

Region Group Presentation – Peer Evaluation

Region name: _____

Content: Rate on a scale of 1 – 4 (1 = needs improvement, 2 = fair, 3 = very good, 4 = excellent).

	1	2	3	4	Comments
<u>Natural Features of the Region</u> <i>Did this group discuss the geographic extent of their region? Geology? Ecology?</i>					
<u>Human Features of the Region</u> <i>Did this group discuss the major features of the economy? Demographic factors? Agriculture?</i>					
<u>Key Problems: Discuss scientific literature</u> <i>Did this group touch on how the five key problems affect their region? Did they use primary scientific literature to support claims?</i>					
<u>Intrinsic Vulnerabilities</u> <i>Did this group make explicit links between scientific studies and the intrinsic (natural, human) vulnerabilities of their region?</i>					
<u>Key Problems: Articles</u> <i>Is this group making sufficient article progress? Did they discuss select articles to show how the key problems are currently manifesting?</i>					
<u>Human & Scientific Dimensions</u> <i>Did the group make connections between the scientific literature and their articles?</i>					
<u>Strategic Thinking: Stakeholders & Policy</u> <i>Did this group identify major stakeholders and communities? Did they identify some relevant agencies / politicians that could help address these issues?</i>					
<u>Communication Skills</u> <i>Was this group's presentation well organized? Were their slides easy to follow and aesthetically pleasing? Did they speak clearly?</i>					

What appears to be going well with the group's data collection?

Where does the group seem to be having less success? Can you offer any suggestions on ways to improve?