The dicyanomethylenehydrofuran (DCDHF) class of single-molecule fluorophores contains an amine donor and a dicyanomethylenehydrofuran acceptor linked by a conjugated unit (benzene, naphthalene, or styrene). Molecules in this class have a number of useful properties in addition to those usually required for single-molecule studies (such as high fluorescence quantum yield and photostability), including second-order optical nonlinearity, large ground-state dipole moment, and sensitivity to local environment. Moreover, most DCDHF molecules have amphiphilic structures, with a polar dicyanomethylenehydrofuran headgroup and nonpolar hydrocarbon tails on the amine or furan ring, and can be used as fluorescent lipid analogues for live cell imaging. Here we demonstrate that individual molecules of several different DCDHF lipid analogues can be observed diffusing in the plasma membrane of Chinese hamster ovary cells. The photophysical and diffusive behaviors of the DCDHF lipid analogues in membranes are described and are found to be competitive with the well-known lipid probe N-(6-tetramethylrhodaminothiocarbamoyl)-1,2-dihexadecanoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphoethanolamine.
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Introduction

The study of lipid organization and dynamics in cellular membranes is a field of active research.1-4 In many cases, fluorescent lipid analogues, which mimic the amphoteric structure of naturally occurring lipids through a polar fluorescent headgroup linked to long hydrocarbon tails, are used to study lipid organization in cellular and model lipid membranes.5 For example, fluorescent lipid analogues such as Dil or Texas Red dipalmitoyl-phosphatidylethanolamine have been shown to preferentially partition into different lipid phases in bilayers, allowing relatively stable lipid domains with diameters larger than the diffraction limit (~300 nm) to be distinguished.6,7 A second strategy is to use environmentally sensitive fluorophores such as laurdan, prodan, dansyl, nitrobenzoxadiazole, and the recently reported di-4-ANEPPHQ. These molecules have also been used to label lipid membranes through preferential partitioning into different lipid phases and offer emission spectra, fluorescence lifetimes, or fluorescence quantum yields that vary based on the polarity or the viscosity of the environment.8-10 Experiments using environmentally sensitive fluorophores have focused on high-concentration imaging, where the membrane is homogeneously labeled and information on a large population of fluorophores is obtained.

With the advent of single-molecule spectroscopy11,12 and its extension to cellular studies,13,14 individual lipids and membrane-associated proteins have been followed to characterize the nanoscale local structure within the plasma membrane. In many of these studies, a fluorophore label such as Cy315 or Cy516,17 is covalently linked to the protein or lipid of interest. While this allows single copies of these membrane-associated molecules to be visualized, the fluorescence signal from these fluorophores is relatively stable and not typically used to report on changes in the local environment. Moreover, none of the environmentally sensitive analogues described above have been demonstrated to be useful in single-molecule imaging in the cellular environment. For example, laurdan and prodan photobleach easily and require short excitation wavelengths such as 360 nm, making them difficult to detect at the single-molecule level above the autofluorescence of cells without two-photon excitation.8,18 Thus, the development of an environmentally sensitive fluorescent lipid analogue that can be visualized at the single-molecule level would enable researchers to probe the local environment that surrounds each single molecule directly and possibly permit the detection of unstable, nanometer-scale regions or domains.

A new class of fluorophores that possesses a lipid-like amphiphilic structure and is well-suited for single-molecule studies has been reported.19-21 These molecules, termed DCDHFs, consist of an amine donor and a dicyanomethylenehydrofuran acceptor linked by a conjugated unit (benzene, naphthalene, styrene, etc.). The DCDHFs have a number of useful properties in addition to those usually required for single-molecule studies (such as high fluorescence quantum yield and photostability), including second-order optical nonlinearity, a large ground-state dipole moment, and sensitivity to the local environment. Structural modifications of the DCDHF fluorophores have tuned the absorption/emission of these molecules from UV to IR wavelengths while retaining their amphiphilic nature.19,20 Moreover, the emission wavelength and fluorescence quantum yield of molecules in this class are sensitive to solvent polarity and local rigidity.19,20 For example, in polymer films, high photostabilities (photobleaching quantum yield from 7.5 × 10⁻⁷ to 14 × 10⁻⁷) and high fluorescence quantum yields (0.39-0.95)
have been reported, while the fluorescence quantum yields for ethanol. CF3 exhibits a small shoulder, which we attribute to poor solubility in spectra, so only one is shown. The fluorescence spectrum of DCDHF-
were taken in ethanol. DCDHF-N-6 and DCDHF-N-12 have identical 514 nm for DCDHF-N-12, and 594 nm for TH-DCDHF-6V. All spectra wavelengths used to obtain the emission spectra are 460 nm for the DCDHF derivatives shown in part A. The excitation spectra for the DCDHF derivatives shown in part A. (A) Structures of DCDHF derivatives (for structures, see Figure 1). The syntheses of DCDHF-CF3, and ZL01_032Y are described in the Supporting Information other conditions cells were labeled prior to the treatment. Lipid sphingomyelinase and Cholesterol-depleted cells were labeled after treatment with concentration of ethanol during incubation was 1% v/v. RPMI 1640 phenol-red-free medium. FCS was excluded from the media during imaging to lower the background fluorescence signal. Data taken with and without FCS in the imaging media were identical.22

Labeling with DCDHF derivatives and Tritc-DHPE. N-(6-Tetramethylrhodaminethiocarbamoyl)-1,2-dihexadecanoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphoethanolamine (Tritc-DHPE) (Molecular Probes, Eugene, OR) was stored in chloroform (1 mg/mL, stock). Immediately before use, 1–5 μL of Tritc-DHPE stock solution was dried into a film and then reconstituted in 20–100 μL of ethanol. CHO cells were incubated with a final concentration of 100 nM–1 μM of Tritc-DHPE for 10–20 min at 37 °C in supplemented RPMI 1640 media with FCS. The maximum concentration of ethanol during incubation was 1% v/v. Cholesterol-depleted cells were labeled after treatment with sphingomyelinase and β-cyclodextrin (see below), but for all other conditions cells were labeled prior to the treatment.

The syntheses of DCDHF-N-6, DCDHF-N-12, ZL01_032Y, and ZL01_029Y are described in the Supporting Information (for structures, see Figure 1). The syntheses of DCDHF-CF3 and TH-DCDHF-6V have been reported elsewhere.23 DCDHF-18 is prepared in a manner analogous to the parent molecule DCDHF-6.20

Cells were labeled with the DCDHF molecules either by using the protocol described for Tritc-DHPE or by first incorporating the fluorophores in a lipid vesicle and incubating the vesicles with the cells. Exchange of lipids between the vesicles and the plasma membrane results in delivery of single-molecule concentrations of DCDHF to the plasma membrane.17,24 Lipid vesicles were prepared by lyophilizing 200–300 μL of egg phosphatidylcholine (20 mg/mL in chloroform stock; Avanti Polar Lipids, Inc., Alabaster, AL) and a low concentration of a DCDHF derivative (1–10 mol % DCDHF) for ~10 h and then reconstituting the film in 300 μL of Dulbeccos phosphate buffer solution (PBS) at pH 7.4. The mixture was passed 20–30 times through an extruder with a 100 nm pore filter (Avestin Inc., Ottawa, ON, Canada). Cells were incubated with a 2% v/v solution of vesicles in PBS for 10 min at room temperature. Cells were then washed with PBS followed by supplemented RPMI 1640.

Sphingomyelin Degradation and Cholesterol Depletion. Cells were incubated with sphingomyelinase (Sigma, St. Louis, MO; 0.03 U/10 000 cells) in supplemented RPMI without FCS for 10 min at 37 °C. The cells were then rinsed using
sphingomyelinase followed by 10 min with concentration after treatment of the CHO cells for 10 min with 2% of the total normal cell cholesterol. The sphingomyelinase with 10 mM supplemented RPMI with FCS, and the cells were incubated by at least 50%. The sphingomyelinase and treatment decreased the total cell sphingomyelin concentration at least 50%.

### TABLE 1: DCDHF Photophysics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>fluorophore</th>
<th>λ_{abs} (nm)</th>
<th>λ_{em} (nm)</th>
<th>ε_{max} (M^{-1}cm^{-1})</th>
<th>Φ_F ethanol</th>
<th>Φ_F toluene [PMMA]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DCDHF-CF3</td>
<td>525</td>
<td>557</td>
<td>86 000</td>
<td>0.0023</td>
<td>0.17 [0.71]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TH-DCDHF-6V</td>
<td>617</td>
<td>633</td>
<td>84 000</td>
<td>0.018</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DCDHF-N-6</td>
<td>543</td>
<td>657</td>
<td>38 000</td>
<td>0.017</td>
<td>0.85 [0.98]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DCDHF-N-12</td>
<td>543</td>
<td>657</td>
<td>38 000</td>
<td>0.017</td>
<td>0.85 [0.98]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZL01_029Y</td>
<td>473</td>
<td>513</td>
<td>60 000</td>
<td>0.017</td>
<td>0.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZL01_032Y</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>531</td>
<td>76 000</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td>0.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DCDHF-18</td>
<td>497</td>
<td>529</td>
<td>79 000</td>
<td>0.0066</td>
<td>0.044 [0.92]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Selected photophysical properties of DCDHF derivatives in various environments are summarized. Maximum absorbance and emission wavelengths and extinction coefficients are reported for the DCDHFs dissolved in ethanol. Fluorescence quantum yields (Φ_F) are reported in ethanol, toluene, and a spin-cast film of poly(methyl methacrylate) (PMMA).\(^{19}\) Note the dramatic increase in the quantum yields of DCDHFs in the locally rigid PMMA environment.\(^{19}\) DCDHF-N-6 and DCDHF-N-12 exhibit identical photophysical characteristics. ZL01_029Y does not dissolve sufficiently in toluene to obtain a reliable quantum yield.

supplemented RPMI with FCS, and the cells were incubated with 10 mM β-cyclodextrin (Sigma), or 2 × 10^{-6} mol β-cyclodextrin (β-CD) per 10 000 cells, in supplemented RPMI with FCS at 37 °C for 10 min. The total cell cholesterol concentration after treatment of the CHO cells for 10 min with sphingomyelinase followed by 10 min with β-CD was 40 ± 2% of the total normal cell cholesterol. The sphingomyelinase treatment decreased the total cell sphingomyelin concentration by at least 50%.\(^{22}\) The sphingomyelinase and β-CD were not present in the media during imaging.

**Single-Molecule Fluorescence Imaging.** The fluorescence imaging of the cells was performed with wide-field epillumination in an area of 8 × 8 μm², using an inverted microscope (Eclipse TE300, Nikon, Burlingame, CA). Laser illumination at 633 nm (106-1, Spectra-Physics, Mountain View, CA), 532 nm (GS32-20, Intelite Laser, Genoa, NV), or 514 nm (Innova 200 Argon Ion, Coherent, Santa Clara, CA) provided an intensity of ~2 kW/cm² at the sample plane. The epifluorescence was collected with a 100 × magnification, 1.4 NA, oil-immersion objective (PlanApo, Nikon) and infinity-corrected objective adaptor (Nikon). A 645 nm dichroic beam splitter and 640 nm Alpha-Epsilon long-pass filter (Omega Optical, Inc., Brattleboro, VT), a 545 nm dichroic beam splitter and 540 nm long-pass filter (Omega Optical, Inc.), or a 525 nm dichroic beam splitter and a 530 nm long-pass filter (Omega Optical, Inc.) were used to filter the emission. The emission was collected using an on-chip frame-transfer electron-multiplying charge coupled device (EMCCD) camera (Cascade 512B, Roper Scientific, Trenton, NJ) at frame rates from 33 to 100 Hz. The temperature of the sample was controlled using a homemade heating stage in combination with an objective heating collar (Bioptechs, Butler, PA). Details of this experimental apparatus have been described elsewhere.\(^{25}\) The temperature of the air above the sample was maintained at 37.1 ± 0.50 °C. The temperature of the solution within each well was measured after equilibration for 1 h and found to be 36.4 ± 1.1 °C.

Careful calibration of the EMCCD camera (as defined by measured multiplication gain and conversion gain at the time of the experiments) was used to convert from analog-to-digital converter (ADC) units to numbers of detected photons. We note that since the EMCCD has on-chip multiplicative gain, if only one photoelectron is generated per pixel, then the distribution of ADC units in the final image would be exponential. Careful analysis\(^{26}\) shows that the distribution for small numbers of photoelectrons shifts away from the exponential form as soon as more than one photon is detected, with an excess width that approaches the excess noise factor \(F \approx 1.0 - 1.4\) (value provided by the manufacturer). Here we detect at least three photoelectrons per pixel per frame.

### Data Analysis

Single-molecule positional trajectories were mapped by visually estimating the center of the fluorescent spot in each frame within a resolution of ~39.5 nm (dimension of one pixel). This spatial resolution was sufficient in the present experiment since the average displacement of the molecules from frame to frame was ~100–300 nm (\(\langle r^2 \rangle = 4Dt\), where \(\langle r^2 \rangle\) is the mean-squared radial displacement for time lag \(t, D = 0.2–1.0 \mu m^2/s, t = 15.4 ms\)).

The mean-squared displacements from an individual single-molecule trajectory were used to estimate the diffusion coefficient according to \(D = \langle r^2 \rangle / 4t\), with \(t = 30.8\) ms. In this method, each single-molecule trajectory was used to calculate a value for \(D\), and the distribution of estimated diffusion coefficients for the individual trajectories was constructed to test for heterogeneity from molecule to molecule. The number of displacements was made uniform for all trajectories by clipping the trajectories such that the first 10 steps from each trajectory were included in the analysis, and in general five displacements were used to calculate the individual diffusion coefficients for each trajectory (time lag = 30.8 ms, track length = 154 ms). The expected distribution of observed diffusion coefficients arising from finite trajectory length for a homogeneous population of diffusers was calculated and plotted on the histograms using the arithmetic mean of the observed values from the individual trajectories as the assumed true value of \(D\).\(^{16,27}\)

### Results and Discussion

**DCDHF Derivatives.** Seven selected DCDHF derivatives with an amphiphilic lipid-like structure provided by the polar dicyanomethylene-dicyanofuran headgroup and the nonpolar hydrocarbon tails on the amine or furen ring (Figure 1A) were found to enter and label the plasma membrane easily. In these structures, the hydrocarbon tail length varied from 6 to 12 carbons; ZL01_029Y had one C12 chain instead of two hydrocarbon tails. Five of the DCDHF derivatives are neutral, while ZL01_29Y has a positive charge on the quaternary amine, and ZL01_032Y has a negative charge on the sulfonic acid group.

Absorption and emission spectra in ethanol for all DCDHFs used in this study are shown in Figures 1B and 1C, respectively, and demonstrate the wide range of absorption and emission wavelengths that can be accessed through modifying the molecular structure. Photophysical properties of these DCDHF derivatives are summarized in Table 1. Additional photophysical properties of DCDHF-18, DCDHF-CF3, and TH-DCDHF-6V have been reported elsewhere.\(^{19,20}\) (The optical properties of DCDHF-18 are essentially identical to the dihexyl derivative, DCDHF-6.) The thiophene derivative TH-DCDHF-6V has the
longest wavelength absorption with a small Stokes shift, while the naphthalene derivative DCDHF-N-12 is next in absorption with a much larger Stokes shift. (The closely related molecule, DCDHF-N-6, has the same absorption and emission spectra as DCDHF-N-12). For all DCDHFs in Figure 1, the molecules exhibit sensitivity to their environment as judged by an increase in fluorescence quantum yield in a polymer host or a frozen solvent compared to solution (Table 1 and data not shown). Full details of the photophysical behavior of the naphthalene derivatives, including solvent shifts, viscosity dependence, and excited-state lifetimes are under study.

**DCDHF Single-Molecule Photophysics in the Plasma Membrane.** At higher labeling concentrations (>1 molecule per square micrometer), the DCDHF molecules label intracellular structures as well as the plasma membrane (Figure 2A, example shown is DCDHF-18). At single-molecule concentrations, the DCDHF fluorescence is localized to the plasma membrane as seen in the upper surface (Figure 2B, molecule shown is TH-DCDHF-6V) and cross-section images (Figure 2C, molecule used is DCDHF-N-12). DCDHF derivatives are preferentially localized to the plasma membrane at lower labeling concentrations. Scale bars represent 2 μm.

**Figure 2.** Representative images of DCDHF molecules in the plasma membrane. (A) Cross-sectional image of DCDHF-18 at a higher concentration in the CHO cell. Cells were incubated with egg PC vesicles containing ~10 mol % DCDHF-18. At these higher concentrations, DCDHF molecules labeled both the plasma membrane and the internal cellular structures. (B) TH-DCDHF-6V at a single-molecule concentration in the plasma membrane of the upper cell surface. Cells were incubated with egg PC vesicles containing ~3 mol % TH-DCDHF-6V. (C) Representative cross-sectional image of the CHO cell labeled with the egg PC vesicles containing ~3 mol % DCDHF-N-12. DCDHF derivatives are preferentially localized to the plasma membrane at lower labeling concentrations. Scale bars represent 2 μm.

**Figure 3.** Comparison of DCDHF-N-12 with a rhodamine derivative. Scale bar represents 2 μm. (A) Representative image of individual ZL01_029Y in the apical plasma membrane. ZL01_029Y was excited at 514 nm and imaged with an integration time of 15.4 ms per frame. (B) Integrated fluorescence intensity from a single molecule of DCDHF-N-12 as a function of time. (C) Histogram of the total number of detected photons for DCDHF-N-12 (22 molecules) as compared to Tritc-DHPE (19 molecules). (D) Histogram of signal-to-noise ratios for DCDHF-N-12 (22 molecules) as compared to Tritc-DHPE (19 molecules).
brane label, Tritc-DHPE. The mean number of detected photons from a single molecule of DCDHF-N-12 in the plasma membrane was 3700 ± 600, and the mean number of detected photons for Tritc-DHPE was 3800 ± 800 photons (Figure 3C), where the reported error is the standard error of the mean.

Another parameter characterizing a single-molecule emitter is the observed signal-to-noise ratio (SNR), which reports the fluctuations in observed signal from measurement to measurement in a particular detection bandwidth. With an ideal emitter, the SNR would show only Poisson fluctuations, while an unstable emitter with excessive blinking should show additional fluctuations above the Poisson value. To perform this measurement, the signal was calculated by first subtracting the offset and background counts and then integrating over the fluorescent spot (10 × 10 pixel area). The noise was calculated from the standard deviation of the signal counts over successive 15.4 ms frames. An ensemble-averaged SNR of 4.5 ± 1.7 observed for DCDHF-N-12 in the plasma membrane was similar to the SNR of 4.5 ± 1.9 observed for Tritc-DHPE (Figure 3D). On average, Tritc-DHPE was observed for 12.2 ± 10.4 frames, and DCDHF-N-12 was observed for 13.8 ± 8.4 frames (15.4 ms per frame). The comparable nature of the SNR values results from similar brightness of the two probes, without an excessive degree of fluctuation above the expected Poisson behavior. The expected value of the SNR given the number of photons detected per frame (∼16 photons per frame) is ∼4.

The fluorescence quantum yields, Φ_F, have been reported in solution and in polymer films for some DCDHF derivatives.20,21 The Φ_F for DCDHF-619 and DCDHF-N-6 (Table 1) have been observed to vary with solvent polarity and to increase with increasing viscosity. The Φ_F value for DCDHF-N-12 was not directly measured in the cell membrane but was estimated from the average number of total detected photons per frame using Tritc-DHPE as a reference since the absorption spectra of the two dyes are quite similar. The absorption cross section of Tritc in water was assumed to be 1.6 × 10^{-16} cm^2, and the Φ_F value in water was assumed to be 0.15.20 An absorption cross section of 6.3 × 10^{-17} cm^2 for DCDHF-N-6 in ethanol was used to approximate the absorption cross section for DCDHF-N-12 in the membrane. Given these assumptions, the Φ_F value for DCDHF-N-12 in the membrane was estimated to be ∼0.30. The observed Φ_F for DCDHF-N-6 is 0.0035 in ethanol and 0.65 in toluene. Effects such as a highly specific orientation of insertion in the membrane or variation in the photophysical parameters due to solvent effects could affect the Φ_F values and were not taken into account in this estimate. We speculate that the fluorescence quantum yield for the DCDHF molecules is enhanced over the values in ethanol solution due to higher local viscosity and lower polarity of the plasma membrane.

DCDHF Derivatives Exhibit Lateral Diffusion in the Plasma Membrane. Single DCDHF molecules diffused laterally in the plane of the CHO cell membrane in a fashion reminiscent of other lipid analogues. Distributions of diffusion coefficients obtained from single molecules of various DCDHF derivatives are shown in Figure 4. The mean diffusion coefficients for these distributions range from ∼0.5 to 2.0 μm^2/s and are consistent with the diffusion coefficients reported for other fluorescent lipid analogues in the plasma membrane.15,17,20 The solid lines represent the expected distribution of measurements for a homogeneous population of diffusers with a diffusion coefficient given by the arithmetic mean of the observed diffusion coefficients from the individual trajectories. The observed distributions of diffusion coefficients are generally broader than expected for a homogeneous population, which could be due to partitioning into different lipid environments or aggregation of the probe with itself or other membrane molecules, although only single emitters with single-step photobleaching were tracked. The deviation from the fit, and thus the degree of heterogeneity, was smallest for the DCDHF-N-6 probe at 22 °C. Strong heterogeneity in the diffusion of Tritc-DHPE was analyzed in a separate study, and a large fraction of the population with a low diffusion coefficient was found to arise from molecules preferentially on the outer leaflet of the membrane.25

It is interesting to note that the average diffusion coefficient for the neutral DCDHF molecules was ∼1.5 μm^2/s, while the average diffusion coefficient for the positively charged derivative ZL01_029Y was significantly smaller, ∼0.5 μm^2/s. The posi-

Figure 4. Distribution of diffusion coefficients for DCDHF derivatives. The diffusion of these DCDHF molecules was observed at room temperature (22 °C) with an integration time of 15.4 ms per frame, with the exception of DCDHF-CF3 which was imaged with an integration time of 10.7 ms per frame. DCDHF-N-12, DCDHF-N-6, and DCDHF-CF3 were pumped at 532 nm. ZL01_029Y and ZL01_029Y were excited at 514 nm. The trajectories were clipped to be 10 steps long (11 frames) for all derivatives except DCDHF-CF3 where 6 steps were used. The mean diffusion coefficient (obtained from each distribution of observed diffusion coefficients) used to calculate the expected homogeneous distribution (solid line) is given. (A) DCDHF-N-12 had a D_{mean} = 1.3 μm^2/s from 41 trajectories. (B) DCDHF-N-6 had a D_{mean} = 1.5 μm^2/s from 46 trajectories. (C) DCDHF-CF3 had a D_{mean} = 1.7 μm^2/s from 33 trajectories. (D) ZL01_032Y had a D_{mean} = 0.9 μm^2/s from 49 trajectories. (E) ZL01_029Y had a D_{mean} = 0.4 μm^2/s from 41 trajectories.
trically charged derivative had the smallest mean diffusion coefficient, although its membrane linker consisted of only one hydrocarbon chain rather than two. The diffusion coefficient for ZL01_029Y was similar to that of Tritc-DHPE, and both are positively charged. This result suggests that electrostatic effects may affect diffusion of the DCDHFs in the plasma membrane, not an unexpected possibility, since a charged diffuser can drag a compensating cloud along with itself. DCDHF derivatives with varying charge, but with a common core structure, can be developed so that electrostatic effects in lipid membranes may be investigated in more detail with these molecules in the future.

DCDHF-N-12 was imaged in the plasma membrane both at 22 °C and at physiological temperature, 37 °C (Figures 5A and 5C). As expected, the average diffusion coefficient increased to 2.5 μm²/s when imaged at 37 °C, and the distribution of diffusion coefficients was broader than expected for a single population of difusers.

**Effect of Cholesterol Depletion on Diffusion Coefficients.**

When sphingomyelin is degraded (by incubation of the cells with sphingomyelinase) and cholesterol is removed from the plasma membrane (by incubation of the cells with the cholesterol sequesterant β-CD), the diffusion of certain membrane-associated MHC II proteins such as GPI-linked I-Eκ and transmembrane I-Eκ has been observed to decrease dramatically. Furthermore, nonspecifically labeled transmembrane proteins also slow upon cholesterol extraction. The decrease in diffusion coefficients has been attributed to the presence of a sphingomyelin degradation product called ceramide and other high-melting-temperature lipids that are freed from complexes with cholesterol by cholesterol depletion. The ceramide and high-melting-temperature lipids are believed to form a solidlike phase at room temperature presenting obstacles to diffusion.

Interestingly, sphingomyelin degradation and cholesterol depletion had no significant effect on the distribution of diffusion coefficients for both DCDHF-CF3 and DCDHF-N-12 (Figures 5B and 5D). The precise details of the position of DCDHF insertion in the plasma membrane before and after treatment are necessary for an interpretation of this result. Nevertheless, the lack of change in the diffusion coefficients suggests that there is a region of the membrane that is unaffected by cholesterol depletion and that this environment is labeled by certain DCDHF derivatives. Whether this result is true for the charged derivatives is a subject of future research.

**Conclusion**

In this work we demonstrate that several members of a new class of single-molecule emitters can serve as useful lipid analogues in the plasma membrane of CHO cells, with sufficient brightness and stability to allow tracking of single-molecule diffusion. The fluorescence signal-to-noise ratio, total detected photons, and observation time for DCDHF-N-12 are competitive with that for the commercially available Tritc-DHPE, while the DCDHF derivative has the potential for reporting on the local environment. Although the DCDHF molecules should change brightness depending upon the polarity and/or rigidity of the local environment, the derivatives studied did not show this thus far. This is not surprising, since these molecules were not optimized for the largest possible environmental sensitivity, and it is well-known that small brightness differences can be hard to observe. In future work, potential spectral shifts and/or changes in the fluorescence lifetime of individual DCDHFs should be considered as reporter signals to learn about lipid and/or domain organization in the complex cell membrane. There is a difference in the diffusion coefficients for DCDHF derivatives that correlates with charge based on our current observations. Future work will be directed toward understanding the origin of the different diffusion coefficients using new charged DCDHF derivatives and toward determining the orientation of DCDHF insertion in the lipid membrane.
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