Capacity of Finite State Markov Channels with General Inputs

Tim Holliday Andrea Goldsmith Peter Glynn Stanford University Stanford University Stanford University e-mail: thollida@stanford.edu e-mail: andrea@systems.stanford.edu e-mail: glynn@stanford.edu

Abstract - We study new formulae based on Lya**punov exponents for entropy, mutual information, and capacity of finite state discrete time Markov channels. We also develop a method for directly computing mutual information and entropy using continuous state space Markov chains. We show that the entropy rate for a symbol sequence is equal to the primary Lyapunov exponent for a product of random matrices. We then develop a continuous state space Markov chain formulation that allows us to directly compute entropy rates as expectations with respect** to **the Markov chain's stationary distribution. We also show that the stationary distribution is a continuous function of the input symbol dynamics. This continuity allows the channel capacity to be written in terms of Lyapunov exponents.**

I. CHANNEL MODEL

Let $C = (C_n : n \ge 0)$ be a stationary finite-state irreducible Markov chain living on state space C . The random sequences of observed inputs and outputs will be denoted $X = (X_n : n \ge 0)$ and $Y = (Y_n : n \ge 0)$, and take values in *X* and *y*, respectively. For each pair of states $(c_n, c_{n+1}) \in \mathcal{C}$ define a probability distribution on the input/output symbols $p(x_n, y_n | c_n, c_{n+1})$. Further assume the input/output sequences *X* and *Y* have a joint distribution specified by

$$
p((X_0 = x_0, Y_0 = y_0), \ldots, (X_n = x_n, Y_n = y_n)|c^{n+1})
$$

=
$$
\prod_{i=0}^n p((x_i, y_i)|C_i = c_i, C_{i+1} = c_{i+1}).
$$

This framework for the channel incorporates a substantial number of interesting channel models. Of particular interest are finite-state Markov channels with Markov inputs, the capacities of which are currently open problems.

11. ENTROPIES AS LYAPUNOV EXPONENTS

With the channel model described above, each of the entropies $H(X)$, $H(Y)$, and $H(X, Y)$ turn out to be Lyapunov exponents for products of random matrices (up to a change in sign).

Proposition 1: For $x \in \mathcal{X}$ and $y \in \mathcal{Y}$, let $G_x^X = (G_x^X(c_0, c_1))$: $c_0, c_1 \in \mathcal{C}$, $G_y^Y = (G_y^Y(c_0, c_1) : c_0, c_1 \in \mathcal{C})$, and $G_{(x,y)}^{(X,Y)} =$ $(G_{(x,y)}^{(X,Y)}(c_0,c_1): c_0,c_1 \in \mathcal{C}$ be $|\mathcal{C}| \times |\mathcal{C}|$ matrices with entries given by

$$
G_x^X(c_0, c_1) = R(c_0, c_1) \sum_y q(x, y|c_0, c_1),
$$

\n
$$
G_y^Y(c_0, c_1) = R(c_0, c_1) \sum_x q(x, y|c_0, c_1),
$$

\n
$$
G_{(x,y)}^{(X,Y)}(c_0, c_1) = R(c_0, c_1)q(x, y|c_0, c_1).
$$

Then $H(X) = -\lambda(X)$, $H(Y) = -\lambda(Y)$, and $H(X, Y) =$ $-\lambda(X, Y)$, where $\lambda(X)$, $\lambda(Y)$, and $\lambda(X, Y)$ are the Lyapunov exponents defined **as** the following a.s. limits:

$$
\lambda(X) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log ||G_{X_1}^X G_{X_2}^X \cdots G_{X_n}^X|| \text{ a.s.},
$$

\n
$$
\lambda(Y) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log ||G_{Y_1}^Y G_{Y_2}^Y \cdots G_{Y_n}^Y|| \text{ a.s.},
$$

\n
$$
\lambda(X, Y) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log ||G_{(X_1, Y_1)}^{(X, Y)} G_{(X_2, Y_2)}^{(X, Y)} \cdots G_{(X_n, Y_n)}^{(X, Y)}|| \text{ a.s.}
$$

From this point onward, we will focus our attention on the Lyapunov exponent $\lambda(X)$, since the conclusions for $\lambda(Y)$ and $\lambda(X, Y)$ are analogous. Define

$$
\tilde{p}_n \triangleq \frac{wG_{X_1}^X \cdots G_{X_n}^X}{||wG_{X_1}^X \cdots G_{X_n}^X||} = \frac{\tilde{p}_{n-1}G_{X_n}^X}{||\tilde{p}_{n-1}G_{X_n}^X||}
$$

Proposition 2: Let w be the stationary distribution of the channel C. Then, for $n \geq 0$ and $c \in \mathcal{C}$,

$$
\tilde{p}_n(c) = P(C_{n+1} = c | X_1^n),
$$

That is, \tilde{p}_n is the standard prediction filter from the theory of hidden Markov models (HMM).

Proposition 3 The sequence $\tilde{p} = (\tilde{p}_n : n \ge 0)$ is a Markov chain taking values in the continuous state space $\mathcal{P} = \{w :$ $w \geq 0, ||w||_1 = 1$. Furthermore,

$$
||\tilde{p}_n G_x^X||_1 = P(X_{n+1} = x | X_1^n).
$$

Proposition 4: In [1] we present conditions under which \tilde{p}_n possesses a unique stationary distribution π . When those conditions hold we can state

$$
H(X) = -\lambda(X) = -\sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \int_{\mathcal{P}} \log(||wG_x^X||_1) ||wG_x^X||_1 \pi(dw).
$$

Proposition 5: In [1] we present conditions under which $\lambda(X)$ is a continuous function of the input symbol distribution and the transition probabilities for the channel. Hence we can write capacity in terms of Lyapunov exponents
 $C = \max_{X} [\lambda(X) + \lambda(Y) - \lambda(X, Y)]$

$$
C = \max_{p(X)} \left[\lambda(X) + \lambda(Y) - \lambda(X, Y) \right]
$$

The above propositions allow us to directly compute mutual information and capacity for a new class of Markov channels with non-i.i.d. inputs. This is a significant advance over previous results that required asymptotics or simulation. Detailed proofs and computational examples are available in [l].

REFERENCES

[l] T. Holliday, P. Glynn, **A.** Goldsmith "On Entropy and Lyapunov Exponents for Finite State Channels", *Submitted to IEEE Trans. on Information Theory.*

. **289 0-7803-7728-1/03/\$17.00 02003 IEEE.**