Neural Markers of Familial Risk for Depression: An Investigation of Cortical Thickness Abnormalities in Healthy Adolescent Daughters of Mothers With Recurrent Depression
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Having a mother with major depressive disorder (MDD) is one of the strongest predictors of depression in late adolescence and early adulthood. Despite this fact, we know little about the neural mechanisms involved in the intergenerational transmission of risk for depression. Twenty-eight never-disordered daughters of recurrent depressed mothers (high-risk) and 36 never-disordered daughters of never-depressed mothers (low-risk) were scanned using MRI. Scan data were processed to provide measurements of cortical gray matter thickness. A general linear model was conducted at each surface point to assess the main effect of familial risk on cortical structure as well as to explore the interaction of familial risk and age. High-risk girls exhibited significantly thinner cortical gray matter in the right fusiform gyrus relative to low-risk girls. Exploratory analyses indicated interactions of risk group and age in the bilateral anterior insula and right anterior cingulate cortex (ACC); whereas low-risk girls exhibited an inverse association between age and thickness, girls at high risk for depression showed the reverse pattern. Additional exploratory analyses, using scores on the Children’s Sadness Management Scale, indicated that thinner gray matter in the ACC of high-risk girls was associated with greater difficulty in managing sadness. These findings indicate that anomalous reductions in the cortical thickness of the fusiform gyrus may be a marker of risk for MDD. The interaction of age and group for gray matter thickness of the insula and ACC suggests a particularly important role for these regions in risk for depression and warrants additional research in longitudinal studies.
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Major depressive disorder (MDD) is among the most prevalent and burdensome of all psychiatric disorders; nearly 20% of the American population will experience a clinically significant episode of depression in their lifetime (Kessler & Wang, 2009). Not surprisingly given this high prevalence, the World Health Organization has ranked MDD as the single most burdensome disease in the world in terms of years lived with disability among people in the middle years of life (Murray & Lobez, 1996). Given these alarming statistics, efforts to elucidate the factors that are involved in the vulnerability for MDD and in the onset of this disorder are clearly pressing.

Investigations of brain morphometry may help to clarify the role of anomalies in neural structure in the development of MDD. Meta-analyses of chronically ill adults indicate that the most robust structural changes in MDD involve reductions in gray matter in the anterior cingulate cortex (ACC; Bora, Harrison, Davey, Yücel, & Pantelis, 2012; Frodl, Koutsouleris, et al., 2008). This brain region plays a central role in emotion regulation and facilitates interactions between cognitive and emotional functioning (Phillips, Ladouceur, & Drevets, 2008), likely through dense interconnections with both dorsal (i.e., dorsomedial frontal cortex, dorsolateral prefrontal cortex, dorsal ACC, posterior cingulate cortex) and ventral (i.e., subgenual ACC) components of corticocentric networks (Mayberg, 1997). Moreover, structural abnormalities in this region and in other brain regions that have been found to be characterized by reduced gray matter in MDD, such as the dorsolateral and orbitofrontal prefrontal cortex (Bora et al., 2012), are more pronounced in individuals who have experienced several depressive episodes (Bora et al., 2012; Frodl, Koutsouleris, et al., 2008). Because ACC and orbitofrontal gray matter reductions have been reported in some studies of drug-naïve individuals who were
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scanned early in the course of their illness (Pannekoeck et al., 2014; van Eijndhoven et al., 2013), it is unlikely that such neural anomalies are a consequence either of medication used to treat depression or of repeated episodes of the disorder. It is noteworthy, however, that findings from other structural neuroimaging studies of younger individuals who are scanned closer to the onset of illness are more variable, with several investigations reporting increases in frontal gray matter in depressed relative to nondepressed participants (Nolan et al., 2002; Qiu et al., 2014; van Eijndhoven et al., 2013). Importantly, areas that show anomalous increases in cortical gray matter in these studies overlap spatially with regions found to show focal gray matter declines in studies of chronically ill adults (e.g., the ACC; van Eijndhoven et al., 2013). Thus, although the extent literature examining brain structure in depression implicates the ACC and specific frontal lobe subregions in the pathophysiology of this disorder, further research is needed to understand whether and how these anomalies change over time.

Much less research has been conducted examining whether these structural abnormalities might precede the onset of MDD. In these studies, which use a variety of ways to conceptualize risk for depression, alterations in cortical gray matter (primarily reductions) have been reported in several adult samples. For example, although the genetic underpinnings of depression are complex, investigators have reported neural anomalies that are associated with risk variants of candidate genes for depression, such as volumetric reductions of ACC gray matter in individuals who carry the short allele of the functional 5′ promoter polymorphism of the serotonin transporter gene (Pezawas et al., 2005), and reductions in gray matter of the fusiform in individuals who have a valine (Val) to methionine (Met) substitution at a single site on the brain-derived neurotrophic (BDNF) gene (Montag, Weber, Fliessbach, Elger, & Reuter, 2009). In another examination of adults who are vulnerable to developing MDD by virtue of having a negative cognitive style, a tendency to make global attributions, and a tendency to infer negative self-characteristics and negative consequences in response to adverse life events, focal reductions in cortical gray matter have been observed in the fusiform gyri and in the precentral gyrus (Zhang et al., 2012). Interestingly, Chan, Norbury, Goodwin, and Harmer (2009) also implicated the fusiform gyrus in risk for depression, documenting that higher levels of neuroticism are associated with anomalous activation in this area during the processing of fearful facial expressions. Although it is not clear how structural or functional alterations of this brain area might contribute to a vulnerability for depression, it is tempting to speculate, given a role of this region in the processing of emotional faces (Haxby, Hoffman, & Gobbini, 2000; Kanwisher, McDermott, & Chun, 1997), as well as data demonstrating that biases in the processing of negatively valenced emotional faces are present in both depressed adults and in youth at familial risk for depression (Joormann, Talbot, & Gotlib, 2007), that anomalies in the structure and/or function of this region could underlie increased processing of emotionally salient information in high-risk individuals and that such alterations could in turn contribute to increased vulnerability for the disorder. Although future studies are needed to confirm such an association, it is noteworthy that a significant relation between fusiform thickness and performance on an emotional recognition task has already been reported in a study of individuals with autism spectrum conditions (Dziobek, Bahnemann, Convit, & Heekeren, 2010). Although these studies in adults are important in demonstrating a role for the ACC in a diagnosis of depression, and to a lesser extent, the ACC, fusiform, and precentral and orbitofrontal cortices in a cognitive or single nucleotide polymorphism-based risk for the disorder, a critical challenge that remains largely unexplored involves identifying—in developing youth—structural abnormalities in the brain that are associated with an intergenerational risk for depression. Indeed, although it is well documented that having a mother with MDD is one of the strongest predictors of depression in late adolescence and early adulthood (Weissman et al., 1987), the neural hallmarks of this increased vulnerability in young individuals has not been established. Recent estimates indicate that having a depressed parent is associated with a three- to fivefold increase in the risk to the offspring for developing a depressive episode during adolescence (Gotlib & Colich, 2014). And, an estimated 40% of children of parents with a clinical mood disorder will experience an episode of depression by young adulthood (Wust, Federenko, Hellhammer, & Kirschbaum, 2000). Maternal depression in particular has been associated with a higher risk for emotional dysfunction in children (Connell & Goodman, 2002), including an earlier age of onset, a more severe course of depression, and greater treatment resistance (Lieb, Isensee, Höfler, Pfister, & Wittchen, 2002). Given the high costs of depression, the difficulty in treating adolescent depression once it occurs, as well as the initial promise of early interventions (Gladstone, Beardslee, & O’Connor, 2011), efforts to identify the neurobiological markers associated with parental depression that may in turn act to increase children’s risk for the disorder are clearly pressing.

To date, only two studies have examined whether a family history of MDD is associated with structural anomalies in cortical gray matter. In the first, Peterson et al. (2009) assessed offspring of depressed and nondepressed adults and found that having a parent or grandparent with MDD was associated both with thicker gray matter of the subgenual and orbitofrontal cortex and with thinner gray matter across widespread areas the right hemisphere, including in the dorsolateral and anterior cingulate frontal lobe subregions implicated in previous studies of chronically ill adults (Bora et al., 2012). In the second study, Amico et al. (2011) found that never-depressed adults with a first- or second-degree relative with MDD had smaller gray matter volumes of the dorsolateral prefrontal cortex than did adults without a positive family history of depression. Again, as this frontal lobe subregion has been implicated in previous studies of depressed adults, it is tempting to speculate that such anomalies precede the onset of MDD. It is important to note, however, that both Peterson et al. and Amico et al. assessed adults, despite findings that the onset of depression in offspring of depressed parents typically begins in adolescence (Hammen, Brennan, & Keenan-Miller, 2008; Lieb et al., 2002; Weissman et al., 1987). Further, Peterson et al. included adults who had already experienced an episode of depression. Thus, the question of whether cortical gray matter reductions in these studies represent markers of depression vulnerability, of resilience, or alternatively, are a consequence of having been depressed, has not been resolved.

Given these issues, as well the findings reviewed here indicating that the age of participants may have a significant impact on the neuroanatomical characteristics of MDD, the purpose of the current study was to examine whether adolescents at familial risk for depression, but who have never before exhibited clinically significant depressive symptoms, exhibit anomalies in gray matter struc-
ture. More specifically, we investigated whether a heightened risk for depression in female offspring of depressed mothers would be associated with abnormalities in cortical thickness, a highly sensitive marker of brain structure (Winkler et al., 2010) that reflects cell number and density (Rajkowska, 2000; Rajkowska et al., 1999). We focused our investigation on never-disordered adolescent daughters of recurrent depressed mothers, given evidence that both daughters of depressed parents are more likely to develop depression than are sons (Weissman et al., 1987), and that psychological difficulties in children are associated more strongly with depression in mothers than they are with depression in fathers (Connell & Goodman, 2002). We hypothesized that young girls at familial risk for MDD will exhibit anomalous cortical thickness compared with their low-risk peers. Specifically, given meta-analytic results indicating that gray matter reductions in the ACC represent the most consistent and robust findings in structural neuroimaging studies of MDD (Bora et al., 2012), we hypothesized that despite not having (yet) experienced an episode of depression, girls at familial risk for depression will exhibit significantly thinner cortical gray matter in this region. Furthermore, given the findings reviewed here from prior neuroimaging studies examining the neural correlates of other known risk factors for depression, indicating that a heightened vulnerability for the disorder may be associated with abnormalities in other cortical regions, we also conducted a whole brain analysis to assess significant main effects outside of this region of interest.

In addition to our primary goal of characterizing the neural basis of familial risk for depression, we explored two secondary questions. First, based on findings of previous studies that conceptualize MDD as a neurodevelopmental disorder (Ducharme et al., 2014; Paus, Keshavan, & Giedd, 2008), showing, for example, that the rate of cortical change over time predicts subsequent depression (Ducharme et al., 2014), we explored whether differences in cortical thickness between daughters at low and high risk for depression in our cross-sectional sample were moderated by age. And second, we aimed to clarify the functional significance of anomalous cortical thickness in our high-risk sample by examining the relation between thickness and emotion regulation. Specifically, we tested the hypothesis that cortical thickness in brain areas that showed a main effect or interaction with risk status would be inversely related to difficulty with the experience and regulation of sad emotions. We indexed sadness management in this analysis using a 3-point Likert scale ranging from 1 (hardly ever) to 3 (often; Zeman et al., 2001). The CSMS contains both forward-scored (seven items of inhibition and dysregulated expression subscales) and reverse-scored (five items of coping subscale) items. Higher total scores on the CSMS indicate greater difficulty in management of sad emotions, and have been linked in previous longitudinal studies with a subsequent increase in depressive symptoms (Feng et al., 2009).

Method

Participants

Sixty-four girls with no past or current Axis I disorder were included in the study. Thirty-six girls had mothers who also had no past or current Axis I disorder (low risk for depression), and 28 girls were classified as being at high risk for developing depression by virtue of having mothers who had recurrent episodes of MDD during their daughters’ lifetime. Participants were recruited through advertisements posted within the local community. A telephone screening interview established that both the participants and their mothers were fluent in English and that the daughters were between 9 and 17 years of age. Participants were excluded from either group if they had experienced severe head trauma, learning disabilities, and/or current or past depression. Neither the participants nor their mothers had current or past substance abuse and, consistent with the absence of diagnosed depression in the daughters, no girls in the study were taking antidepressant medications.

Trained interviewers assessed the diagnostic status of participants by administering the Kiddie Schedule for Affective Disorders and Schizophrenia for School-Age Children–Present and Lifetime version (K-SADS-PL; Kaufman et al., 1997) to both the girls and their mothers (about the daughters). A different interviewer administered the Structured Clinical Interview for DSM (SCID; First, Spitzer, Gibbon, & Williams, 1996) to the mothers. Interviewers for the K-SADS-PL and the SCID had previous experience administering structured clinical interviews. To assess interrater reliability, an independent trained rater who was blind to group membership evaluated 30% of the SCID and K-SADS-PL interviews by randomly selecting audiotapes of equal numbers of low- and high-risk pairs. In all cases, diagnoses of former depressive episodes in mothers and the absence of any current or previous Axis I disorder in the girls matched the diagnosis made by the original interviewer (κ = 1.00). This indicates excellent interrater reliability, although we should note that the interviewers used a “skip-out” strategy, which may have reduced the opportunities for the independent rater to disagree with the diagnoses.

Daughters completed the Children’s Depression Inventory–Short Form (CDI-S; Kovacs, 1992) and the Multidimensional Anxiety Scale for Children (MASC; March, Parker, Sullivan, Stallings, & Conners, 1997) to assess symptoms of depression and anxiety, respectively. The vocabulary section of the verbal subtest of the Wechsler Intelligence Scale for Children–III (WISC–III; Wechsler, 1991) was administered to the girls to ensure that the low- and high-risk groups did not differ in intellectual ability. As we noted, participants also completed the CSMS (Zeman et al., 2001) to assess self-reported ability to effectively manage, regulate, and cope with the experience of sadness. This questionnaire consists of 12 items that assess children’s inhibition, dysregulated expression, and coping with sadness experience and expression using a 3-point Likert scale ranging from 1 (hardly ever) to 3 (often; Zeman et al., 2001). The CSMS contains both forward-scored (seven items of inhibition and dysregulated expression subscales) and reverse-scored (five items of coping subscale) items. Higher total scores on the CSMS indicate greater difficulty in management of sad emotions, and have been linked in previous longitudinal studies with a subsequent increase in depressive symptoms (Feng et al., 2009). The CSMS has demonstrated adequate reliability and construct validity (Zeman et al., 2001), and had an acceptable internal consistency in the current study of α = .61.

Girls in the high-risk group were eligible to participate in the study if they did not meet criteria for any past or current Axis I disorder according to both the parent and child K-SADS-PL, and
if their mothers met Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (4th ed., text rev.; DSM–IV–TR; American Psychiatric Association, 2000) criteria for at least two distinct episodes of MDD since the birth of their daughters, but did not meet criteria for current MDD or any other current Axis I disorder. Girls in the healthy control group were eligible to participate if they did not meet criteria for any past or current Axis I disorder based on both the parent and child K-SADS-Pl, and their mothers did not meet criteria for any Axis I disorder during their lifetime. Girls in both groups were excluded if they had experienced traumatic early life events, such as physical or sexual abuse.

**Acquisition of Neuroimaging Data**

All participants were scanned on a 1.5-T GE scanner (GE Healthcare Systems, Milwaukee, WI) between 0 and 75 days following their diagnostic interviews.2 Anatomic images were obtained using a T1-weighted spoiled gradient-recalled echo sequence with the following parameters: repetition time = 8.924 ms; echo time = 1.792 ms; flip angle = 15°; an in-plane resolution of 0.859 × 0.859; and a slice thickness of 1.5 mm.

**Data Analysis**

Statistical analyses of demographic and questionnaire measures. For demographic, behavioral, and self-report variables, we used SPSS, version 20.0 (http://www.spss.com) to conduct statistical analyses. We used two-tailed independent samples t tests to compare the low- and high-risk groups on participant age, mother age, raw scores on the CDI-S, MASC, and CSMS, and scaled scores on the WISC–III, and a chi-square test to evaluate group differences in categories of household income.

Preprocessing of neuroimaging data. We used FreeSurfer, version 5.0 (http://surfer.nmr.mgh.harvard.edu) to estimate cortical thickness. The technical details of FreeSurfer procedures are described in previous publications (Dale, Fischl, & Sereno, 1999; Fischl & Dale, 2000; Salat et al., 2004). Briefly, processing streams included the removal of nonbrain tissue, intensity normalization, the segmentation of gray/white matter, and the alignment of each image volume to a standardized space. To estimate cortical gray matter thickness, a deformable surface algorithm was applied to segmented images to extract the pial and gray/white cortical surfaces (Dale et al., 1999). All cortical surfaces were visually inspected by one rater (B.G.) who was blind to group membership, and manual corrections were performed, as appropriate, following previously established procedures (Black et al., 2012). This additional quality-control step ensured the accuracy of gray/white matter segmentation, the exclusion of scalp and other nonbrain tissue, and the inclusion of brain tissue. After spatial normalization of the data to a common space template, local cortical thickness was measured by estimating the shortest distance between the position of spatially equivalent surface points on the pial surface and the gray–white matter boundary and vice versa and averaging these two values. A 20-mm full-width at half-maximum Gaussian kernel was applied to smooth the data.

**Statistical analysis of neuroimaging data.** For both our a priori and our whole brain analyses, a general linear model was fit at each vertex, with cortical thickness as the dependent variable and group (low-risk, high-risk) as the independent variable. Age and CDI-S score were included as covariates, centered to the sample mean. Because the quadratic and cubic effects of age on cortical thickness were not significant, age was retained as a linear variable in the model.

To test for a main effect of group within the ACC and across the entire cortex, we conducted correction for multiple comparisons in resulting statistical maps at the regional and whole brain level, respectively, using a two-tailed threshold of p < .05 and the Monte Carlo simulation toolbox provided in Freesurfer. This approach, which is based on the methods outlined in Hagler, Saygin, and Sereno (2006), estimates the probability of forming a maximum cluster of that size or larger during the simulation under the null hypothesis that results in a cluster-wise probability (CWP). Monte Carlo simulations replace false discovery rate and family-wise error procedures that are commonly used in structural or functional paradigms to correct for multiple corrections. CWP values are reported in the Results section.

More specifically, in order to test the hypothesis that familial risk for depression will be associated with thinner cortical gray matter in the ACC, we conducted correction for multiple comparisons across vertices contained within this region, defined using the Desikan atlas (part of the FreeSurfer software package; Desikan et al., 2006). Following this region-of-interest-based analysis, we then applied these same procedures, correcting for multiple comparisons, across the entire cortex to assess whether a main effect of group or a group by age interaction were present outside the ACC.

**Exploratory associations with CSMS score.** To further examine the nature of anomalous patterns of cortical thickness within the group of high-risk girls, we computed partial correlations between CSMS scores and cortical thickness within regions that showed a main effect of group or an interaction of group and age. These analyses were conducted using mean cortical thickness (computed across vertices contained within each region showing significant effects in our initial examination of a main effect of group and group by age interactions) and controlled for the interval between the behavioral assessment and the neuroimaging session, age, and CDI-S score. Because these analyses were exploratory, alpha was set at 0.05.

**Results**

**Participants**

Demographic and clinical characteristics of the participants and their mothers are presented in Table 1. The two groups of girls did not differ in age (t = 1.72, p = .09) on scores of the CDI-S (t = −1.53, p = .13), the MASC (t = 0.76, p = .45), or the WISC–III vocabulary subtest (t = −0.56, p = .58), in mothers’ age (t = 1.92, p = .06), or in household income (χ = 8.73, p = .12). High-risk girls obtained significantly higher scores on the CSMS than did low-risk girls (t = −2.40, p = .02).

---

2The low- and high-risk groups did not differ with respect to the length of the interval between the behavioral and imaging assessments, (t(54) = 0.99, p = .32; we included this interval as a covariate in all analyses relating neural structure with behavior. Further, all participants completed the CDI-S on the day of scanning to ensure an absence of significant depressive symptoms.
Risk-Related Alterations in Cortical Thickness

Contrary to our hypothesis, vertex-wise statistical analysis of cortical thickness maps, controlling for the linear effects of age and CDI-S score, did not reveal reductions in cortical thickness in girls at high risk for depression in the ACC. Outside this region, whole brain corrected maps showed a significant main effect of group in the right fusiform gyrus (CWP = 0.036; x/y/z Talairach peak coordinate = 29.7, −45.7, −15.4; Figure 1).

Exploratory Associations With Age

Exploratory analyses of the interaction of risk group and age yielded significant effects in three regions. First, in the left hemisphere, there was a significant interaction in a cluster that primarily contained the insula, but also the superior temporal gyrus (CWP = 0.002; x/y/z Talairach peak coordinate = −48.0, −11.6, −9.6). In the right hemisphere, the low- and high-risk groups differed in the association between thickness and age in a cluster that primarily encompassed the insula, but also the right lateral orbitofrontal cortex (CWP = 0.020; x/y/z Talairach peak coordinate = 30.4, 22.4, −0.7; Figure 2), and a cluster that primarily included the rostral and caudal ACCs, but also the medial orbitofrontal, and medial superior frontal, gyri (CWP = 0.0001; x/y/z Talairach peak coordinate = 15.2, 40.6, 9.4). These patterns were unchanged when CDI-S was removed from the model, or when total intracranial volume was added to the model.3

Tests of simple slopes indicated a significant inverse association between age and thickness in the clusters containing the left insula (β = −0.041, t = −2.28, p = .026) and the right insula (β = −0.043, t = −2.54, p = .014) in the low-risk girls, and a significant positive association between age and thickness in the left insula cluster (β = 0.055, t = 2.810, p = .007) and the right insula cluster (β = 0.055, t = 2.981, p = .004) in the high-risk girls. We also found an inverse association between age and thickness in the right ACC cluster in the low-risk girls (β = −0.073, t = −4.438, p < .001), and a nonsignificant positive association between age and thickness in this cluster in high-risk girls (β = 0.026, t = 1.428, p = .158).

To understand the nature of the interaction of risk group and age, we applied the Johnson-Neyman technique for testing the ages at which there was a significant difference in cortical thickness between low- and high-risk girls at α = .05 (Preacher, Curran, & Bauer, 2006). This analysis indicated that in the cluster containing the left insula, high-risk girls younger than 12.22 years had thinner gray matter than did age-matched low-risk girls (β = −0.116, t = −2.00, p = .05); this pattern was reversed in girls 14.55 years and older (β = 0.108, t = 2.00, p = .05). In the cluster containing the right insula, high-risk girls younger than 12.88 years exhibited thinner gray matter relative to age-matched low-risk girls (β = −0.094, t = −2.00, p = .05); this pattern was reversed in girls older than 15.01 years (β = 0.115, t = 2.00, p = .05). Finally, in the cluster containing the right ACC, high-risk girls younger than 13.1 years exhibited thinner gray matter relative to age-matched low-risk girls (β = 0.089, t = −2.00, p = .05); this pattern was reversed in girls older than 15.21 years (β = 0.120, t = 2.00, p = .05; Figure 3).

Exploratory Associations With CSMS Score

We computed partial correlations between CSMS scores and average cortical thickness of regions implicated in primary analyses involving group; these correlations indicated a significant inverse association between CSMS score and thickness of the right ACC in the high-risk girls (r = −0.49, df = 23, p = .013; Figure 4). No significant correlations were obtained between CSMS score and cortical thickness in the low-risk girls (df = 30, rs < −0.241, p > .16), nor were CSMS scores associated with thickness in the other three brain regions in the high-risk girls (df = 23, rs < −0.211, p > 0.308). Additional analyses examining the strength of the association between CSMS score and thickness across the entire sample indicated that CSMS score was not itself a significant predictor of cortical thickness, nor was there a significant interaction of group and CSMS

Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Demographic variable</th>
<th>Low risk (n = 35)</th>
<th>High risk (n = 28)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Daughter’s age</td>
<td>13.9 ± 1.7</td>
<td>13.2 ± 1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mother’s age</td>
<td>47.4 ± 3.7</td>
<td>45.0 ± 6.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDI-S score</td>
<td>0.6 ± 1.1</td>
<td>1.1 ± 1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MASC score</td>
<td>37.9 ± 15.4</td>
<td>35.0 ± 13.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSMS score</td>
<td>20.9 ± 2.5</td>
<td>22.5 ± 2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WISC-III vocabulary score</td>
<td>14.8 ± 2.8</td>
<td>15.1 ± 2.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note. Values indicate the mean ± SD. WISC-III vocabulary scores represent scaled score equivalents of raw scores. CDI-S = Child Depression Inventory–Short Form; MASC = Multidimensional Anxiety Scale for Children; WISC-III = Wechsler Intelligence Scale for Children–III; CSMS = Children’s Sadness Management Scale. *p < .05.

Figure 1. Corrected statistical significance maps showing areas of thinner cortical gray matter in at high versus low risk for depression. Statistical maps are shown on the inflated surface of the standard average participant, allowing visualization of data across the entire cortical surface without interference from cortical folding.

Figure 2. Corrected statistical significance maps showing areas of thinner cortical gray matter in at high versus low risk for depression. Statistical maps are shown on the inflated surface of the standard average participant, allowing visualization of data across the entire cortical surface without interference from cortical folding.

3 Additional analyses examining the effects of depressive symptoms did not yield significant interactions of risk group and CDI-S score (rs < 0.93, ps > 0.36), or significant associations between thickness and CDI-S score either within (rs < 1.40, p > 0.17) or across groups (rs < 0.80, p > 0.43). The absence of a main effect of the severity of depressive symptoms is likely a result of the restricted range in CDI-S scores, which was a function of girls being excluded from participating in this study if they were experiencing (or had experienced) a depressive disorder.
score, ts(59) < 0.35, ps > 0.14. CSMS scores were not correlated with daughters’ age (r = .19, p = .12), mothers’ age (r = −0.06, p = .65), CDI-S score (r = .10, p = .46), MASC score (r = .08, p = .57), or WISC–III scaled scores (r = −0.14, p = .31).

Discussion

Researchers have documented that having a parent with major depression is associated with a three- to fivefold increase in the risk for developing a depressive episode (Beardslee, Versage, & Gladstone, 1998; Williamson, Birmaher, Axelson, Ryan, & Dahl, 2004). Despite this fact, we know little about the mechanisms involved in the transmission of this increased risk. The present study was designed to elucidate abnormalities in cortical structure that are associated with a heightened vulnerability for depression using structural neuroimaging in a sample of carefully recruited and diagnosed never-disordered adolescent girls who are at risk for developing major depression by virtue of having a mother who has experienced recurrent episodes of MDD. Contrary to our hypothesis, thinner ACC gray matter was not found to be associated with a familial risk for depression. However, whole brain analyses indicated that the right fusiform gyrus of high-risk relative to low-risk girls was significantly thinner. Further, exploratory analyses revealed dynamic changes in the relation between cortical gray matter thickness and age: Whereas daughters of never-disordered mothers exhibited an inverse relation between cortical thickness and age within the insula and ACC, girls at familial risk for depression showed the reverse pattern.

Although less consistently implicated in the neuroimaging literature of depression, gray matter reductions in the right fusiform gyrus have been reported in three voxel-based structural studies of adults (Alemany et al., 2013; Lee et al., 2011; Leung et al., 2009). Therefore, the current findings are important in suggesting that gray matter reductions in this area precede the onset of depressive illness in individuals at familial risk for the disorder. The fusiform gyrus plays a critical role in the processing of faces (Haxby et al., 2000; Kanwisher et al., 1997; Vuilleumier, Armony, Driver, & Dolan, 2001). Activation of the right fusiform cortex in particular is selectively increased during the processing of negative facial emotions (Vuilleumier et al., 2001), likely as a result of prominent feedback connections from the amygdala (Amaral, Price, Pitakkan, & Carmichael, 1992). Such modulation, in turn, is posited to directly contribute to the increase in salience of such stimuli.
(Vuilleumier & Schwartz, 2001). Given the specialization of this region and its involvement in salience processing, it is tempting to speculate that structural alterations of the fusiform could underlie the mood-congruent biases in attention for emotional faces that have been widely documented both in depressed adults and in their never-disordered offspring (Foland-Ross & Gotlib, 2012). The relations among cognition, brain function, and brain structure in the context of depression, however, remain to be clarified; future longitudinal studies that incorporate both behavioral and neural measures would be helpful in testing this formulation.

Our findings in the fusiform of adolescents at familial risk for depression are also consistent with at least three other investigations of risk for depression in adults. First, reductions in fusiform gray matter have been found in adults who were classified as being vulnerable to developing depression by virtue of having a negative cognitive style (Zhang et al., 2012). Second, anomalous responding in this area has been documented in individuals who scored high on a measure of neuroticism (Leung et al., 2009). And finally, Montag et al. (2009) found that genetic risk for depression, defined by an exchange of amino acids from Val to Met at a single site on the BDNF gene, is associated with reduced gray matter of the right fusiform gyrus. Our data add to this literature by showing that a maternal history of depression is also associated with cortical thickness reductions in this region of the right hemisphere.

Although evidence from Montag and colleagues (2009) almost certainly implicates the involvement of genetic factors in the patterns of cortical thickness observed here, we cannot conclude from the present data whether risk-related anomalies in the fusiform of daughters of mothers with recurrent episodes of depression are genetic in origin, are product of environmental factors related to having a recurrent-depressed mother, or are a combination of these etiologies. Indeed, although the heritability of depression is estimated to be between 31% and 42% (Sullivan, Neale, & Kendler, 2000), the chronic stress associated with living with a depressed parent also appears to mediate the intergenerational transmission of risk for depression (Hammen, Brennan, & Shih, 2004). Relative to nondepressed parents, parents with major depression exhibit more negative affect toward their children (Lovejoy, Gracyzk, O’Hare, & Neuman, 2000), as well as increased parental withdrawal (e.g., avoidance, unresponsiveness to children’s needs) and greater parental intrusiveness (e.g., hostility, irritability, and overinvolvement in children’s lives; Lovejoy et al., 2000; Nelson, Hammen, Brennan, & Ullman, 2003). These behaviors contribute to a chronically stressful family environments for children of depressed parents (Hammen et al., 2004), and may induce neuroplastic changes in the cortex (Lupien, McEwen, Gunnar, & Heim, 2009). Future studies that directly investigate the influence of genes, chronic stress, and the impact of interventions aimed at protecting against the effects of stress on the brain would be helpful in teasing apart the relation between these factors.

Exploratory analyses of the interaction of risk status and age revealed significant effects in the insula and ACC. These regions typically coactivate under a variety of conditions involving emotional processing and first-person subjective experiences (Medford & Critchley, 2010), and form the core of a salience network (Seeley et al., 2007), which is implicated in the processing of emotionally salient information. Interestingly, although the insula is implicated less consistently in neuroimaging studies of depression, this subregion of the salience network plays a unique role in the learning, processing, and regulation of social emotions such as guilt (Shin et al., 2000), which are posited to precede the onset of depression (Tone & Tully, 2014). Anomalous reductions of gray matter in the anterior insula has also been found to be a significant predictor of major depression in children (Belden et al., 2015). Given their role in the processing of negatively valenced stimuli, risk-related alterations of the ACC and insula could contribute to an increased vulnerability for depression by influencing how emotionally salient material is processed. Because our study is cross-sectional, however, future studies that involve repeated scans of adolescents over time are needed to test whether the age-related patterns observed here reflect veridical developmental trajectories. Nevertheless, it is noteworthy that the negative relation that we found between thickness and age in our cross-sectional sample of low-risk girls is consistent with prior longitudinal investigations showing that robust reductions in cortical gray matter occur throughout adolescence (Giedd et al., 1999; Sowell et al., 2004).

It is important to note that, on the surface, our finding of risk-related increases in cortical thickness of the insula and ACC of older high-risk girls stands in contrast to reports of gray matter reductions in these regions of chronically depressed adults (Bora et al., 2012). In fact, as we have noted, the ACC is the most commonly reported area in structural neuroimaging studies of MDD. Importantly, however, of the few neuroimaging studies that have been conducted with medication-naïve individuals who are experiencing a first onset of depression, most have found that early in the course of the disorder, gray matter in this and other frontal lobe subregions is abnormally increased (Qiu et al., 2014; Reynolds et al., 2014; van Eijndhoven et al., 2013). Considering our results and these findings together could suggest an underlying pathological process whereby cortical gray matter thickness immediately prior to the onset of the disorder, but, as prior research in adults suggests (Bora et al., 2012), becomes thinner as individuals experience repeated episodes of depression. Again, future studies that follow high-risk youth longitudinally, starting from before puberty and continuing through the onset of depression, will be critical in elucidating the temporal pattern of structural neural anomalies in depression.

Although we are conceptualizing age-related increases in cortical thickness in high-risk girls to be related to an increasing vulnerability for depression, we cannot rule out the possibility that the thicker cortical gray matter that we observed in older high-risk girls instead is related to greater resilience. Indeed, because the design of our study required that daughters at familial risk for MDD must not have experienced a clinically significant episode of depression prior to their entry into the study, we cannot rule out the possibility that older girls in our high-risk sample are resilient to developing the disorder. Consistent with this possibility, exploratory partial correlation analyses in the current study indicated that increased cortical thickness in the ACC of high-risk girls was significantly associated with improved expression and regulation of sad emotions. This pattern of a positive association between ACC gray matter and adaptive responding to negative emotions is consistent with findings of a recent study of never-depressed adults (Giuliani, Drabant, & Gross, 2011), and with results of a study that found that a less severe course of depression was associated with significantly thicker ACC gray matter (Frodl, Jäger, et al., 2008). Although the cellular changes that contribute to associations among cortical thickness, age, and risk status are not yet clear, one possible explanation for these relations is that increases in cortical...
thickness in older high-risk girls may be secondary to risk-related alterations in synaptic pruning or myelination that are posited to contribute directly to the wave of cortical thinning that is typically observed during adolescence (Giedd et al., 1999). Although further testing using multiple scans from the same individuals is certainly needed to test this formulation, such a hypothesis is consistent with one recent longitudinal study of children and young adults that found that youth with higher levels of internalizing symptoms at follow-up demonstrated a slower rate of thinning of cortical gray matter over time (Ducharme et al., 2014). It is clear that the dynamic changes of the developing brain must be considered in future examinations of depression risk. Research that additionally combines in vivo and ex vivo methodologies will be especially critical in helping to clarify the cellular basis for observable changes in white and gray matter, seen using MRI.

Our findings should be interpreted in light of several limitations. First, as we noted earlier, because our investigation is cross-sectional, longitudinal studies are needed to conclude whether thinner gray matter of the fusiform gyrus that we observed in high-risk girls is related to an increased vulnerability for depression, as opposed to increased resilience, and to conclude whether the group by age interactions that we observed in the insula and ACC are true developmental trends. Second, although our study is the first to examine cortical structure in an adolescent sample of offspring of depressed parents, prior research indicates that a surge newly emergent cases of MDD occurs between 15 and 18 years of age in females (Hankin et al., 1998). Thus, older girls in the high-risk group may be particularly resilient. Third, although prior research estimates that nearly half of all Americans will meet criteria for a DSM–IV–TR (American Psychiatric Association, 2000) disorder sometime in their life (Kessler et al., 2005), inclusion criteria for the current study required that mothers of low-risk daughters must never have experienced any Axis I disorder. Thus, girls in our “ultrahealthy” low-risk group may have driven our findings in the fusiform, ACC, and insula just as much as did girls in the high-risk group. Finally, although we found significant associations in exploratory analyses between CSMS scores and thickness of ACC gray matter in high-risk girls, it will be important for future studies to assess whether risk-related alterations in brain structure are associated with other forms of emotion regulation that may be related to vulnerability to depression.

In conclusion, the current study of cortical structure in never-divorced daughters of recurrent depressed mothers provides support for the formulation that intergenerational risk for depression is associated with abnormalities in brain morphometry. The interaction of risk status and age on cortical thickness of the insula and ACC highlights the importance of considering age in neuroimaging investigations of a risk for depression, and underscores the need for additional neuroimaging studies that examine the functional consequences of cortical thickness changes as they relate to depression vulnerability. Further investigations that systematically examine the cognitive consequences of thinner gray matter of the fusiform gyri within girls at high risk for depression will also be important in determining whether structural deficits in this area are related to difficulties in emotion identification that have been reported in high-risk youth (Joormann et al., 2007). And finally, investigations that elucidate the adverse as well as the protective factors that influence risk-related departures from normative developmental trajectories will be critical in advancing our understanding of factors that contribute to the onset of major depression.

References


the caregiving environment. Developmental Psychology, 45, 798–808. http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/a0014617


Received May 30, 2014
Revision received January 12, 2015
Accepted January 16, 2015