Analysis of GNSS Constellation Performance for Advanced RAIM

Juan Blanch, Xinwei Liu, Kaz Gunning, Todd Walter

Stanford University

ION GNSS+ 2021

September 21, 2021

Motivation: ARAIM Safety Case



ARAIM safety case is dependent on:

- bounding the probability of occurrence of faults (P_{sat}, P_{const}, R_{sat}, R_{const}, MFD)
- overbounding the distribution of nominal errors (b_{nom} , σ_{URA})

Horizontal Error Bound

Fault Rates and Fault Probabilities

- There are two related concepts: fault rate and fault probability
 - Fault rate is the probability that a fault will initiate per unit of time
 - Fault probability is the likelihood of experiencing a fault at a given time
- These 2 concepts are related by the Mean Fault Durations (MFD)
 - $P_{sat} = MFD_{sat} \times R_{sat}$
 - $P_{const} = MFD_{const} \times R_{const}$

Satellite Performance Commitments

	GPS	Galileo	GLONASS	Beidou
URA	table	6 m DF, 7.5 m SF	18 m	table
Threshold	4.42 xURA	4.17xURA (25 m, 31.3 m)	70 m (3.89xURA)	4.42 xURA
R _{sat}	1e-5/hour	-	-	-
P _{sat}	1e-5	3e-5	1e-4	1e-5
P _{const}	1e-8†	2e-4	1e-4	6e-5
MFD	1 hour	-	-	-
TTA	10 seconds	-	10 seconds	300 seconds
source	SPS PS and NSP6_wp2	NSP6_wp4	NSP6_wp3	NSP6_wp5

[†] Recently proposed R_{const} = 1e-9/hour and MFD_{const} = 10 hours

$R_{sat},\,P_{sat}\,and\,MFD$



R_{sat} counts the number of upward crossings of the threshold in a given time period

MFD is used to indicate the mean fault duration and is the total length of time that the errors are above the threshold divided by the number of upward crossings

 P_{sat} is the fraction of time spent above the threshold and equals $R_{sat} \times MFD$

Rate estimate based on Poisson distribution For Fault Onset

- A fault occurring in one time interval does not affect the probability of it occurring in other time intervals (when the SV is set healthy), and
- The probability of a fault occurring does not change over time.

$$P(k|R) = \frac{(R T)^{k} e^{-R T}}{k!} \qquad \qquad \hat{R} = \left(k + \frac{1}{2}\right)/T$$

GPS Performance Summary

Satellite Observation Data: 13,872,477 Comparisons



Estimated Upper Bound on R_{sat}



- R_{sat} has been improving over time
- Can easily validate committed value of 10⁻⁵/hour
- MFD is easily bounded by 1 hour $P_{sat} < 10^{-5}$

8

Estimated Upper Bound on R_{const}



Cannot bound probabilities much below 10⁻⁵ using only data validation

Considering 25 years of GPS service, the smallest bound is $\sim 2.5 \times 10^{-6}$ /hour

Must rely on commitments for smaller numbers

Galileo Performance Summary

Satellite Observation Data: 4,462,855 Comparisons



Estimated Upper Bound on R_{sat}



Estimated Upper Bound on R_{const}



Considering 0 faults in 2.5 years of Galileo service, the smallest bound is $\sim 2.3 \times 10^{-5}$ /hour

No data or commitment on MFD_{const}

Needs to be less than 9 hours to meet commitment now

Summary on rates and durations

- The safety case for *P*_{sat} and *P*_{const} relies on a combination of commitments, similarity to previous systems, and data validation:
 - Published commitments for P_{sat} and P_{const} exist for all constellations
 - Data used to validate commitment values
- *P_{sat}* is easier to validate assuming common values across all satellites
- P_{const} cannot exclusively use data to validate below ~3x10⁻⁶

Nominal performance



range error
$$\leq N(b_{nom}, \sigma_{URA})$$
 ?

Normalized clock and ephemeris errors



Bounding nominal performance

Users must be able to safely use a Gaussian model characterized by a normal



Bounding correlated errors

user error
$$^{2} = \left| \sum_{i=1}^{n} s_{i} x_{i} \right|^{2} \le \left| \sum_{i=1}^{n} s_{i}^{2} \right| \left| \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}^{2} \right|$$

$$P\left(\text{user error} > K\sigma_{user}\right) \le P\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i^2 > K^2\right) \le Q(K)$$

User will be safe if this distribution is bounded by a normal distribution

Bounding the correlation of errors



For both GPS and Galileo, we find that correlated errors are well bounded by the model that assumes independence ¹⁸

GPS nominal bounding results for all satellites over last 12 years



Partitioning the data

To a certain extent, we must protect the user against specific risk (for conditions that are knowable):

- Individual satellites
- Satellite block type (including clock type)
- Time (by year, by season, by month, or by day)
- Satellite age
- Age of navigation data

Partition per block



Example: by age of data



Cross-validation and bootstrap methods to determine confidence in overbounds

Aggregated training vs validation satellite time Window 6 years threshold 3





Summary

- We have described some of the techniques and approaches that can be used to analyze GNSS constellation performance for Advanced RAIM:
 - Estimation of fault rates
 - Overbounding of nominal performance
 - Correlation of ranging errors
 - Partitioning the nominal data

References

- Walter, T., Blanch, J., Gunning, K., Joerger, M., & Pervan, B. (2019). Determination of Fault Probabilities for ARAIM. *IEEE Transactions on Aerospace and Electronic Systems*, 55(6), 3505-3516. [8684918]. <u>https://doi.org/10.1109/TAES.2019.2909727</u>
- Blanch J., Walter, T., and Enge, P., "Gaussian Bounds of Sample Distributions for Integrity Analysis". IEEE Transactions on Aerospace and Electronic Systems." PP. 1-1. 10.1109/TAES.2018.2876583
- Walter, Todd, Gunning, Kazuma, Phelts, R. Eric, Blanch, Juan, "Validation of the Unfaulted Error Bounds for ARAIM", *NAVIGATION, Journal of The Institute of Navigation*, Vol. 65, No. 1, Spring 2018, pp. 117-133.
- Gunning, Kazuma, Walter, Todd, Enge, Per, "Multi-GNSS Constellation Anomaly Detection and Performance Monitoring," *Proceedings of the 30th International Technical Meeting of the Satellite Division of The Institute of Navigation (ION GNSS+ 2017)*, Portland, Oregon, September 2017, pp. 1051-1062. <u>https://doi.org/10.33012/2017.15174</u>
- Perea, S, Meurer, M, Pervan, B. Impact of sample correlation on SISRE overbound for ARAIM. NAVIGATION. 2020; 67: 197–212. https://doi.org/10.1002/navi.346