Uncoupling Dendrite Growth and Patterning: Single-Cell Knockout Analysis of NMDA Receptor 2B
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SUMMARY

N-methyl-D-aspartate receptors (NMDARs) play important functions in neural development. NR2B is the predominant NR2 subunit of NMDAR in the developing brain. Here we use mosaic analysis with double markers (MADM) to knock out NR2B in isolated single cells and analyze its cell-autonomous function in dendrite development. NR2B mutant dentate gyrus granule cells (dGCs) and barrel cortex layer 4 spiny stellate cells (bSCs) have similar dendritic growth rates, total length, and branch number as control cells. However, mutant dGCs maintain supernumerary primary dendrites resulting from a pruning defect. Furthermore, while control bSCs restrict dendritic growth to a single barrel, mutant bSCs maintain dendritic growth in multiple barrels. Thus, NR2B functions cell autonomously to regulate dendrite patterning to ensure that sensory information is properly represented in the cortex. Our study also indicates that molecular mechanisms that regulate activity-dependent dendrite patterning can be separated from those that control general dendrite growth and branching.

INTRODUCTION

The dendrites of CNS neurons play a critical role in integrating synaptic inputs from a multitude of presynaptic partners and, subsequently, in determining the extent to which a neuron transmits this information to its postsynaptic partners. Characteristic dendritic arborization patterns allow neurons to perform signal processing and computation appropriate for their functions. For example, in the adult somatosensory cortex of rodents, most layer 4 stellate neurons orient their dendrites toward a single barrel center to maximize contacts with thalamocortical afferents representing a single whisker (Woolsey and Van der Loos, 1970; Woolsey et al., 1975). The development of dendritic trees characteristic to specific neuronal types is believed to result from the interplay between intrinsic genetic programs, extracellular signals, and electrical activity (reviewed in Scott and Luo, 2001; Wong and Ghosh, 2002; Jan and Jan, 2003; Par-rish et al., 2007). Despite our increasing understanding of dendrite development, it is unclear if mechanisms that sculpt specific dendrite patterns are an integral part of those that control dendrite growth and branching, or if independent mechanisms can regulate these two aspects of dendrite development.

N-methyl-D-aspartate-type glutamate receptors (NMDARs) play a central role in activity-dependent regulation of dendrite development (reviewed in Constantine-Paton et al., 1990; Cline, 2001; Wong and Ghosh, 2002). NMDARs function mainly as heterotetramers of two obligate NR1 subunits and a combination of two NR2 subunits (A-D) (Kutsuwada et al., 1992; Monyer et al., 1992). Each NMDAR subunit combination confers distinct functional properties, including the regulation of unitary conductance, binding affinity, and gating and desensitization kinetics. For example, compared to NR2A-containing receptors, NR2B-containing NMDARs have a 3- to 4-fold slower decay time course of NMDAR-mediated excitatory postsynaptic currents, resulting in a larger Ca2+ influx (reviewed in Cull-Candy and Leszkiewicz, 2004). In the mammalian brain, the NR1 mRNA is found ubiquitously, whereas the NR2 subunits are differentially expressed, both temporally and spatially. At embryonic stages, the NR2B subunit is expressed in the entire brain, while the NR2D subunit is expressed selectively in the diencephalon, the mesencephalon, and the spinal cord. From the time of birth to adulthood, expression of NR2B becomes restricted to the forebrain and NR2D expression peaks 1 week after birth but is then strongly reduced. During this time, the expression levels increase for NR2A in the forebrain and for NR2C in the cerebellum (Watanabe et al., 1992; Monyer et al., 1994). The expression pattern of NR2B suggests that it plays a more important role during development than do other NR2 subunits. Indeed, NR2B knockout mice die shortly after birth (Kutsuwada et al., 1996), similar to NR1 knockout mice (Forrest et al., 1994; Li et al., 1994), but knockout mice for NR2A, 2C, or 2D are fully viable (Ikeda et al., 1995; Sakimura et al., 1995; Ebralidze et al., 1996; Kadotani et al., 1996).

Pharmacological agents that block NMDARs have been used to study their function in dendrite development. In the Xenopus retinotectal system, NMDAR antagonists inhibit dendritic arborization of tectal neurons during development (Rajan and Cline, 1998) or in response to visual stimulation (Sin et al., 2002). NMDAR function in dendrite development has also been examined in knockout mice. In the cortex-specific NR1 knockout, individual layer 4 stellate cells lose oriented arborization and grow exuberant dendrites and spines (Datwani et al., 2002). NMDARs
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are also necessary for dendritic spine formation induced by sensory activity and long-term potentiation (Engert and Bonhoeffer, 1999; Maletic-Savatic et al., 1999). Cortex-specific NR1 knockout results in reduced spine densities (Ullanir et al., 2007). Although these studies have revealed important functions for NMDARs in multiple aspects of dendrite development, it is unclear to what extent the observed defects are caused by the cell-autonomous perturbation of NMDAR function. These experiments cannot exclude secondary consequences of perturbing cell-autonomous perturbation of NMDAR function. These experiments cannot exclude secondary consequences of perturbing the NMDAR in other neurons in the circuit. In the Xenopus retinotectal system, NMDAR blockade also affects the arborization of the retinal ganglion cell axon termini (Cline and Constantine-Paton, 1990; Ruthazer et al., 2003), which may indirectly perturb tectal cell dendrite development. In cortex-specific NR1 knockout mice, although thalamocortical axons are genetically unperturbed, their terminal arborization patterns are grossly altered in response to NR1 knockout in cortical cells (Lee et al., 2005), and barrels do not form properly (Datwani et al., 2002). It is therefore difficult to determine if the unoriented dendrites of layer 4 stellate neurons reflect the cell-autonomous requirement for NMDAR or if they are a secondary consequence of the general pattern formation defects in the barrel cortex. Recently, genetic perturbations of NR2A and NR2B subunits have been reported using overexpression and morpholino-mediated knockdown in single Xenopus tectal cells. Compared to overexpression, knockdown of NR2B has minor consequences on dendrite development (Ewald et al., 2008).

In this study, we use the mosaic analysis with double markers (MADM) system to knock out NR2B in isolated single neurons to assess the cell-autonomous function of NR2B in dendrite development. MADMs permit simultaneous gene inactivation and distinct labeling of homozygous mutant cells and their wild-type siblings in the same animal through Cre/LoxP-mediated interchromosomal mitotic recombination events (see Figure S1A available online). Moreover, frequent recombination generates isolated single knockout cells, allowing us to unambiguously assess cell-autonomous function of genes (Zong et al., 2005; Muzumdar et al., 2007). We find that in two types of neurons analyzed, dGCs and bSCs, NR2B is dispensable for general dendrite growth and branching but is required for dendrite patterning critical for information processing. Our study also indicates that molecular mechanisms that regulate activity-dependent dendrite patterning are separable from those that control general dendrite growth and branching.

RESULTS

Validation of MADM Knockout of NR2B

To investigate the role of NMDARs in dendrite development, we employed the MADM system to generate mice in which isolated single cells lack the NR2B subunit (Figure S1). Phenotypic analyses were performed on two types of MADM mice. In NR2B−/−/GR/GR;Cre (MADM-Green-KO) mice, green (GFP+) only) cells are homozygous mutant for NR2B, red (Dsred2-Myc+ only) cells are homozygous wild-type, and yellow (GFP+ and Dsred2-Myc+) cells and all unlabeled cells are heterozygous (Figure 1A, top; Figure S1). In NR2B−/−/RG/GR;Cre (MADM-Red-KO) mice, red cells are homozygous mutant for NR2B and green cells are wild-type, while yellow and unlabeled cells are heterozygous (Figure 1A, middle). In several experiments, we found that the phenotypes segregate with NR2B genotypes rather than with the color of fluorescent proteins expressed. GR/GR;Cre (MADM-WT) mice (Figure 1A, bottom) were used as an additional control.

To validate the loss of NR2B in NR2B−/− cells as predicted by the MADM scheme, we used nestin-Cre (Petersen et al., 2002) to generate MADM-labeled cells in all regions of the brain, including the hippocampus (Figure 1B). We cultured neurons from dissociated hippocampal postnatal day (P0) NR2B−/−/GR/GR;nestin-Cre mice. Triple immunostaining using antibodies against NR2B, GFP, and Myc revealed that all neurons display strong NR2B immunoreactivity except for green NR2B−/− cells (Figures 1C1 and 1C2; n > 50 cells for each genotype). NR1 expression in NR2B−/− neurons was indistinguishable from other neurons (Figures 1D1, 1D2, and 1D3; n > 30 cells for each genotype).

To ensure that cells identified as NR2B−/− are indeed altered in their NMDA sensitivity, we measured the [Ca2+]i response to NMDA in cultured hippocampal neurons, with TTX present throughout to block spiking (Figure 1E). We found that application of 100 μM NMDA along with 10 μM of the coagonist glycine results in an elevation of [Ca2+]i, in all GFP+ cells, as assessed with Fura-2, a ratiometric fluorescent dye that binds to free intracellular calcium (Figures 1E1–E3; Gryniewicz et al., 1985). The rise of [Ca2+]i required NMDAR function, as it was blocked by application of an NMDA antagonist D-AP5 in cells of all genotypes (Figure 1H).

We compared [Ca2+]i response in NR2B−/− cells with controls. Since we cannot detect Dsred2-Myc in live cells, GFP+ cells are a mix of green and yellow cells (Figure S1A; Zong et al., 2005). Thus, following calcium imaging, we stained for Myc to distinguish GFP+ only cells from GFP+/Dsred2-Myc+ cells. Figure 1F shows a sample trace of [Ca2+]i for one green and one yellow cell in response to NMDA application; all cells are quantified in Figure 1H (left). NR2B−/− cells exhibited a reduced NMDA-mediated [Ca2+]i response, consistent with the genetic loss of NR2B resulting in decreased NMDAR function. If the decreased [Ca2+]i response was indeed due to the loss of NR2B-containing NMDARs, we reasoned that ifenprodil (IF), an NR2B-specific antagonist (Williams, 1993; Tovar and Westbrook, 1999), should have no effect on NR2B−/− neurons. Indeed, application of 3 μM IF had no significant effect on the [Ca2+]i response of NR2B−/− cells (Figures 1G and 1H). By contrast, IF reduced the [Ca2+]i response of NR2B−/− cells to the level found in NR2B−/−/− cells (Figures 1G and 1H).

The immunocytochemical and pharmacological data confirmed our MADM strategy for generating NR2B knockout in singly labeled cells. Previous findings with whole animal (Kutsuwada et al., 1996) and conditional (von Engelhardt et al., 2008) NR2B knockout mice showed that expression of other NR2 subunits is unaffected. Our data are consistent with this lack of compensation, as the [Ca2+]i response to NMDA in NR2B−/−/− neurons (presumably mediated by other NR2 subunits) was indistinguishable from that in NR2B+/− neurons acutely treated with IF. Of note, NR2B+/− and NR2B−/−/− cells were indistinguishable in their response to NMDA application, suggesting that NR2B is not dosage sensitive. Consistent with this notion, all of our in vivo assays showed no significant difference between NR2B+/− and

---
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NR2B+/- cells (see below). Hence, we sometimes used NR2B+/- cells as controls for NR2B+/- cells, because GFP labels processes better than Dsred2-Myc in adult neurons (Zong et al., 2005).

Quantitative Analysis of Dendrite Morphology in NR2B+/- Dentate Gyrus Granule Cells

We performed MADM analysis using nestin-Cre to examine NR2B+/- cells throughout the brain. Compared to control (NR2B+/+ and NR2B+/-), NR2B+/- neurons had no gross defects in survival, migration, and dendritic morphogenesis (data not shown). To examine potential quantitative differences, we focused our study on dGCs, as we could generate a sufficient number of labeled cells in isolation to trace the entire dendrites and quantitatively compare morphometrics. We observed dGCs with single and multiple primary apical dendrites in both control and mutant cells (Figures 2A–2D). To analyze a more...
homogenous cell population (see Claiborne et al., 1990), we first focused our analysis on dGCs with a single primary dendrite, whose cell bodies are positioned in the top third of the granule cell layer within the suprapyramidal blade.

Consistent with our qualitative examination from single cell tracings of dGCs (Figures 2A and 2B), the total dendrite length and branchpoint number are indistinguishable between NR2B+/- and NR2B+/− cells (Figures 2E and 2F, solid bars). We performed 3D Sholl analysis (Sholl, 1953), which counts the number of intersections of dendrites with successively larger concentric spheres centered at the cell body (Figure 2G), as well as branching order analysis (Figure 2H). In neither case was there a statistically significant difference between NR2B+/- and NR2B+/− cells.

We also quantified spine density in the distal dendritic segments of dGCs. We found a slight but significant reduction in spine density in NR2B+/− cells compared to NR2B+/- cells (Figures 2I and 2J; quantified in Figure 2K). The reduction in spine density was also found in other hippocampal neurons, such as the basal and lateral dendritic segments of CA1 pyramidal neurons (Figure 2K). Spine density reduction was previously reported in cortical neurons from animals in which NR1 was knocked out in the entire cortex (Ultanir et al., 2007). Our MADM analysis indicated that the function of NR2B in establishing a normal density of dendritic spines is cell autonomous.

NR2B Regulates Primary Apical Dendrite Number

Compared with the relatively mild phenotypes described in the previous section, we found a more striking phenotype in NR2B+/− dGCs in affecting the number of primary apical dendrites. More than 90% of control dGCs had a single primary dendrite (Figures 3A and 3E). By contrast, ~25% of NR2B+/- dGCs had two or more primary dendrites (Figures 3B and 3E). This phenotype was also present in CA1 and CA3 pyramidal neurons with a similar 2.5- to 4-fold increase in neurons extending multiple primary apical dendrites (Figures 3C and 3D; quantified in Figure 3E). We did not, however, find an equivalent phenotype in NR2B+/− cortical pyramidal cells (data not shown).

NR2B Regulates Pruning of Supernumerary Primary Dendrites of dGCs

The marked difference in the number of primary dendrites in hippocampal neuronal types prompted us to examine the...
underlying mechanism. The overall increase in primary dendrite number in NR2B^{−/−} neurons could reflect a role of NR2B in limiting the generation of additional primary dendrites after one has already formed, or in pruning supernumerary primary dendrites down to a single one. To distinguish these possibilities, we tracked the developmental history of dendrite growth following neuronal birth. We focused our analysis on dGCs, because these neurons are born continuously over a long period of time starting from embryonic development into adulthood (Zhao et al., 2008). In addition, newly born neurons are added from the basal side of the granule cell layer; thus the cell body position can be used to estimate the age of the neurons (Altman and Bayer, 1990).

We have confirmed a previous report (Green and Juraska, 1985) that dGCs having multiple primary dendrites tend to locate in the upper granule cell layers, suggesting that earliest-born neurons contribute more to dGCs with multiple primary dendrites. To test this experimentally, we injected the thymidine analog CldU, which labels dividing cells in the S phase (Vega and Peterson, 2005), into MADM mice at different developmental time points. Three weeks later, we examined the morphology of MADM-labeled neurons colabeled with CldU (Figure 4A). Neurons strongly labeled with CldU are likely born shortly after the pulse injection, as further division dilutes CldU levels. Indeed, dGCs strongly labeled with CldU from early injections tend to locate in the apical granule cell layers, whereas those from later injections are progressively more basal (Figures 4B−4D), although this rule is not strict (arrowheads in Figures 4B−4D).

By correlating birth timing with the morphology of MADM-labeled NR2B^{−/−} and control dGCs (Figures 4C and 4D), we found that dGCs born at P3 or later always had a single primary dendrite, regardless of the genotypes (Figure 4E). However, the earlier the neurons were born, the more likely they were to have multiple primary dendrites. NR2B affected the primary dendrite number only in dGCs from the early-born cohort. Fifty percent of NR2B^{−/−} neurons born shortly after E13.5 had multiple primary dendrites, compared to only 14% in NR2B^{+/+} or NR2B^{+/−} cells (Figure 4E).

We next tracked the developmental time course of the multiple primary dendrite phenotype using the early-born cohort of dGCs. We injected CldU at E13.5 and analyzed the morphology of MADM- and CldU-labeled neurons 1 and 2 weeks later (Figures 4F and 4G). We found that both control and NR2B^{−/−} dGCs have multiple primary dendrites at early stages in dendrite development (Figures 4F and 4G). One week after birth, more than half of neurons had multiple primary dendrites in both control and NR2B^{−/−} cells (Figure 4H). Two weeks after birth, the fraction of cells with multiple primary dendrites stayed roughly the same among both control and NR2B^{−/−} dGCs; however, the number of cells with three primary dendrites was reduced in control cells, but not in NR2B^{−/−} dGCs (Figure 4H). By this time, primary dendrites in both control and NR2B^{−/−} dGCs had begun to branch further (compare Figures 4F and 4G), although usually only one of the two primary dendrites had more than five branch-points. Three weeks after birth, only ~15% of control cells had two primary dendrites, and the ones with three primary dendrites are very rare. By then, these cells had reached dendrite arborization comparable to adult dGCs, and they exhibit mature spine morphology. By contrast, 3-week-old NR2B^{−/−} dGCs showed no reduction in the number of primary dendrites compared to
1- and 2-week-old dGCs. The proportion of 3-week-old dGCs with multiple primary dendrites was similar to the levels seen among NR2B−/− dGCs in 1-year-old mice (data not shown).

Taken together, these data indicate that early-born dGCs initially extend multiple primary dendrites and subsequently prune supernumerary primary dendrites between 2 and 3 weeks after birth. NR2B function is required for the elimination of supernumerary primary dendrites.

Interestingly, NR2B−/− and NR2B+/− dGCs with multiple primary dendrites still possessed the same total dendritic length and branch number as NR2B−/− and NR2B+/− dGCs with a single primary dendrite (Figures 2E and 2F). This finding implies that mechanisms regulating the pattern of dendrite branching in dGCs are separable from those controlling the total dendritic length and branch number, and that NR2B is necessary only for the former. To further test the effects of NR2B-mediated alterations of dendrite patterning, we next turned to layer 4 spiny stellate cells of the barrel cortex, where the spatial distribution of dendritic branches has a clear role in shaping somatotopic maps.
Cell-Autonomous Function of NR2B in Dendrite Targeting of Layer 4 Spiny Stellate Cells to a Single Barrel

The stereotyped somatosensory pathway from whisker to cortex allows us to explore the link between the NMDAR function and refinement of synaptic circuits. The primary somatosensory cortex contains an exquisite somatotopic map where each individual whisker relays information via thalamocortical axons to layer 4 of the primary sensory cortex to form a discrete anatomical unit, the “barrel,” allowing precise delineation of functional organization, development, and plasticity. Cell bodies of layer 4 spiny stellate cells (bSCs), the major postsynaptic partners of thalamocortical axons, are located predominantly around the axon-rich barrel centers. Each bSC located in the barrel wall sends dendrites into a single barrel and thus represents sensory information primarily from a single whisker. These patterns are subject to perturbations of the sensory periphery by nerve or whisker lesions during a critical period, suggesting that early neural activity is essential for the pattern formation of the barrel cortex (reviewed in Inan and Crair, 2007).

NMDARs play important roles in the formation of synaptic patterns in the barrel cortex. When NR1 is conditionally knocked out from all cortical neurons, the morphology of the barrels is severely disrupted. Stellate cells are no longer clustered around the barrel wall and instead are evenly distributed in layer 4 with unoriented dendrites (Datwani et al., 2002). In these experiments, it is difficult to determine whether dendritic patterning defects are caused by the cell-autonomous requirement for NMDARs or if they are a secondary consequence of a general disruption of barrel cortex patterning. MADM offers an opportunity to distinguish between these possibilities by generating sparse NR2B–/– bSCs in an otherwise normal barrel cortex.

We used nestin-CreER line 1 (Imayoshi et al., 2006) to generate isolated MADM labeled bSCs such that a single barrel has only one labeled cell. For unknown reasons, Cre activity in this line is independent of tamoxifen induction, allowing for sparser recombination events compared with nestin-Cre (Imayoshi et al., 2006; our unpublished data) and thereby making it ideal for tracing the dendrites of single bSCs whose cell bodies are located in the barrel wall. Consistent with previous results (Woolsey et al., 1975; Hickmott and Merzenich, 1999), we found that NR2B+/- and NR2B+/+ bSCs elaborate their dendrites into a single barrel as outlined by DAPI staining (Figures 5A1–5A3; Figure S2). By contrast, NR2B–/– cells sent dendrites to multiple adjacent barrels (Figures 5B1–5B3). For quantification (Figures 5C–5G), we focused on bSCs whose cell bodies were located in the barrel wall of the large barrels that correspond to whiskers. We found that >90% of total dendrite length and 100% of branchpoints of NR2B+/- bSCs were confined within a single barrel. By contrast, nearly half of total dendrite length and branchpoint number of NR2B–/– bSCs was located outside the “primary barrel,” defined as the barrel that contains the greatest proportion of dendrite length and branching. The dendrites of NR2B–/– bSCs also extended into the septal region (the region between barrels; Figures 5B3 and 5C) and frequently into more than two barrels (Figure 5B3). NR2B–/– bSCs from older animals (P40) had similar dendritic mistargeting defects (data not shown). These results demonstrate that NR2B is cell-autonomously required in bSCs for oriented dendritic arborization within a single barrel.

Despite a dramatic dendritic patterning defect, dendritic morphometric parameters were comparable between NR2B+/- cells and NR2B+/+ cells. These included branch distribution using 3D Sholl analysis (Figure 5D), number of primary dendrites (Figure 5E), total dendrite length (Figure 5F), and number of branchpoints (Figure 5G). One exception was a reduction in the spine density in secondary segments of NR2B–/– cells compared to NR2B+/+ cells (Figures 5H–5J). Thus, in the case of bSCs, dendritic growth and branching are dissociated from patterning—oriented growth within a single barrel that enables each cell to represent information largely from a single whisker. Together with our data on dGCs, we reinforce the notion that general dendrite growth can be uncoupled from dendrite patterning.

Dendrite Development of bSCs

To determine the developmental mechanisms by which NR2B regulates bSC dendrite patterning, we examined the time course of dendrite development in control and NR2B–/– cells (Figure 6). We traced dendritic trees of individual cells every 3 days starting from P3 (Figures 6A–6H; 6M, and 6N; Figure S2) to quantify their morphometric parameters. Between P3 and P15, NR2B+/+ cells continually grew their dendritic trees, adding new branchpoints and augmenting total length (Figures 6I and 6J). Both parameters reach steady state at P15, when they are indistinguishable from young adult (P21; Figure 5). NR2B–/– cells exhibited almost identical growth curves during the same period of time (Figures 6I and 6J), indicating that NR2B does not affect the rate of dendrite growth and branching.

We next quantified the distribution of dendrite length and the number of branchpoints with respect to barrels (Figures 6K and 6L). As early as P6, control cells had already directed their dendrites toward the primary barrel (Figure 6A; Figure S2). At this time, a small minority of dendrites were directed away from the primary barrel; by P9, those were completely pruned, and all dendrites were fully confined within a single barrel (Figure 6C; Figure S2). By contrast, NR2B–/– cells at P6 exhibited promiscuous dendritic targeting outside of the primary barrel (Figure 6B). From P6 to P15, the dendritic distribution outside of the primary barrel became increasingly pronounced with the lengthening and branching of dendrites in secondary barrels and in septal regions (Figures 6B, 6D, 6F, and 6H). By P15, NR2B–/– cells had reached a similar degree of mistargeted dendrites as in P21 (Figures 5, 6K, and 6L).

Since the difference between NR2B+/- and NR2B–/– cells is already pronounced at P6, we analyzed the dendritic morphology at P3. At this time point, bSCs had already begun dendrite arborization, but barrel formation was not complete and boundaries were undetectable. To analyze spatial distribution of dendritic branches, we rotated a plane centered at the cell body to find a position at which dendrites were maximally asymmetric with respect to the plane (Figures 6M and 6N). We found that NR2B+/- and NR2B–/– bSCs had a similar degree of dendrite asymmetry at P3 but deviated from each other by P6 with regard to the asymmetry of both dendrite length and branchpoints (Figure 6O).

These experiments demonstrate that NR2B is required in bSCs for oriented growth during the time when thalamocortical...
axons consolidate at the barrel, displacing stellate cells to the barrel walls (between P3 and P6). After the pattern formation of the barrel is initially established (P6), $NR2B^{-/-}$ cells continue to grow and branch outside the primary barrel, whereas control cells restrict their growth and branching within the primary barrel. These findings have important implications for the mechanisms of NMDAR action in shaping the pattern of dendritic trees (see the Discussion).

**DISCUSSION**

Previous studies have implicated important functions of NMDARs in many aspects of dendrite development. We report here the use of a genetic mosaic method to analyze the effect of loss of NR2B in isolated single neurons in an otherwise normal brain. In the two model neurons examined in detail (Figures 7A and 7B), we find that NR2B is not cell-autonomously required for dendrite growth and branching but is essential for dendrite patterning. We discuss these findings and possible underlying mechanisms below.

**$NR2B$ Is Essential for Dendrite Patterning Relevant to Their Function**

NR2B is cell-autonomously required for dendrites to acquire patterns appropriate for their physiological function. bSCs provide a clear example: they direct their dendrites toward a single barrel in order to maximize the input from thalamocortical axons representing a single whisker. Loss of NR2B disrupts this pattern, causing individual bSCs to reduce total length of dendrite branches in the primary barrel, and to contact and likely receive input from thalamocortical axons representing multiple neighboring whiskers (Figure 7B). Since summation of weak but synchronous postsynaptic potentials at the synapses between thalamocortical axons and stellate cell dendrites is necessary to drive the firing of stellate cells (Bruno and Sakmann, 2006), the reduction of total dendritic arborization in the primary barrel would reduce the effectiveness of a stellate cell to represent the appropriate whisker. Moreover, spreading the dendrites into multiple barrels and into the septa would degrade the receptive field of stellate cells, compromising spatial discrimination of whisker sensation.

![Figure 5. $NR2B^{-/-}$ Layer 4 Spiny Stellate Cells of the Barrel Cortex Mistarget Their Dendrites](image-url)

(A and B) Dendrite targeting of P21 bSCs in the barrel cortex. (A$_i$ and B$_i$) Representative low-magnification images show barrels outlined by surrounding dense nuclei staining (DAPI in blue) and sparse MADM-labeled $NR2B^{+/+}$ (A$_i$) and $NR2B^{-/-}$ (B$_i$) bSCs. (A$_o$ and B$_o$) High-magnification confocal images of $NR2B^{+/+}$ (A$_o$) and $NR2B^{-/-}$ (B$_o$) bSCs (same cell as in red box in A$_i$ and B$_i$). (A$_s$ and B$_s$) 3D reconstructions of bSCs in (A$_o$) and (B$_o$) superimposed over barrel walls representing the edge of barrels (dashed white lines). Scale bar, 200 μm for (A$_i$) and (B$_i$); 50 μm for the rest.

(C) Quantification of dendrite distribution of P21 bSCs with respect to barrels. Percentage of dendritic length (left) and branchpoint number (right) in primary barrel (1') vs secondary (2') barrels are presented for $NR2B^{+/+}$ (n = 12) and $NR2B^{-/-}$ (n = 14) cells.

(D–G) Quantification of P21 bSC dendritic parameters. ANOVA with repeated measures reveals no significant difference between $NR2B^{+/+}$ and $NR2B^{-/-}$ for Sholl analysis. Number of primary dendrites (E), total dendritic length (F), and number of branchpoints (G) are not significantly different between $NR2B^{+/+}$ (n = 12) and $NR2B^{-/-}$ (n = 14) cells. p > 0.05, t test.

(H and I) Representative confocal images of spines in the distal portion of primary dendrites (H) and B barrels ([I] superimposed over barrel walls representing the edge of barrels (dashed white lines). Scale bar, 5 μm.)

(J) Spine counts from 20 μm segments. For each genotype, n > 20 cells. *p < 0.01, t test.
The functional consequence of the multiple primary dendrites in NR2B−/− dGCs is less clear. One can, however, speculate about the biophysical and computational properties of dendrites, based on analogy with bSCs. dGC cell bodies are the preferred targets of major inhibitory interneurons (Freund and Buzsaki, 1996) and may present impediments to interactions between inputs onto dendritic trees derived from different primary dendrites. Branches that originate from the same primary dendrite could, given only moderate attenuation of EPSPs (Schmidt-Hieber et al., 2007), summate their synaptic input more effectively to drive the firing of dGCs than branches originating from different primary dendrites. Multiple primary dendrites in a single dGC could thus effectively behave as different compartments, each integrating input independently. The fact that a small fraction of dGCs has multiple primary dendrites in normal animals suggests that this type of dendrite pattern may be useful for some aspect of hippocampal information processing. Loss of NR2B expands this population of dGCs and could thus alter information transfer from the entorhinal cortex to the hippocampus.

Developmental Mechanisms of NR2B Action in Dendrite Patterning

Comparison of the developmental time course of NR2B−/− and NR2B+/+ dGCs and bSCs (Figures 7A and 7B) reveals a common feature: NR2B−/− cells cannot prune dendritic branches that might detect minor input not coincident with the input detected by major dendritic arbors. For both cell types, NR2B-mediated pruning of dendrites coincides with the development of afferents and synaptogenesis. In bSCs, NR2B is required for pruning of mistargeted dendrites between P3 and P9. During this period, thalamocortical afferents targeting cortical layer 4 develop into the characteristic barrel pattern: they segregate into dense terminal clusters and 

We propose that NR2B-mediated dendrite patterning follows Hebb’s rule and its extension: “When an axon of cell A is near...”
enough to excite a cell B and repeatedly and persistently takes part in firing it, some growth or metabolic change takes place in one or both cells such that A’s efficiency, as one of the cells firing B, is increased” (Hebb, 1949). Conversely, “when the presynaptic axon of cell A repeatedly and persistently fails to excite the postsynaptic cell B while cell B is firing under the influence of other presynaptic axons, metabolic change takes place in one or both cells such that A’s efficiency, as one of the cells firing B, is decreased” (Stent, 1973). Although Hebb’s rule has mostly been applied to adjusting synaptic strength, in the developing brain, dendritic growth and branch stabilization are tightly associated with, and perhaps a consequence of, synapse formation on the dendrites (Vaughn, 1989; Niell et al., 2004). Thus, Hebb’s rule can be readily extended to dendritic growth: strengthening of synapses leads to stabilization and extension of dendrites; weakening of synapses leads to destabilization and elimination of dendritic branches (reviewed in Cline and Haas, 2008).

Calcium influx through the NMDAR channel relies on simultaneous release of glutamate from presynaptic neurons and depolarization of postsynaptic neurons to relieve the magnesium block (Nowak et al., 1984). NMDAR is therefore an ideal candidate to execute Hebb’s rule as a molecular coincidence detector of correlated pre- and postsynaptic activity. In addition, the long decay time of NR2B-containing NMDARs allows greater calcium influx and a wider window of coincidence detection. Thus, we envision (Figure 7C) that, in normal bSCs, dendritic branches that extend to the primary barrel would receive correlated input from thalamocortical afferents (TCAs) representing the same whisker, driving them to fire and, consequently, strengthen their synapses and stabilize their dendrites. At the same time, dendrites extending to neighboring barrels or septa would receive uncorrelated input. Initial selection of the primary barrel for a particular bSC is probably the result of stochastic or genetically controlled biases at the outset. However, once one of the barrels starts providing sufficiently more input than others, the other inputs coming from nonprimary barrels are not as effective in driving the bSCs to fire. The synapses in nonprimary barrels are weakened over time according to the extension of Hebb’s rule, and as a consequence, the dendrites corresponding to “weaker” input barrels are destabilized and pruned. In NR2B-/- cells, the ability to detect coincidence is severely compromised, thus the “reward” program to strengthen growth in the primary barrel, and perhaps more

---

**Figure 7. Summary and Model for NR2B Function in Dendrite Patterning**

(A) Schematic summary of dendrite development of a normal (yellow) and an NR2B +/- (green) bSC born at E13.5. Pruning of supernumerary primary dendrite 1–3 weeks after birth (P0–P14) in normal bSCs correlates with the arrival of entorhinal cortical input. This pruning does not occur in NR2B-/- dGCs. Schematic modified after Zhao et al. (2008).

(B) Schematic summary of dendrite development of a normal (yellow) and an NR2B +/- (green) bSC in the developing barrel cortex. At P3, bSCs (blue circles) have not organized into barrels; thalamocortical axons (red dots) are yet to sort out their innervation patterns; normal and NR2B-/- cells exhibit a similar degree of asymmetry. At P6, when barrel pattern first becomes apparent, normal cells already direct the vast majority of dendrites toward the primary barrel, whereas NR2B-/- cells fail to do so. In the next 9 days, normal cells continue to grow their dendritic trees, but limited to the primary barrels. NR2B-/- cells also grow their dendritic trees at the same rate, but in the primary barrel as well as in secondary barrel(s) and septa between barrels. Schematic of the whisker barrel system modified after Petersen (2007).

(C) Model for NR2B-dependent dendrite patterning of a bSC. Dendritic branches are initially contacted by thalamocortical afferents (TCAs) representing different whiskers (blue and red). An initial bias causes the bSC to fire according to the red inputs and in so doing strengthens synapses and the stability of the dendrites contacted by red inputs while weakening those contacted by the blue inputs via NR2B-dependent Hebbian mechanisms.

---

importantly, the “punishment” program to prune dendrites in the nonprimary barrel, cannot be properly executed.

NMDARs could regulate dendrite growth and pruning through strengthening and weakening of synapses using mechanisms akin to those intensely studied in long-term synaptic potentiation and depression (reviewed in Malenka and Bear, 2004). In addition, calcium entry through NMDARs can affect dendrite development through local action on the cytoskeleton and through transcriptional programs (reviewed in Konur and Ghosh, 2005; Zheng and Poo, 2007). The calcium-induced transcriptional programs require the discrimination of dendrites that are to be strengthened or weakened, but this can in principle be achieved by the tagging mechanism proposed in protein synthesis-dependent long-term synaptic plasticity (Frey and Morris, 1997; Martin et al., 1997).

Although we cannot rule out the possibility that the dendrite patterning phenotypes are caused by a reduction of total NMDAR activity, rather than a specific loss of NR2B, we favor the latter possibility. In the barrel cortex, NR2A, the other major NR2 subunit, is not prominently expressed until P7 (Liu et al., 2004), after the patterning defect is already evident (Figure 6). Moreover, NR2B couples to distinct intracellular scaffolding molecules (reviewed in van Zundert et al., 2004) and signal transduction pathways as compared to other NR2 subunits. For instance, NR2B, but not NR2A or NR1, forms a complex with autophosphorylated CaMKII (Strack and Colbran, 1998) that sustains calcium/calcmodulin-independent CaMKII kinase activity (Bayer et al., 2001). Interestingly, CaMKII phosphorylates NeuroD at Ser336, a site conserved in NeuroD2 (Gaudilliére et al., 2004), and NeuroD2 has been shown to be essential for barrel cortex pattern formation (Ince-Dunn et al., 2006).

Mosaic Analysis of NR2B in Dendrite Development

Our study illustrates the utility of the MADM system to reveal cell-autonomous functions of important genes in mammalian neural development. Although Cre-mediated excision of floxed alleles can be used for tissue-specific knockout of genes to bypass lethality and determine tissue autonomy, it is difficult to create and label sparse knockout cells to address cell autonomy in a rigorous manner. MADM, as well as the recently developed SLICK method (Young et al., 2008), permits the generation of isolated single mutant cells, while at the same time uniquely labeling these cells so their morphology and physiological properties can be analyzed in an otherwise normal tissue. The limitation of the current MADM system is that candidate genes must be located distal to ROSA26 on chromosome 6, where the original MADM cassettes were inserted (Zong et al., 2005). We are in the process of creating MADM cassettes on other mouse chromosomes so that more genes can be subjected to similar mosaic analysis.

Experimental Procedures

Tissue Preparation and 5-Chloro-2-Deoxyuridine Analysis

All animal procedures were based on animal care guidelines and were approved by the Stanford University Administrative Panels on Laboratory Care. Tissue preparation and 5-chloro-2-deoxyuridine (CiDu; Sigma, catalogue number C6891) staining and analysis was performed according to Espinosa and Luo (2008).

Dendrite Reconstructions and Analysis

Serial 60-µm sagittal sections of hippocampus and tangential sections of barrel cortex were immunostained and imaged through a 40 x (1.3 NA) oil objective by 1 µm optical sectioning by using a Zeiss 510 confocal microscopy (Carl Zeiss Inc., Oberkochen, Germany). For hippocampus, isolated P21 dGCs (fewer than four cells per 60-µm section) were selected that met the following criteria: (1) cell body in the upper one-third of the granule cell layer, (2) cell located in the suprapyramidal blade, (3) dendritic tree could be followed to the top of the molecular layer, and (4) mature spines are present. Hippocampal dGCs would traverse approximately four 60-µm thick sections; dendrites within each section were traced in 3D using the Imaris 6.2 software (Bitplane), and then the whole dendritic tree was reconstructed by manually aligning and stitching the sections together. For barrel cortex, isolated bSCs (fewer than five cells per 60-µm section in the barrel field) located at the edge of the barrel boundary were randomly selected for quantification. Using DAPI as a counterstain and GFP autofluorescence, the barrel boundary was identifiable at low magnification (10× objective). Generally, bSCs traverse no more than two sections. Each confocal image was traced with Imaris and sequentially aligned and stitched together to obtain a complete 3D reconstruction. Following 3D reconstructions, number of primary dendrites, dendrite length, branchpoint number, 3D Sholl analysis, and branch order complexity were quantified by using Imaris. Additionally, 3D reconstructions were superimposed over low-magnification DAPI and GFP images. Using Imaris, branch segments are

NR2B Is Not Essential for General Dendrite Growth and Branching

Despite profound dendrite patterning defects, the dendrites of NR2B−/− dGCs and bSCs grow to their normal length and acquire a normal number of branchpoints. Although it is possible that NR2B may regulate dynamics of branch elongation and retraction at a fast timescale, developmental studies at intervals of every few days reveal a similar rate of dendrite elongation and branch addition. The difference between our findings and previous studies implicating NMDAR function in promoting (e.g., Rajan and Cline, 1998; Sin et al., 2002) or limiting (e.g., Luthi et al., 2001; Datwani et al., 2002) dendrite growth can be accounted for by the following two factors. First, nonautonomous effects of NMDAR perturbation may affect dendrite growth. For example, cortex-specific knockout of NR1 also affects thalamocortical axon terminal arborization (Lee et al., 2005), which can in turn affect stellate cell dendrite growth. Second, other NR2 subunits may contribute to dendrite growth. For example, even though NR2B is highly expressed in layer 4 barrel cortex, with a 2-fold increase in expression from P2 to P7, NMDARs consisting of NR2A subunits are expressed from P7 onward (Liu et al., 2004), and these NR2A-containing NMDARs could regulate general dendrite growth and branching. Consistent with this interpretation, a recent study reported that knockdown of NR2A, but not NR2B, in isolated Xenopus tectal neurons causes dendrite growth defects (Ewald et al., 2008). Future genetic mosaic studies on genes encoding the obligate NR1 subunit should help address these possibilities.

As discussed in the previous section, regulation of dendrite patterning by NMDARs must eventually be realized through local growth or elimination of dendritic branches according to their activity patterns. Thus, the fact that NR2B mutant cells have profound patterning defects without affecting the total dendritic length and branching points implies the existence of an NR2B-independent homeostatic program that regulates the size of dendritic trees appropriate for particular cell types.
separated into the primary barrel, septae (region in between barrel centers negative for GFP autofluorescence), or secondary barrel(s) compartments. Dendritic segments within each compartment were quantified for total length, total branchpoint number, and number of primary dendrites.

SUPPLEMENTAL DATA

The Supplemental Data include Supplemental Experimental Procedures, two figures, and Supplemental References and can be found with this article online at http://www.cell.com/neuron/supplemental/S0896-6273(09)00205-0.
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Supplemental Experimental Procedures

Genotyping of mice
All genotyping was done using polymerase chain reaction (PCR) amplification of genomic DNA prepared from mouse tissue. Tissue samples were incubated in NaOH (50 mM) at 95°C for 40 minutes, neutralized with 1 M Tris, and supernatant was added to PCR mixture for amplification. As described in (Zong et al., 2005), genotyping of MADM mice was performed by primer sequences: (1) 5'-CTCTGCTGCCTCCTGGCTTCT-3' and 5'-TCAATGGGCGGGGGTGGT-3' to amplify a 250 bp fragment from targeted alleles, and (2) 5'-CTCTGCTGCCTCCTGGCTTCT-3' and 5'-CGAGGCCGATCACAAGCAATA-3' to amplify a 330 bp fragment from wild-type alleles. Genotyping of Cre-targeted mice was determined by primer sequences: (1) 5'-CACCCTGTTACGTATAGCGG-3' and 5'-GAGTCATCCTTAGCGCGCTA-3' to amplify a 300 bp fragment from targeted alleles, and (2) 5'-CCAATCTGCTCACACAGGATAGAGGAGGCGAGG-3' and 5'-CCTTGAGGCTGTCCAAGTGATTCAGGCCATCG-3' to amplify a 500 bp fragment from PCR positive control. Genotyping of NR2B-targeted mice was determined by primer sequences: (1) 5'-GGCTACCTGCCCATTAGCACCACCAAGCGAAAC-3' and 5'-ATGGAAAGTCTATTACTGCTG-3' to amplify a 551 bp fragment from targeted alleles, and (2) 5'-ATGAAGCCCAGCGGCAGTG-3' and 5'-ATGGAAAGTCTATTACTGCTG-3' to amplify a 180 bp fragment from wild-type alleles. The reaction solutions contained 16 µl ddH₂O, 2 µL 10x PCR reaction buffer (15
mM MgCl\textsubscript{2}), 0.4 \mu L dNTPs (10 mM), 0.4 \mu L oligonucleotide primer mix (10 pmol/\mu l), 0.2 \mu L Qiagen Taq DNA polymerase (5 units/\mu l; Qiagen, Hilden, Germany), and 1 \mu l of solubilized genomic DNA (20 \mu l final volume). The cycling conditions for MADM- and Cre-targeted amplification: (1) 94°C 3’, (2) 94°C 20” (melting), 58°C 25” (annealing), 72°C 45” (extension) for 32 cycles, and 3x 72°C 5’. The cycling conditions for NR2B-targeted amplification: (1) 94°C 3’, (2) 94°C 20”, 58°C 25”, 72°C 60”, for 32 cycles, and 3x 72°C 5’. Reaction products were run on 1.5% agarose gels and visualized using ethidium bromide.

**Hippocampal culture**

Hippocampi were dissected from postnatal day 0 NR2B\textsuperscript{GR/GR;nestin-Cre} mice and incubated for 10 minutes at 37°C in 10 mg/mL trypsin (Sigma, St. Louis, MO: Cat. T1005). Cells were then washed in Hank’s balanced salt solution, dissociated by trituration with a fire-polished, siliconized Pasteur pipette, and plated on matrigel–coated glass coverslips in 24-well plates. Cells from one hippocampus were plated into ~6 coverslips. The cultures were maintained in 5% CO\textsubscript{2} at 37°C in MEM (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA: Cat. 51200) supplemented with B-27 (Gibco-Invitrogen: Cat. 17504-044) and 10% fetal bovine serum (Omega Scientific: Cat. FB-01). Cultures were fed 1 day after plating by replacing half the medium with medium containing the addition of 4 \mu M of cytosine arabinoside (Sigma: Cat. C6645). In all experiments, neurons were used between 4 and 6 days after plating.

**Immunofluorescence staining of cultured neurons**

Hippocampal cells were fixed in ice-cold 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA; Sigma: Cat. 441244) in phosphate buffer supplemented with 4% sucrose. Fixed cells were then permeabilized in 0.1M phosphate buffer saline, pH 7.4 (PBS; Sigma: Cat. P3813) plus 0.3% Triton X-100 (PBST; Sigma: Cat. 234729), blocked with 10% normal donkey serum (NDS) in PBST for 30 minutes, and incubated for 1 hour at RT in primary antibodies in PBST: chicken anti-GFP (1:500; Aves Labs, Tigard, OR: Cat. GFP-1020) preabsorbed goat anti-MYC (1:200; Novus, Littleton, CO: Cat. NB600-338), and rabbit anti-NR2B (1:100; formerly Chemicon; Millipore Billerica, MA: Cat. AB1557P) or
mouse anti-NR1 (1:500; BD Biosciences: Cat. 556308). After three 10 minute PBST washes, cells were incubated for 1 hour at RT in secondary antibodies in PBST: donkey anti-chicken FITC (1:500; Jackson ImmunoResearch, West Grove, PA: Cat. 703-095-155) donkey anti-goat Cy5 (1:500; Jackson ImmunoResearch: Cat. 705-175-003) and donkey anti-rabbit Cy3 (1:500; Jackson ImmunoResearch: Cat. 711-165-152) or donkey anti-mouse Cy3 (1:500; Jackson ImmunoResearch: Cat. 715-165-150). After three 10 minute PBST washes, last wash with a fluorescent stain that binds strongly to DNA, 4’,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole dihydrochloride (DAPI; Sigma: Cat. D8417), and final 10 minute washes in PBS, samples were mounted in Fluoro-Gel mounting medium (EMS, Hatfield, PA: Cat. 17985-10). Cells were imaged through a 40x (1.3 NA) oil objective by 1-µm optical sectioning using confocal microscopy (Carl Zeiss, Inc.; Oberkochen, Germany). Preabsorption of goat anti-Myc (see above) was conducted by postfixing three wild-type brains cut into small pieces, blocked at 4°C overnight with 10% NDS in PBST, and transferred into 30 ml of working solution goat anti-Myc in PBST for >2 days absorption.

**Ca^{2+} imaging**

Hippocampal neurons 4-6 days in vitro from postnatal day 0 NR2B^{+/-} GR/GR;nestin-Cre (MADM-Green-KO) and GR/GR;nestin-Cre (MADM-WT) mice were used for calcium imaging. NR2B^{+/-} and NR2B^{-/-} cells originate from MADM-Green-KO mice whereas NR2B^{++} cells originate from MADM-WT mice. Cells were loaded 30–60 min with 2 µM Fura-2, acetoxymethyl (AM) ester form (Invitrogen: Cat. 1221), and 0.02% Pluronic F-127 (Invitrogen: Cat. 3000MP) in conditioned hippocampal growth medium in a 37°C/5% CO₂ incubator. Fura-2 fluorescence, measured at 0.33 Hz, was elicited by excitation at 340 and 380 nm, detected at 510 nm, and imaged with a charge coupled device camera (C4742-95 Orca; Hamamatsu Photonics). The 340/380 ratio was quantified using regions of interest outlining the entire cell body. After background subtraction, ratios were converted to [Ca^{2+}], based on an empirical fit of ratios obtained from a Fura-2 Ca^{2+} imaging calibration kit (Invitrogen: Cat. F-6774). Bath solution exchanges were performed via gravity-fed perfusion, with 50% volume exchange in <2 s. To induce NMDA-mediated responses, >30 sec of baseline recording in a Mg^{2+}-free
Tyrode’s solution (containing 151 mM NaCl, 5 mM KCl, 2 mM CaCl$_2$, 10 mM HEPES, 10 mM glucose, and 0.5 μM TTX) preceded a 20 s application of the same Tyrode’s solution with 100 μM NMDA (Tocris: Cat. 0114) and 10 μM Glycine (Tocris: Cat. 0219). To selectively block NR2B-containing NMDA receptors, we included 3 μM ifenprodil (IF, Sigma-RBI: Cat. I2892) in the Tyrode’s solution before and during stimulation. To block all NMDA receptors we included 50 μM D-2-amino-5-phosphonopentanoate (D-AP5, Tocris: Cat. 0106) throughout the experiment. For each cell, the stimulation response was measured by taking the difference between the average of the first 15 s after stimulation onset and the average of the immediately preceding baseline 15 s before stimulation onset. In some experiments, after a wash in 5 mM K$^+$ Tyrode’s, we stimulated cells with 90 mM K$^+$ to verify that MADM-labeled cells underwent normal Ca$^{2+}$ elevation in response to activation of voltage-dependent Ca$^{2+}$ channel.

**Dendritic spine analysis**

Using a 63x (1.3 NA) oil objective and 5x zoom, dendrite segments were imaged by 1-μm optical sectioning using confocal microscopy to visualize entire extent of dendrite process and to capture spine details in all focal planes. Segments were randomly selected from GFP$^+$ NR2B$^{-/-}$ and NR2B$^{+/+}$ cells as follows: distal end segments of dentate gyrus granule cells, lateral and basal segments (50-μm from branch point) of CA1 pyramidal cells, and secondary segments of layer 4 spiny stellate cells in the barrel cortex. Images were uploaded into Imaris to visualize spines in 3D and to perform spine counts. Spine counts were per 10-μm segment in hippocampal cells and per 20-μm segment in barrel cortical cells. For each cell, >2 segments were quantified and averaged. All protrusions, irrespective of their morphological characteristics, were counted as spines if they were in direct continuity with the dendritic shaft. For each genotype and cell type, >20 cells were analyzed from >10 brains.
Figure S1. Schematic of the MADM strategy and crossing schemes

(A) Schematic of the MADM method illustrating Cre-mediated inter-chromosomal recombination that results in reconstitution of two fluorescent markers, GFP and RFP (dsRed2-Myc). If recombination occurs in G2 phase, chromatids can segregate to generate two differentially labeled cells with altered genotypes (G2-X segregation), or one doubly labeled cell and one unlabeled cell without changing the genotype (G2-Z segregation).
segregation). Recombination in G1 or postmitotic cells (G0) generates a doubly labeled cell without changing the genotype. This scheme generates MADM-Green-KO mice (Figure 1A); to generate MADM-Red-KO mice, simply place \(NR2B\) mutant allele on the RG chromosome instead of the GR chromosome.

(B) Crossing scheme to generate MADM \(NR2B\) knockout mice. (B\(_1\)) Mice carrying one MADM cassette (\(GR\)) are crossed to mice heterozygous for a null mutation of \(NR2B\). (B\(_2\)) Trans-heterozygous progeny are then crossed to mice carrying the reciprocal MADM cassette (\(RG\)) and Cre transgene of choice. (B\(_3\)) Mice that inherit a chromosome that has undergone meiotic recombination between \(GR\) and \(NR2B-KO\) allele, as well as \(RG\) and Cre, can produce mutant cells labeled in green only (MADM-Green-KO; Figure 1A) through Cre/loxP-directed mitotic recombination in somatic cells. MADM-Red-KO can be generated by crossing mice heterozygous for a null mutation of \(NR2B\) with mice carrying \(RG\) in (B\(_1\)); the trans-heterozygous progeny can then be crossed to GR/GR; Cre/Cre in (B\(_2\)).
Figure S2. Dendrite development and distribution of NR2B$^{+/+}$ of layer 4 spiny stellate cells

Representative images and 3D reconstructions of sparse MADM labeled NR2B$^{+/+}$ bSCs at
postnatal days as indicated. Left panels are low magnification images showing labeled cells with DAPI staining. Middle panels are confocal images of MADM-labeled cells. Right panels are corresponding 3D tracings (superimposed over barrel boundaries for P6-P21). Scale bars, 100 μm for (A$_1$-F$_1$); 50 μm for the remainder. Qualitatively, dendrite distribution of NR2B$^{+/+}$ cells is indistinguishable from NR2B$^{+-}$ cells (see Figures 5 and 6). These green-labeled NR2B$^{+/+}$ cells were generated by the MADM-Red-KO scheme (Figure 1A middle).

**Supplemental References**