

ON COMPUTING THE H_∞ NORM OF A TRANSFER MATRIX

S. Boyd, V. Balakrishnan *
 Information Systems Laboratory
 Electrical Engineering Department
 Stanford University

P. Kabamba
 Aerospace Engineering Department
 University of Michigan
 Ann Arbor

Abstract

We present a simple bisection algorithm to compute the H_∞ norm of a transfer matrix. The bisection method is far more efficient than algorithms which involve a search over frequencies, and moreover can compute the H_∞ norm with guaranteed accuracy.

1 Preliminaries

Throughout this paper A, B, C, D will be real matrices of sizes $n \times n, n \times m, p \times n,$ and $p \times m,$ respectively. We refer to the linear dynamical system

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{x} &= Ax + Bu \\ y &= Cx + Du \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

as the system $\{A, B, C, D\}$. We refer to $H(s) = C(sI - A)^{-1}B + D$ as the transfer matrix of the system $\{A, B, C, D\}$.

A is stable means that all eigenvalues of A have negative real part. If A is stable, we define the H_∞ norm of the transfer matrix $H(s)$ to be

$$\begin{aligned} \|H\|_\infty &= \sup_{\text{Re } s > 0} \sigma_{\max}(H(s)) \\ &= \sup_{\omega \in \mathbb{R}} \sigma_{\max}(H(j\omega)) \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

where $\sigma_{\max}(\cdot)$ denotes the maximum singular value of a matrix, that is, $\sigma_{\max}(F) = \lambda_{\max}^{1/2}(F^*F)$. The H_∞ norm of a transfer matrix arises often in control theory. An important interpretation of $\|H\|_\infty$ is as the L_2 or RMS gain of the system (1) (see e.g. [2]).

*Research supported in part by NSF under ECS-85-52465, ONR under N00014-86-K-0112, an IBM faculty development award, and Bell Communications Research.

$\|H\|_\infty$ is usually 'computed' by searching for the maximum of $\sigma_{\max}(H(j\omega))$ over $\omega \in \mathbb{R}$. Obvious problems associated with such a method are (a) determining the range and spacing of the frequencies to be checked, and (b) the large number of computations involved (a singular value decomposition (SVD) is often performed at each frequency point). The problem (a) is particularly evident when A has lightly damped eigenvalues.

We propose instead a bisection method inspired by Byers' bisection method for measuring the distance of a stable matrix to the unstable matrices [3]. The bisection method not only involves less computation, but has the advantage of computing $\|H\|_\infty$ with a guaranteed accuracy.

2 SVs of H via a Hamiltonian

We start by establishing a connection between the singular values of the transfer matrix and the imaginary eigenvalues of a certain Hamiltonian matrix. Let $\gamma > 0$, and not a singular value of D . Define

$$M_\gamma = \begin{bmatrix} A - BR^{-1}D^TC & -\gamma BR^{-1}B^T \\ \gamma C^TS^{-1}C & -A^T + C^TDR^{-1}B^T \end{bmatrix}$$

where $R = (D^TD - \gamma^2I)$ and $S = (DD^T - \gamma^2I)$. Note that M_γ is a Hamiltonian matrix.

The following theorem relates the singular values of $H(j\omega)$ and the imaginary eigenvalues of M_γ .

Theorem 1 Assume A has no imaginary eigenvalues, $\gamma > 0$ is not a singular value of D , and $\omega_0 \in \mathbf{R}$.

Then, γ is a singular value of $H(j\omega_0) \iff (M_\gamma - j\omega_0 I)$ is singular.

Remark 1:

There are no observability, controllability, or stability conditions on the system $\{A, B, C, D\}$.

A simple consequence of Theorem 1 is

Theorem 2 Let A be stable and $\gamma > \sigma_{\max}(D)$. Then $\|H\|_\infty \geq \gamma \iff M_\gamma$ has imaginary eigenvalues (i.e. at least one).

Remark 2:

The imaginary eigenvalues of $M_{\|H\|_\infty}$ are exactly the frequencies for which $\sigma_{\max}(H(j\omega)) = \|H\|_\infty$.

Remark 3:

Theorem 2 is also readily derived via several methods, e.g., quadratic optimal control [4] or spectral factorization [5].

3 Bisection Algorithm

Theorem 2 suggests a bisection algorithm for computing $\|H\|_\infty$. Let γ_{lb} and γ_{ub} be some lower and upper bounds, respectively, on $\|H\|_\infty$. For example, one could use the bounds derived by Enns and Glover,

$$\gamma_{lb} = \max\{\sigma_{\max}(D), \sigma_{H1}\}$$

$$\gamma_{ub} = \sigma_{\max}(D) + 2 \sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_{Hi}$$

where σ_{Hi} are the Hankel singular values of the system $\{A, B, C, D\}$ [6, 7].

The bisection algorithm is as follows:

$\gamma_L := \gamma_{lb}$;

$\gamma_U := \gamma_{ub}$;

repeat {

$\gamma := (\gamma_L + \gamma_U)/2$;

Form M_γ ;

if M_γ has no imag. eigenvalues, $\gamma_H := \gamma$,

else $\gamma_L = \gamma$;

until { $\gamma_H - \gamma_L \leq 2\epsilon \gamma_L$ }.

Note that we always have $\gamma_L \leq \|H\|_\infty \leq \gamma_U$. On exit, $(\gamma_L + \gamma_U)/2$ is guaranteed to approximate $\|H\|_\infty$ within a relative accuracy of ϵ , i.e.,

$$|(\gamma_L + \gamma_U)/2 - \|H\|_\infty| \leq \epsilon \|H\|_\infty.$$

Remark 4:

Checking if M_γ has any imaginary eigenvalues can be done in a finite number of steps via a Sturm sequence test on the characteristic polynomial [8].

References

- [1] S. Boyd, V. Balakrishnan, and P. Kabamba, On computing the H_∞ norm of a transfer matrix, to appear, *Mathematics of Control, Signals, and Systems*, 1988.
- [2] S. Boyd and J. Doyle, Comparison of peak and RMS gains for discrete-time systems, *Syst. Control Lett.*, 9:1-6, June 1987.
- [3] R. Byers, A bisection algorithm for measuring the distance of a stable matrix to the unstable matrices, Technical report, North Carolina State Univ. at Raleigh, 1987, to appear in *SIAM Journal on Scientific and Statistical Computing*.
- [4] B. D. O. Anderson, An algebraic solution to the spectral factorization problem, *IEEE Trans. Aut. Control*, AC-12(4):410-414, 1967.
- [5] B. A. Francis, *A course in H_∞ Control Theory*, volume 88 of *Lecture Notes in Control and Information Sciences*, Springer-Verlag, 1987.
- [6] D. F. Enns, Model reduction with balanced realizations: An error bound and a frequency weighted generalization, In *Proc. IEEE Conf. on Decision and Control*, pages 127-132, Las Vegas, NV, December 1984.
- [7] K. Glover, All optimal Hankel-norm approximations of linear multivariable systems and their L^∞ -error bounds, *Int. J. of Control*, 39(6):1115-1193, 1984.
- [8] P. Henrici, *Applied and Computational Complex Analysis*, Vol. 1, Wiley-Interscience, 1978.