a flow of helium gas to prevent oxidation. For measurements below room temperature, an ARS displex refrigerator was used, with the sample under vacuum. Uncertainties in absolute values of both the CDW wave vector and superlattice peak intensities were larger for experiments performed in the displex refrigerator. Experiments were performed on TbTe$_3$ and ErTe$_3$ as representative examples of compounds in the series with one and two transitions, respectively.

III. RESULTS

A. Resistivity

Representative resistivity data for GdTe$_3$ and TbTe$_3$ are shown in Figs. 1(a) and 1(b), respectively. Absolute values of the resistivity have been normalized to the average of several measurements of different samples. As has been previously observed for this family of compounds, the resistivity is strongly anisotropic. For both compounds, a clear feature can be seen for both current orientations, with an onset at $T_c = 377(3)$ and $336(3)$ K for GdTe$_3$ and TbTe$_3$, respectively. There is no hysteresis between warming and cooling cycles. As described in the next section, x-ray diffraction measurements show that this feature marks the CDW transition for TbTe$_3$. Excepting the loss of spin-disorder scattering at $T_{SD} = 5.6$ K for TbTe$_3$, no other features were observed in either the resistivity or its derivative, suggesting that there are no additional CDW phase transitions below $T_c$ for either compound. The resistivity curves for SmTe$_3$ are similar, with $T_c = 416(3)$ K. Data for the lightest members of the series, LaTe$_3$, CeTe$_3$, NdTe$_3$, and PrTe$_3$, are linear up to our maximum temperature, and these compounds are presumed to have CDW transition temperatures greater than 450 K.

The resistivity data for the heavier members of the series ($R=\text{Dy, Ho, Er, and Tm}$) are shown in Figs. 2(a)–2(d). These are qualitatively different from those of the lighter rare earths, showing two transitions at $T_{c1}$ and $T_{c2}$. Again, data are shown for both heating and cooling cycles, as well as for in-plane and $b$-axis orientations. Looking at the $b$-axis data—for which the current direction is better defined—the feature at $T_{c2}$ is largest for the heaviest member of the series, TmTe$_3$. This feature then decreases in both magnitude and temperature for the lighter rare earths, vanishing for compounds lighter than DyTe$_3$. The derivative $dp/dT$ for DyTe$_3$ is shown in the inset of Fig. 2(a) to highlight the feature at $T_{c2}$. In the next section, we identify for ErTe$_3$ that $T_{c2}$ marks the onset of a CDW with wave vector perpendicular to the first.

Figure 3 shows both CDW transition temperatures plotted as a function of the in-plane $a$-axis lattice parameter, which provides a measure of the chemical pressure. The first transition temperature $T_{c1}$ ranges from a low of $244(3)$ K for TmTe$_3$, the compound with the smallest lattice parameter in the series, and increases monotonically with increasing lattice parameter (decreasing chemical pressure). In contrast, the second transition temperature $T_{c2}$ shows the opposite trend, decreasing as the lattice parameter increases, eventually vanishing halfway across the series.

B. X-ray diffraction of TbTe$_3$

X-ray diffraction data for TbTe$_3$ at room temperature reveal an incommensurate modulation wave vector $q=0.296(4)c^*$ and its harmonic $2q$. Here, for clarity, we reference the superlattice peak inside the first Brillouin zone, resulting in a wave vector $q = (2/7)c^*$, although ARPES and scanning tunneling microscopy (STM) results suggest that the equivalent $q' = c^* - q = 0.704(4)c^* - (5/7)c^*$ has more physical meaning. Figure 4 shows representative diffraction patterns along the direction of the CDW wave vector for TbTe$_3$, as measured at SSRL Beamline 11-3 using 2 mrad slits. Intensities of Bragg peaks from the average
crystal structure are indicated by open circles and are scaled down by a factor of 1000. First and second harmonic superlattice peaks are marked by blue and red arrows. Higher harmonics were not observed. The wave vector $q$ and the equivalent $q'/H_{11032}$ are indicated on the lower panel. Both the average structure Bragg peaks and the CDW superlattice peaks alternate in intensity, which can be attributed to the presence of two inequivalent Te atoms in the Te planes.4

FIG. 2. (Color online) Temperature dependence of the resistivity of (a) DyTe$_3$ (inset: $dp/dT$), (b) HoTe$_3$, (c) ErTe$_3$, and (d) TmTe$_3$. Data are shown for current oriented along the $b$ axis ($\rho_b$, left axis) and arbitrary in-plane orientations ($\rho_{ac}$, right axis). Both warming (solid lines) and cooling (dashed lines) cycles are shown. Both CDW transitions are marked for each compound.

FIG. 3. $T_{c1}$ and $T_{c2}$, as obtained from resistivity measurements, plotted as a function of in-plane lattice parameter $a$ at 300 K (Ref. 26) for several compounds in the $RTe_3$ series (labeled). Note that the lattice parameter is smaller for the heavier members of the $RTe_3$ series. Dashed lines are drawn between points to guide the eye.

FIG. 4. (Color online) Representative x-ray diffraction patterns for TbTe$_3$ along (a) (1 1 L) and (b) (2 4 L) at room temperature. Intensities of Bragg peaks from the average structure are indicated by open circles and are scaled down by a factor of 1000. First and second harmonics of the CDW modulation are marked by blue and red arrows, respectively. The wave vector $q$ and the alternate wave vector $q'/H_{11032}$ are marked.
The superstructure peaks in TbTe$_3$ are very sharp and, along with the average lattice peaks, were resolution limited in our experiments. The inset to Fig. 5(a) shows a typical superlattice peak as measured in high-resolution mode, using a Ge(111) crystal analyzer at SSRL Beamline 7-2. From this, we can draw lower bounds on the CDW correlation length $\xi$, calculated to be 1.8 $\mu$m within the Te planes and 0.5 $\mu$m perpendicular to the planes.

Heating measurements were taken with the sample mounted in an Anton-Paar furnace at SSRL Beamline 7-2 using a Ge crystal analyzer. The integrated intensity of the (1 1 $q$) peak in TbTe$_3$ was followed as a function of temperature. Figure 5(a) shows the integrated intensity through the CDW transition for increasing and decreasing temperatures. The intensity rapidly decreases on heating from room temperature with no observable hysteresis, indicative of a second-order CDW transition as described theoretically.\textsuperscript{12} Some scattering intensity, attributed to fluctuations, was observed above $T_c$ up to 363 K, beyond which the peaks were too weak to distinguish from the background. Error bars shown are obtained from Lorentz fits to the individual scans. The full width at half maximum (FWHM, Fig. 5(b)) is resolution limited at lower temperatures and increases sharply at the CDW transition. The FWHM, being inversely proportional to the correlation length $\xi$, is fitted in the interval from 334 to 353 K to the expression $\xi^{-1}=(T−T_c)\gamma$, where $\gamma$ is taken as 2/3 to correspond to the X-Y model universality class (complex order parameter and three dimensions). From this, we obtain a transition temperature $T_c=332.8(5)$ K, which is drawn as a dotted vertical line through all four panels of Fig. 5. Within error, this value of $T_c$ corresponds to that obtained from resistivity measurements [336(3) K].

The CDW transition in TbTe$_3$ is also reflected in the temperature dependence of the in-plane lattice parameters $a$ and $c$ [Fig. 5(c)]. Above $T_c$, $a$ and $c$ have a similar dependence, increasing linearly with temperature, and with a small relative difference of only 0.031% at 370 K. Formation of the CDW appears to "stretch" the lattice from its expected value along the direction of the modulation wave vector ($c$ axis), while slightly compressing the lattice in the perpendicular direction, such that the relative difference between $a$ and $c$ at 300 K, 0.13%, is larger than above the CDW transition.

The absolute value of the CDW wave vector for TbTe$_3$ is plotted in Fig. 5(d). The absolute value of $q$ does not vary significantly with temperature between room temperature and $T_c$. For temperatures above $T_c$, the absolute value of $q$ decreases with increasing temperature.

Measurements for TbTe$_3$ were extended from room temperature down to 25 K by using an ARS dispex refrigerator at SSRL Beamline 7-2 using 1 mrad slits. Figure 6(a) shows the temperature dependence of the square root of the integrated intensity, which is proportional to the order parameter,$^1$ together with the standard BCS curve. Data have been normalized by taking the average of the first four data points, from 30 to 100 K, and setting this value to unity. The close correspondence between the data and the BCS result confirms that the system can be treated within the weak-coupling limit. Error bars shown are $\pm 20\%$ of the integrated intensity, and represent the scatter associated with difficulties.
FIG. 6. (a) Temperature dependence of the square root of the integrated intensity of the (1 1 0) superlattice peak of TbTe$_3$, which is proportional to the order parameter. Data are normalized to unity at $T=0$. (b) The absolute value of $q$ over the same temperature range. $T_c$ is indicated by a dashed vertical line in both panels.

C. X-ray diffraction of ErTe$_3$

To explore the case with two transitions, single crystal x-ray diffraction experiments were performed for ErTe$_3$ with the sample mounted in an ARS dispex refrigerator at SSRL Beamline 7-2. An incommensurate modulation similar to TbTe$_3$, with $q_1 = (2/7)c^*$ was found in the temperature region $T_c < T < T_{c1}$, as also noted by Malliakas and Kanatzidis.\textsuperscript{10} For temperatures $T < T_{c2}$, an additional ordering along $a^*$ was observed, perpendicular to the first wave vector. As investigated at 10 K using a Ge(111) crystal analyzer, the wave vector of this additional ordering was $q_2 = 0.343(5)a^*$, whereas that of the original ordering was $q_1 = 0.300(5)c^*$. Again, these values are cited in the first Brillouin zone even though the equivalent values $q_1' = c^* - q_1 = 0.700(5)c^*$ and $q_2 = a^* - 0.657(5)a^*$ may have more physical meaning for this system.\textsuperscript{9,16} The absolute value of $q_2$ is close but not identical to that of $q_1$.

A section of reciprocal space explored at 10 K is shown in Fig. 7(a). Here, $K = 3$ (remember that $b$ is the long axis), $L \in [3.5, 5.5]$, and $H \in [0.5, 3.5]$. Filled symbols are those that were investigated, and open symbols are those assumed to be present from symmetry. Bragg peaks for the average structure are marked by large (black) circles. Note that for $K > 0$, these are exact for $H + K = \text{odd}$. The superlattice peaks $q_1 = (2/7)c^*$ are shown as (red) upward-pointing triangles, with second harmonics in smaller (pink) upward-pointing triangles. The superlattice peaks $q_2 = (1/3)a^*$ are shown as (blue) triangles pointing to the right, with smaller (cyan) triangles denoting the second harmonics. Superlattice peaks at the linear combination $q_1 + q_2$, denoted as (purple) stars, were also observed, providing further evidence that these two wave vectors are real and present in the same crystal lattice.

Raw data taken at 155 K using a Ge(111) crystal analyzer are shown in Figs. 7(b) and 7(c) for superlattice peaks $q_1$ and $q_2$, respectively. At this temperature, $q_1 = 0.298(5)c^*$ and $q_2 = 0.344(5)a^*$. The FWHM for these peaks is larger for ErTe$_3$ due to a larger crystal mosaic, yet it remains clear that the magnitudes of $q_1$ and $q_2$ are very close but not identical.

Temperature dependent measurements were performed on selected superlattice peaks in ErTe$_3$. The integrated intensities of a $q_1$ peak ($-1 3 2 + q_1$) and a second harmonic of $q_1$ ($-1 3 3 - 2q_1$) were measured using 1 mrad slits. Integrated intensities were normalized to the main Bragg peak ($-1 3 2$). In Fig. 7(d), as for TbTe$_3$, the square root of the integrated intensity of the first harmonic is plotted with the average of the first four data points (from 25 to 100 K) normalized to 1. This agrees well with the BCS order parameter, which is plotted using a transition temperature of $T_c = 267(3)$ K as obtained from resistivity, and is shown as a dashed curve. The second harmonic superlattice peak can be considered as either a second-order diffraction harmonic of the first-order superlattice peak, or as the $2q_1$ component of a nonsinusoidal modulation.\textsuperscript{25} In the first case, the integrated intensity of the second harmonic would be proportional to the order parameter to the fourth power.\textsuperscript{28} In Fig. 7(d), the fourth root of the integrated intensity of ($-1 3 3 - 2q_1$), with the average of the first four data points normalized to 1, is shown to agree with the BCS curve. This is consistent with the case of the purely sinusoidal modulation, although the presence of nonsinusoidal components cannot be ruled out. Just above $T_{c2}$, there is a small dip in intensities of both peaks. Although this could be a sign of the second CDW interacting with the first, errors are such that this dip should not be overly interpreted. Error bars shown are ±10% of the integrated intensity for both peaks.

Using a Ge(111) crystal analyzer, the temperature dependence of the $q_2$ peak ($1 - q_2 6 4$) was followed from 10 to 180 K. Shown in Fig. 7(e) is the integrated intensity from 140 to 180 K. The vertical dashed line is $T_{c2} = 159(5)$ K as obtained from resistivity measurements. The integrated intensity decreases rapidly with increasing temperature across $T_{c2}$, similar to the behavior of $q_1$ through $T_{c1}$.
Error bars shown are ±20%. As in the case for TbTe₃ [Fig. 5(a)], scattering intensity is observed for several tens of kelvin above $T_{c2}$, indicative of the presence of substantial fluctuations.

**IV. DISCUSSION**

Here, we address the magnitude of $T_{c1}$ for these materials, the origin of the second transition for the heaviest members of the rare-earth series, and the variation in $T_{c1}$ and $T_{c2}$ with chemical pressure.

First, we comment on the absolute value of $T_{c1}$. Taking TbTe₃ as an example, if the maximum value of the CDW gap as measured by ARPES [$\Delta \sim 240$ meV (Ref. 29)] is used to estimate a mean-field transition temperature via the familiar BCS expression, one obtains $T_{MF}(\text{max}) \sim 1600$ K, over four times greater than the observed $T_c$ of 336 K. However, since the FS of RTe₃ is not perfectly nested, the mean-field transition temperature will be substantially reduced from this value.30–32 Furthermore, the observation of superlattice peaks with rapidly increasing FWHM and decreasing correlation length well above $T_{c1}$ shows the presence of substantial fluctuations. These further reduce the critical temperature from the mean-field value, as has been previously observed for similar low-dimensional materials.1

To gain insight into the variation in the observed CDW transition temperatures, band structure calculations were performed for the unmodulated crystal structure for several members of the rare-earth series, using the linear muffin-tin orbital (LMTO) method within the atomic sphere approximation, including combined-correction terms.33 The 4$f$ electrons of the rare-earth elements were treated as open-core states. As input parameters for these calculations, we have used lattice parameters measured at 300 K taken from Ref. 10. For compounds for which 300 K is above the CDW transition, we have used the refined atomic positions in the space group10 at 300 K. For compounds for which 300 K is below $T_c$, we have used the unmodulated $Cmcm$ space group, but have taken the internal parameter (which defines the $y$ position of the Te planes in the unit cell) from atomic refinements to the four-dimensional $C2cm(00y)000$ space group30 at 300 K.

Typical results illustrating the FS seen in projection down the $b^*$ axis are shown in Fig. 8 for two specific compounds close to the ends of the rare-earth series, CeTe₃ and ErTe₃. The calculated Fermi surfaces for these two isoelectronic compounds are, as anticipated, broadly similar, with only subtle differences in topology at the very corners of the diamondlike sheets of FS. Both the bilayer splitting and the dispersion along the $b^*$ axis (i.e., the thickness of the lines in Fig. 8) evolve weakly from CeTe₃ to ErTe₃, by $\sim +4\%$ in the first case, as measured by the separation of the two inner diamond sheets at $k_z = 0.16\alpha^*$, and by $\sim +12\%$ in the latter, also measured at the inner diamond sheets at $k_z = 0.16\alpha^*$. The overall bandwidth increases from CeTe₃ to ErTe₃, and the density of states at $E_F$, $n(E_F)$, decreases almost linearly from 21.1 for CeTe₃ to 19.9 states/Ry per cell for ErTe₃, a variation of approximately 5% (Fig. 9).

Quantitative calculations of the critical temperature are difficult for an imperfectly nested system, but it is clear that...
all three effects described above will contribute to the decrease in $T_{c1}$ with decreasing lattice parameter. The subtle variation in the $b^*$-axis dispersion and the bilayer splitting result in poorer nesting conditions, while the reduction in $n(E_F)$ directly affects the mean-field transition temperature. In the absence of more detailed calculations, we cannot determine the exact extent to which each of these effects contributes to the variation in $T_{c1}$ across the rare-earth series. However, given that the mean-field transition temperature varies exponentially with $n(E_F)$, it is likely that the variation in $n(E_F)$ plays the dominant role.

The second CDW transition, observed only for the heaviest members of the rare-earth series, is particularly intriguing. Specifically, in contrast to most usual CDW transitions, the effect of increasing (chemical) pressure is actually to increase $T_{c2}$. It appears that this unusual behavior is directly linked to the variation in $T_{c1}$. In particular, the extent to which the FS is gapped below $T_{c1}$ depends on the maximum size of the CDW gap. A reduction in the maximum gap causes a reduction in the total area of the FS that is gapped precisely because the FS is imperfectly nested. This is borne out by recent ARPES experiments, which reveal that for the compounds with smaller lattice parameters, the CDW gap extends a smaller distance around the FS from the tips of the diamond sheets at $k_x=0.18$. Hence, on traversing the rare-earth series from LaTe$_3$ toward TmTe$_3$, progressively more of the FS remains intact below $T_{c1}$, and, as a consequence, is available for a subsequent CDW transition at a lower temperature. Having already lost the “tips” of the diamond sections of the FS that point in the $c$-axis direction at the first transition, the second transition must involve sections of the FS closer to the tips of the diamonds pointing in the transverse $a$-axis direction. Indeed, these are exactly the regions of the FS that contribute to the competing peak in $\chi(q)$ found at $(2/7)a^*$ in band structure calculations for the unmodulated structure. Preliminary ARPES results for ErTe$_3$ confirm this picture, revealing additional gaps forming on sections of the FS close to the tips of the diamond sections of the FS pointing in the $a^*$ direction. The corresponding jump in the resistivity at $T_{c2}$, related to the amount of FS gapped at the transition, is largest for the compound with the largest value of $T_{c2}$ (smallest value of $T_{c1}$) and smallest area of initial FS gapped at $T_{c1}$. The resulting “rectangular” CDW state is characterized by orthogonal, independent wave vectors, almost equal in magnitude, but with an order parameter that is developed to a different degree in the two directions. Yao et al. have recently addressed the question of the origin of the unidirectional CDW for the lightest members of the $R$Te$_3$ series. Using a simple tight-binding model for a square lattice, and considering only noninteracting Te $p_x$ and $p_y$ bands, they found that for sufficiently high values of the electron-phonon coupling (and, consequently, $T_{c1}$), a unidirectional (“stripe”) CDW at 45° to the Te–Te bonds is favored over a bidirectional (“checkerboard”) state in which $p_x$ and $p_y$ sheets of the FS are individually gapped by orthogonal wave vectors which are oriented at a different angle to the stripe phase. In this model, the stripe phase gaps a sufficient amount of the FS that only one of the two equivalent directions ($a$ and $c$ in the real material) is chosen for the lattice
modulation. The weak orthorhombicity of RTe$_3$ provides a natural symmetry breaking between the $a$ and $c$ axes such that for the lightest members of the series the unidirectional natural symmetry breaking between the temperature structure of covalent bond length, implying that the unmodulated high-$T_c$ correspondence between the maxima in pressure, varying by over 200 K across the series, principally across the rare-earth series, though it is not necessarily immediately obvious that this should be the case. The Te–Te bond length in RTe$_3$ is substantially longer than the standard covalent bond length, implying that the unmodulated high-temperature structure of RTe$_3$ is inherently unstable, regardless of the low-dimensional electronic structure. The close correspondence between the maxima in $\chi(q)$ and the observed superlattice wave vectors clearly demonstrates that the electronic system plays a preeminent role in the lattice modulation in this material. However, it is not clear whether it is the only driving force for the instability. To determine the relative role played by the lattice will take a substantial computational effort. However, this material appears to be a model system to quantitatively calculate the role played by an incipient lattice instability in CDW formation, something which to date has been lacking for most other CDW systems.

V. CONCLUSIONS

The RTe$_3$ family of compounds has a remarkable phase diagram. All members of the series exhibit a CDW transition to a state characterized by a simple unidirectional incommensurate lattice modulation with wave vector $q_1 = (2/7)c^*$. The transition temperature $T_{c1}$ is very sensitive to chemical pressure, varying by over 200 K across the series, principally ascribed to the variation in the density of states at the Fermi level $n(E_F)$. The heaviest members of the series exhibit an additional CDW transition at a lower temperature $T_{c2}$, with an almost equivalent wave vector oriented perpendicular to the first, along the $a^*$ axis. The resulting rectangular CDW state consists of perpendicular modulation wave vectors almost equal in magnitude, but with independent order parameters, each developed to a different degree at any given temperature. These observations can be understood in terms of the second CDW nesting regions of the original FS that are ungapped by the first CDW. Specifically, on traversing the rare-earth series, as $T_{c1}$ decreases, increasingly more of the remaining FS becomes available to drive a second CDW with a modulation wave vector transverse to the first.

We conclude by pointing out that by preparing samples with a continuous solid solution between adjacent rare earths in the lanthanide series, it would be possible to continuously tune the lattice parameter from that of LaTe$_3$ to that of TmTe$_3$ while retaining the same band filling. This exquisite degree of control of a physical parameter directly affecting the transition temperature, in conjunction with the remarkable simplicity of the stripe and rectangular CDW states, marks the rare-earth tritellurides as a model system for studying the effects of imperfect FS nesting on CDW formation.
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