

DISCUSSION OF “PRODUCTIVITY AND
POTENTIAL OUTPUT BEFORE, DURING,
AND AFTER THE GREAT RECESSION”
BY JOHN FERNALD

Discussion by Bob Hall

NBER CRIW Meeting
17 July 2012 1:00 pm Parkview

.

WHAT CONCEPT OF PRODUCTIVITY?

TFP measures the shift of the production function

WHAT CONCEPT OF PRODUCTIVITY?

TFP measures the shift of the production function

Labor productivity is just another endogenous variables determined in general equilibrium

WHAT CONCEPT OF PRODUCTIVITY?

TFP measures the shift of the production function

Labor productivity is just another endogenous variables determined in general equilibrium

Thus TFP seems the main object of interest in terms of measurement

.

UTILIZATION RATES OF LABOR AND CAPITAL

Hugely important in cyclical TFP measurement

UTILIZATION RATES OF LABOR AND CAPITAL

Hugely important in cyclical TFP measurement

The supply side of utilization is still pretty much unstudied

UTILIZATION RATES OF LABOR AND CAPITAL

Hugely important in cyclical TFP measurement

The supply side of utilization is still pretty much unstudied

Adjustments imply a high value of alternatives to labor effort

UTILIZATION RATES OF LABOR AND CAPITAL

Hugely important in cyclical TFP measurement

The supply side of utilization is still pretty much unstudied

Adjustments imply a high value of alternatives to labor effort

Adjustments also imply a substantial cost of using capital apart from depreciation over time—depreciation from use (odometer effect)

.

TIME WAS

we thought that TFP was procyclical

TIME WAS

we thought that TFP was procyclical

A generation of business-cycle theorists made TFP the single driving force of macro fluctuations

TIME WAS

we thought that TFP was procyclical

A generation of business-cycle theorists made TFP the single driving force of macro fluctuations

Utilization adjustments knock this idea out

.

MEASURING OUTPUT

My impression is that output is measured as real GDP

MEASURING OUTPUT

My impression is that output is measured as real GDP

Recent results show that real GDI may be at least as good a measure of output as real GDP

MEASURING OUTPUT

My impression is that output is measured as real GDP

Recent results show that real GDI may be at least as good a measure of output as real GDP

The BEA is planning a third measure of real output, measured as production (GDP is measured as deliveries to final demand)

MEASURING OUTPUT

My impression is that output is measured as real GDP

Recent results show that real GDI may be at least as good a measure of output as real GDP

The BEA is planning a third measure of real output, measured as production (GDP is measured as deliveries to final demand)

Some combination of the various measures of output may be the best

.

ECONOMETRIC FRAMEWORK

$$z_{i,t} = \alpha_i + \gamma_i C_t$$

ECONOMETRIC FRAMEWORK

$$z_{i,t} = \alpha_i + \gamma_i C_t$$

Normalize: $\alpha_1 = \gamma_1 = 1$

ECONOMETRIC FRAMEWORK

$$z_{i,t} = \alpha_i + \gamma_i C_t$$

Normalize: $\alpha_1 = \gamma_1 = 1$

Nonlinear analysis-of-variance model

.

CYCLICAL VARIABLES

Quarterly data on annualized rates of change of

- ▶ Hours, business sector
- ▶ Labor composition/quality
- ▶ Capital input
- ▶ Utilization of capital and labor
- ▶ Utilization-adjusted TFP

OTHER CYCLICAL VARIABLES

Including output would be redundant because TFP is a residual from output and inputs

OTHER CYCLICAL VARIABLES

Including output would be redundant because TFP is a residual from output and inputs

Unemployment is closely related to labor hours

.

CYCLICALITY, SINCE 1947

	Hours	1	
γ , weight of cycle	Labor quality	-0.08	(0.08)
	Capital	0.04	(0.08)
	Utilization	0.95	(0.11)
	TFP	-0.19	(0.08)

CYCLICALITY, SINCE 1983

	Hours	1	
γ , weight of cycle	Labor quality	-0.08	(0.11)
	Capital	0.22	(0.11)
	Utilization	0.46	(0.12)
	TFP	0.14	(0.11)

PHASE SLOPES, SINCE 1947

<i>Expansions</i>			<i>Contractions</i>		
<i>Start quarter</i>	<i>Slope</i>	<i>Standard error</i>	<i>Start quarter</i>	<i>Slope</i>	<i>Standard error</i>
1945:Q1	-0.94	(0.74)	1948:Q4	-4.85	(0.94)
1949:Q4	1.53	(0.50)	1953:Q2	-6.22	(0.97)
1954:Q2	0.69	(0.51)	1957:Q3	-6.15	(1.10)
1958:Q2	1.49	(0.65)	1960:Q2	-3.29	(1.06)
1961:Q1	0.37	(0.32)	1969:Q4	-3.34	(0.92)
1970:Q4	1.68	(0.54)	1973:Q4	-3.71	(0.83)
1975:Q1	1.05	(0.42)	1980:Q1	-2.37	(1.28)
1980:Q3	1.26	(0.90)	1981:Q3	-3.12	(0.83)
1982:Q4	0.92	(0.34)	1990:Q3	-2.14	(1.28)
1991:Q1	0.39	(0.30)	2001:Q1	-2.80	(1.05)
2001:Q4	-0.07	(0.38)	2007:Q4	-4.86	(0.79)
2009:Q2	0.50	(0.53)			

PHASE SLOPES, SINCE 1983

<i>Expansions</i>			<i>Contractions</i>		
<i>Start quarter</i>	<i>Slope</i>	<i>Standard error</i>	<i>Start quarter</i>	<i>Slope</i>	<i>Standard error</i>
1982:Q4	0.90	(0.36)	1990:Q3	-3.40	(1.27)
1991:Q1	0.71	(0.30)	2001:Q1	-3.65	(1.04)
2001:Q4	-0.34	(0.37)	2007:Q4	-6.03	(0.79)
2009:Q2	-0.36	(0.52)			

MAJOR LESSON

Lots of noise in the variables, reflected in the standard errors

MAJOR LESSON

Lots of noise in the variables, reflected in the standard errors

Need to be careful about issues like the role of ICT in the 1990s and 2000s

MAJOR LESSON

Lots of noise in the variables, reflected in the standard errors

Need to be careful about issues like the role of ICT in the 1990s and 2000s

Projections of future TFP growth are particularly noisy

.

