

Course: MBA20J_TOM_AnalyticsforResponsibleManagement_D.Iancu_FBL: Analytics for Responsible Management-EA

Instructor: Dan IANCU *

Response Rate: 21/22 (95.45%)

1 - 01 - Whether the course achieved its objectives as listed in the course outline					
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means
(1) Unsatisfactory	(1)	0	0.00%		4.43
-	(2)	0	0.00%		
-	(3)	4	19.05%		
-	(4)	4	19.05%		
(5) Excellent	(5)	13	61.90%		
				0 25 50 100	Question
Response Rate			Mean	STD	Median
21/22 (95.45%)			4.43	0.81	5.00
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The course content is useful, however, it was not inline with the outline. I was expecting more to learn about creating better KPIs, understanding potential pitfalls and maybe learning about good data visualization principles. The course should be renamed to something more focused on "optimization". The current name is misleading. 					

2 - 02 - The managerial/professional value of this course					
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means
(1) Unsatisfactory	(1)	0	0.00%		4.52
-	(2)	0	0.00%		
-	(3)	4	19.05%		
-	(4)	2	9.52%		
(5) Excellent	(5)	15	71.43%		
				0 25 50 100	Question
Response Rate			Mean	STD	Median
21/22 (95.45%)			4.52	0.81	5.00
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Deeply relevant to day-to-day work life, should be part of core curriculum 					

3 - 03 - The extent to which the course maintained an international perspective					
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means
(1) Unsatisfactory	(1)	0	0.00%		4.33
-	(2)	0	0.00%		
-	(3)	3	14.29%		
-	(4)	8	38.10%		
(5) Excellent	(5)	10	47.62%		
				0 25 50 100	Question
Response Rate			Mean	STD	Median
21/22 (95.45%)			4.33	0.73	4.00

4 - 04 - The pedagogical material					
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means
(1) Unsatisfactory	(1)	0	0.00%		4.29
-	(2)	1	4.76%		
-	(3)	3	14.29%		
-	(4)	6	28.57%		
(5) Excellent	(5)	11	52.38%		
				0 25 50 100	Question
Response Rate			Mean	STD	Median
21/22 (95.45%)			4.29	0.90	5.00

5 - A - How could the value of the course material be improved? (Please make particular reference to textbooks, cases, etc.)	
Response Rate	0/22 (0%)

Course: MBA20J_TOM_AnalyticsforResponsibleManagement_D.Iancu_FBL: Analytics for Responsible Management-EA

Instructor: Dan IANCU *

Response Rate: 21/22 (95.45 %)

6 - 05 - The assignments, if applicable					
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means
(1) Unsatisfactory	(1)	0	0.00%		4.33
-	(2)	1	4.76%		
-	(3)	1	4.76%		
-	(4)	9	42.86%	■	
(5) Excellent	(5)	10	47.62%	■	
				0 25 50 100	Question
Response Rate			Mean	STD	Median
21/22 (95.45%)			4.33	0.80	4.00

7 - B - How would you improve any in-course assignments, exercises, etc?	
Response Rate	0/22 (0%)

8 - 06 - The workload					
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means
(1) Too little	(1)	0	0.00%		3.05
-	(2)	1	4.76%		
(3) Just right	(3)	19	90.48%	■	
-	(4)	0	0.00%		
(5) Too much	(5)	1	4.76%		
				0 25 50 100	Question
Response Rate			Mean	STD	Median
21/22 (95.45%)			3.05	0.50	3.00

9 - C - What suggestions, if any, do you have to enhance the relevance and strengthen the coherence of the course?	
Response Rate	1/22 (4.55%)
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> I have taken a similar INSEAD elective called Decision Models taught by Prof Georgina Hall. She also taught optimization (using Excel) and Monte Carlos simulation (using @RISK). While there were slight overlaps between both courses, a large part of the content was still distinct. For example, since I probably would not have access to @RISK after graduation, it was useful for me to learn about Monte Carlos via Excel during this course. Therefore, I did NOT feel that I was wasting my time for this course, even though I have previously taken Decision Models. I am not sure if this distinction between the content of both courses was intentional, but I encourage this to continue. Thank you! 	

10 - What is your overall evaluation/assessment of (Dan IANCU)07a - the effectiveness of the professor teaching this course -					
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means
(1) Unsatisfactory	(1)	0	0.00%		4.48
-	(2)	1	4.76%		
-	(3)	1	4.76%		
-	(4)	6	28.57%	■	
(5) Excellent	(5)	13	61.90%	■	
				0 25 50 100	Question
Response Rate			Mean	STD	Median
21/22 (95.45%)			4.48	0.81	5.00
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Thank you for this great course! You gave us precious practical tools that we can use directly in our future job. Extremely useful and well-taught! One of my best course, by far! 					

11 - D - What are the professor's main teaching strengths (Dan IANCU)? -	
Response Rate	4/22 (18.18%)
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Great job in compacting so much useful information into a short course Dan did a great job in explaining the material and was super approachable for questions Professor Iancu is very knowledgeable on the subject and I feel that he is genuinely interested in helping the students learn the materials. He is patient when teaching lectures and offers extra help such as office hours. His class was my favorite learning experience at INSEAD. The inverted class system works very well! 	

Course: MBA20J_TOM_AnalyticsforResponsibleManagement_D.Iancu_FBL: Analytics for Responsible Management-EA

Instructor: Dan IANCU *

Response Rate: 21/22 (95.45 %)

12 - E - In what respect could the professor improve his/her teaching (Dan IANCU)? -

Response Rate 1/22 (4.55%)

- I would have loved to learn more from Professor Iancu but the course felt very short. This class should be part of the core courses.

13 - What has been the personal value of this course for you? 08 - How much did you learn ?

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means	
(1) Unsatisfactory	(1)	0	0.00%		<p>4.24</p>	
-	(2)	1	4.76%			
-	(3)	5	23.81%			
-	(4)	3	14.29%			
(5) Excellent)	(5)	12	57.14%			
Response Rate				Mean	STD	Median
21/22 (95.45%)				4.24	1.00	5.00

- Feels like an Excel course. Can add more depth to the content and concepts.
- Loved the discussion of limitations and focus on responsibly working with analytics. Real world examples were very applicable.

14 - Please share any comments on gender balance and inclusiveness.

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means	
No Comments	(1)	21	100.00%		<p>1.00</p>	
Comments (comment box opens on next page)	(2)	0	0.00%			
Response Rate				Mean	STD	Median
21/22 (95.45%)				1.00	0.00	1.00

15 - 09 Please share any observations from the course on gender balance and inclusiveness.

Response Rate 0/22 (0%)