

Ideal Fermion & Boson

Jian Qin

January 7, 2017

1.1 Equation of state for ideal Fermion and Boson.

The energy dispersion relation for the ideal gas is

$$\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}} = \frac{\hbar^2 \mathbf{k}^2}{2m}.$$

The energy level occupation function is

$$f(\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}}) = \frac{1}{\exp\left(\frac{\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}} - \mu}{k_B T}\right) \pm 1}.$$

Summation over all energy levels gives the equation of state

$$n = \frac{N}{V} = \frac{g}{(2\pi)^3} \int d\mathbf{k} f(\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}}) = \frac{g}{2\pi^2} \int_0^\infty dk k^2 f(\epsilon_k)$$

where g is the degeneracy. For electron with spin-degeneracy, $g = 2$. Setting $f(\epsilon_k) = 1$ and introducing an upper cutoff k_F gives

$$k_F = \left(\frac{6\pi^2 n}{g}\right)^{1/3}.$$

Changing k to ϵ , and normalizing ϵ , $k_B T$ & μ by the Fermi level $\epsilon_F \equiv \hbar^2 k_F^2 / (2m)$ gives

$$\frac{2}{3} = \left(\frac{k_B T}{\epsilon_F}\right)^{3/2} \int_0^\infty dz \frac{z^{1/2}}{e^z \exp\left(-\frac{\mu}{k_B T}\right) \pm 1} = \mp \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2} \left(\frac{k_B T}{\epsilon_F}\right)^{3/2} \text{Li}_{3/2}(\mp e^{\mu/(k_B T)}).$$

Here $\text{Li}_{3/2}$ is the polylog function of order $3/2$. Introducing the shorthand notation $t \equiv k_B T / \epsilon_F$ and $m \equiv \mu / (k_B T)$, the above can be written

$$\frac{4}{3\sqrt{\pi}} t^{-3/2} = \mp \text{Li}_{3/2}(\mp e^m).$$

Temperature t can be solved for arbitrary values of m , which relates chemical potential to temperature. The $-$ sign works for Fermion and the $+$ sign works for Boson.

The classical limit is achieved for $m \simeq -\frac{3}{2} \ln(t) \rightarrow -\infty$. The polylog function behaves as $\text{Li}_s(z) = z$ for z in the neighborhood of 0, which gives

$$\frac{4}{3\sqrt{\pi}} t^{-3/2} = e^{\mu/(k_B T)} \rightarrow \mu = -k_B T \ln \left[\frac{3\sqrt{\pi}}{4} \left(\frac{k_B T}{\epsilon_F}\right)^{3/2} \right].$$

(November 10, 2016)

1.2 Classical partition function in occupation number representation.

Classical partition function for indistinguishable particles is given by

$$Q_C(N, V, T) = \frac{1}{N!} \{\text{partition function for distinguishable particles}\}.$$

This allows one to follow the energy state of each particle separately. For ideal gas, we can write $Q_C = q^N/N!$, here the single particle partition function is calculated in the energy representation

$$q = \sum_{\epsilon} \exp\left(-\frac{\epsilon}{k_B T}\right).$$

But to derive the Boson and Fermion distribution, we used the occupation number representation, and used

$$Q_{F,B}(N, V, T) = \sum_{n_1} \sum_{n_2} \cdots \exp\left(-\frac{n_1 \epsilon_1 + n_2 \epsilon_2 + \cdots}{k_B T}\right).$$

The range of n_1, n_2 , etc. is $\{0, 1\}$ for Fermion and is $\{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ for Boson. What about Q_C ? The range of n_1, n_2 is $\{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$, the same as for Boson. But to correct for the effects of particle distinguishability, we have

$$Q_C(N, V, T) = \frac{1}{N!} \sum_{n_1} \sum_{n_2} \cdots \frac{N!}{n_1! n_2! \cdots} \exp\left(-\frac{n_1 \epsilon_1 + n_2 \epsilon_2 + \cdots}{k_B T}\right).$$

The combinatorial factor accounts for the number of ways of distributing particles. So finally,

$$Q_C(N, V, T) = \sum_{n_1} \sum_{n_2} \cdots \frac{1}{n_1! n_2! \cdots} \exp\left(-\frac{n_1 \epsilon_1 + n_2 \epsilon_2 + \cdots}{k_B T}\right).$$

The distinction from the cases of Fermion and Boson vanishes when $n_\alpha = 0$ or 1 at high temperature, or when the level is either occupied or empty.

The grand canonical partition function is not constrained by the total particle number.

$$\begin{aligned} \Xi_C(\mu, V, T) &= \sum_{n_1} \sum_{n_2} \cdots \frac{1}{n_1! n_2! \cdots} \exp\left(-\frac{n_1(\epsilon_1 - \mu) + n_2(\epsilon_2 - \mu) + \cdots}{k_B T}\right) \\ &= \exp\left[\exp\left(-\frac{\epsilon_1 - \mu}{k_B T}\right) + \exp\left(-\frac{\epsilon_2 - \mu}{k_B T}\right) \cdots\right]. \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

This is identical to the result obtained directly from the particle representation

$$\Xi_C(\mu, V, T) = \sum_N \frac{q^N e^{\mu N/(k_B T)}}{N!} = \exp\left[q e^{\mu/(k_B T)}\right].$$

The pressure thus is given by $pV = k_B T \ln \Xi_C(\mu, V, T)$, leaving

$$pV_C = k_B T \sum_{\alpha} \exp\left(-\frac{\epsilon_{\alpha} - \mu}{k_B T}\right).$$

This can be compared to the corresponding expression for Fermion and Boson,

$$pV_{F,B} = \pm k_B T \sum_{\alpha} \ln \left[1 \pm \exp\left(-\frac{\epsilon_{\alpha} - \mu}{k_B T}\right)\right]. \quad (2)$$

The average number of particle in a given level at high temperature is $\langle n_{\alpha} \rangle = \exp\left(-\frac{\epsilon_{\alpha} - \mu}{k_B T}\right)$.

(November 11, 2016)

1.3 The level occupation representation is meaningful only for ideal gas.

From the derivation, it is clear that the occupation numbers

$$f_{\text{F,B}}(\epsilon) = \frac{1}{\exp\left(\frac{\epsilon - \mu}{k_{\text{B}}T}\right) \pm 1}$$

as well as the classical limit

$$f_{\text{C}}(\epsilon) = \exp\left(-\frac{\epsilon - \mu}{k_{\text{B}}T}\right)$$

only applies to ideal (non-interacting) particles. Specifically, the partition function for the non-interacting particles reads

$$\Xi_{\text{C}}(\mu, V, T) = \sum_{N=0}^{\infty} \frac{Q(N, V, T)}{N!} \exp(\mu N / (k_{\text{B}}T)).$$

The particle number then is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \langle N \rangle &= \frac{1}{\Xi_{\text{C}}} \sum_{N=1}^{\infty} \frac{Q(N, V, T)}{(N-1)!} \exp(\mu N / (k_{\text{B}}T)) \\ &= \frac{\exp(\mu / (k_{\text{B}}T))}{\Xi_{\text{C}}} \sum_{N=0}^{\infty} \frac{Q(N+1, V, T)}{N!} \exp(\mu N / (k_{\text{B}}T)). \end{aligned}$$

For ideal gases, we have

$$Q(N, V, T) = q(V, T)^N = \left(\sum_i \exp(-\epsilon_i / (k_{\text{B}}T)) \right)^N = Q(N+1, V, T) / q(V, T).$$

So the occupation number can be simply written as

$$\begin{aligned} \langle N \rangle &= \frac{\exp(\mu / (k_{\text{B}}T)) q(V, T)}{\Xi_{\text{C}}} \sum_{N=0}^{\infty} \frac{Q(N, V, T)}{N!} \exp(\mu N / (k_{\text{B}}T)) \\ &= \exp(\mu / (k_{\text{B}}T)) q(V, T) \\ &= \sum_i \exp\left(-\frac{\epsilon_i - \mu}{k_{\text{B}}T}\right). \end{aligned}$$

In retrospect, this is natural since the above representation used the fact that the single particle state is well-defined. The latter is meaningful only for non-interacting particles.

(November 16, 2016)