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Adaptable hydrogels have recently emerged as a promising platform for three-dimensional (3D) cell encapsulation and culture. In conventional, covalently crosslinked hydrogels, degradation is typically required to allow complex cellular functions to occur, leading to bulk material degradation. In contrast, adaptable hydrogels are formed by reversible crosslinks. Through breaking and re-formation of the reversible linkages, adaptable hydrogels can be locally modified to permit complex cellular functions while maintaining their long-term integrity. In addition, these adaptable materials can have biomimetic viscoelastic properties that make them well suited for several biotechnology and medical applications. In this review, an overview of adaptable-hydrogel design considerations and linkage selections is presented, with a focus on various cell-compatible crosslinking mechanisms that can be exploited to form adaptable hydrogels for tissue engineering.

1. Motivation

Cells in vivo are embedded within a complex, bioactive microenvironment composed of extracellular matrix (ECM) biopolymers, soluble factors, and neighboring cells. In living organisms, cells are constantly interacting with and remodeling their surrounding ECM to enable various cell behaviors, including spreading, migration, proliferation, and differentiation. Building three-dimensional (3D) biomaterials that can recapitulate aspects of the native ECM is of great importance both to better investigate cell and tissue physiology in healthy and diseased states and to facilitate the functional restoration of dysfunctional tissues for regenerative medicine. Hydrogels are attractive candidates for building 3D ECM mimics because of their high water content, compliant elasticity, and the facile diffusion of bio-macromolecules. Various crosslinking mechanisms, including both chemical and physical crosslinking, have been exploited to form cell-compatible hydrogels. The initial mesh sizes of the hydrogels for cell encapsulation are typically engineered to be at the nanometer scale, which has been shown to restrict the spreading, proliferation, and migration of encapsulated cells. In most chemically crosslinked hydrogels, the junction points are stable, permanent, covalent bonds. As a result, a hydrogel degradation mechanism, such as hydrolytic degradation or cell-mediated enzymatic degradation, is required to permit cell spreading and migration and potentially to enable other cellular functions such as differentiation.

However, several drawbacks exist in conventional, permanently crosslinked, degradable hydrogel systems when they are used for cell culture. First, the bulk material degradation will lead to hydrogel disappearance over time. This is not desirable for many tissue engineering applications, especially when long-term cell culture is required. Second, the mechanical properties deteriorate over time in these systems, and the evolving mechanical properties make it difficult to decouple chemical cues from mechanical cues and draw effective conclusions about how the local biochemical environment affects cell behavior. Third, there is a spatial heterogeneity in mechanical properties that local material properties cannot be represented by bulk properties, posing challenges on local mechanics measurements and the study of how cells respond to local biophysical cues.

To overcome these limitations, new approaches are needed to build biomaterials that enable normal cellular functions without requiring irreversible hydrogel degradation. In other words, both long-term bulk stability and local adaptability need to be satisfied. One emerging strategy to achieve this goal is to create hydrogels with reversible linkages, which we refer to as “adaptable” linkages in this review. Adaptable hydrogels are polymer networks with adaptable linkages that can be broken and re-formed in a reversible manner without external triggers. While many dynamic hydrogels and smart hydrogels have been reported previously, these materials typically rely on high temperatures, low pH, ionic strength, or UV light to trigger changes in crosslinking. When used for cell culture, to minimize adverse effects on the cultured cells, it is preferable that formation and breaking of adaptable linkages can occur under physiological conditions and without external stimuli.

The mechanisms to form adaptable linkages include physical associations and dynamic covalent chemistry. Physically crosslinked hydrogels, sometimes called “reversible” gels, are networks held together by molecular entanglements and/or secondary forces, such as ionic, hydrogen-bonding, or hydrophobic interactions. Only those showing the reversible nature of physical interactions at physiological conditions are regarded as adaptable hydrogels in our criteria. Dynamic...
covalent chemistry is the study of covalent bonds that are able to be formed, broken, and re-formed reversibly under equilibrium control. While dynamic covalent chemistry has been utilized to design many interesting self-healing materials, only more recently have researchers begun to apply it to build materials under physiological conditions to keep cells viable for cell culture. Compared to physical associations, dynamic covalent reactions usually have slower kinetics of bond cleavage and formation, and the majority of them may require the assistance of catalysts to achieve rapid equilibrium. Through careful selection, dynamic covalent chemistry has been successfully applied to form adaptable hydrogels for cell encapsulation and culture.

These new adaptable hydrogels, built through physical associations or dynamic covalent bonds, are promising materials for several applications in the field of biomedicine and tissue engineering. The dynamic nature of the linkages enables adaptable hydrogels to have shear-thinning (viscous flow under shear stress) and self-healing (time dependent recovery upon relaxation) characteristics. Therefore, adaptable hydrogels can be used as injectable materials to protect sensitive biological cargo and to deliver them to target sites in a minimally invasive way. Furthermore, hydrogels with shear-thinning properties have been used as bio-ink for 3D bioprinting to preserve high cell viability during the printing process. Adaptable hydrogels have also been shown to display viscoelastic behavior similar to natural tissue, making them suitable to function as an in vitro 3D cell-culture model for better understanding of how matrix biophysical signaling affects cell behavior. Currently hydrogel elasticity is being intensely investigated in biophysical and biomaterials science, while studies on viscous and dissipative contributions are under-represented and their impacts are largely unknown. Several recent studies have demonstrated that the viscous component of a substrate can affect cell behavior, including cell morphology, proliferation, and differentiation potential. The unique features of adaptable hydrogels may shed some light in this emerging field of study.

In this review, we aim to summarize recent advances in the design of adaptable hydrogels for cell-encapsulation, cell-culture, and cell-transplantation applications. First, we discuss the critical design criteria for adaptable hydrogels used in tissue-engineering applications. Following this, we highlight case studies of recently reported adaptable hydrogels, with a focus on the physical and chemical crosslinking mechanisms that can be used. The review closes with a discussion of the challenges involved in using adaptable linkages to build hydrogels and potential future applications of adaptable hydrogels.

2. Design Criteria for Adaptable Hydrogels

In this section, we will provide a perspective on the important design criteria for building adaptable hydrogels that mimic the natural cell microenvironment and permit multiple cell functions to occur through dynamic matrix remodeling. To function effectively as a 3D cell-culture scaffold, adaptable hydrogels first need to satisfy the conventional basic requirements for ECM-mimetic materials including the cytocompatibility of the gel precursors and the gel crosslinking reaction, proper hydrogel formation rate for cell encapsulation without sedimentation, and appropriate mass transport to support exchange of nutrients and waste products (Figure 2A). Traditional design parameters including polymer molecular weight, polymer concentration, the stoichiometry of reactive groups, and the final crosslink density have been found to be important parameters in tuning hydrogel properties for cell culture. Beyond this, further considerations are required for adaptable hydrogels due to the unique properties of the reversible linkages. The thermodynamic equilibrium constant \( K_{eq} \), as well as the kinetic rate constants of the physical interactions or the dynamic covalent chemical reactions, are important parameters to consider (Figure 2B). Although there is a complex interplay among these parameters (Figure 1C), we will separate our discussion of the thermodynamic and kinetic design criteria for clarity.

The delicate balance between network lability and stability must be carefully considered to enable cell spreading and migration, while still providing physical cell support. First, to create an adaptable hydrogel, the reversible linkages should be labile enough in order to enable the cell to spread, migrate,
proliferate, and carry out other complex bioactive functions. If the interaction strength between the moieties is too strong, i.e., if \( K_{eq} \) is too large, then the linkages do not undergo significant breakage, and the hydrogel will function similarly to a non-degradable, permanently crosslinked hydrogel in which cells are entrapped and unable to adjust their morphology, spread, or migrate. On the other hand, the number of reversible linkages must be sufficient to permit the formation of a stable hydrogel that can maintain its bulk integrity during the cell-culture period. To form a stable hydrogel network, the probability of forming a crosslink, \( p \), must be above the gel-point threshold to form a percolating polymeric network, \( p_c \). According to the mean-field model of gelation, \( p_c \) decreases as the functionality, \( f \) (i.e., the number of potential crosslinking sites per molecule), increases.\(^{[69,70]}\) In adaptable hydrogels at equilibrium, some fraction of all possible crosslinks are “inactive”, i.e., disassociated, while the remaining crosslinks are “active”, i.e., connected.\(^{[71]}\) The fraction of “active” crosslinks, is determined by the thermodynamic equilibrium constant and the concentration of the binding or reactive moieties in solution.\(^{[71-73]}\) Therefore, very weak interactions between the moieties, i.e., a low \( K_{eq} \), may pose a difficulty in stable hydrogel formation. This is a particularly important concern for physically crosslinked hydrogels, which can have relatively fast erosion rates when exposed to an excess of fluid medium.\(^{[74]}\) This might be compensated for by increasing the moiety concentration either through increasing the functionality per molecule, \( f \), or through increasing the molecule concentration.\(^{[75,76]}\) Alternatively, hydrogels that combine two or more different crosslinking mechanisms within a single formulation can also be designed to achieve adaptable hydrogels with decreased erosion rates.\(^{[61,74,77,78]}\)

Noticeably, the mechanical properties of a hydrogel are closely related to these design parameters, since the hydrogel elastic modulus is proportional to the effective crosslinking density.\(^{[79,80]}\) Therefore, hydrogels with permanent covalent crosslinks will typically be stiffer than those with dynamic covalent crosslinks, while physically crosslinked hydrogels will have the most compliant mechanical properties. It was shown that within the same gel design, stronger binding moieties, indicated by a lower \( K_d \) and higher \( K_{eq} \), can result in higher moduli compared with weaker binding moieties.\(^{[75]}\) In addition, the stoichiometry of the binding or reaction moieties may also affect

---

**Figure 1.** A) Schematic of a permanently crosslinked hydrogel where irreversible degradation occurs. B) Schematic of an adaptable hydrogel built from reversible crosslinks. C) Reversible linkages can be formed either by physical associations or reversible chemical reactions. The dynamics of crosslink breaking and re-forming are related to the kinetic constants of the reactions.
the hydrogel mechanical properties by shifting equilibrium and resulting in different amounts of “active” crosslinks.\textsuperscript{81} For example, in a covalently adaptable bis-aliphatic hydrazone crosslinked poly(ethylene glycol) (PEG) hydrogel, hydrogel formed at 2:1 stoichiometry of hydrazine to aldehyde functional groups resulted in a much lower shear modulus compared to the stoichiometric hydrogel.\textsuperscript{35}

In addition to the requirements of the thermodynamic equilibrium constant, the kinetic rate constants of the adaptable linkages also have important requirements to consider. The adaptable linkages should enable the hydrogel to form and rearrange on an appropriate time scale that is compatible with the cell dynamics. The kinetics of the physical association or dynamic covalent chemical reactions, are characterized by the rate constants $k_{\text{on}}/k_{\text{off}}$ or $k_1/k_{-1}$ respectively. The rate of gelation was found to correspond closely with the forward rate constant ($k_{\text{on}}$, $k_1$), and the rate of gel relaxation was found to correspond closely with reverse rate constant ($k_{\text{off}}$, $k_{-1}$).\textsuperscript{50} Generally speaking, a fast gelation rate is desirable to achieve a homogeneous cell distribution during encapsulation and to prevent cell sedimentation or aggregation.\textsuperscript{82} If the rate constants are too low, network formation, adaption, and recovery may be too slow for effective 3D cell encapsulation and study. Since different processes that control cell fate can occur at varying time scales,\textsuperscript{83} an adaptable hydrogel may require a rearrangement rate that is compatible with the cell dynamics of interest. For example, adaptable linkages have been thought as a “revolving door” through which a cell can migrate.\textsuperscript{84} If the rate constants are too high, then the cell may fail to go through the fast rotating, revolving door as the adaptable linkages keep breaking and re-forming very quickly relative to the rate of cell migration.

It might be possible that cells can alter the thermodynamics ($K_{\text{eq}}$) and kinetics ($k_{\text{on}}/k_{\text{off}}$ or $k_1/k_{-1}$) of the reversible linkages by exerting forces. It has been proposed that the conformational structure of biomolecules and their binding affinities for ligands can be altered by a force.\textsuperscript{85–88} Therefore, it is important to consider how cell-exerted stress affects $K_{\text{eq}}$ and the mechanical properties when designing adaptable hydrogels for cell encapsulation and culture. Similar to $K_{\text{eq}}$, rate constants of the reversible linkage can also be altered by stress. For example, in the catch-bond mechanism found in many receptor-ligand systems, the bond lifetime can be altered by an applied mechanical force.\textsuperscript{89–91} A better understanding of how cell-exerted stress affects the thermodynamics and kinetics of the selected reversible linkages can be very helpful when designing adaptable hydrogels for cell encapsulation and culture. The powerful technique of single-molecule force spectroscopy can be a useful tool to study this complicated scenario.\textsuperscript{92–94}

In summary, in this section, we have discussed the design criteria to develop adaptable hydrogels with reversible linkages to function as an ECM-mimetic 3D cell-culture scaffold, with a focus on the thermodynamic and kinetic considerations of the adaptable linkage.

3. Case Studies of Adaptable Hydrogels with Reversible Linkages

In this section, we highlight recent examples of candidate reversible linkages that can be exploited to form adaptable hydrogels for cell encapsulation. The case studies are categorized under two main groups: i) physical interactions, ii) dynamic covalent chemical reactions (Table 1). For each group, we further divide the discussion based on the interaction/reaction type.

3.1. Host–Guest Interactions

Macroyclic host–guest complexation is one type of non-covalent interaction that has great potential in the building of adaptable hydrogels. Macroyclic host molecules externally interact with solvent and internally facilitate the binding of a guest molecule to form an inclusion complex.\textsuperscript{71} In this
section, we will focus our discussion on two types of hosts, cyclodextrins (CDs) and cucurbit[n]urils (CB[n]s), due to their non-toxicity and biocompatibility. Macroyclic host–guest systems that rely on external stimuli, such as temperature, light, pH, and redox potentials, are not discussed here, and the interested reader is directed to several excellent reviews on this topic.[95–97]

### 3.1.1. Cyclodextrins (CDs)

Cyclodextrins (CDs) are naturally derived, water-soluble, cyclic oligosaccharides consisting of α-glucose units linked by α-1,4-glucosidic linkages and named based on the number of anhydroglucose units, such as α-, β-, and γ-CDs for 6,7, and 8 α-glucose repeating units, respectively (Figure 3A).[71,98,99] The 3D structure of CDs can be represented by a truncated cone in which the secondary and primary hydroxyl groups are located at the solvent-exposed outer surface, creating a hydrophobic inner cavity and favoring the hosting of hydrophobic molecules. Two different strategies have been used to build hydrogels with CDs: a “threading” design and a “pendant” design. In threading systems, CDs slide along linear polymer chains, such as poly(ethylene oxide) (PEO), poly(ε-caprolactone) (PCL), or poly(vinyl alcohol) (PVA).[100–102] The formation of inclusion complexes between CD and the linear polymer is largely dependent on the dimensions of the CD as well as the cross-sectional area of the polymer chain.[53,99,103] In some cases, polymer chains can penetrate the inner cavity of β- and γ-CDs, but their cross-sectional areas are often too large to penetrate that of α-CDs.[104,105] In other cases, two polymer chains can be threaded through a single γ-CD cavity (Figure 3B), which results in hydrogels with strong entanglements.[106,107] In pendant systems, the host CDs and guest moieties are presented as decorating functional groups along the polymer chains (Figure 3C). For example, polymers with pendant adamantane and cholesterol have been reported to form effective hydrogels when mixed with polymer chains modified with CDs.[61,108–112] These materials have shear-thinning properties for ease of injection and can be combined with a secondary covalent crosslinking to further modify the materials for potential clinical applications.[61]

### 3.1.2. Cucurbit[n]urils (CB[n]s)

Cucurbit[n]urils (CB[n], n = 5–8, 10), are another kind of macrocyclic oligomer, where n indicates the number of repeating monomer units of glycoluril.[113] CB[n]s have a hydrophobic cavity and a symmetric “barrel” shape with two identical portal regions laced by ureido-carbonyl oxygens.[114] Recently, the CB[n] family has been screened for toxicity and was found to be generally compatible with cell cultures.[115,116] Several hydrogel systems have been designed with CB[n], mainly utilizing CB[8] and CB[6]. For example, CB[8] was used as a small crosslinker to form a hydrogel upon mixing with polymers that displayed pendant guest moieties (Figure 4A).[114,117,118] The rapid dynamics of CB[8] ternary inclusion complex formation endowed the three-component hydrogel system with shear-thinning and self-healing properties. In another example, the pendant design strategy was used to form a hydrogel upon the mixing of two hyaluronic acid (HA)
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**Table 1.** Physical associations and dynamic covalent reactions that can be utilized in building adaptable hydrogels for cell encapsulation. Selected references are provided.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Physical linkage category</th>
<th>Specific binding mechanism</th>
<th>Section</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Host–guest interaction</td>
<td>Cyclodextrins (CDs)</td>
<td>3.1.1.</td>
<td>[61,108–112]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cucurbit[n]urils (CB[n]s)</td>
<td>3.1.2.</td>
<td>[114,117–121]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biorecognition: domain/protein + ligand</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>WW domain + proline-rich peptide</td>
<td>3.2.1.</td>
<td>[74–76,127–129]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PDZ domain-containing-TIP1 + peptide</td>
<td>3.2.2.</td>
<td>[133–138]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DDD + AD</td>
<td>3.2.3.</td>
<td>[77,156]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TPR domains + peptide</td>
<td>3.2.4.</td>
<td>[163,164]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Other possible biorecognition mechanisms</td>
<td>3.2.5.</td>
<td>[174,189]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydrophobic interaction</td>
<td>Coiled-coil peptides</td>
<td>3.3.1.</td>
<td>[84,202]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Amphiphilic block copolymers</td>
<td>3.3.2.</td>
<td>[78,209–219]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydrogen bonding</td>
<td>Nucleobase pairing</td>
<td>3.4.1.</td>
<td>[229–233]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>UPy</td>
<td>3.4.2.</td>
<td>[236–238]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electrostatic (ionic) interactions</td>
<td>Electrostatic (ionic) Interactions</td>
<td>3.5.</td>
<td>[62,63,252–259]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chemical linkage category</td>
<td>Specific reaction type</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dynamic covalent linkage</td>
<td>Schiff base (imine) bonds</td>
<td>3.6.1.</td>
<td>[261–263,266–268]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reversible hydrazone bonds</td>
<td>3.6.2.</td>
<td>[35,52,273–277]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Oxime bonds</td>
<td>3.6.3.</td>
<td>[280,281]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Disulfide bonds</td>
<td>3.6.4.</td>
<td>[292,293]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reversible Diels–Alder reaction</td>
<td>3.6.5.</td>
<td>[302,303]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
polymers modified with CB[6] and its guest (Figure 4B).[119–121] This system forms a biocompatible hydrogel in situ and can be further modified with CB[6] displaying bioactive drugs and growth factors to control the differentiation of mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs).

3.2. Biorecognition: Domain/Protein + Ligand

Transient protein interactions, which are important in many aspects of cellular function,[122] can be adopted for the design of biorecognition-based adaptable hydrogels. Several important examples of this type of adaptable linkage that have been used to create hydrogels for cell encapsulation and culture are discussed below.

3.2.1. WW Domain + Proline-Rich Peptide

The WW domain is a naturally evolved peptide (30–50 amino acid units long) characterized by an anti-parallel, triple-stranded β-sheet structure with two highly conserved tryptophans.[123] WW domains can heterodimerize with proline-rich peptides with a range of binding affinities (dissociation equilibrium constant $K_d$: 5–700 × 10$^{-6}$ M).[123–126] This protein–peptide binding was utilized to develop a mixing-induced, two-component hydrogel (MITCH) for cell encapsulation and growth-factor delivery (Figure 5A).[74,75,127–129] Due to the transient nature of the molecular-recognition-based crosslinks, these hydrogels are shear-thinning, injectable, and self-healing.[75] Careful selection of the protein–peptide binding affinity, the number of interacting repeat units, and the relative compositional ratio of the interacting units was shown to directly control the rheological properties of the hydrogels.[75,76] For example, the authors found that hydrogels formed with a stronger peptide–binding pair (C7/P9) had a storage shear modulus ($G'$) five times higher than that for a gel formed with a weaker binding pair (N7/P9) (Figure 5B). Successful proliferation and differentiation of encapsulated neural stem cells were observed in these 3D MITCH materials (Figure 5C). Many variant structures of this well-studied protein–peptide pair have been evolved in nature, and additional variants have been derived computationally.[124–132] Therefore, the WW domain and the proline-rich peptide represent a large library of...
potential association domains with varying degrees of binding specificity and strength.

### 3.2.2. PDZ-Domain-Containing TIP1 + Peptide Ligand

Another type of biorecognition hydrogel formed through protein–peptide interactions relies on Tax-interacting protein-1 (TIP1).\(^{[133–138]}\) TIP1 was originally identified as one of the binding partners of the T-cell leukemia viral Tax oncoprotein,\(^{[139]}\) and protein–peptide binding occurs through a PDZ domain.\(^{[140–142]}\) PDZ domains are also known as DHR (Dlg homologous region) or GLGF (glycine-leucine-glycine-phenylalanine) domains, and PDZ is an acronym made of the starting letters of three proteins that are known to share this motif. Mixing of PDZ-domain-recognizable peptides with TIP1 motifs can induce the formation of hydrogel (Figure 6A) with shear-thinning properties.\(^{[138]}\) Hydrogels built based on this recognition mechanism were found to be suitable for 3D culture of MSCs and chondrocytes.\(^{[133,138]}\) Moreover, different PDZ-binding peptide sequences, including NISYRRESAI,\(^{[143]}\) RRESAI,\(^{[136]}\) and CGGGRGWRRESAI\(^{[133]}\) were found to have different binding affinities with TIP1. PDZ-domain-containing proteins are also involved in many different functions, including trafficking, endocytic recycling, and membrane retention of transmembrane proteins,\(^{[144–146]}\) which suggests that there is a large potential library of TIP1-binding peptides for use in adaptable hydrogels. Additionally, multiple computational methods have been developed to predict these domain-peptide interactions.\(^{[147–151]}\) The prediction of the domain–peptide binding affinity will be very helpful for sequence selection during adaptable hydrogel design.

In addition to assembly between the PDZ domain and its peptide ligand, these networks often involve the self-assembly of protein crosslinker to multimerize the PDZ domain (Figure 6A,B). For example, CutA protein, a stable triangular-shaped trimer from Pyrococcus horikoshii,\(^{[152]}\) has been used as a crosslinker.\(^{[137,138,153]}\) Because the CutA self-assembled complex has ultrahigh stability,\(^{[154]}\) it can be used to maintain the stability and inhibit erosion of an adaptable hydrogel system.\(^{[155]}\) Similarly, self-assembly of the ubiquitin-like domain (ULD) (Figure 6B) has been used as an effective crosslink to form TIP1 tetramers.\(^{[133–136]}\)

### 3.2.3. Docking and Dimerization Domain (DDD) + Anchoring Domain (AD)

Another example of a hydrogel that forms due to protein–protein interactions is the self-assembling Dock-and-Lock (DnL) system (Figure 6C).\(^{[77,156]}\) In these materials, biorecognition occurs between the docking and dimerization domain (DDD) of cAMP-dependent protein kinase A (PKA) and the anchoring domain (AD) of A-kinase anchoring proteins (AKAP) to create...
self-assembled hydrogels. The selected DDD sequences form a type-X four-helix bundle which binds to the $\alpha$-helical and amphipathic AD sequence with strong affinity. $^{157,158}$ The rapidly associating and non-covalent interactions between DDD and AD peptides can be disrupted by physical forces, $^{156}$ endowing the hydrogels with shear-thinning and self-healing characteristics. Encapsulated MSCs were homogeneously dispersed within DnL gels in vitro and retained a high cell viability (>90%) after injection studies. $^{156}$ It was found that light-initiated, radical polymerization of methacrylate functional groups could be used in combination with the DDD-AD biorecognition interactions to form hydrogels with moduli up to ca. 10 times larger than for gels based purely on physical crosslinking. $^{77}$ Moreover, the DDD–AKAP interactions have been well studied by structural biologists, providing a strong molecular understanding of DDD–AD interactions and a library of association domains to engineer into adaptable hydrogels and other materials. $^{159–162}$

3.2.4. Tetratricopeptide Repeat (TPR) Domains + Peptide

As another example of biorecognition, tetratricopeptide repeat (TPR) has been exploited to create a family of protein/polymer hydrogels (Figure 6D). $^{163,164}$ TPR proteins are composed of tandem repeats of a basic structural unit that adopts a helix-turn-helix structure. $^{165}$ The properties of individual TPR units can be manipulated through sequence design, and the stability of arrays of TPRs can be predicted based on their constituent units. $^{165,166}$ Additionally, TPR modules can be engineered to achieve highly specific binding with different peptide ligands, $^{167–169}$ which forms the basis for a physically crosslinked network. The resulting adaptable hydrogels are nontoxic and cytocompatible. $^{163}$

3.2.5. Other Possible Biorecognition Mechanisms

In addition to the previously discussed biorecognition examples, there are many other potential physical associations that can be useful in adaptable hydrogel design. For example, calmodulin (CaM), which is important in the regulation of many Ca$^{2+}$ sensitive pathways, can undergo a conformational change to an extended dumb-bell-shaped protein upon binding to four calcium ions. $^{170}$ This conformation allows CaM to bind to several different calmodulin-binding domains (CBDs) in a reversible way. $^{171–174}$ Moreover, the diversity of natural and engineered CBD sequences and CaM variants with different binding affinity or ion sensitivity can be exploited to facilitate the design of an adaptable
network. As another example, the interactions between heparin and its binding partners may also be useful for creating adaptable hydrogels. Heparin is a type of linear polysaccharide with a high negative charge density that leads to essential interactions with many bioactive molecules. Heparin-binding peptides (HBPs) have been shown to be effective in the non-covalent assembly of heparin-involved hydrogels. In addition to HBPs, the heparin-binding domains of growth factors, such as vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) and basic fibroblast growth factor (bFGF) can be used as crosslinking domains to form hydrogels. Furthermore, because biorecognition is ubiquitous in naturally evolved biological systems, a vast array of other potential binding partners could be explored for the design of adaptable hydrogels.

### 3.3. Hydrophobic Interactions

In the previous two sections, molecular recognition was used as a strategy to facilitate physical crosslinking within adaptable hydrogels. As an alternative strategy, hydrophobic interactions that promote self-assembly are also useful in creating hydrogels for cell encapsulation. The main driving force for this assembly is the net entropic increase that results from burying the hydrophobic faces away from the bulk aqueous environment, which releases surface-bound water molecules when two or more hydrophobic surfaces assemble together.

#### 3.3.1. Coiled-Coil peptides

Coiled-coil peptides are a type of structural motif widely found in fibrous proteins and as oligomerization domains in a variety of proteins. Consisting of two or more $\alpha$-helices, coiled-coils are built from heptad amino acid sequence repeats, which form right-handed $\alpha$-helices that assemble to form helical bundles with left-handed supercoils. The primary structure of the coiled-coil motif is typically of the form $(a-b-c-d-e-f-g)_n$, where positions “a” and “d” are usually occupied by hydrophobic amino acids, such as leucine and valine, and positions “e” and “g” are occupied by charged residues. Upon folding into a helical structure, the “a” and “d” positions line up to form a hydrophobic face. Two or more of these aliphatic helices then associate with each other through their hydrophobic interfaces to form a super-helical structure.
Coiled-coil segments, such as leucine zipper domains, were one of the first recombinant peptide domains utilized in hydrogel design.\cite{197-201} In a more recent example, a PEG hydrogel formed via covalent Michael-addition also included coiled-coil physical associations within the gel (Figure 7A).\cite{84} The coiled-coil domains were hypothesized to create constitutively open paths for cell movement through reversible dissociation and re-association. As a result, encapsulated epithelial cells could migrate and assemble to form hollow spherical cysts without requiring hydrogel degradation (Figure 7B). This design illustrated the promise of adaptable hydrogels to facilitate effective cell function while maintaining the overall stability of the hydrogel matrix. In a separate, modularly assembled hydrogel design, a leucine zipper domain that forms tetramers was chosen as the adaptable linkage.\cite{174,202} Through rational design and protein engineering, the coiled-coil motif was manipulated to alter the peptide stability, specificity, and hierarchical assembly.\cite{203-206}

The diblock consisted of a charged, hydrophilic domain (polylysine, K) and an \( \alpha \)-helical hydrophobic domain (polyleucine, L), i.e., \( K_mL_n \), where \( m \) and \( n \) represent the number of amino acid residues in each segment. Similar to the coiled-coil designs, the main driving force for assembly is to minimize exposure of the hydrophobic domains to the charged segments and the aqueous environment by maximizing helix–helix overlap.\cite{220} DCHs with similar mechanical properties to brain tissue were injected into mouse forebrains and showed little toxicity in vivo. Because of its adaptable nature, \( K_{180}L_{20} \) gel enabled time-dependent, in-growth of blood vessels and glial cells, indicating successful integration with brain tissue.

As a second example, a synthetic, four-arm, branched copolymer was designed with a hydrophilic core and hydrophobic end blocks. Poly(ethylene glycol)–poly(propylene sulfide) (PEG–PPS) copolymers form adaptable hydrogels through physical association of the hydrophobic PPS segments (Figure 8B).\cite{213} At the end of each assembling block, a pyridine dithione functional group was used to incorporate integrin-binding RGD peptides for cell adhesion via disulfide exchange reaction. Additionally, covalent bonds formed slowly via the same disulfide exchange reaction to progressively enhance the hydrogel’s mechanical properties, resulting in a ten-fold increase in the storage modulus after 14 days. Due to the dynamic nature of the physical association, the PEG–PPS hydrogel was shear-thinning and injectable. In this non-protease-degradable hydrogel, encapsulated cells, including mouse fibroblasts, MSCs, and human induced pluripotent stem-cell-derived neural progenitor cells (iPS-NPC), spread and proliferated. This cell behavior was hypothesized to result from the cells’ ability to break the hydrophobic interactions in the hydrogel and rearrange the polymer blocks to generate enough space for cell spreading. In a comparison of encapsulated iPS-NPC injection into a mouse brain within PEG–PPS hydrogels or covalently crosslinked, protease-degradable HA hydrogels, transplanted cells could not be detected in the HA gel after 14 days, which completely degraded as a result of protease activity in the brain. In contrast, iPS-NPCs were still present within the PEG–PPS hydrogels. Therefore, adaptable hydrogels might be advantageous for cell implantation into tissues with high proteolytic activity.

Hydrophobic interactions can also be used to form hydrogels using hybrid molecules that include both polypeptides and a synthetic polymer. As an example, a protein–polymer triblock copolymer was designed to form a hydrogel through assembly of two orthogonal association networks (Figure 8C).\cite{78} First, a shear-thinning hydrogel was formed through assembly of the midblock, an engineered protein with coiled-coil domains. Next, a second, independent physical network was formed by hydrophobic interactions of the end-blocks, a thermoresponsive, synthetic polymer poly(N-isopropylacrylamide) (PNIPAM), to reinforce the structure. PNIPAM has a lower critical solution temperature (LCST) of ca. 32 °C in water\cite{221} and undergoes hydrophobic aggregation when the temperature is above the LCST.\cite{222} PNIPAM has been used by many groups to adjust the gelation temperature and to enhance the mechanical properties of injectable hydrogel systems.\cite{74,223-225} For example, the formation of a secondary PNIPAM network within a self-assembled hydrogel with peptide-based, physical crosslinks lead to an increase of \( G’ \) from ca. 13 Pa to ca. 100 Pa at physiological temperature.\cite{74}
3.4. Hydrogen Bonding

Another useful binding mechanism, hydrogen bonding, is a type of non-covalent, secondary interaction that is relatively weak in isolation. However, when molecules are designed to facilitate the formation of multiple hydrogen bonds, the overall association constant is greatly increased, making this a very useful interaction for the formation of adaptable hydrogels.

3.4.1. Nucleobase Pairing

One special type of assembly through hydrogen bonding is Watson–Crick base pairing of nucleobases: adenine (A) pairs with thymine (T), and guanine (G) pairs with cytosine (C) to form two and three hydrogen bonds, respectively. In nature, double-stranded DNAs are assembled from complementary DNA strands through hydrogen bonding and further connected via covalent, enzyme-catalyzed assembly. The binding pairs for DNA assembly have been utilized to engineer hydrogels for many different applications. In a recent example, four-arm PEG was functionalized with either adenines or thymines (Figure 9A). The two functionalized PEGs self-assembled into a hydrogel network after mixing due to specific hydrogen bonding between the nucleobase pairs. This hydrogel was injectable and demonstrated appropriate properties for potential applications in targeted growth factor delivery and cell encapsulation.

3.4.2. Multiple Hydrogen Bonding within Synthetic Polymer Blocks

Similar to the Watson–Crick base pairing of nucleobases, other chemical moieties can undergo a type of molecular assembly through hydrogen bonding.
recognition to form assemblies through multiple hydrogen bonds. One particularly interesting example is ureidopyrimidinone (UPy), which assembles into strong dimers through quadruple hydrogen bonding. Due to its facile synthesis and high equilibrium association constants ($K_{eq} = 6 \times 10^7 \text{ M}^{-1}$ in chloroform), UPy has been explored in many non-cytocompatible organic systems. Recently, however, a number of studies have utilized UPy to create hydrogels in aqueous environments. In these systems, UPy–UPy interactions are shielded within hydrophobic pockets to maintain the aqueous network. The self-complementary, hydrogen bonding between the UPy units was hypothesized to form reversible and dynamic crosslinks that endowed the hydrogels with great flexibility and a rapid self-healing capacity (Figure 9B).

Similar to UPy, urea moieties have also been utilized in hydrogels with self-healing properties. Therefore, the design of synthetic block polymers that are decorated with moieties that can assemble through multiple hydrogen bonding can be an effective strategy to build adaptable hydrogels for cell encapsulation.

In addition to the previously discussed examples, many other interesting biomaterials rely on hydrogen bonding for their self-assembly and are beyond the scope of our discussion. These include materials built from β-sheet assembly, self-assembling β-hairpin peptides, and silk-like peptides. Gelation in these systems is often a combined effect of hydrogen bonding and other interactions such as fiber entanglements, which may complicate their use in adaptable hydrogel designs.

3.5. Electrostatic (Ionic) Interactions

As mentioned above, electrostatic interactions can play a synergistic role with hydrogen bonding and hydrophobic interactions to form some types of adaptable linkages, such as coiled-coil assembly. In this section, we focus our discussion on hydrogels formed primarily through electrostatic crosslinking.

One particularly interesting and common example is alginate hydrogels, which are crosslinked with divalent ions, usually calcium. Owing to its low toxicity, relatively low cost, and biocompatibility, calcium-crosslinked alginate has been explored for a vast array of biomedical applications, including injectable delivery of cell and growth factor. In one recent example, blending of ionically crosslinked alginate with covalently crosslinked polyacrylamide was found to result in extremely stretchable and tough hydrogels. In these interpenetrating networks, the covalent crosslinks preserved the memory of the initial state, while the adaptable electrostatic crosslinks were reversibly unzipped and re-zipped during loading and unloading, respectively, to recover the gel’s mechanical properties.

Adaptable, ionically crosslinked hydrogels have been used recently to probe the biophysics of cell–matrix interactions and its effects on cell behavior, some of which have shown similar results to studies using covalently crosslinked hydrogels. MSCs encapsulated in adaptable alginate hydrogels have been hypothesized to use their traction forces to reorganize and cluster cell-adhesion ligands within the gel, thereby altering differentiation. Compared with covalently
crosslinked alginate hydrogels, ionically crosslinked gels are viscoelastic and exhibit stress relaxation over time when exposed to a constant strain (Figure 10A). Comparison of the cell morphology of these two systems revealed that cell spreading was increased on substrates that can undergo stress relaxation (Figure 10B,C). This observation suggests that the current view that cells use traction forces to sense matrix rigidity by gauging resistance cannot be directly applied to viscoelastic substrates. Because tissues in the body are viscoelastic, these data strongly encourage the development of adaptable hydrogels with tunable viscoelastic properties to more fully explore the mechanisms of cell–matrix mechanotransduction.

In addition to alginate hydrogels, other systems based on electrostatic interactions have been developed recently as injectable hydrogels, indicating that this strategy may have potential for further use in the design of adaptable hydrogels for cell encapsulation and delivery.

3.6. Dynamic Covalent Linkages

In addition to the physical crosslinking mechanisms discussed previously, crosslinks formed by dynamic covalent chemistry can also be used to build adaptable hydrogels. Dynamic covalent chemistry refers to chemical reactions where covalent bonds can be formed, broken, and re-formed reversibly under equilibrium conditions. According to our previously described requirements for building adaptable hydrogels, only dynamic covalent reactions with fast reaction kinetics under mild conditions are suitable for cell encapsulation. Other dynamic covalent reactions that rely on the use of catalysts or strong pH, such as reactions involving phenylboronate ester bonds, are not discussed here.
3.6.1. Schiff Base (Imine) Bonds

Schiff base reactions between amine and aldehyde groups have been used to create adaptable hydrogels where the dynamic uncoupling and recoupling of the reversible linkages impart self-healing capability without additional stimuli. For example, a hydrogel with rapid gelation kinetics was created through dynamic Schiff base crosslinking between amine groups and benzaldehyde groups (Figure 11A). Encapsulated HeLa cells maintained high viability during 3D hydrogel encapsulation and subsequent injection, demonstrating potential for use in injectable cell therapies. Some studies have indicated that the aliphatic Schiff base crosslinking structure might be less stable than the reaction between benzaldehyde and amine groups. Nonetheless, some hydrogel systems based on Schiff base crosslinking between amine and aliphatic groups have been reported for cell encapsulation. Interestingly, in all of the reported systems at least one component is a type of polysaccharide derivative, such as oxidized dextran, chitosan, or HA. One reason for this is the ease of controllable syntheses by oxidation of the sugar rings in polysaccharides, and another potential reason may be due to the large amount of crosslinking sites present on these natural biopolymer chains, which allows for a higher crosslinking density and greater hydrogel stability.

3.6.2. Reversible Hydrazone Bonds

Hydrazones are formed by reactions between aldehyde and hydrazine functionalities, are very close relatives to imines. Hydrazones reactions have been widely used in the fields of bioconjugation and materials science because of their stimuli-responsive nature and their rapid formation under physiological conditions. Recently, hydrogels forming in situ were developed for cell encapsulation based on hydrazone bonding. Studies have shown that the rate of formation and hydrolysis of aliphatic aldehyde-derived hydrazones are much faster than a representative aryl aldehyde-derived hydrazone at neutral pH. In one recent study, a cytoare much faster than a representative aryl aldehyde-derived Schiff base crosslinking structure might be less stable than the reaction between benzaldehyde and amine groups. Nonetheless, some hydrogel systems based on Schiff base crosslinking between amine and aliphatic groups have been reported for cell encapsulation. Interestingly, in all of the reported systems at least one component is a type of polysaccharide derivative, such as oxidized dextran, chitosan, or HA. One reason for this is the ease of controllable syntheses by oxidation of the sugar rings in polysaccharides, and another potential reason may be due to the large amount of crosslinking sites present on these natural biopolymer chains, which allows for a higher crosslinking density and greater hydrogel stability.

3.6.3. Oxime Bonds

Another linkage similar to imines, oxime bonds formed by the reaction between hydroxylamine and an aldehyde or ketone might be another good candidate to build adaptable hydrogels for cell encapsulation. Oxime bond formation has been shown to be biocompatible and suitable for building injectable hydrogels. Oximes exhibit higher hydrolytic stability than imines and hydrazones, with the equilibrium lying far toward the oxime. This means that the oxime linkage may be harder to break with the same exerted force. Careful analysis of the kinetics of bond breaking and formation is needed before applying this reaction to make adaptable hydrogels that can be locally and reversibly remodeled by encapsulated cells.

3.6.4. Disulfide Bonds

Disulfide bonds, formed through the thiol side chains of cysteine residues, play a crucial role in protein folding and assembly. Although thiol groups are unreactive in the reduced state and the formation of disulfide-crosslinked hydrogels requires the presence of an oxidation agent, mild oxidative conditions including oxygen itself are found to be sufficient to drive cytocompatible crosslinking. Disulfide crosslinking has been utilized in a variety of clever biomaterials design strategies and may provide an opportunity for building adaptable hydrogels. In particular, the thiol–disulfide exchange reaction was found to result in hydrogels with fast gelation kinetics and cytocompatibility with many different cell types. Potential limitations for this crosslinking mechanism include off-target reactions with encapsulated proteins that may interfere with their bioactivity. In addition, disulfide hydrogels may exhibit poor stability in the presence of reducing agents such as glutathione, a tripeptide that is found in high concentrations in some tissues.

3.6.5. Reversible Diels–Alder Reactions

Reversible Diels–Alder (DA) reactions may also provide a promising route to adaptable hydrogel formation. DA reactions belong to the family of “click” reactions, which are characterized by high selectivity and high yield with no appreciable side products. DA reactions can occur in aqueous media at physiologically compatible conditions and have been explored for biological applications in drug delivery and tissue engineering. The equilibrium of the DA reaction is thermally controlled; elevated temperatures induce the reformation of maleimide and furan moieties, whereas lower temperatures favor the adduct formation. Previous studies suggest that very high temperatures (>100 °C) might be needed to trigger the reversible DA reaction, limiting its utility in biomedical applications.
4. Conclusions and Future Opportunities

In summary, a wide range of reversible linkages is being developed to generate adaptable hydrogels, which is an emerging new class of responsive materials for 3D cell encapsulation. This attractive platform presents many advantages compared with conventional, chemically crosslinked hydrogels. Adaptable hydrogels not only possess local adaptability to permit complex cell functions to occur, but also maintain their long-term overall stability due to the absence of irreversible bulk hydrogel degradation.

Although it shows great promise, the development of adaptable hydrogels for cell encapsulation is not without its challenges. As mentioned before, the time-scales of cell action and hydrogel rearrangement need to be matched when developing adaptable hydrogels for 3D cell encapsulation. This requires the study of both the dynamics of cell behavior and the kinetics of hydrogel rearrangement, including gelation and relaxation. For reference, several studies on the dynamics of cell spreading, migration, proliferation, and differentiation in synthetic 3D hydrogels have been proved to correspond closely with the rates of bond formation and thermodynamics of crosslink formation and breakage. In addition, the presence of cells may alter the kinetics and thermodynamics of crosslink formation and breakage. In particular, cells may interact with adaptable crosslinks through both physical and biochemical mechanisms. For example, as described in Section 2, cells may exert local forces on crosslinks to modulate their coupling and uncoupling. Similarly, cells may secrete biomolecules that alter the local pH or redox environment, resulting in modification of dynamic crosslink kinetics or thermodynamics. Therefore, individual systems still require careful analysis and modification. For a comprehensive review of the characterization techniques for dynamic structures, readers are referred to two excellent reviews published recently.[108-109]

As researchers gain a better understanding of dynamic linkages and adaptable hydrogel design criteria, the application of adaptable hydrogels is likely to be expanded to many areas of bioengineering. For example, adaptable linkages can be applied in drug-delivery systems to achieve control over drug-release profiles.[110,112,127,281,310] Adaptable hydrogels can also be used as injectable systems for delivery of regenerative cell therapies due to their shear-thinning and self-healing properties. These same properties make adaptable hydrogels ideal for use in extrusion-based 3D bioprinting.[311] Furthermore, as a viscoelastic platform for in vitro cell culture, adaptable hydrogels could be useful for a variety of fundamental studies of cell biophysics, including quantification of cell-exerted forces over time and cell responses to stress-relaxation. The majority of cell mechanotransduction studies to date have relied on the use of elastic materials; however, native tissues are viscoelastic, and adaptable hydrogels may offer a more-biomimetic scaffold for biophysical studies.
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