Modular Aptamer Switches for the Continuous Optical Detection of Small-Molecule Analytes in Complex Media

Amani A. Hariri, Alyssa P. Cartwright, Constantin Dory, Yasser Gidi, Steven Yee, Ian A. P. Thompson, Kaiyu X. Fu, Kiyoul Yang, Diana Wu, Nicolò Maganzini, Trevor Feagin, Brian E. Young, Behrad Habib Afshar, Michael Eisenstein, Michel J. F. Digonnet, Jelena Vuckovic, and H. Tom Soh*

Aptamers are a promising class of affinity reagents because signal transduction mechanisms can be built into the reagent, so that they can directly produce a physically measurable output signal upon target binding. However, endowing the signal transduction functionality into an aptamer remains a trial-and-error process that can compromise its affinity or specificity and typically requires knowledge of the ligand binding domain or its structure. In this work, a design architecture that can convert an existing aptamer into a “reversible aptamer switch” whose kinetic and thermodynamic properties can be tuned without a priori knowledge of the ligand binding domain or its structure is described. Finally, by combining these aptamer switches with evanescent-field-based optical detection hardware that minimizes sample autofluorescence, this study demonstrates the first optical biosensor system that can continuously measure multiple biomarkers (dopamine and cortisol) in complex samples (artificial cerebrospinal fluid and undiluted plasma) with second and subsecond-scale time responses at physiologically relevant concentration ranges.

1. Introduction

Aptamers have shown considerable promise as chemical recognition elements in biosensor systems because they can be chemically synthesized and can deliver high stability, sensitivity, and specificity.[1,2] However, since native aptamers do not inherently exhibit signaling activity upon target recognition, engineering approaches are needed to introduce signal transduction functionality so that they can generate a measurable output signal upon target binding.[3–5] A number of signaling modalities have been explored to date including electrochemical aptamer-based (E-AB) sensors, which measure changes in current that result from target-binding-induced conformational changes in redox-tagged aptamer molecules. E-AB sensors have repeatedly demonstrated the potential for continuous detection over extended periods of time in vivo with high specificity and stability.[1,2,6] However, the adaptation of existing aptamers for electrochemical platforms remains a bottleneck,[7] since aptamers identified through SELEX-based strategies will not necessarily undergo a meaningful conformational change upon target binding, and there is no guarantee that a promising aptamer can be readily engineered to generate an E-AB sensor that yields a sufficient signal response within the desired target concentration range. This limitation is one of the reasons why E-AB sensor implementation has been largely limited to a few target molecules such as doxorubicin,[8] kanamycin,[9] ATP,[10] and thrombin.[11]

Fluorescence-based optical readouts are of particular interest as they offer the capability to achieve single-photon sensitivity with appropriate detection hardware, can readily be multiplexed through fluorophores that emit at different wavelengths, and can accelerate the transition from aptamer selection to sensor development. Aptamer beacons[4,12–14] are a popular design strategy for fluorescence-based detection, in which an aptamer is labeled with a fluorophore and then combined with a separate, quencher-functionalized strand that directly competes with target binding, such that a fluorescence signal is only generated in response to target binding.[15] However, this method suffers from a major
The DBS is a double-stranded, multidomain fluorescent sensor (Figure 1), in which the aptamer is hybridized to a complementary displacement strand sequence. The aptamer is modified with an internal fluorophore, which is positioned to be adjacent to a quencher tag coupled to the 5’ terminus of the displacement strand. The 5’ end of the aptamer and the 3’ end of the displacement strand are each connected to complementary “anchor domains” via unpaired sequences that form the “bubble domain.” This latter element acts as a flexible spacer to prevent conformational changes that would hinder aptamer target recognition and binding, while the anchor domain serves to tether the DBS to the sensor substrate.

The DBS can exist in three states: a quenched state in which the displacement strand is bound to the aptamer and thus suppresses the fluorophore emission, an unfolded state, and a target-bound state. In the absence of target, the quenched and unfolded states are in equilibrium, defined by the constant $K_D$. Target binding shifts the equilibrium towards the target-bound complex, in which the quencher and fluorophore distance is increased, over the quenched state, thereby generating a fluorescence signal that increases with target concentration. The DBS design offers the advantage of enabling simple, rational design without requiring prior knowledge of the parent aptamer’s secondary structure or binding site, thereby offering a more generalizable approach to the design of tunable aptamer switches. Indeed, any aptamer generated via Capture-SELEX[21,23,24] can be readily incorporated into a DBS construct, because the 5’ end of the aptamer domain is hybridized to its displacement strand via the same complementary sequence used during the original Capture-SELEX experiment, with no involvement from the target-binding domain of the aptamer.

We can also tune DBS thermodynamics and kinetics by modulating the hybridization of the aptamer to the displacement strand, enabling the sensor to achieve a dynamic range that is optimal for the desired target concentration while also undergoing switching at physiologically relevant concentrations.[3,18] The DBS design offers two parallel mechanisms for sensor tuning: decreasing the length of the bubble domain ($L_{bubble}$) is expected to reduce binding affinity (i.e., increase $K_D^{L_{bubble}}$) while decreasing background, decreasing the peak signal, and increasing the overall rate of binding, whereas decreasing the length of the displacement strand ($L_{DS}$) reduces $K_D^{L_{DS}}$ while increasing background signal, increasing the peak signal, and increasing the rate of binding. The mathematical model employed to predict the effects of tuning $L_{bubble}$ and $L_{DS}$ is derived and further discussed in Note S1 (Supporting Information).
Figure 1. Schematic of the duplex bubble switch (DBS). The DBS converts an existing aptamer into a switch that produces a target-concentration-dependent signal based on alterations in the interaction of a fluorophore-quencher pair. In the absence of the aptamer target, the DBS exists in an equilibrium state between its quenched form (left), in which the quencher and fluorophore are in close proximity due to binding of the displacement strand to the aptamer, and the unbound state (middle), in which the two strands are dissociated. In the presence of the target, the system shifts to an equilibrium between the quenched state, unbound state, and the target-bound state (right), in which the fluorophore emission is greatly enhanced. This results in a target concentration-dependent signal increase.

2.2. Assessing the Affinity and Binding Response of a Dopamine DBS

As an initial demonstration for this work, we constructed a DBS based on an existing dopamine aptamer[23] (39 nt, \( K_D = 150 \times 10^{-9} \) M) and tested these thermodynamic and kinetic tuning principles with our dopamine DBS in solution (Table S1, Supporting Information). We generated an array of DBS switches incorporating displacement strands with \( L_{DS} \) ranging from 7 to 12 nucleotides (nt) and \( L_{bubble} \) ranging from 12 to 52 nt and tested the dopamine-binding affinity of these constructs using a plate reader-based assay (see the Experimental Section). In order to increase the design space of DBS constructs, we also tested an aptamer sequence with a single mismatch introduced. We describe our switches using the nomenclature of \( L_{bubble}-L_{DS} \), such that a construct with a 22-nt bubble and a 7-bp displacement strand is referred to as 22-7, and an asterisk (e.g., 22-7*) denotes use of the mismatched aptamer sequence. We selected a Cy3 fluorophore and an Iowa Black quencher to label the aptamer and displacement strands, respectively, in order to achieve long-term measurement with minimal fluorescence background or photobleaching. As expected, increasing \( L_{DS} \) on its own resulted in a lower background signal and lower apparent dopamine affinity. For instance, as we increased \( L_{DS} \) from 7 to 12 nt while maintaining \( L_{bubble} = 22 \), the majority of the corresponding aptamer switches exhibited lower sensitivity, with an increase in \( K_{D,\text{eff}} \) from 37 to \( 170 \times 10^{-6} \) M (Figure 2a and Figures S1 and S2, Supporting Information). In contrast, we generally observed greater

Figure 2. Tuning the performance of a dopamine DBS in solution. a) Changing the \( L_{DS} \) of our DBS from 7 to 12 bp while maintaining \( L_{bubble} \) at 22 nt shifts the binding curve to the right, indicating an increased \( K_{D,\text{eff}} \). For ease of visualization, normalized data are presented here. b) Modulating temporal response to an injection of \( 50 \times 10^{-6} \) M dopamine via tuning of \( L_{DS} \). Increasing \( L_{DS} \) from 7 to 11 bp with a fixed \( L_{bubble} \) of 22 nt results in slower kinetics. Error bars are presented for every tenth data point for better visualization. Error bars represent the standard deviation of the average (\( n = 3 \)). Raw thermodynamic plots for all DBS constructs are provided in Figures S1 and S2 (Supporting Information). Data normalization and fits are explained in the Experimental Section.
DBS sensitivity as $L_{\text{bubble}}$ increased from 12 to 52 nt, with significant improvement in $K_D^{\text{eff}}$ for every ten additional bases inserted while a constant $L_{\text{DS}}$ was maintained. For example, while $L_{\text{DS}} = 10$, an increase in $L_{\text{bubble}}$ from 12 to 52 nt resulted in a decrease of $K_D^{\text{eff}}$ from 177 to $21 \times 10^{-6}$ M. In addition to an increase in sensitivity, both background signal and peak signal increased with $L_{\text{bubble}}$, with peak signal approaching the theoretical maximum signal (Figure S3, Supporting Information). We note that while our experimental observations generally mirrored predicted trends from our model, we did observe several outliers, and this was likely due to unexpected secondary structure effects in certain constructs. These results highlight the importance of screening constructs prior to use (Figure S4 and Table S2, Supporting Information).

We subsequently tested our ability to tune the temporal response of our various constructs by adding $50 \times 10^{-6}$ m dopamine to plate wells containing $250 \times 10^{-9}$ m of each DBS construct and then observing the kinetics of the fluorescent response. Decreasing $L_{\text{bubble}}$ with a constant $L_{\text{DS}}$ did not meaningfully alter the kinetic response, but decreasing $L_{\text{DS}}$ with a constant $L_{\text{bubble}}$ (Figure S5 and Table S3, Supporting Information) resulted in significantly faster responses. We could dramatically increase temporal resolution (the switching time constant $\tau_{\text{obs}} = 1/k_{\text{obs}}$) by over 300-fold—from $\approx 17$ min to less than 3 s—as we decreased $L_{\text{DS}}$ from 11 to 7 nt in constructs with $L_{\text{bubble}} = 22$ (Figure 2b). For constructs with longer $L_{\text{DS}}$, we hypothesized that it should be possible to further improve their kinetic response by introducing mismatches into the aptamer-displacement strand duplex. Previous work has shown that such mismatches can enable more precise control over the binding curve and enhance the ability to increase kinetics without affecting affinity.[18,25] We therefore introduced single-base mismatches at different positions within the hairpin of the parent aptamer sequence and tested it with multiple constructs in which $L_{\text{DS}} = 11$ nt, a design that displayed very slow kinetics in the absence of mismatches (Figure S5, Supporting Information). In most cases, the introduction of mismatches dramatically increased the DBS association rate constant ($\tau_{\text{on}}$). For example, for construct 12-11, a mismatch at position 8 in the aptamer shifted $k_{\text{on}}$ from $\approx 8$ min to 35 s—a $>12$-fold improvement.

To test how well the DBS constructs perform when coupled to a solid support in the context of a biosensor system, we assessed the effect of surface anchoring on the affinity and binding kinetics of our 22-7* construct. We determined that 22-7* was the best candidate for further development, as it achieved the highest affinity of any of the DBS designs tested ($K_D = 37 \times 10^{-6}$ M) with a good dynamic range (1–100 $\times 10^{-6}$ M) while also undergoing switching at fast timescales (seconds). We biotinylated the 3’ end of the displacement strand-coupled anchor strand for surface attachment, and modified its 5’ end with Iowa Black quencher; the aptamer strand was internally modified with a Cy3 reporter. We immobilized this modified 22-7* construct onto a passivated, streptavidin-coated glass surface and used total internal reflection fluorescence (TIRF) microscopy to image dopamine binding with single-molecule resolution[26] (Figure 3a).

As we flowed a dopamine solution into a microchamber containing surface-immobilized aptamers, we were able to visualize in real-time the binding and release of individual dopamine molecules from the DBS as sharp increases and decreases in intensity (Figure 3b and Figure S6, Supporting Information). Our results confirmed that our DBS sensor can achieve robust dopamine detection when anchored to a solid substrate. Representative fluorescence intensity trajectories are shown in Figure 3c and Figure S6 (Supporting Information). By monitoring individual binding events in real time, we were able to calculate $k_{\text{on}}$ via $1/\tau_{\text{off}} = k_{\text{on}}c$, where $c$ is the dopamine concentration and $\tau_{\text{off}}$ is the mean dwell off-time. Similarly, the dissociation rate constant ($k_{\text{off}}$) can be calculated via $1/\tau_{\text{on}} = k_{\text{off}}c_{\text{sat}}$, where $c_{\text{sat}}$ is the mean dwell on-time. In the absence of target, we observed monoeXponential decays indicating long dwell off-time (183 s) and sporadic and short on-times (5.3 s). In contrast, the addition of target yielded monoeXponential decays, with a marked decrease in dwell off-times (23.7 s) and an increase in dwell on-times (8.4 s) (Figure 3d). These equilibria in the absence and presence of dopamine allowed us to estimate a $K_D^{\text{eff}}$ of $141 \times 10^{-6}$ m ($K_D^{\text{eff}} = c_{\text{sat}}/\tau_{\text{off}}$), which is fourfold higher than the measurement we obtained in solution ($K_D^{\text{eff}} = 37 \times 10^{-6}$ M). These results indicated that surface coupling was influencing DBS affinity, possibly due to factors such as probe accessibility, the distance between probes, or probe–surface interactions.[27] Based on these results, we hypothesize that the $k_{\text{on}}$ between target and aptamer would be minimally influenced by the displacement strand dissociation rate. However, once the target is bound, the association of the displacement strand could potentially destabilize the aptamer–target complex.

2.3. Development of a Near-Field Optical Probe System

To measure the signals from the DBS probes, we developed a fiber probe-based optical detection system that can achieve sensitive analyte detection directly in complex biological samples such as plasma without any sample preparation. The design of our optical probe system was guided by three major goals: 1) rejection of autofluorescence from the sample, 2) prevention of nonspecific binding and biofouling, and 3) maximizing sensitivity.

We designed our fiber probe to monitor DBS binding based on fluorophore excitation within an evanescent field. The evanescent field is generated when total internal reflection of light occurs within the core of the optical fiber, which is coated with a lower refractive index material. When the coating material is removed or greatly thinned and the fiber is inserted into an aqueous solution, the evanescent field is generated at the fiber–water interface (Figure 4a and Figure S7, Supporting Information). The field decays as it extends into the medium perpendicular to the fiber surface, such that fluorophores immediately adjacent to the surface (typically within 100–1000 nm) will be excited by the evanescent wave; part of the resulting emitted fluorescence will in turn be coupled back into the fiber and can subsequently be measured. As such, DBS constructs coupled to the probe surface will generate measurable fluorescent signals in response to target binding that can be correlated to target concentration, whereas molecules in bulk solution located outside of the evanescent field will contribute very little to the measured signal, resulting in greatly reduced background noise.

In order to minimize biofouling, we functionalized the fiber tip in a multistep process (see the Experimental Section). After cleaning with piranha, acetone, and water, the probes were...
Figure 3. Characterization of surface-coupled dopamine DBS constructs. a) Schematic of DBS coupling to a streptavidin-modified glass substrate for analysis via total internal reflection fluorescence (TIRF) microscopy. b) The interaction of dopamine with surface-attached DBS probes yields temporal patterns of repeated on and off signals. Panels show single movie frames from a microscope field of view before (left) and after (right) dopamine addition ($50 \times 10^{-6}$ M), with bright puncta at locations where single fluorescent probes are bound. c) Representative intensity–time trajectories indicating on and off switching of single DBS constructs. d) Histograms of $\tau_{\text{on}}$ (left) and $\tau_{\text{off}}$ (right) for all intensity-versus-time trajectories observed within a single field of view in the presence or absence of dopamine with monoexponential fits.

Figure 4. Near-field optical probe development and characterization. a) DBS constructs are immobilized onto a tapered optical fiber probe via biotin–avidin linkages to allow efficient near-field detection. Binding of target molecules to the DBS constructs is monitored in real time based on the excitation of fluorophore molecules within the evanescent field, where the emitted signal scales with incident power. Bottom panel shows fluorescence microscopy image of the tapered fiber tip functionalized with Cy3-labeled aptamers. b) A compact hardware system is used to excite DBS fluorescence and collect emitted fluorescence using a highly sensitive single-photon counting module (SPCM). Bottom panel shows an example of DBS signal over time as monitored in continuous mode.
functionalized with a mixture of unmodified and biotin-modified polyethylene glycol (PEG) polymer chains in order to insulate the probe against nonspecific binding while also enhancing the specific attachment of DBS molecules to the surface via biotin–avidin interactions.\(^{[28]}\) We situated the probe within a microfluidic chamber (±40 μL) by pressing a polycarbonate film with an adhesive gasket onto the PEG/PEG-biotin-coated fiber. Two silicone connectors were glued onto the predrilled holes of the film and served as inlet and outlet ports to manually dispense and wash out different solutions (Figure S8, Supporting Information). The fibers were then incubated with a neutravidin solution, which enabled direct coupling of the biotinylated DBS molecules to the biotin moieties at the ends of the PEG chains (Figure 4a). Control experiments in which we incubated the functionalized probes with Cy3-labeled DNA strand in the absence of neutravidin ruled out any meaningful nonspecific binding of the aptamers to the sensor surface (Figure S7, Supporting Information), offering evidence that this design approach should generally help insulate against non-specific probe surface fouling.

Finally, to maximize our probe’s sensitivity, we optimized the fiber design for high transmission of fluorescent signal and efficient evanescent coupling to the DBS probes. We selected a commercially available, graded-index, multimode silica optical fiber with a core diameter of 62.5 μm, low attenuation (≈3–5 dB km\(^{-1}\)) at wavelengths of 650–850 nm), and low background fluorescence in the emission band of our dyes (Figure S9, Supporting Information). The chemical robustness and lack of oxidation of silica-based materials means that they remain stable in aqueous media for prolonged periods of time, and silica-based surfaces can easily be modified with passivation treatments that minimize biofouling in complex media.\(^{[29–32]}\) To maximize the sensing volume—and therefore enhance the coupling between modes inside the fiber and the DBS construct—we tapered the base fiber down to a micrometer-scale tip (see the Experimental Section). Our fiber-tapering process was highly consistent, resulting in reproducible taper quality and dimensions and similar signals across several fiber probes (Signal CV = 0.10) (see Figure S10, Supporting Information). This optical fiber probe was then coupled to a sensitive single-photon counting module (SPCM) to achieve optimal detection performance.

The functionalized fiber was connected to an optoelectronic system (Figure 4b) that is designed to both excite the dye molecules on the DBS and collect the resulting fluorescent signal. The excitation-detection module contains the excitation laser (532 nm), with the appropriate laser line filters including variable neutral density filters to control incident power to the fiber probe. The laser light is coupled into a fiber and fiber switch, which allows for interval measurements and is controlled via a microcontroller (Arduino). This enables the laser to be switched between the sample fiber path (ON) and a dead-end port (OFF). The excitation laser is coupled to the functionalized fiber through the 10% port of a 90:10 fiber coupler. The emission light of the biosensor is collected with the same fiber and is coupled back into the excitation/detection box through the 90% port and then spectrally separated from the excitation laser via long-pass and notch filters. The emission signal from the fiber probe is then directed to the SPCM, which is interfaced to the Arduino to record detection events and monitor fluorescence intensity over time. Data acquisition was performed by a LabVIEW GUI with an integration time of 500 ms and 500 nW output laser power to minimize photobleaching (Figure S11, Supporting Information). The fluorescence response of the aptamer switch was defined as the fluorescence intensity of the target after subtracting the background from a blank fiber. By correlating this signal to analyte concentration, we could achieve precise real-time measurements of analyte concentration changes over time.

### 2.4. Continuous Detection of Dopamine in Buffer and Artificial Cerebrospinal Fluid

To characterize the sensitivity of the platform, we exposed our sensor to increasing and decreasing dopamine concentrations in buffer by intermittently injecting samples containing different analyte concentrations (0, 1, 8, 80, 200, or 800 \(× 10^{-6}\) m) into the chamber. Signal gain was calculated by background-correcting the fluorescence intensity and normalizing to the value observed at 0 \(× 10^{-6}\) m (see the Experimental Section). We observed a clear and proportional signal gain (Figure 5a and Figure S12, Supporting Information), ranging from 29% at 1 \(× 10^{-6}\) m to ≈2000% at 800 \(× 10^{-6}\) m, with an average CV of 0.15 at each concentration. We fitted the probe’s signal gain to a Langmuir isotherm and obtained a \(K_d\) of 231 \(× 10^{-6}\) m, with a measured dynamic range of 1–800 \(× 10^{-6}\) m (Figure 5a and Table S4, Supporting Information). This limit of detection (LOD) is more than two orders of magnitude below the \(K_d\) of the DBS itself (231 \(× 10^{-6}\) m), demonstrating that even a DBS with modest affinity can achieve sensitive analyte detection in this probe design. By increasing the incident laser power—and thereby improving the signal-to-noise ratio—we could further lower the LOD from 1 \(× 10^{-6}\) m to \(≈\)200 \(× 10^{-9}\) m which falls within the previously reported physiological range.\(^{[13–15]}\) (Figure S13, Supporting Information). However, the gains from this strategy are mitigated by fluorophore saturation and photobleaching, which would limit the duration of measurements that can be collected in this fashion (Figure S11, Supporting Information). We next characterized the kinetics of the sensor upon exposing the probe to 8, 80, 200, and 800 \(× 10^{-6}\) m dopamine, and observed a rapid kinetic response, with \(k_{off}\) = 138 \(m^{-1}\) s\(^{-1}\) and \(k_{on} = 0.102\) s\(^{-1}\). These measurements indicate that the probe reached 50% of its maximum signal within 7 s and then returned to 50% of baseline within 5.5 s (Figure S14 and Table S5, Supporting Information).

Our sensor also maintained high sensitivity over extended periods of time in buffer. We monitored the signal gain in response to multiple sets of \(≥\)5 cycles of 8 \(× 10^{-6}\) m dopamine followed by buffer wash (100 s cycle\(^{-1}\)); we repeated this process at time intervals of 1, 16, 20, and 24 h after the start of the experiment (Figure 5b). Upon the first addition of dopamine at time zero, the sensor produced an average signal gain of 244%. After 16 h, the signal gain from the sensor decreased only slightly to 224%, and even after 24 h, we still observed an average signal gain of 271% (average CV per time point = 0.035) (Figure 5b). Importantly, the sensor consistently returned to baseline with minimal drift (CV = 0.12) in buffer, even after 24 h. We also saw minimal inter-probe variability based on the signal gain produced after applying 8 \(× 10^{-6}\) m dopamine to six different fiber probes (CV = 0.11), as
Figure 5. Real-time dopamine detection in buffer and artificial cerebrospinal fluid (aCSF). a) Continuous, real-time measurement of dopamine in buffer. Inset shows magnification of signal gain produced by $1 \times 10^{-6}$ M dopamine. Right-hand panel shows a standard curve relating signal gain to dopamine concentration extracted from real-time data. Error bars represent the standard deviation over replicated measurements. Mean, CV, and number of replicates are reported in Table S4 (Supporting Information). b) The sensor maintained consistent response after multiple cycles of dopamine addition and wash after 1, 16, and 24 h. The plot on the right shows the average signal gain distribution of ten cycles for timepoints at 1, 16, 20, and 24 h. Error bars in the right-hand panel represent the standard deviation of the average at each timepoint ($n \geq 5$). Data fits and normalization are explained in the Experimental Section. c) Continuous, real-time measurement of dopamine spiked into aCSF. Right-hand panel shows magnification of signal gain from $500 \times 10^{-9}$ and $1 \times 10^{-6}$ M dopamine. Right-hand panel shows a standard curve relating signal gain to dopamine concentration extracted from real-time data. Error bars represent the standard deviation over replicated measurements. Mean, CV, and number of replicates are reported in Table S6 (Supporting Information).

2.5. Continuous Detection of Cortisol in Undiluted Human Plasma

To demonstrate the generalizability of our platform, we developed a DBS-based sensor for the steroid hormone cortisol. Starting with a previously published cortisol aptamer \cite{14} (51 nt, $K_D = 1 \times 10^{-6}$ M), we generated multiple DBS switches with variable $L_{DS}$ and $L_{bubble}$ (Table S1, Supporting Information) and tested their affinity in solution using a plate reader-based assay. Decreasing $L_{bubble}$ from 32 to 22 nt while maintaining a constant $L_{DS}$ of 8 nt improved the aptamer affinity by almost sevenfold from 97.46 to 14.24 $\times 10^{-6}$ M. In addition, as with the dopamine DBS, decreasing $L_{DS}$ while maintaining a constant $L_{bubble}$ resulted in...
higher sensitivity, with a decrease in $K_{\text{Deff}}$ from 97.46 $\times 10^{-6}$ m for 32-8 to 35.03 $\times 10^{-6}$ m for 32-7 (Figure S17 and Table S7, Supporting Information). We therefore selected 22-8 for coupling to our fiber probe, as this construct achieved a dynamic range (500 $\times 10^{-9}$ to 175 $\times 10^{-6}$ m) that fell closest to expected physiological concentrations (55–690 $\times 10^{-9}$ m).[36,37] After fabricating the fiber probe as described above, we exposed our sensor to varying cortisol concentrations in buffer ($0 \times 10^{-9}$, $200 \times 10^{-9}$, $1.4 \times 10^{-6}$, $10 \times 10^{-6}$, and $140 \times 10^{-6}$ m). Our sensor exhibited clear and proportional signal gain across this concentration range, from 5.9% at the LOD of $200 \times 10^{-9}$ m to 567% at $140 \times 10^{-6}$ m (Figure 6a). We observed minimal variance at each concentration tested (average CV = 0.012). This switch also produced a very rapid, sub-second kinetic response (Figure S18, Supporting Information). By increasing the incident laser power from 500 nW to 5 $\mu$W and thereby improving the signal-to-noise ratio, we achieved a LOD of $\approx 50 \times 10^{-9}$ m (Figure S19, Supporting Information)—roughly three orders of magnitude below the $K_D$ of the DBS ($\approx 14 \times 10^{-6}$ m) and at the lower bound of the physiological concentration range of this analyte.

Finally, we demonstrated that our sensor could achieve robust, continuous cortisol sensing in undiluted human plasma. We sequentially exposed the sensor to varying concentrations of cortisol spiked into plasma ($0 \times 10^{-6}$, $200 \times 10^{-9}$, $1.4 \times 10^{-6}$, $10 \times 10^{-6}$, and $140 \times 10^{-6}$ m) and observed close correlation in terms of signal gain (Figure 6b), ranging from 6.5% at $200 \times 10^{-9}$ m to 296% at $140 \times 10^{-6}$ m, with a similar LOD (200 $\times 10^{-9}$ m) compared to our buffer measurements. We also observed slightly higher variability in our replicate measurements for each concentration tested on the probe (average CV = 0.05). This increase in CV and decrease in signal gain (Table S6, Supporting Information) may be attributable to a number of reasons, including the fact that free cortisol is known to bind to the corticosteroid-binding proteins globulin and albumin in plasma, potentially resulting in depletion of the spiked-in cortisol in our experiments.[38] Nevertheless, these results clearly demonstrate that our DBS-coupled probes can achieve rapid, quantitative detection of protein analytes in complex, minimally processed samples, and highlight the generalizability of this approach.

3. Conclusion

We have demonstrated a generalizable strategy to convert an existing aptamer into a modular DBS architecture that is capable of continuous optical detection of small-molecule analytes in complex samples. These constructs can be assembled and fine-tuned to adjust the thermodynamics and kinetics of the resulting switches without prior knowledge of the aptamer's structure or binding domain. As proof of concept, we generated DBS-based sensors for two different analytes—dopamine and cortisol—in which we coupled our switch constructs to a surface-functionalized fiber-optic probe that can sensitively detect binding-induced fluorescent signals within an evanescent field, enabling us to minimize the confounding effects of background autofluorescence and surface fouling. Using this sensor design, we demonstrated that we could detect nanomolar concentrations of these two targets in both buffer and complex specimens such as aCSF or undiluted human plasma.
Furthermore, our probes could rapidly respond to both increases and decreases in analyte concentration with subsecond resolution, while demonstrating the potential to achieve robust performance over longer periods of use. We saw reasonably consistent signal gain with our dopamine measurements after more than 24 h in buffer (Figure 5b), and even with undiluted plasma, we were able to achieve stable performance over the course of multiple hours (Figure S20, Supporting Information). We generally observed excellent sensitivity with our sensors, achieving LODs that were typically several orders of magnitude lower than the $K_D$ of the DBS component itself; this suggests that sensors based on even higher-affinity aptamers (e.g., low nanomolar $K_D$) should be able to monitor even lower-abundance analytes. And as demonstrated with both analytes, increased laser power can greatly improve the sensor’s sensitivity at the cost of a shorter time window for detection due to heightened photobleaching. However, we did observe diminished cortisol sensitivity in plasma, and we believe this is principally attributable to the choice of target and the detrimental impact of cortisol-binding proteins present in the matrix.\(^{[38]}\) As such, we can most likely improve our cortisol sensor’s performance through the selection of an aptamer that can bind and measure both free and bound cortisol. Despite these limitations, our initial demonstration with cortisol in plasma hints at the potential clinical utility of this sensor design.

The normal range of cortisol concentrations in plasma fluctuates from 136–690 × 10\(^{-9}\) M in the morning to 55–386 × 10\(^{-9}\) M in the evening.\(^{[36,37,39]}\) but pathological states such as heart disease can give rise to considerably elevated levels of cortisol (>690 × 10\(^{-9}\) M).\(^{[39–41]}\) Our proof-of-concept sensor could therefore be leveraged in biomedical applications focused on diagnosing and monitoring conditions associated with spikes or other irregularities in cortisol levels.

More generally, the observed limitations in sensor performance are fundamentally attributable to the limitations of the parent aptamers. Our fiber sensor has demonstrated the capability to detect analyte concentrations that are 2–3 orders of magnitude below the $K_D$ of the DBS construct. As described in Note S1 (Supporting Information), this latter value is bounded by the $K_D$ of the parent aptamer, which is increased by the addition of the displacement strand and anchor strand. We therefore see considerable opportunities to select novel aptamers with $K_D$ values closer to the range of physiological concentrations, and there are numerous examples of aptamers with baseline affinities in the nanomolar range.

Although we could achieve robust cortisol detection in human plasma for a few hours, the signal gain subsequently degraded over longer periods of time (Figure S20, Supporting Information). Our system is designed to counter the effects of biofouling, indicating that this may not be the primary source of this problem, but there are other factors that likely contribute to this degeneration over time. For example, the natural DNA-based DBS constructs may be susceptible to degradation in the complex plasma environment, and recent work has shown that the use of nuclease-resistant, chemically modified nucleobases can greatly enhance aptamer durability in such sample conditions.\(^{[42]}\)

Such modifications will be worth exploring in subsequent implementations of this sensor platform, and our future efforts will be focused on enhancing this system’s performance in complex biosamples with the ultimate aim of achieving sensitive, long-term analyte detection in flowing blood and other clinically relevant sample matrices. We also see other opportunities to improve this technology. For one, the aptamer DBS switch design effort currently entails some degree of trial-and-error, in which multiple constructs are synthesized and evaluated. Our recent work on high-throughput screening methods\(^{[42,43]}\) could broaden the scope of this process while also accelerating the identification of switch constructs that offer the best dynamic range and kinetics for a particular target in a given sample matrix. Despite the narrow range of constructs tested here, considerable further tuning of the DBS design is possible. $I_{bubble}$ is only limited by the constraints of DNA synthesis, although increasing $I_{bubble}$ has diminishing returns—each additional base has a smaller impact on both the thermodynamics and kinetics, as was demonstrated previously.\(^{[18]}\) The displacement strand length is currently constrained by the length of the complementary hairpin integrated within the Capture-SELEX library design. For aptamers not selected through Capture-SELEX, the displacement strand could be as long as the aptamer itself—or even longer, if bulges were included—but this would result in a very high $K_D$.\(^{[17]}\) Although the displacement strand could potentially be as short as 5 nt, a shorter displacement strand produces a very high background signal, and $I_{DS} < 5$ nt is not recommended without also incorporating hybridization-enhancing modified bases such as peptide nucleic acids or locked nucleic acids. Sensor performance could also be further enhanced by using alternative fluorophores that minimize the effects of photobleaching and photodegradation, or via the implementation of ratiometric-based fluorescence measurements such as Förster resonance energy transfer (FRET), which can contribute to greater sensitivity and minimal background and drift issues.

We believe that this approach has the potential to accelerate development of a diverse range of continuous biosensors for physiological monitoring and improved patient care. However, there are still multiple challenges associated with operation in live animals,\(^{[44]}\) including signal degradation over time. Solutions such as membrane protection, nanoporous fibers, and hydrogels to shield the aptamers from proteins and cells present in the biofluid can be explored in future work. It should also be noted that although aptamer-based optical sensors are promising,\(^{[30,32]}\) this modality is still in its infancy relative to other real-time sensing platforms such as E-AB sensors, which have demonstrated resilience in complex samples and in vivo applications.\(^{[7,8,45,46]}\) However, electrochemical aptamer switches require a conformation change that brings the redox reporter closer to the electrode surface, which can be very difficult to achieve from a rational design perspective.\(^{[7]}\) By maintaining the structure defined in the Capture SELEX process, our approach reduces the subsequent difficulty of engineering an optical switch, and the use of a fluorescent readout lets us rapidly screen many constructs in parallel using a plate reader. That being said, we would like to note that the DBS design could be applied to electrochemical detection as well, which could broaden the availability of aptamers that can be incorporated into electrochemical sensors.\(^{[47–49]}\) In sum, the present work demonstrates the feasibility of using optical biosensing to achieve real-time detection of diverse biomarkers in complex samples via evanescent field-based sensing—an approach that until now has been limited to single-point measurements.\(^{[32]}\)
4. Experimental Section

Reagents: All chemicals were purchased from Thermo Fisher Scientific unless otherwise noted. Oligonucleotides modified with Cy3, Iowa Black, or BHQ-2 were purified by HPLC and purchased from Integrated DNA Technologies. All sequences used in this work are shown in Table S1 (Supporting Information). All oligonucleotides were resuspended in nuclease-free water and stored at −20 °C. All experiments were performed in triplicate unless otherwise noted.

Measurement of Effective Binding Affinity: DBS constructs of varying L_{bubble} and L_{DS} were suspended at a concentration of 250 × 10^{-9} M in binding buffer (1X PBS, pH 7.5 and 2 × 10^{-3} M MgCl₂). Switches were prepared by mixing 1 × 10^{-6} M Cy3-labeled aptamer strand with 2 × 10^{-6} M quencher-coupled strand, heating to 95 °C, and then cooling to 25 °C over the course of 25 min to achieve hybridization. To obtain binding curves in solution, 40 μL reactions were prepared in binding buffer with 250 × 10^{-9} M DBS and final target concentrations in the range of 1 × 10^{-1} to 1 × 10^{-3} M for dopamine and 500 × 10^{-9} to 175 × 10^{-6} M for cortisol. Stock solutions of 20 × 10^{-3} M dopamine were prepared in 1.5 mL of binding buffer and 30 × 10^{-3} M cortisol in 1.5 mL of 100% ethanol. The fluorescence spectra for all samples were measured at 25 °C on a Synergy H1 microplate reader (BioTek). Emission spectra were monitored in the 550–700 nm range with Cy3 excitation at 530 nm and a gain of 100 in Corning 96-well half area black flat-bottom polystyrene microplates. Representative concentration-dependent emission spectra are shown in Figure S1 (Supporting Information).

K_{eff} was extracted from triplicate data by fitting to the single-site specific binding equation in terms of relative fluorescence units (RFU) using GraphPad Prism (Table S2, Supporting Information).

\[ y = \frac{(B_{\text{max}} - Y_{\min})}{F} \times \frac{x}{x + K_{D}} + Y_{\min} \]

For ease of comparison, normalized data are presented in Figure 2. RFU data were first averaged to obtain a mean RFU per concentration point. Averaged data were then normalized as follows, where F_{min} is the minimum mean value and F_{max} is the maximum mean value.

\[ F_{\text{norm}} = \frac{F - F_{\text{min}}}{F_{\max} - F_{\text{min}}} \]

Measurement of Binding Kinetics: DBS constructs of varying L_{bubble} and L_{DS} were suspended at a concentration of 333.3 × 10^{-9} M in 30 μL of binding buffer. The switches were prepared as described above. Kinetic fluorescence measurements were made using a Synergy H1 microplate reader. After timed injection of 10 μL of 50 × 10^{-12} M dopamine in binding buffer into the 30 μL DBS solution, the kinetic response was measured. Cy3 was excited at 530 nm, and emission was measured at 570 nm using monochromators at the minimum possible regular time interval of 0.465 s. All kinetic data were normalized relative to the target-free control to account for the effect of sample volume change upon injection of dopamine. For plotting, the curves were normalized to a range of 0–1 in order to visually emphasize changes in rate constants rather than the background and peak levels that were dictated by the thermodynamics. These data were normalized as described above. Each replicate was normalized independently, and the normalized datasets were then averaged.

The association time constant (\( \tau \)) was obtained by fitting the data to the one-phase association curve using GraphPad Prism (Table S3, Supporting Information).

Slide Preparation for TIRF Microscopy: A detailed version of the coverslip cleaning procedure can be found in the Supporting Information of ref. [26]. Briefly, coverslips (25 mm × 25 mm × 170 μm) were soaked in piranha solution (25% H₂O₂ and 75% concentrated H₂SO₄) and sonicated for 90 min, followed by five rinses with Milli-Q water. The coverslips were then soaked with 0.5 M NaOH and sonicated for 30 min, followed by five more rinses with water. The slides were then soaked five times in HPLC-grade acetone with 5 min sonication in the fourth soak. Slides were then dried with N₂.

To prevent nonspecific adsorption of biomolecules onto the glass surface, the coverslips were functionalized prior to use with poly(ethylene glycol) silane (mPEG-sil, MW = 5000, Laysan Bio). For sample immobilization, a 99:1 ratio w/w of PEG-sil-biotin-PEG-sil was used. The coverslip was incubated with a 25% (w/w) mixture of PEG-sil-biotin-PEG-sil in DMSO (anhydrous) at 90 °C for 15 min. The excess PEG was rinsed off the coverslip with water (molecular biology grade), and the coverslips were dried under an N₂ stream. Imaging chambers (8×8 μL, 10 mm × 20 mm × 0.25 mm) were constructed by pressing a polycarbonate film with an adhesive (Grace Bio labs) onto the PEG-coated coverslip. Before image acquisition, and to further decrease the level of non-specific binding, the surface was incubated for 10 min with 12 μL of passivation buffer (1X PBS, pH 7.5 and 1% v/v Tween 20). Next, the surface was incubated with 12 μL of 0.2 mg mL⁻¹ (≥200 x 10⁻³ M, 1X PBS, pH 7.5) streptavidin solution for 10 min. The unbound streptavidin was washed away twice with 50 μL of 1X PBS buffer pH 7.5. For surface attachment, the displacement strand was conjugated at its 3’ end to a biotin moiety and at its 5’ end to an Iowa Black quencher, whereas the aptamer complement was internally modified with a Cy3 reporter. The DBS switch was prepared by mixing the Cy3-labeled aptamer strand with the biotinylated, quencher-coupled strand at a scale of 1:1.500 to 1:1 × 10^{-3} M and 150 × 10^{-9} M, respectively. This mixture was heated to 95 °C and then cooled to 25 °C over the course of 30 min to achieve hybridization. The construct was then immobilized onto the passivated, streptavidin-coated coverslip surface by incubating a 50 × 10^{-12} M solution of DBS in 1X binding buffer for 5 min followed by two washes of 50 μL of binding buffer. For long-term imaging, 40 nanomolar biotin-functionalized gold nanoparticles (Alfa Aesar/Thermo Scientific Chemicals, J67026AM1) were used as fiducial markers to facilitate drift correction. All experiments were conducted at room temperature.

TIRF Microscopy and Image Analysis: Fluorescence imaging was carried out using an inverted Nikon Eclipse Ti2e microscope equipped with the perfect focus system and implementing an objective-type TIRF configuration with a motorized Nikon TIRF illuminator (LAPP) and an oil-immersion objective (CFI Apo TIRF 60X oil immersion objective lens, numerical aperture 1.49). The effective pixel size was 180 nm. With these settings, a 532-nm laser was used for excitation (LUN-F XL532/561/640 Laser Combiner; 3 mW, measured out of the objective). For Cy3 imaging, the laser beam was passed through a multiband cleanup filter (ZET332/640x, Chroma Technology) and coupled into the microscope objective using a multiband beam splitter (ZTS32/640pc-uf2, Chroma Technology). Fluorescence light was spectrally filtered with a ZET332/640m-trf (Chroma Technology) filter. Fluorescence was further filtered with an ETS85/65M (Chroma Technology) emission filter mounted on a Ti2-P-FWB-E motorized barrier filter wheel. All movies were recorded onto a 704 × 704 pixel region of a backilluminated Scientific CMOS camera (Prime 95C; 16-bit, 931 × 828 pixels per frame, Newton Scientific) and coupled into the microscope objective using a multiband beam splitter (ZTS32/640pc-uf2, Chroma Technology). Images were analyzed by creating a square ROI in the center of the image (512 × 512 pixels). We observed typically 400-800 spots over a field of view (≈92 μm²). Fluorescence intensity–time trajectories of individual molecules were extracted from the videos using a custom algorithm written in MATLAB (MathWorks) defining a diamond shaped region around the center of each spot captured. A surrounded region was defined to substract the local intensity background.

Fiber Probe Selection and Construction: Single- and multimode fibers are commercially available and have low attenuation (i.e., 3–5 dB km⁻¹ at wavelengths of 650–850 nm, which is the bandwidth at which Cy3 emits). Background fluorescence was also a consideration; this is likely to originate from impurities in the fiber (color centers) and can dominate the spectrum in a poorly chosen fiber. To minimize this background emission, different types of fibers, including a) single- and multimode fibers, b) multimode fibers with different core diameters (100, 62.5, or 50 μm), c) multimode fibers with step index and graded index, and d) multimode fibers with high and low OH content, were screened. Figure S9 (Supporting Information) shows representative transmission spectra for different multimode fibers excited at 405/530/630 nm using appropriate laser lines with band- and long-pass filters in the excitation and detection paths. To minimize ambient light coupling to the fiber, which introduces background
signal offset, and to prevent damage to the fiber, reinforced tubing was used (Thorlabs FT038-BK) for multimode fibers. The background was lowest when using a graded index multimode fiber (Thorlabs GF1625) with a core diameter of 62.5 μm, cladding diameter of 125 μm, and an operating wavelength longer than 550 nm. Since the residual background counts from the fiber are constant, they can be easily subtracted from the measured signal to obtain the Cy3 signal.

Optical fibers confine light in a high refractive index core via total internal reflection, and a radially decaying evanescent field exists in the surrounding medium of lower refractive index. Tapering a fiber to the proper range of core diameter allows for the enhancement of the evanescent field in this region, thereby increasing the light coupled to the aptamer switches grafted on the surface of the taper. This in turn increases the sensing area compared to a fiber sensor with a cleaved end, where coupling can only occur at the fiber core. Evanescent coupling along the fiber taper has the added benefit of rejecting background signal from autofluorescence in biological settings (Figure S7, Supporting Information). In order to finely control fiber geometry and reproducibly fabricate high-performing fibers, a previously established heat-and-pull-based tapering protocol was adapted. Several inches of plastic coating were stripped from a central section of the fiber before it was tapered using a VivaTri GPX3000, using a custom recipe that produced ≈10 μm fiber waist, with intended up- and down-taper lengths of 10 mm. The taper parameters (i.e., up- and down-taper length and waist diameter) were chosen to produce the best compromise between requirements, namely, 1) maximizing the evanescent excitation of the fluorophores, 2) maximizing the selective collection of fluorophore emissions by the fiber core, and 3) reducing nonadiabatic scattering losses. After tapering, the two fiber ends were gently pulled mechanically using the microstage in order to cleave the tapered fiber at its waist and obtain two different fiber probes. Fiber tapering and cleavage consistently resulted in two visually distinct profiles (one on either side of the filament) with different taper lengths, referred to as “short” and “long” tapers. Although both tapers were usable, under the same conditions, long tapers generally produced about twice the signal as short tapers and were therefore used throughout the main text (Figure S10, Supporting Information). The tapering process yielded reproducible results, and while tapers can break, these were found to be mechanically strong enough to not be prohibitively sensitive during the measurements. It was recognized that specialized fiber-tapering systems might not be available in all labs; therefore, commercially available fiber optics were tested from companies such as LaseOptics as an alternative, and it was found that these provide comparable performance. In addition, more cost-effective approaches such as hydrofluoric acid etching for fabricating the taper are available and well-documented in the literature.

Fiber Tips: A custom-built microstage setup was used to fine-tune the functionalized region of the fiber and consistently achieve a reproducible probe coating. The surfaces of the fiber tips were first cleaned and hydroxyl-activated by immersing them in piranha solution for 2 h, followed by a 10 min incubation/wash with ultrapure water, followed by acetic for 5 min. For the amination of the optical fiber, the probes were then kept for 5 min in 1% (v/v) Vectorbond/acetone, washed with ultrapure water, and dried for 5 min at room temperature. In order to prevent nonspecific adsorption of biomolecules onto the glass surface, these surfaces, the amine groups were incubated with a mixture of poly(ethylene glycol) succinimidyl valerate (mPEG-SVA, MW = 5000) and biotin-PEG-SVA at a ratio of 90:10 (w/w) in 110 × 10⁻³ M sodium bicarbonate for 3 h and then rinsed with water and left in a dried state until used.

A polycarbonate chamber (≥40 μL, Grace Bio Labs HybriWell Sealing systems) was then constructed on the probe tip by pressing a polycarbonate film with an adhesive gasket onto the coated fiber in order to surround the probe (Figure S8, Supporting Information). Two silicone connectors were glued onto the predrilled holes of the film and served as inlet and outlet ports to manually dispense/wash different solutions into the chamber. Before data acquisition, the chamber was filled with 40 μL of 0.2 mg mL⁻¹ (≈200 × 10⁻⁹ M) neutravidin solution for 10 min. The unbound neutravidin was washed away twice with 200 μL of 1× buffer (1× PBS, pH 7.5 and 2 × 10⁻³ M MgCl₂). Biotinylated Cy3- and quencher-modified DBS constructs were then prepared as described above and immobilized onto the passivated, neutravidin-coated fiber surface by incubating the chamber for 1 h with 40 μL of 250 × 10⁻⁹ M DBS solution in 1× binding buffer followed by washing with more binding buffer. All experiments were conducted at room temperature. In the control experiments, the PEylated fiber sensor was incubated with 40 μL of 250 × 10⁻⁹ M Cy3-labeled DNA solution in 1× binding buffer in the absence of the neutravidin. Measurements were taken with the Cy3-labeled DNA still in solution (solution signal) and after washing the fiber with 1× binding buffer (nonspecific binding signal). These measurements were compared to the specific signal after incubating the PEylated fiber sensor with neutravidin, washing, incubating with 250 × 10⁻⁹ M Cy3-labeled DNA, and washing (as described above). Minimal signal in this control experiment was observed, confirming that 1) there is minimal nonspecific binding of aptamer switches to the sensor surface, and 2) the fiber operates as a near-field sensor and only detects signals close to the surface, with minimal interference from fluorophores in solution (Figure S7, Supporting Information).

Preparation of Target Solutions for Real-Time Measurements: For the dopamine experiments, a stock of 20 × 10⁻¹⁰ M dopamine was prepared in 1.5 mL of binding buffer or aCSF (124 × 10⁻³ M NaCl, 2.5 × 10⁻³ M KCl, 10 × 10⁻³ M glucose, 26 × 10⁻³ M NaHCO₃, 1 × 10⁻³ M NaH₂PO₄, 2.5 × 10⁻³ M CaCl₂, and 1.3 × 10⁻³ M MgSO₄). Serial dilutions were then performed to prepare solutions of 0, 200 × 10⁻⁶, 500 × 10⁻⁶, 1 × 10⁻⁵, 5 × 10⁻⁵, 8 × 10⁻⁵, 15 × 10⁻⁵, 20 × 10⁻⁵, 80 × 10⁻⁵, 200 × 10⁻⁵, or 800 × 10⁻⁵ M dopamine in buffer or aCSF. Due to the propensity of dopamine to form polydopamine at higher concentrations, the dopamine stock had to be renewed every ≈30 min during the experiment to ensure minimal precipitation on the probe surface or fouling of aptamer structures. This change in stocks resulted in slight variation in the measured signal, which was more noticeable in the aCSF due to its faster reaction rate.

For cortisol experiments, since cortisol is poorly soluble in aqueous conditions, a stock solution of 30 × 10⁻³ M cortisol was first prepared in 1.5 mL of 100% ethanol. A serial dilution was then done in binding buffer to prepare solutions of 50 × 10⁻⁹, 200 × 10⁻⁹, 500 × 10⁻⁹, 1 × 10⁻⁸, 1.4 × 10⁻⁸, 10 × 10⁻⁸, 50 × 10⁻⁸, 100 × 10⁻⁸, and 140 × 10⁻⁸ M cortisol. Different solutions were subsequently injected into the sample chamber, exchanging solutions at a 1-min interval while continuously recording. For experiments with human plasma, the cortisol stock was used in 100% ethanol to prepare serial dilutions in human plasma at 99.5% final plasma concentration (50 × 10⁻⁹, 200 × 10⁻⁹, 500 × 10⁻⁹, 1 × 10⁻⁸, 1.4 × 10⁻⁸, 10 × 10⁻⁸, 50 × 10⁻⁸, 100 × 10⁻⁸, and 140 × 10⁻⁸ M cortisol). It is important to note that the dilutions for each sample were freshly prepared right before measurements because cortisol-binding proteins in the plasma samples contributed to discrepancies in measurements when older, preprepared samples were used. Source data for all concentrations is provided as a Source Data file.

Optoelectronic System Setup for Real-Time Measurements: Fluorescence detection was carried out using a SPCC, which utilizes single-photon avalanche diodes, and can therefore achieve high quantum efficiency and low noise characteristics. Their high sensitivity and low background noise, coupled with the quenched DBS design, make it possible to detect analytes at concentrations considerably lower than the aptamer Kₘ. The detector was interfaced to a custom-designed acquisition board based on an Arduino to process and analyze detected photons, record detection events, and monitor fluorescence intensity over time. Data acquisition was performed with a LabVIEW GUI with an integration time of 500 ms. For excitation, a fiber-coupled 532 nm laser was used (Coherent, 20 mW, measured out of the fiber). For Cy3 measurements, the laser beam was passed through a band-pass cleanup filter (532/10, Chroma Technology) and variable neutral density filter (Thorlabs NDC-50C-4M) and coupled into the sample fiber using a fiber coupler (62.5/125 μm multimode fiber coupler 90/10, FC/PC, Thorlabs) and fiber switch (Newport MPSN-62-12-FPC) using the appropriate optics and mounts (In-Line Fiber Optic Filter Mount, FC/PC, Thorlabs). The fiber switch modulated the on/off state of the laser beam, allowing the precise control of the duration and timing of the laser pulse for continuous and intermittent measurements. Fluorescence emission light from the fiber sample was collected back through a fiber coupler (62.5/125 μm multimode fiber coupler 50/50, FC/PC, Thorlabs) and spectrally filtered with
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