and the bosonic field, $v$ is the quartic coupling among the bosonic fields, $\lambda$ is the linear coupling between the bosonic field and the orthorhombic lattice distortion $\epsilon$, and $q$ is the momentum transfer within one Brillouin zone. Minimizing the action with respect to $\epsilon$, we arrive at $\epsilon = \lambda (\Delta)/C$, where $C$ is the shear modulus. In other words, the orthorhombic lattice distortion is proportional to the nematic order parameter $\Delta$, and both are expected to develop nonzero expectation values above $T_s$ (12–14). However, the nematic field $\Delta$ undergoes fluctuations in the tetragonal phase above $T_s$, while lattice distortion $\epsilon$ remains zero. These fluctuations will be observable in dynamic quantities, such as the finite-energy spin fluctuations, and in transport measurements. We therefore conclude that the scale $T^*$, below which we observe anisotropy of low-energy spin fluctuations (Figs. 3E, 4E, and 4F) and where the resistivity anisotropy is observed (Fig. 3E, right inset), marks a typical range of the nematic fluctuations.

Several remarks are in order. First, the applied uniaxial pressure used to detwist the samples will induce a finite value of $\epsilon$ at any temperature, so that strictly speaking, the structural transition at $T_s$ will be rendered a crossover. In practice, how-

Finally, our measurements in the spin channel do not necessarily signal a thermodynamic order at the temperature $T^*$. Rather, $T^*$ likely signals a crossover, whereas the true nematic transition occurs at $T_s$ (9). This implies that a static order above $T_s$ inferred from recent measurements of magnetic torque anisotropy in the isostructural BaFe$_2$As$_2$-Fe$_2$As$_2$ (38) is most likely not in the spin channel accessible to the inelastic neutron scattering. A static order in other channels—such as, for instance, an octupolar order—would, however, not contradict our observations.

REFERENCES AND NOTES

27. Materials and methods are available as supplementary material on Science Online.

The Grzibov–Lindau action up to the 4th power of $M_i$: $S_{ij} = S_0 [M_i M_j] = \frac{1}{2} \delta_{ij} (\gamma_0 + q \cdot y_i) [M_i M_j] + n_i^2 (M_i M_j)^2$, where $\gamma_0 = \gamma_0 + q \cdot y_i$.
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**SELECTIVE ATTENTION**

Long-range and local circuits for top-down modulation of visual cortex processing

Siyu Zhang,† Min Xu,† Tsukasa Kamigaki,† Johnny Phong Hoang Do,† Wei-Cheng Chang,† Sean Jenvay,† Kazunari Miyamichi,‡ Lijun Luo,‡ Yang Dun‡

Top-down modulation of sensory processing allows the animal to select inputs most relevant to current tasks. We found that the circulate (Cg) region of the mouse frontal cortex powerfully influences sensory processing in the primary visual cortex through long-range projections that activate locally laminobutynic acid–ergic (GABAergic) circuits. Optogenetic activation of Cg neurons enhanced V1 neuron responses and improved visual discrimination. Focal activation of Cg axons in V1 caused a response increase at the activation site but a decrease at nearby locations (center-surround modulation). Whereas somatostatin-positive GABAergic interneurons contributed preferentially to suppression, vasoactive intestinal peptide–positive interneurons were crucial for center facilitation. Long-range corticocortical projections thus act through local microcircuits to exert spatially specific top-down modulation of sensory processing.

Sensory processing is strongly modulated by the animal’s behavioral state. A well-known example is top-down attention, a powerful mechanism for selecting processing of behaviorally relevant information and filtering out irrelevant stimuli. In visual cortical areas, many neurons exhibit enhanced responses to attended stimuli (1–4). Several frontal and parietal cortical regions have been implicated as the sources of top-down modulation...
signals (1, 2, 5, 6), especially the dorsolateral prefrontal cortex and frontal eye field (FEF) (7–10). Electrical stimulation of the FEF enhanced V4 neuron responses at the retinotopically corresponding location and suppressed responses at other locations (11), resembling the center-surround profile of attentional modulation (1, 3, 14, 15). Beyond identifying the signal sources, however, the synaptic circuits mediating top-down modulation are largely unknown. In addition to corticocortical projections, FEF also projects to the thalamus and other subcortical circuits that modulate cortical processing (16–20). The role of each pathway has not been clearly delineated. Furthermore, because long-range corticocortical projections are primarily glutamatergic, whether and how they provide center-surround modulation is unknown.

To examine the circuit mechanism of top-down modulation in mouse brain, we first identified neurons in the frontal cortex that directly project to visual cortex by injecting fluorescent latex microspheres (Retrobeads) into V1. We found numerous retrogradely labeled neurons in the cingulate (Cg) area (Fig. 1, A to C; and fig. S1, A and B). To visualize the axonal projections from Cg excitatory neurons, we injected adeno-associated virus [AAV-CaMKIIa-hChR2(H134R)-EYFP] into Cg. We found enhanced yellow fluorescent protein (EYFP)-labeled axons in both V1 and surrounding visual areas, with the axons in V1 preferentially distributed in layers 1 and 6 (Fig. 1E and fig. SIC). Cg neurons also project to the superior colliculus (Fig. 1, D and E) (21).

To test the functional influence of Cg neuron activity on visual processing, we applied laser stimulation to the Cg of the mouse injected with AAV-CaMKIIa-hChR2(H134R)-EYFP (Fig. 2A), which evoked reliable neuronal spiking (Fig. 2B). Cell-attached recordings in V1 of anesthetized mice measured neuronal responses to drifting gratings stimuli in both control (laser-off) and Cg activation (laser-on) trials. Cg activation strongly increased V1 neuron firing rate at the preferred orientation but not at nonpreferred orientation (Fig. 2C). This resulted in an approximately multiplicative scaling of the tuning curve (Fig. 2, C and D), similar to the effects of top-down attention (22) and FEF stimulation (12). Cg activation also increased the slope of V1 neuron response as a function of stimulus contrast (contrast-response function) (fig. S2B). In control mice not injected with AAV, laser stimulation had no effect (fig. S2, C and D), and the laser-induced response increase was significantly higher in the ChR2 than control mice ($P = 8 \times 10^{-4}$, t test).

To further test the functional influence of Cg activity, we applied optogenetic manipulations in awake mice. Cg activation enhanced V1 responses at a level comparable with that in anesthetized mice (Fig. 2, D and E). Conversely, inactivation of Cg excitatory neurons significantly decreased V1 responses. Stimulation of primate FEF not only enhances visual cortical responses but also improves perceptual performance (23). We thus tested the behavioral effect of Cg activation in mice trained to perform a visual discrimination task. Cg activation significantly improved the performance ($P < 0.02$ for each of 5 mice, paired t test) (Fig. 2F). In control mice injected with AAV-CaMKIIa-mCherry, laser had no effect ($P > 0.42$), and the laser-induced improvement was significantly greater for the ChR2 than control mice ($P = 8 \times 10^{-4}$, t test). The laser stimulation used in these experiments evoked no notable eye movement, although stimulation at much higher laser power and frequencies could evoke saccade-like movement.

In principle, Cg neuron activity can influence V1 processing through either the direct projection or indirect pathways via other brain structures. To test whether the direct projection is sufficient for the modulation, we optogenetically

---

**Fig. 1. Cg projects to visual cortex and superior colliculus (SC).** (A) Schematic of Cg projections. Dashed lines indicate locations of coronal sections shown in this figure: (1) Cg; (2) V1; (3) SC. (B to D) Retrograde tracing. (B) (Left) Fluorescence image at location (2) showing retrobeads (green) injected into V1. Arrowhead, injection site; red, Nissl staining. (Right) Labeled neurons (dots) at (1), in region outlined by black rectangle (inset). (C) Fluorescence image for red square in (B). Arrowheads indicate labeled neurons. (D) Similar to (B), with retrobeads injected into SC. (E) Anterograde tracing from Cg. (Left) Fluorescence image at (1). Arrowhead indicates AAV injection site. (Middle and right) Cg projections to V1 and SC, respectively. SCs/SCm, sensory/motor-related SC.
activated Cg axons in V1 of anesthetized mice (Fig. 3A). Laser stimulation in a small area (~200 μm in diameter) encompassing the recorded cell (Fig. 3B) increased both the tuning curve amplitude (Fig. 3, C and D, left) and contrast-response slope (Fig. S3). The magnitude of increase was ~70% of that induced through Cg neuron activation (Fig. 2, D and E).

In primates, both top-down attention and FEF stimulation exert center-surround modulation of visual cortical responses (1, 3, 11, 14, 15). We next systematically varied the location of laser stimulation relative to the recorded cell (Fig. 3B). Laser stimulation at 200 μm significantly decreased the tuning curve amplitude (Fig. 3, C and D, right). The spatial profile of response modulation consisted of a facilitatory center and a suppressive surround (Fig. 3E), reminiscent of the effects of top-down attention (1, 3, 14, 15) and FEF stimulation (11). Activation of Cg axons could antidromically induce spiking of the cell bodies, which may activate axon collaterals to other brain areas. We thus repeated the axon stimulation experiment after blocking spiking in Cg with 2% lidocaine. Similar center-surround modulation was still observed in V1 (fig. S4).

Because the Cg→V1 projections activated in our experiments are glutamatergic, the center-surround modulation is likely to involve V1 local circuits. We therefore made whole-cell recordings in V1 slices. Because the topical laser stimulation used in vivo (Fig. 3, A and B) is likely to activate Cg axons in layer 1 preferentially, in slice experiments we also stimulated layer 1 axons (fig. S5A). We found both excitatory and inhibitory postsynaptic currents (EPSCs and IPSCs) in layer 2/3 pyramidal neurons near the laser stimulation site (fig. S5B). The EPSCs showed short-onset latencies (1.9 ± 0.1 ms, mean ± SEM),
suggesting monosynaptic inputs from Cg axons. However, the IPSCs showed significantly longer latencies (6.2 ± 0.4 ms, $P = 5 \times 10^{-16}$, paired t test) and were completely blocked by 6-cyano-7-nitroquinoxaline-2,3-dione (CNQX; 10 μM) (fig. S5C), suggesting disynaptic inhibition. We then measured the excitatory and inhibitory inputs as functions of distance between laser stimulation and the recorded cell (fig. S5, D and E). Although the strength of excitatory input decreased monotonically, the inhibitory input was stronger at 200 than at 0 μm. Such a spatial profile seems well suited for generating surround suppression in vivo (fig. 3E).

The Cg axon–induced disynaptic inhibition of pyramidal neurons should originate from local γ-aminobutyric acid–ergic (GABAergic) neurons. Parvalbumin-positive (PV+) and somatostatin-positive (SOM+) interneurons are three major nonoverlapping populations of cortical GABAergic neurons (24–25) and play different roles in visual processing (26–28). To assess the role of each subtype in top-down modulation, we first measured their responses to Cg axon stimulation. Each subtype was identified by breeding loxP-flanked tdTomato reporter mice with PV-, SOM-, or VIP-Cre mice (fig. S6A). Activation of layer 1 Cg axons evoked short-latency (~3 ms) excitatory postsynaptic potentials (EPSPs) in all three interneuron subtypes, even after V1 neuron spiking was blocked by tetrodotoxin (TTX) (fig. S6, B to G) (29). Rabies virus–mediated monosynaptic retrograde tracing (30) confirmed that all three subtypes received direct Cg innervation (fig. S7). The Cg input was stronger in VIP+ than the other neuron types in layer 2/3 (fig. S6E), similar to the motor–somatosensory cortex input (31).

We next measured the contribution of each interneuron subtype to disynaptic inhibition of pyramidal neurons using optogenetic inactivation. To express halorhodopsin (Halo) in each subtype, we crossed PV-, SOM-, or VIP-Cre mice with loxP-flanked Halo reporter mice (32). ChR2 was expressed in Cg excitatory neurons by using AAV-CaMKIIa-hChR2(H134R)-EYFP. Inactivation of PV+ neurons (yellow laser, in an area >600 μm in diameter) (fig. 4A) reduced Cg axon–induced inhibitory inputs at 0, 200, and 400 μm, but the strongest inhibition was still observed at 200 μm (fig. 4B, left). In contrast, inactivating SOM+ neurons caused the largest reduction of inhibition at 200 μm, so that the strength of inhibition decreased monotonically with distance (middle). Inactivating VIP+ neurons caused an increase in inhibition at 0 μm and no change at other locations (right). Thus, VIP+ neurons caused spatially localized disinhibition, likely by preferentially innervating SOM+ neurons (31, 33–35).

Last, to test the roles of these interneuron subtypes in top-down modulation of visual processing, we optogenetically inactivated them while activating Cg axons in vivo (fig. 4C). PV+ neuron inactivation caused similar response increases at 0, 200, and 400 μm (fig. 4, D and E, left). Their inactivation with yellow laser alone without Cg axon stimulation also induced a response increase ($P = 0.01$) (fig. 4D, yellow circle), suggesting that the effect was partly due to a general reduction of cortical inhibition unrelated to Cg axon stimulation. SOM+ neuron inactivation caused the largest response increase at 200 μm, converting the surround suppression into a slight facilitation (fig. 4, D and E, middle). The increase at 200 μm was greater than the effect of yellow laser alone ($P = 0.002$), indicating that SOM+ neurons contribute strongly to Cg axon–induced surround suppression. Inactivation of VIP+ neurons, on the other hand, blocked the center facilitation (at 0 μm) without affecting surround suppression (fig. 4, D and E, right).

We identified a region of mouse frontal cortex that can exert spatially specific top-down modulation of visual processing, which is a hallmark of selective attention. The spatial pattern of Cg projections (fig. 1 and fig. S1) and its powerful modulation of visual processing indicate functional similarity between mouse Cg and primate...
The Cg cell type, we constructed a simple circuit diagram (Fig. 4F). The Cg cortex, top-down attention enhances the firing rates of putative inhibitory interneurons (33). Besides Cg projection to layer 1, other pathways may also contribute to top-down modulation, including the projection to V1 layer 6 and indirect pathways through other brain areas (16–19, 40). Furthermore, whereas spatial attention involves center-surround modulation in the space domain, feature attention also biases the competition between attended and unattended stimuli (I), perhaps via circuit mechanisms similar to those described here but operating in higher visual areas in stimulus feature space (41). Enhancing neuronal representation of relevant input and filtering out irrelevant stimuli are two equally important aspects of selective attention. Long-range glutamatergic projections can exert both types of modulation by activating local circuits that contain distinct subtypes of GABAergic interneurons.
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Centennial changes in North Pacific anoxia linked to tropical trade winds

Curtis Deutsch,† William Berelson,‡ Robert Thunell,§ Thomas Weber,¶ Caitlin Tems,¶ James McManus,¶ John Crusius,¶ Taka Ito,¶ Timothy Baumgartner,¶ Vicente Ferreira,¶ Jacob Mey,¶ Alexander van Geen*†

Climate warming is expected to reduce oxygen (O2) supply to the ocean and expand its oxygen minimum zones (OMZs). We reconstructed variations in the extent of North Pacific anoxia since 1850 using a geochemical proxy for denitrification (15N) from multiple sediment cores. Increasing 15N since ~1900 records an expansion of anoxia, consistent with observed O2 trends. However, this was preceded by a longer declining 15N trend that implies that the anoxic zone was shrinking for most of the 20th century. Both periods can be explained by changes in winds over the tropical Pacific that drive upwelling, biological productivity, and O2 demand within the OMZ. If equatorial Pacific winds resume their predicted weakening trend, the ocean's largest anoxic zone will contract despite a global O2 decline.

Below the ocean's surface, the decomposition of sinking detritus creates a layer of low-O2 water inhospitable to many marine species (2). These oxygen minimum zones (OMZs) are predicted to expand with climate warming (2, 3), causing a major disruption to ecosystems, especially in areas where OMZs impinge on coastal environments already under low-O2 stress from natural or human causes (4–6). This putative expansion stems from two direct consequences of climate change: As the surface ocean warms, its gas solubility and density both decrease, reducing the concentration of O2 in surface water and the rate at which water is transported downward against a more stable stratification. The resulting decline in O2 supply to the ocean interior is generally supported by observed trends toward lower O2 over the past few decades, over many parts of the world's oceans (7), including the strong OMZs in the tropics (8).

The climatic response of the OMZ also depends on O2 demand, although the factors governing those biological rates are less well understood. Earth system model simulations project large future decreases in the sinking flux of organic matter throughout the tropics (9), which should reduce O2 demand in the OMZ, countering the loss of O2 supply. In the eastern tropical and subtropical Pacific Ocean, the OMZ variability over the last 50 years appears to have been driven primarily by O2 demand, which is strongly modulated by decadal climate variability (10, 11). In light of that variability, the instrumental O2 record is still sparse and short, making long-term trends in the OMZ difficult to detect or attribute especially in the most intense tropical OMZs in the Pacific and Indian oceans (8, 12). The relative strength of future changes in O2 supply versus demand in the tropical OMZ would be clearer if their long-term response to the climate warming since the industrial revolution were known.

We reconstructed changes in the OMZ of the eastern tropical northern Pacific (ETNP) over the past 150 years using a geochemical proxy for water column anoxia that is recorded in sediments (Fig. 1). At the eastern terminus of the OMZ, where thermocline waters shoal toward the productive surface layer, respiration depletes O2 and anaerobic bacteria begin reducing nitrate (NO3−) to oxidize organic matter (13) (Fig. 1). This denitrification process preferentially removes the lighter 14N isotope of N, leaving a residual NO3− pool enriched in heavier 15N (14, 15). The resulting nitrate with a high isotope ratio, δ15N(NO3−) = (15N/14N) − 1) × 1000, where R is the N isotope ratio in air, is upwelled to the surface, where it can be transferred to plankton communities and then, via sinking particles, into sediments (16, 17). In regions with complete consumption of upwelled nitrate, the δ15N of particulate organic nitrogen (PON) accumulating on the sea floor closely resembles that of nitrate in waters at ~100 m (18). In the absence of postdepositional alteration, downcore variations in δ15N provide a history of the integrated rates of denitrification and the size of the OMZ to which it is confined (19, 20).

To ensure a representative history of changes in OMZ intensity, we analyzed sediment cores from three sites along the North American margin.