

## MAT205A, FALL 2019 MIDTERM

OCTOBER 24, 9:00-10:20 AM

**Problem 1.** Describe a construction of a Lebesgue measurable subset  $E$  of  $[0, 1]$  which has positive Lebesgue measure but does not contain any non-empty open interval. Explain the details.

*Solution 0* Let  $E = [0, 1] \setminus \mathbb{Q}$ , then  $E$  is measurable,  $m(E) = 1$  and  $E$  does not contain any interval.

*Solution 1* Consider all rational numbers on the interval  $[0, 1]$  and enumerate them  $\{r_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ . For each  $r_n$  let  $I_n$  be the interval with the center at  $r_n$  and length  $3^{-n}$ . Now we define  $E = [0, 1] \setminus \cup_n I_n$ . Then  $E$  is a measurable set, it is closed as the difference of a closed and an open sets. We have  $m(E) = m([0, 1]) - m(\cup_n I_n) \geq 1 - \sum_n 3^{-n} \geq 1/2$  and  $E \cap \mathbb{Q} = \emptyset$ . Then  $E$  does not contain a non-empty open interval, because each open interval contains a rational point.

*Solution 2* We repeat the construction of the Cantor set, but vary the portion of the set which is taken away each step. Let  $C_0 = [0, 1]$ ,  $C_1 = [0, 3/8] \cup [5/8, 1]$  it is obtained from  $C_0$  by deleting the middle quarter of the interval. In general if  $C_n$  is the union of  $2^n$  closed intervals,  $C_n = \cup J_k$ , we define  $C_{n+1}$  to the union of  $2^{n+1}$  intervals  $C_{n+1} = \cup L_k$ , where  $L_{2k-1}, L_{2k} \subset J_k$  and they were obtained by deleting the open middle  $I_k$  part of  $J_k$ , where  $|I_k| = |J_k|/(n+2)^2$ . We see that

$$|C_{n+1}| = \left(1 - \frac{1}{(n+2)^2}\right) |C_n| = \frac{(n+1)(n+3)}{(n+2)^2} |C_n| = \dots = \frac{n+3}{2n+4} |C_0|.$$

Now let  $C = \cap_n C_n$  it is a closed set and it does not contain any interval, since  $C_n$  is a union disjoint closed intervals of length less than  $2^{-n}$  and it does not contain any interval of length larger than  $2^{-n}$ . On the other hand,  $|C| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |C_n| = 1/2$ .

**Problem 2.** Let  $(X, \mathcal{M}, \mu)$  be a measure space and  $\{f_n\}$  be a sequence of measurable functions such that  $f_n \in L^1(\mu)$ . We consider the following statements:

- (i) there exists a function  $f \in L^1(\mu)$  such that  $f_n \rightarrow f$  in  $L^1(\mu)$ ,
- (ii) there exists a measurable function  $f$  such that  $f_n \rightarrow f$  in  $\mu$ -measure.

Does (i) imply (ii)? Does (ii) imply (i)? Do any of these implications hold under an additional assumption? Justify your answers.

*Solution* First we show that (i) implies (ii). Assume that  $f_n \rightarrow f$  in  $L^1(\mu)$ , we know that  $\mu(\{|f - f_n| > \varepsilon\}) \leq \varepsilon^{-1} \|f - f_n\|_1$  and since  $\|f_n - f\|_1 \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we conclude that  $\mu(\{|f - f_n| > \varepsilon\})$  goes to zero as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  for any positive  $\varepsilon$ . Hence  $f_n$  converges to  $f$  in  $\mu$ -measure.

To show that (ii) does not imply (i) we give an example of a sequence of functions in  $L^1(\mu)$  which converges to zero in measure but does not converge in  $L^1$ . Let  $(X, \mathcal{M}, \mu) = (\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}, m)$ , we define  $f_n = n^2 \chi_{(0, n^{-1})}$ , clearly  $m(\{|f_n| > \varepsilon\}) \leq n^{-1}$  for any positive  $\varepsilon$  and  $f_n$  converges to 0 in measure, but  $\|f_n\|_1 = n$  and the sequence  $\{f_n\}$  does not converge in  $L^1$  since it is not bounded in  $L^1$ .

Now we want to know under what condition convergence in measure implies convergence in  $L^1$ . Assume that  $f_n$  is a sequence of functions that converges in measure to a function  $f$ . We will assume in addition that  $|f_n| \leq g$  for some  $g \in L^1(\mu)$ . Now if  $\int |f_n - f| d\mu$  does not converge to zero, we can find a subsequence  $\{f_{n_k}\}$  such that  $\int |f - f_{n_k}| d\mu > \varepsilon > 0$ . We know that the sequence  $f_{n_k} - f$  converges to zero in measure, then there is a subsequence that converges to zero almost everywhere, to simplify the notation, we assume that it is the same subsequence. Noticing that  $|f_{n_k} - f| \leq 2g \in L^1(\mu)$  and applying the dominated convergence theorem to the  $\{|f_{n_k} - f|\}$ , we get

$$\int |f_n - f| d\mu = 0,$$

which contradicts to our choice of  $\{f_{n_k}\}$ . Therefore under the additional assumptions that all functions are dominated by one integrable function, we see that convergence in measure implies convergence in  $L^1$ .

**Problem 3.** Egorov's theorem says that if  $(X, \mathcal{M}, \mu)$  is a measure space with finite measure, and a sequence of measurable functions  $\{f_n\}$  converges to a measurable function  $f$  a.e., then for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  there is a subset  $E \subset X$  such that  $f_n \rightarrow f$  converges uniformly on  $E$  and  $\mu(X \setminus E) < \varepsilon$ .

(a) Give an example to show that the statement of the theorem may be false when  $\mu(X) = \infty$ .

(b) Prove the theorem for the case when  $\mu(X) = \infty$  under the additional assumption that there exists  $g \in L^1(\mu)$  such that  $|f_n| \leq g$  for all  $n$ .

*Solution* (a) Consider the real line with the Lebesgue measure and let  $f_n = \chi_{[n, n+1]}$  clearly  $f_n$  converges to zero everywhere. Assume that there is a set  $E$  such that  $f_n$  converges to zero uniformly on  $E$ . Then there exists  $n$  such that  $|f_m| < 1$  on  $E$  for any  $m \geq n$ . It implies that  $[n, +\infty) \cap E = \emptyset$  and  $m(\mathbb{R} \setminus E) = \infty$ .

*Solution 1* (b) We repeat the proof of the Egorov's theorem. First, let  $Y$  be the set where  $\{f_n\}$  converges to  $f$ ,  $\mu(X \setminus Y) = 0$ . For each  $n$  and  $k$  we define

$$E_{n,k} = \cup_{m \geq n} \{|f - f_m| > 1/k\}.$$

Then  $E_{1,k} \supset E_{2,k} \supset \dots$  for each  $k$  and  $\cap_n E_{n,k} \subset Y^c$ . Now, since  $|f_n| \leq g$  then  $|f| \geq g$  on  $Y^c$  and for any  $m$  we have  $\{|f - f_m| > 1/k\} \subset \{2|g| > 1/k\}$  and then  $E_{1,k} \subset \{g > 1/(2k)\}$  and it has finite measure, as  $\mu(\{g > 1/(2k)\}) \leq 2k \int g d\mu$ . We have  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(E_{n,k}) = 0$ . We choose  $n(k)$  such that  $\mu(E_{n(k),k}) < \varepsilon 2^{-k}$ . And let  $E = \cup_k E_{n(k),k}$ , so that  $\mu(E) \leq \varepsilon$ . On the complement of  $E$  we have  $|f_n - f| < 1/k$  when  $n \geq n(k)$ . Thus  $f_n$  converges to  $f$  uniformly on  $E^c$ .

*Solution 2* (b) First, we have  $|f| \geq g$  a.e., since  $f_n$  converges to  $f$  a.e. Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ , for each  $n \geq 1$  let  $X_n = \{g \geq 1/n\}$ , then  $\mu(X_n) \leq \varepsilon^{-1} \|g\|_1 < \infty$ . By Egorov's theorem there exists  $F_n \subset X_n$  such that  $\mu(F_n) \leq \varepsilon 2^{-n}$  and  $f_n$  converges to  $f$  uniformly on  $X_n \setminus F_n$ . Denote  $F_0 = \{|f| > g\}$ , such that  $\mu(F_0) = 0$  and let  $F = \cup_0^\infty F_n$ . We have  $\mu(F) \leq \varepsilon$  and we want to show that  $f_n$  converges to  $f$  uniformly on  $X \setminus F$ .

Let  $\delta > 0$ , we choose  $N > 2\delta^{-1}$ ; since  $f_n$  converges to  $f$  uniformly on  $X_N \setminus F_N$  there exists  $m$  such that  $|f - f_n| < \delta$  on  $X_N \setminus F_N$  for  $n \geq m$ . We have

$$X \setminus F \subset (X_N^c \setminus F_0) \cup (X_N \setminus F_N).$$

Therefore for  $n \geq m$  and  $x \in X \setminus F$ , we obtain  $|f(x) - f_n(x)| \leq \max\{N^{-1}, \delta\} = \delta$ , as  $|f - f_n| \leq 2|g| \leq 2N - 1$  on  $X_N^c \setminus F_0$ . We showed that  $f_n$  converges to  $f$  uniformly on  $F^c$ .

**Problem 4.** (a) Suppose that  $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a non-negative integrable function on a measure space  $(X, \mathcal{M}, \mu)$  with a  $\sigma$ -finite measure  $\mu$ . Show that

$$\int f d\mu = \int_0^\infty \mu\{f \geq t\} dt.$$

(b) Give an example of a measure space  $(X, \mathcal{M}, \mu)$  and a non-negative measurable function  $f : X \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$  such that  $f \notin L^1(\mu)$  but there exists a constant  $C$  such that  $\mu(\{f > t\}) \leq Ct^{-1}$  for any  $t > 0$ .

*Solution 1* (a) Consider the function  $F : \mathbb{R} \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  defined by  $F(t, x) = \chi_{f \geq t}(x)$ , in other words

$$F(t, x) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{when } f(x) \geq t > 0 \\ 0, & \text{when } f(x) < t, \\ 0, & \text{when } t \leq 0. \end{cases}$$

Since  $\mu$  and the Lebesgue measure are  $\sigma$ -finite, we can apply the Tonelli theorem to  $F$ . Note that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} F(x, t) dm(t) = \int_{(0, f(x))} 1 dm(t) = f(x).$$

Therefore the double integral is equal to

$$\int_X \int_{\mathbb{R}} F(t, x) dm(t) d\mu(x) = \int_X f(x) d\mu(x).$$

On the other hand, if we change the order of integration, then for  $t > 0$  the inner integral becomes

$$\int_X F(x, t) d\mu(x) = \int_X \chi_{\{f \geq t\}} d\mu = \mu(\{f \geq t\}),$$

and it is zero when  $t \leq 0$ . Thus the double integral is equal to

$$\int_0^{\infty} \mu(\{f \geq t\}) dt.$$

We have shown that the two integrals are equal as required.

*Solution 2* (a) Assume first that  $f$  is a non-negative simple function,  $f = \sum a_k \chi_{A_k}$ , where  $A_k$  are disjoint measurable sets. Then  $\mu(\{f > t\}) = \sum_{k: a_k > t} \mu(A_k)$ . Therefore

$$\int_0^{\infty} \mu(\{f > t\}) dt = \sum_k \int_{0 < t < a_k} \mu(A_k) dt = \sum_k a_k \mu(A_k) = \int f d\mu.$$

Now let  $\psi_n$  be an increasing sequence of simple functions that converges to  $f$ , by the monotone convergence theorem  $\int f d\mu = \lim \int \psi_n d\mu$ . Let  $h(t) = \mu(\{f > t\})$  and  $h_n(t) = \mu(\{\psi_n > t\})$ , since  $\{\psi_n\}$  is an increasing sequence of function, we get

$$\{\psi_{n+1} > t\} \supset \{\psi_n > t\} \quad \text{and} \quad \bigcup_n \{\psi_n > t\} = \{f > t\}.$$

Then  $h_{n+1}(t) \geq h_n(t)$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} h_n(t) = h(t)$ . Once again we apply the monotone convergence theorem and conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{\infty} \mu(\{f > t\}) dt &= \int_0^{\infty} h dt = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^{\infty} h_n dt = \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^{\infty} \mu(\{\psi_n > t\}) dt = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int \psi_n d\mu = \int f d\mu. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, we remark that since  $\mu$  is  $\sigma$ -finite  $\mu(\{f > t\}) = \mu(\{f \geq t\})$  for almost every  $t$ . Indeed let  $\mu = \sum_n \mu_n$ , where  $\mu_n(X) < \infty$ . Suppose that for  $t \in T_n$  we have  $\mu_n(\{f = t\}) > 0$ , then  $\sum_{t \in T} \mu_n(\{t\}) < +\infty$  and  $T_n$  is countable. Therefore  $T = \cup_n T_n$  is countable and has Lebesgue measure zero.

*Solution* (b) Let  $(X, \mathcal{M}, \mu) = (\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}, m)$  and consider the function  $f(x) = x^{-1}$  when  $x > 0$  and  $f(x) = 0$  when  $x \leq 0$ . Then  $\int f(x) dx = \infty$ . On the other hand  $\{f > t\} = \{x : x < 1/t\}$  and  $m(\{f > t\}) = t^{-1}$ .

---