

Problem Set 3
Due in lecture Thursday, February 8

1. (This is based on Jones, AER, 2002.) Consider the model presented in equations (3.45)-(3.49) of Romer, with the assumption that $G(E) = e^{\phi E}$. Suppose, however, that E , rather than being constant, is increasing steadily: $\dot{E}(t) = m$, where $m > 0$. (Assume that, despite the steady increase in the amount of education people are getting, the growth rate of the number of workers is constant and equal to n , as in the basic model.)

- a. With this change in the model, what is the long-run growth rate of output per worker?
- b. In the United States over the past century, if we measure E as years of schooling, $\phi \approx 0.1$ and $m \approx 1/15$. Overall growth of output per worker has been about 2 percent per year. In light of your answer to (a), approximately what fraction of this overall growth has been due to increasing education?
- c. Can $\dot{E}(t)$ continue to equal $m > 0$ forever? Explain.

2. Romer, Problem 3.18.

3. (A different form of measurement error.) Suppose the true relationship between social infrastructure (SI) and log income per person (y) is $y_i = \alpha + \beta SI_i + \varepsilon_i$. SI and ε are uncorrelated. Unfortunately, there are two components of social infrastructure, SI^A and SI^B (with $SI_i = SI_i^A + SI_i^B$), and we only have data on one of the components, SI^A . Both SI^A and SI^B are uncorrelated with ε . We are considering running an OLS regression of y on a constant and SI^A .

- a. Derive an expression of the form, $y_i = \alpha + \beta SI_i^A + \text{other stuff}$.
- b. Use your answer to part (a) to determine whether an OLS regression of y on a constant and SI^A will produce an unbiased estimate of the impact of social infrastructure on income if:
 - i. SI^A and SI^B are uncorrelated.
 - ii. SI^A and SI^B are positively correlated.

4. Consider a cross-country regression of log output per worker on a measure of social infrastructure,

$$\ln(Y_i/L_i) = a + bSI_i + e_i. \quad (*)$$

A variable Z is a good instrument for SI if it is correlated with SI and if:

(OVER)

- A. It is uncorrelated with the fitted residuals when we estimate (*) by OLS.
- B. We know from auxiliary evidence that Z is not affected by social infrastructure,
- C. If we regress Z on a constant and SI we obtain a coefficient that is not significantly different from zero, and so we cannot reject the null hypothesis that Z is not affected by SI.
- D. (A) or (B).
- E. (A) or (C).
- F. (B) or (C).
- G. None of the above.

EXTRA PROBLEMS (NOT TO BE HANDED IN/ONLY SKETCHES OF ANSWERS WILL BE PROVIDED)

- 5. Romer, Problem 3.14.
- 6. Romer, Problem 3.17.
- 7. Romer, Problem 3.20.
- 8. The following does NOT contain an important element of rent-seeking:
 - A. An inventor applies for a patent.
 - B. A farmer responds to an increase in the price of corn by planting more corn.
 - C. An airline charges more for a round-trip that does not involve a Saturday-night stay than for a round-trip that does.
 - D. (A) and (B).
 - E. (A) and (C).
 - F. (B) and (C).
 - G. None of the above (that is, (A), (B), and (C) all contain an important element of rent-seeking).
- 9. A positive correlation between measured social infrastructure and income per person could arise from:
 - A. A positive effect of social infrastructure on income per person.
 - B. A positive effect of income per person on social infrastructure.
 - C. A third factor that has a positive effect on both social infrastructure and income per person.
 - D. Measurement error in our measure of social infrastructure that is positively correlated with some other factor that has a positive effect on income per person.
 - E. (A) and (B).
 - F. (A), (B), and (C).
 - G. (A), (B), and (D).
 - H. All of the above.