

STANFORD UNIVERSITY – PBL CEE222





Site Evaluation



A

Ε

- Proximity to the River Fluid Element
- Existing Buildings

• Loose Compressible Soil

- High Water table
- California Seismicity
- С
- Accessible, Spacious
- Subcontractor and Materials Availability



Decision Matrix







- A + Circulation, Spaces
- E + Straightforward system
- C + Faster, Economic

- + Shapes, Atrium
- Uncertainties
- Complexity



Research





Green Buildings

Efficient Design

Innovative materials

Intelligent methods

Case Study:





GAP headquarters San Bruno, CA

Architect : William Mc Donough Engineering: Ove Arup Contractor: Swinerton





Section GAP headquarters San Bruno, CA





Section Cross air circulation pattern

GAP headquarters San Bruno, CA











GAP headquarters San Bruno, CA







GAP headquarters San Bruno, <u>CA</u>

William Mc Donough AIA

Daylighting Monitors :

broad light monitors above each atrium follow east-west axis to maximize exposure to the southern sun Occupancy Sensors:

-shut off lights when offices are empty

Innovative materials: Manufacturing and construction





Low E emessivity windows







5 Times Square New York City

Innovative materials: New Technologies





Traditional Materials



Passive energy sources



HVAC : Simulation

Intelligent methods:



• Computerized systems:



Intelligent methods:





Architecture

RVER 2003







River and Campus









• connection - ecology - living symbiosis



Concept: Extension of the River







- o atrium
- stream
- rocky river
 bed
- rounded stone

Ground Floor Plan

- curved wall
- majestic staircase
- symbiosis
- Circulation river
 - Laboratories
 - Teaching

Circulation

MEP - WC



Second Floor Plan

- center
- communication
- office views
- o interaction
 - Student off.

Teaching

Faculty off.

Circulation



ARCHITECTURE

WC - Storage

Third Floor Plan

2nd entrance
views

Faculty – Chair

Circulation

WC - Storage



Section







open MEPexposed riversof the building

Facade



 future 2015: photovoltaic facade systems (?)





• genius loci of the location





Elevations South Part of the Building

2003





west
 elevation
 from campus

north elevation



Architectural Performance





Function	SF required	SF provided	%
Faculty office	3600	3421	95
Chair's office	300	327	109
Senior Admin. office	300	339	113
Secretaries	300	300	100
Faculty lounge	1000	1017	102
Student's office	1200	1306	109
Auditorium	3000	2873	96
Large classrooms	1600	1536	96
Small classrooms	2000	2067	103
Seminar rooms	800	794	99
Instructional labs	2000	1862	93
Computer machine room	800	779	97
Technical support	100	106	106
Storage	1000	918	92
Total assignable SF	18000	17645	98
Gross total SF	30000	26946	90

Engineering Solutions

RNER 2003





Materials

• Concrete: • $f'_c=4000psi$ • Mild steel: • Grade 60 • PT steel: • $f_p=270ksi$



Gravity Loads

FLOOR DEAD LOAD

	Concrete Slab	97 psf		
	Interior Partitions	20 psf		
	MEP Overhead Systems	10 psf		
	Cladding	15 psf		
FLOOR LIVE LOAD [1997 UBC, Table 16-A]				
	Office	50 psf		
	Restrooms	50 psf		
	Storage (light)	125 psf		
	Classrooms	40 psf		
	Auditorium (fixed seating)	50 psf		
	Auditorium (stage area)	125 psf		
	Exit Facilities	100 psf		





Typical Slab





Tendons: ½" @75% Spacing: Within 5' of Col. @18" Between Columns @ 30"



Auditorium Slab





Ribs:

18" deep x 8" thick @ 36"

Tendons:

Draped @ max eccentricity 3/4" @75%





Columns

RIVER 2003



12"

12" 8 #7 longitudinal bars #3 @4" double hoop



Lateral Resistance

- Philosophy
- System
- o Loads
- Analysis
- Verification
- o Design
- Verification

——— Constrained



Earthquake Philosophy



 "I only know one thing, That I don't know anything!"

Socrates

 "If you 're not sure, Wear both belt and suspenders!" *Helmut Krawinkler*



Lateral Resistance System







Lateral Resistance System





- Very stiff
- Not very redundant
- Not very symmetric

- Concrete Shear Walls
 - 2 (squat) walls
 - in each direction





Lateral Load Path





ENGINEERING
Lateral Load Path





ENGINEERING

Seismic Loads

RVER 2003

• 2000 IBC

• PERFORMANCE?

- 2% in 50 yrs (2475)
- 10% in 50 yrs (475)





Seismic Loads



• USGS probabilistic seismic hazard





Analysis



• ETABS8 Non-Linear:

- Modified USGS spectra
- Equal results for both principle directions





Analysis



• ETABS8 Non-Linear building output:

- 2475 yrs EQ, R=4.5:
 - V_b=350kips
 - M_{ot}=8200kip-ft
 - $\Delta_{max}=0.08in$
 - $\Delta_{\text{int-s}}=0.04\%$

- 475 yrs EQ, R=1.0:
 - V_b=930kips
 - M_{ot}=21800kip-ft
 - Δ_{max} =0.05in
 - ∆_{int-s}=0.02%



Analysis Verification



• 58%*145*30000*(8/12)/4.5=(very close to) 350

• 33%* 145*30000*(8/12)=(very close to) 930

Since ETABS gives reasonable results, we trust it and proceed



Analysis



- Behavior governed by the four big walls
- Aspect ratio: 1.2
- Shear behavior
- Distribute story shears to individual walls: "in proportion to the area of the walls"
- Get demands on each element





Shear Wall Design: V



- thickness : t_w=8"
- Web Reinforcement:
 - #4@6" both directions, both sides
- $\phi=0.6$ (assume shear failure)
- SF=2.7





Shear Wall Design: M – N

RVER 2005

- Create 12" long boundary zones
- 8 #7 longitudinal bars in each B.Z.
- Double closed hoops #3@4" B.Z.







Shear Wall Bending Capacity



- Cross section analysis XTRACT
- Modified material properties
 - Mander confined concrete (strength, crushing strain)
 - Strain hardening steel





ENGINEERING

Design check



• And...

"Because we must never use the output of a computer program without using our judgment":

 The bending capacity provided ONLY by the B.Z. steel is: 10*60*8*π*(7/8)²/4=3000 kip-ft



Design:



- 8" thick walls
- Web:
 - #4@6" both directions both sides

• Boundary:

- 12" long boundary zones
- 8 #7 bars in each
- Double closed hoops #3@4"



ENGINEERING

Foundation





30' of soft clay - Bedrock

φ=0-0-20кРа

Capacity Design: 900kips

4 piles 8"d

1/2" steel casing

Concrete fill



Construction Management









CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT

Research: Projects in Sacramento State U.







- Regional and Cont. Education building:
 - 33,932 sq/ft
 - Classrooms
 - Office and administrative space
 - Budget: \$7.1 million
 - Completed June 2002

- Modoc Hall:
 - 79,440 sq/ft
 - Classrooms
 - Office and administrative space
 - Conference space
 - Laboratories
 - Budget: \$15.7 million
 - Occupancy of building: fall 2003

Estimates: Comparisons



ORDER OF MAGNITUDE:

Mohoc hall

area	79440.00
cost	\$15,700,000.00
total cost per sq/ft	\$197.63

Regional /Continuing Education building:

area	33932.00
cost	\$7,100,000.00
total cost per sq/ft	\$209.24

Average costs:

average price per sg/ft \$203.44

Riverbank Project:

otal gross area	30000.00
Possible estimate	\$6,103,131.71
Actual budget	\$5,500,000.00
Possible deficit	\$603,131.71 11%

Estimates: Building Systems comparisons \$4,700,000.00 \$4,600,000.00 \$4,500,000.00 \$4,400,000.00 OOST \$4,300,000.00 Series1 \$4,200,000.00 \$4,100,000.00 \$4,000,000.00 \$3,900,000.00 \$3,800,000.00 STEEL BEARING STEEL BEARING STEEL BEARING FRAME WALL FRAME WALLS FRAME WALL **FACE BRICK** BLOCK RECAST DECORATIVE CONCRETE CONCRETE WITH BACK-UP CONCRETE CONCRETE BLOCK BLOCK CONCRETE MATERIALS

CONSTRUCTION MANAGER

HARD COSTS				AUDITORIUM		ENERGY SUPPLY			
A. SUBSTRUCTURE		UNIT UNIT COST	COST PER S/F	4200 SQ/FT % OF SUBTOTAL		HEAT GENERATING SYSTEMS			
	STANDARD FOUNDATIONS	0.99	0.99			COOLING GENERATING SYSTEMS			
	SLAB ON GRADE	4.27	4.27			TERMINAL % PACKAGE UNITS			
	BASEMENT EXCAVATION	0.12	0.12			OTHER HVAC SYSTEMS			
	BASEMENT WALLS	94	2.51			PHOTOVOLTAIC SYSTEMS			
B. SHELL					FIF	RE PROTECTION			
SL	JPERSTRUCTURE					SPRINKLERS	1.86	1.86	
	ELOOR CONSTRUCTION	14.48	1.81			STANDPIPES			
	ROOF CONSTRUCTION	5.54	5.54						
					EL	ECTRICAL			
						ELECTRICAL SERVICE AND DISTRIBUTION	1.52	1.52	
=						LIGHTING AND BRANCH WIRING	8.47	8.47	
E7		10.05	0.00			COMMUNICATIONS AND SECURITY	2.43	2.43	
		16.95	8.60			OTHER ELECTRICAL SYSTEMS	1.02	1.02	
	EXTERIOR WINDOWS	30	3.94						
	EXTERIOR DOORS	2605	1.31		SU	BTOTAL		86.75	
RC	DOFING				SOFICOSIS				
	ROOF COVERINGS	3.81	3.81						
	ROOF OPENINGS	0.11	0.11		E. EQUIPMENT AND FORM				
C. INTERIORS									
	PARTITIONS	6.3	2.52						
	INTERIOR DOORS	541	1.35			OTHER EQUIPMENT			
	FITTINGS				E-SPECIAL CONSTRUCT	ON			
	STAIR CONSTRUCTION	6300				INTEGRATED CONSTRUCTION			
	WALL FINISHES	7.85	0.79						
	FLOOR FINISHES	7.24	3.14						
	CEILING FINISHES	2.87	7.24		F. SPECIAL SITEWORK				
			2.87			N/A			
D. SERVICES									
cc	ONVEYING				FEES	CONTRACTOR FEES (Gen. Req.: 10%, Overhead:	%, Profit 10%)	21.6875	
	ELEVATORS AND LIFTS	58800	2.45			ARCHITECT FEES		4.3375	
	ESCALATORS					CONSULTANTS		3.47	
	BRIDGES								
	RAMPS								\mathcal{A}
	UMBING								
		2464	- 2.00						
		2464	3.00						
		0.26	0.26						
	RAIN WATER DRAINAGE	0.66	0.66						
	RIVER DRAINAGE								

HVAC

Estimates: Auditorium per Sq. Ft. Exterior Wall: precast concrete Structure: steel frame

AUDITORIUM

RVER 2003

Estimates: Classrooms and Labs per Sq. Ft Decorative concrete block – bearing walls



CLASSROOM

A. SUBSTRUCTURE	UNIT UNIT COST COST	PER S/F	CLASSROOM 25800 SQ.FT. % OF SUBT <u>OTAL</u>
STANDARD FOUNDATIONS	0.99	0.45	
SLAB ON GRADE	3.57	1.79	
BASEMENT EXCAVATION	0.19	0.10	
BASEMENT WALLS	85	1.75	
3. SHELL			
SUPERSTRUCTURE			
FLOOR CONSTRUCTION	9.98	4.99	
ROOF CONSTRUCTION	5.38	2.69	
	11 29	2.22	
	30	3.18	
	3450	0.41	
	3450	0.41	
ROOFING			
ROOF COVERINGS	3.78	1.89	
ROOF OPENINGS			
. INTERIORS			
PARTITIONS	10.3	5.15	
INTERIOR DOORS	541	2.71	
FITTINGS	3.6	3.60	
STAIR CONSTRUCTION	6300	1.26	
WALL FINISHES	5.72	2.86	
FLOOR FINISHES	3.56	3.56	
CEILING FINISHES	3.74	3.74	
	62760	2.11	
ELEVATORS AND LIFTS	52750	2.11	
BRIDGES			
RAMPS			
PLUMBING			
PLUMBING FIXTURES	4459	9.80	
DOMESTIC WATER DISTRIBUTION	V 0.58	0.58	
RAIN WATER DRAINAGE		0.55	
RIVER DRAINAGE			

HVA	AC			
	ENERGY SUPPLY			
	HEAT GENERATING SYSTEMS			
	COOLING GENERATING SYSTEMS	14.3	14.30	
	TERMINAL % PACKAGE UNITS			
	OTHER HVAC SYSTEMS			
	PHOTOVOLTAIC SYSTEMS			
FIR	E PROTECTION			
	SPRINKLERS	1.36	1.36	
	STANDPIPES			
ELE	ECTRICAL			
	ELECTRICAL SERVICE AND DISTRIBUTION	2.97	2.97	
	LIGHTING AND BRANCH WIRING	8.9	8.90	
	COMMUNICATIONS AND SECURITY	3.55	3.55	
	OTHER ELECTRICAL SYSTEMS	0.54	0.54	
SUE	BTOTAL		87.01	
UIPM	ENT AND FURNISHINGS			
	COMMERCIAL EQUIPMENT			
	INSTITUTIONAL EQUIPMENT			
	VEHICULAR EQUIPMENT			
	OTHER EQUIPMENT			
CIAL				
	INTEGRATED CONSTRUCTION			
	SPECIAL FACILITIES			
CIAL	LSITEWORK			
	N/A			
	CONTRACTOR FEES (Gen. Req.: 10%, Overne	ad:5%, Prc	21.75	
			4.35	
	CONSOLIANTS	-	3.48	4.00%
т	OTAL PER SQ. FT.		\$200.00	
			11%	
			\$5.727.600.00	

Estimates: Entire Building



HARD COSTS COSTS		3 STORIES - 10' STORY HEI FT.	GHT - 25,800 SQ.	1 STORY BUILDING, 20" STORY SQ. FT.	(HEIGHT, 4200
A. SUBSTRUCTURE		4.70%	\$242,520.00	9%	\$61,152.00
B. SHELL		17.70%	\$913,320.26	29%	\$194,880.00
SUPERSTRUCTURE	8.80%	\$454,080.00	. ,	8.5%	
EXTERIOR ENCLOSURE	6.70%	\$345,720.00		16.0%	
ROOFING	2.20%	\$113,520.00		4.5%	
C. INTERIORS		26.30%	\$1,357,080.00	20.6%	\$138,432.00
D. SERVICES		51.30%	\$2,647,080.00	41.1%	\$276,192.00
ELEVATORS / LIFTS	2.40%	\$123,840.00		2.8%	
PLUMBING & HVAC	12.60%	\$650,160.00		4.6%	
HVAC	16.40%	\$846,240.00		16.1%	
FIRE PROTECTION	1.60%	\$82,560.00		2.1%	
ELECTRICAL	18.30%	\$944,280.00		15.5%	
SOFT COSTS					
E. EQUIPMENT AND FURNISHIN	0.00%			0.00%	
F. SPECIAL CONSTRUCTION G. SPECIAL SITEWORK	0.00%			0.00%	
FEES	25.00%			25.00%	
	5.00%			5.00%	
	4.00%			4.00%	
TOTAL AREA: 25,800.00 SQ.FT TOTAL PER SQ. FT.		\$5,160,000.00 \$200.00			\$670,656.00 \$160.00
SACRAMENTO LOCATION FACTOR TOTAL ESTIMATES:		11% \$5,727,600.00			11% \$744,428. <u>16</u>
TOTAL ESTIMATE :		\$6,472,028.16			

Estimates: Costs Distribution

RVER 2003





RULES OF THUMB:

TOTAL COST	\$100/SF
HVAC	\$8/SF
FIRE PROTECTION	\$2.5/SF
PLUMBING	3\$/SF
ELECTRICAL	\$7/SF
5-10% OF SUBTOTAL	3.00%
BUILDING PERMIT ALLOWANCE	2.50%
FEE	5.00%
CONTINGENCY	10.00%



ACTIVITY	AMOUNT	PERCENTAGE
SITE DEVELOPMENT AND PREPARATION	\$86,796.25	1.27%
LANDSCAPING	\$51,941.06	0.76%
FOUNDATIONS	\$166,758.14	2.44%
SUBSTRUCTURE	\$299,344.53	4.38%
MOISTURE PROTECTION	\$258,338.43	3.78%
DOORS, FRAMES, HDWE	\$90,896.86	1.33%
SPECIALTIES	\$128,485.78	1.88%
ELEVATORS	\$111,399.91	1.63%
PLUMBING	\$325,998.50	4.77%
FIRE PROTECTION	\$159,923.79	2.34%
H.V.A.C.	\$327,365.37	4.79%
MISCELLANEOUS EXPENSE	\$34,855.19	0.51%
JOB EQUIPMENT	\$48,523.89	0.71%
SUBTOTAL DIRECT COSTS	\$6,057,967.84	88.64%



\$12,301.83	0.18%
\$299,344.53	4.38%
\$7,517.79	0.11%
\$191,361.80	2.80%
\$6,568,493.79	96.11%
\$0.00	0.00%
\$64,926.33	0.95%
\$8,884.66	0.13%
\$6,642,304.77	97.19%
\$95,680.90	1.40%
\$96,364.34	
\$6,834,350.00	100.00%
	\$12,301.83 \$299,344.53 \$7,517.79 \$191,361.80 \$510,525.95 \$6,568,493.79 \$0.00 \$64,926.33 \$8,884.66 \$6,642,304.77 \$95,680.90 \$96,364.34 \$6,834,350.00





Site layout: **Design option 1**





• Maximize:

- Operations efficiency
- Productivity
- Schedule performance
- Safety
- Reduce:
 - Travel distances
 - Interference
 - Disruptions

Schedule and sequences



- Previous one:
- Start: 6/1/2015
- Finish: 6/17/2016
- Milestone:

Foundation: 9/11/15

- Parallel sequences
 - Two buildings
 - Efficiency
- Maximize:
 - Prefabricators
 - Subcontractors
 - Logic of activities
 - Safety

Schedule and sequences



Site preparation & Excavation	15 days	Mon 6/1/15	Fri 6/19/15		
Foundation and ground slab	45 days	Mon 7/6/15	Fri 9/4/15	3FS-10 day	
	1 day?	Fri 2/28/03	Fri 2/28/03		
Shearwall and structure A	30 days	Mon 8/24/15	Fri 10/2/15	4FS-10 day	
1 st floor slab A	30 days	Mon 10/5/15	Fri 11/13/15	6	
1st floor structure A	30 days	Mon 11/16/15	Fri 12/25/15	7	
2nd floor slab A	20 days	Mon 12/28/15	Fri 1/22/16	8	
2nd floor structure A	30 days	Mon 1/25/16	Fri 3/4/16	9	
Roof	30 days	Mon 3/7/16	Fri 4/15/16	10	
Non-bearing walls	90 days	Mon 11/16/15	Fri 3/18/16	7	
Exterior closure	130 days	Mon 10/5/15	Fri 4/1/16	6	
Interior finishing	100 days	Mon 11/16/15	Fri 4/1/16	7	
Мер	100 days	Mon 11/16/15	Fri 4/1/16	7	
General revisions	10 days	Mon 4/18/16	Fri 4/29/16	11	
Cleanup	10 days	Mon 5/2/16	Fri 5/13/16	18	



New schedule:

Start: 6/1/2015 Finish: 6/5/2016 Foundation: 9/11/15 One building Milestone: river flooding

Concept 2 - Equipment





VS.



Concept 2 - Equipment

RVER 2005









RVER 2005



RIVER 2003 TEAM

6/1/2015

50

RIVER 2003 TEAM

6/22/2015



RIVER 2003 TEAM

7/8/2015



PIVER 2003



RIVER 2003 TEAM

9/14/2015





RIVER 2003 TEAM

10/2/2015




RIVER 2003 TEAM

10/28/2015

\$1'269,117



RIVER 2003 TEAM

11/25/2015





RIVER 2003 TEAM

12/18/2015





RIVER 2003 TEAM

1/08/2016



PINER 2003



RIVER 2003 TEAM

2/03/2016

\$3'288,759

RIVER 2003 TEAM

2/26/2016



RIVER 2003 TEAM

3/19/2016

\$4'396,441

RIVER 2003 TEAM

3/28/2016

\$4'950,282

RIVER 2003 TEAM

4/14/2016

\$5'504,123

RIVER 2003 TEAM

5/2/16

\$6'057,964





Problems: Building is too tall. 30' max. Need to reduce interstory height Need more room for MEP

Solution:



Convert from Steel SMRF to Concrete Flat Slab with Shear Walls





Dome

Original design - separate lateral system for each building

> **Revised roof design** at building connection







Use two 10' wide slabs to connect the roof diaphragms to make one building

A/E/C TEAM













Problem: I need a shear wall... Make it straight!



Solution: Reconfigure layout









Function Increase Heat Need Shear Wall









LESSONS LEARNED





- Learned to appreciate all of the disciplines involved
- Technology allows us to make accurate cost estimates using unit prices.
- The importance of discipline coordination grows as the design progresses into more detail
- Using a consistent software platform for drawings across the disciplines saves time by minimizing rework.
- The more you get involved in the project, the more you love it...





TEAM IMPROVEMENTS



- Meetings became very efficient
- The sharing of thoughts, ideas, and comments improved through the use of instant massaging and e-mail
- Relationships between the team members grew stronger
- All members have a better understanding of the other disciplines' needs



A/E/C TEAN

APPRECIATION

RVER 2003

Classmates Owner Mentors Renate

RIVER 2003 TEAM



THOUGHTS?

RIVER 2003 TEAM



