











## REFERENCES

- [1] [n.d.]. Travis CI. <https://travis-ci.org>
- [2] David Bailey, Jonathan Borwein, and Victoria Stodden. 2013. Set the default to 'open'. *Notices of the AMS, Accepted March* (2013). <http://citeseerx.ist.psu.edu/viewdoc/summary?doi=10.1.1.310.4101>
- [3] Lorena A Barba. 2018. Praxis of Reproducible Computational Science. <https://doi.org/10.22541/au.153922477.77361922>
- [4] Lorena A. Barba. 2018. Terminologies for Reproducible Research. *ArXiv e-prints* (Feb 2018). [arXiv:cs.DL/1802.03311](https://arxiv.org/abs/1802.03311)
- [5] Francine Berman, Rob Rutenbar, Brent Hailpern, Henrik Christensen, Susan Davidson, Deborah Estrin, Michael Franklin, Margaret Martonosi, Padma Raghavan, Victoria Stodden, and Alexander S. Szalay. 2018. Realizing the Potential of Data Science. *Commun. ACM* 61, 4 (March 2018), 67–72. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3188721>
- [6] G. Booch. 1991. *Object Oriented Design: With Applications*. Benjamin/Cummings Pub. <https://books.google.com/books?id=w5VQAAAAAAAJ>
- [7] Wei-Fan Chiang, Ganesh Gopalakrishnan, Zvonimir Rakamarić, Dong H. Ahn, and Gregory L. Lee. 2013. Determinism and Reproducibility in Large-Scale HPC Systems. <https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/Determinism-and-Reproducibility-in-Large-Scale-HPC-Chiang-Gopalakrishnan/9e8ea7d54dc67f672b31b223ed14ede758b0b28d>
- [8] Fernando Chirigati, Rémi Rampin, Dennis Shasha, and Juliana Freire. 2016. ReproZip: Computational Reproducibility With Ease. In *Proceedings of the 2016 International Conference on Management of Data (SIGMOD '16)*. ACM, New York, NY, USA, 2085–2088. <https://doi.org/10.1145/2882903.2899401>
- [9] Jon F. Claerbout and Martin Karrenbach. 1992. Electronic documents give reproducible research a new meaning. In *SEG Technical Program Expanded Abstracts*. 601–604. <https://doi.org/10.1190/1.1822162>
- [10] K. Cranmer and L. Heinrich. 2017. Yadage and Packtivity - analysis preservation using parametrized workflows. *ArXiv e-prints* (June 2017). [arXiv:physics.data-an/1706.01878](https://arxiv.org/abs/1706.01878)
- [11] K. Cranmer and I. Yavin. 2011. RECAST – extending the impact of existing analyses. *Journal of High Energy Physics* 4, Article 38 (April 2011), 38 pages. [https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP04\(2011\)038](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP04(2011)038) [arXiv:hep-ex/1010.2506](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ex/1010.2506)
- [12] T. Crick, B.A. Hall, and S. Ishtiaq. 2017. Reproducibility in Research: Systems, Infrastructure, Culture. *Journal of Open Research Software* 5 (2017), 32. Issue 1. <https://doi.org/10.5334/jors.73>
- [13] Paolo Di Tommaso, Maria Chatzou, Evan W. Floden, Pablo Prieto Barja, Emilio Palumbo, and Cedric Notredame. 2017. Nextflow enables reproducible computational workflows. *Nature Biotechnology* 35 (11 Apr 2017), 316 – 319. <https://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nbt.3820>
- [14] D. L. Donoho, A. Maleki, I. U. Rahman, M. Shahram, and V. Stodden. 2009. Reproducible Research in Computational Harmonic Analysis. *Computing in Science Engineering* 11, 1 (Jan 2009), 8–18. <https://doi.org/10.1109/MCSE.2009.15>
- [15] R.R. Downs, W.C. Lenhardt, E. Robinson, E. Davis, and N. Weber. 2015. Community Recommendations for Sustainable Scientific Software. *Journal of Open Research Software* 3 (2015), e11. Issue 1. <https://doi.org/10.5334/jors.bt>
- [16] Bryan T. Drew, Romina Gazis, Patricia Cabezas, Kristen S. Swithers, Jiabin Deng, Roseana Rodriguez, Laura A. Katz, Keith A. Crandall, David S. Hibbett, and Douglas E. Soltis. 2013. Lost Branches on the Tree of Life. *PLOS Biology* 11, 9 (09 2013), 1–5. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.1001636>
- [17] Yuezhen Gong, Qi Wang, Yushun Wang, and Jiexiang Cai. 2017. A conservative Fourier pseudo-spectral method for the nonlinear Schrödinger equation. *J. Comput. Phys.* 328 (2017), 354 – 370. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcp.2016.10.022>
- [18] Seth Green. [n.d.]. Five reproducibility lessons from a year of reviewing compute capsules. <https://medium.com/codeocean/five-reproducibility-lessons-from-a-year-of-reviewing-compute-capsules-de71729ebd8a>
- [19] M.A. Hernández and M.J. Rubio. 2004. A modification of Newton's method for nondifferentiable equations. *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* 164-165 (2004), 409 – 417. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0377-0427\(03\)00650-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0377-0427(03)00650-2) Proceedings of the 10th International Congress on Computational and Applied Mathematics.
- [20] Michael Hilton, Nicholas Nelson, Timothy Tunnell, Darko Marinov, and Danny Dig. 2017. Trade-offs in Continuous Integration: Assurance, Security, and Flexibility. In *Proceedings of the 2017 11th Joint Meeting on Foundations of Software Engineering (ESEC/FSE 2017)*. ACM, New York, NY, USA, 197–207. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3106237.3106270>
- [21] Michael Hilton, Timothy Tunnell, Kai Huang, Darko Marinov, and Danny Dig. 2016. Usage, Costs, and Benefits of Continuous Integration in Open-source Projects. In *Proceedings of the 31st IEEE/ACM International Conference on Automated Software Engineering (ASE 2016)*. ACM, New York, NY, USA, 426–437. <https://doi.org/10.1145/2970276.2970358>
- [22] John P. A. Ioannidis. 2005. Why Most Published Research Findings Are False. *PLOS Medicine* 2, 8 (08 2005). <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmed.0020124>
- [23] Damien Irving. [n.d.]. Best practices for scientific software. <https://software.ac.uk/blog/2017-11-29-best-practices-scientific-software>
- [24] Ioannidis JA. 2005. Contradicted and initially stronger effects in highly cited clinical research. *JAMA* 294, 2 (2005), 218–228. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.294.2.218> [arXiv:1906.01878](https://arxiv.org/abs/1906.01878)
- [25] I. Jimenez, A. Arpaci-Dusseau, R. Arpaci-Dusseau, J. Lofstead, C. Maltzahn, K. Mohror, and R. Ricci. 2017. PopperCI: Automated reproducibility validation. In *2017 IEEE Conference on Computer Communications Workshops (INFOCOM WKSHPS)*. 450–455. <https://doi.org/10.1109/INFOCOMW.2017.8116418>
- [26] Ivo Jimenez, Michael Sevilla, Noah Watkins, Carlos Maltzahn, Jay Lofstead, Kathryn Mohror, Remzi Arpaci-Dusseau, and Andrea Arpaci-Dusseau. [n.d.]. Standing on the Shoulders of Giants by Managing Scientific Experiments Like Software. *login: The USENIX Magazine* 41, 4 ([n. d.]). <https://www.usenix.org/publications/login/winter2016/jimenez>
- [27] D.S. Katz, K.E. Niemeier, S. Gesing, L. Hwang, W. Bangerth, S. Hettrick, R. Idaszak, J. Salac, N. Chue Hong, S. Núñez-Corrales, A. Allen, R.S. Geiger, J. Miller, E. Chen, A. Dubey, and P. Lago. 2018. Fourth Workshop on Sustainable Software for Science: Practice and Experiences (WSSSE4). *Journal of Open Research Software* 6 (2018), 10. Issue 1. <https://doi.org/10.5334/jors.184>
- [28] Thomas Kluyver, Benjamin Ragan-Kelley, Fernando Pérez, Brian Granger, Matthias Bussanier, Jonathan Frederic, Kyle Kelley, Jessica Hamrick, Jason Grout, Sylvain Corlay, Paul Ivanov, Damián Avila, Safia Abdalla, and Carol Willing. 2016. *Jupyter Notebooks – a publishing format for reproducible computational workflows*. 87–90. <https://doi.org/10.3233/978-1-61499-649-1-87>
- [29] Gregory M. Kurtzer, Vanessa Sochat, and Michael W. Bauer. 2017. Singularity: Scientific containers for mobility of compute. *PLOS ONE* 12, 5 (05 2017), 1–20. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0177459>
- [30] Milind G Limaye. 2009. *Software testing*. Tata McGraw-Hill Education.
- [31] B. Ludaescher, K. Chard, N. Gaffney, M. B. Jones, J. Nabrzyski, V. Stodden, and M. Turk. 2016. Capturing the "Whole Tale" of Computational Research: Reproducibility in Computing Environments. *Arxiv: CoRR* (2016). <https://arxiv.org/abs/1610.09958>
- [32] Dirk Merkel. 2014. Docker: Lightweight Linux Containers for Consistent Development and Deployment. *Linux J.* 2014, 239, Article 2 (March 2014). <http://dl.acm.org/citation.cfm?id=2600239.2600241>
- [33] H. Monajemi, D. L. Donoho, and V. Stodden. 2016. Making massive computational experiments painless. In *2016 IEEE International Conference on Big Data (Big Data)*. 2368–2373. <https://doi.org/10.1109/BigData.2016.7840870>
- [34] William L. Oberkampf and Christopher J Roy. 2010. *Verification and validation in scientific computing*. Cambridge University Press.
- [35] National Academies of Sciences Engineering and Medicine. 2019. *Reproducibility and Replicability in Science*. The National Academies Press, Washington, DC. <https://doi.org/10.17226/25303>
- [36] Geir Kjetil Sandve, Anton Nekrutenko, James Taylor, and Eivind Hovig. 2013. Ten Simple Rules for Reproducible Computational Research. *PLOS Computational Biology* 9, 10 (10 2013), 1–4. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1003285>
- [37] Victoria Stodden. 2013. Resolving Irreproducibility in Empirical and Computational Research. *IMS Bulletin*. <http://bulletin.imstat.org/2013/11/resolving-irreproducibility-in-empirical-and-computational-research/>.
- [38] V. Stodden, D.H. Bailey, J. Borwein, R.J. LeVeque, W. Rider, and W. Stein. 2013. Setting the default to reproducible: Reproducibility in computational and experimental mathematics. <http://www.davidhbailey.com/dhbpapers/icerm-report.pdf>
- [39] Victoria Stodden, Matthew S. Krafczyk, and Adhithya Bhaskar. 2018. Enabling the Verification of Computational Results: An Empirical Evaluation of Computational Reproducibility. In *Proceedings of the First International Workshop on Practical Reproducible Evaluation of Computer Systems (P-RECS'18)*. ACM, New York, NY, USA, Article 3, 5 pages. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3214239.3214242>
- [40] Victoria Stodden, Friedrich Leisch, and Roger D. Peng. 2014. *Implementing Reproducible Research*. CRC Press.
- [41] Victoria Stodden, Marcia McNutt, David H. Bailey, Ewa Deelman, Yolanda Gil, Brooks Hanson, Michael A. Heroux, John P.A. Ioannidis, and Michela Tauber. 2016. Enhancing reproducibility for computational methods. *Science* 354, 6317 (2016), 1240–1241. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aah6168> [arXiv:http://science.sciencemag.org/content/354/6317/1240.full.pdf](https://arxiv.org/abs/http://science.sciencemag.org/content/354/6317/1240.full.pdf)
- [42] Victoria Stodden and Sheila Miguez. 2013. Best Practices for Computational Science: Software Infrastructure and Environments for Reproducible and Extensible Research. *JORS* (Sep 2013). <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.2322276>
- [43] Victoria Stodden, Jennifer Seiler, and Zhaojun Ma. 2018. An empirical analysis of journal policy effectiveness for computational reproducibility. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 115, 11 (2018), 2584–2589. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1708290115> [arXiv:http://www.pnas.org/content/115/11/2584.full.pdf](https://arxiv.org/abs/http://www.pnas.org/content/115/11/2584.full.pdf)
- [44] Morgan Tschuk and Greg Wilson. 2017. Ten simple rules for making research software more robust. *PLOS Computational Biology* 13, 4 (04 2017), 1–10. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1005412>
- [45] Eran Treister and Eldad Haber. 2016. A fast marching algorithm for the factored eikonal equation. *J. Comput. Phys.* 324 (2016), 210 – 225. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcp.2016.08.012>