

Adaptive Newton Sketch: Linear-time Optimization with Quadratic **Convergence and Effective Hessian Dimensionality**

Introduction

Composite optimization problem

$$x^* := \operatorname*{argmin}_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} \{ f(x) := f_0(x) + g(x) \} .$$

- (i) $f_0, g : \mathbb{R}^d \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ twice differentiable convex functions, where $\overline{\mathbb{R}} = \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}.$
- (ii) Forming Hessian $\nabla^2 f_0(x)$ is prohibitively expensive, while a Hessian matrix square-root $\nabla^2 f_0(x)^{1/2} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$ is available at small computational cost.
- (iii) g is μ -strongly convex, i.e., $\nabla^2 g(x) \succeq \mu I_d$.

Example of the Hessian matrix square-root $f_0(x) = \sum_{i=1}^m \ell_i(a_i^\top x), \, \nabla^2 f_0(x)^{1/2} := \mathbf{diag}(\ell_i''(a_i^\top x)^{1/2}) \, A.$ Examples of regularization functions g

- graph regularization $g(x) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j \in E} (x_i x_j)^2$,
- ℓ_p -norms with p > 1,
- approximations of ℓ_1 -norm.

Large-scale optimization problems of this form are very common in applications, due to the increasing dimensionality of data (e.g., genomics, medicine, high-dimensional models).

Comparison between first and second-order methods

- Newton's method enjoys **superior convergence** in both theory and practice compared to first-order methods.
- Optimal choice of first-order methods' parameters depend on unknown strong convexity and smoothness constants of problem.
- When f is *self-concordant*, then Newton's method is **invari**ant to rescaling and coordinate transformations.

Newton's method. The update rule follows

$$H(x) := \nabla^2 f_0(x) + \nabla^2 g(x)$$

$$x_{\text{ne}} := x - sH(x)^{-1} \nabla f(x).$$

Computational issue with Newton's method: per-iteration complexity scaling as $\mathcal{O}(nd^2)$.

Newton Sketch. Our work builds on a generic method called Newton Sketch, which utilizes a random embedding of the Hessian matrix H(x).

Given an embedding matrix $S \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$,

$$H_{S}(x) := (\nabla^{2} f_{0}(x)^{\frac{1}{2}})^{\top} S^{\top} S \nabla^{2} f_{0}(x)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \nabla^{2} g(x),$$

$$x_{\text{nsk}} := x - s H_{S}(x)^{-1} \nabla f(x).$$

Here m is a sketch size such that $m \ll n$.

For classical embeddings (e.g., sub-Gaussian, randomized orthogonal systems), a sketch size $m \simeq d$ is sufficient for the Newton sketch to achieve a linear-quadratic convergence rate with high probability (w.h.p.).

probability. Here we define

 $d_{\mu}(x) := \operatorname{trace}(\nabla^2 f_0(x)(\nabla^2 f_0(x) + \mu I_d)^{-1}),$

and it can substantially smaller than the ambient dimension d.

$$\mathcal{O}\left(nd\log\left(\overline{d}_{\mathrm{e}}\right)\log\left(\frac{d}{\delta}\right)\log\left(\log\left(\frac{d}{\delta}\right)\right)
ight).$$

Computational complexity comparisons

Algorithm	Time complexity	Sketch size	Proba.
Accelerated SVRG	$(nd + d\sqrt{\kappa n})\log(1/\delta)$	-	1
Newton method	$nd^2\log(\log(1/\delta))$	-	1
Newton sketch	$nd\log(d)\log(1/\delta)$	d	$1 - \frac{1}{d}$
Adaptive	$nd \log(\overline{d}) \log(d) \log(\log(d))$	$d(\overline{d} + \log(d)\log(\overline{d}))$	$1 - \frac{1}{7}$
Newton sketch	$nd\log(\overline{d}_{ ext{e}})\log(rac{d}{\delta})\log(\log(rac{d}{\delta}))$	$\overline{\delta} \left(u_{\rm e} + \log(\overline{\delta}) \log(u_{\rm e}) \right)$	\overline{d}_{e}

Notations and background

A closed convex function $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^d \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ is self-concordant if $|\varphi''(x)| \leq 2 (\varphi''(x))^{3/2}$. This encompasses many widely used functions in practice, e.g., linear, quadratic, negative logarithm. The choice of the sketching matrix $S \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ is critical for statistical and computational performances. Typical choices include the subsampled randomized Hadamard transform (SRHT) and the sparse Johnson-Lindenstrauss transform (SJLT).

Define the Newton and approximate Newton decrements as

$$\lambda_f(x) :=$$

 $\widetilde{\lambda}_f(x) :=$

For a self-concordant function f, the optimality gap at any point $x \in \mathbf{dom} f$ is bounded in terms of the Newton decrement as

f(x)

Jonathan Lacotte, Yifei Wang, Mert Pilanci

lacotte@stanford.edu, wangyf18@stanford.edu, pilanci@stanford.edu

Department of Electrical Engineering, Stanford University

Our contribution

(i) under the assumption that g is μ -strongly convex, the scaling $m \simeq \overline{d}_{\mu} \log(\overline{d}_{\mu})/\delta$ is sufficient for the Newton sketch to achieve a δ -accurate solution at a **quadratic** convergence rate with high

$$\overline{d}_{\mu} := \sup_{x \in \mathcal{S}(x_0)} d_{\mu}(x) \,,$$

where x_0 is the initial point of our algorithm, $\mathcal{S}(x_0)$ is the sublevel set of f at x_0 , and

is the *local* effective dimension. Importantly, it always holds that $d_{\mu}(x) \leq \overline{d}_{\mu} \leq \min\{n, d\} = d$

(ii) propose an adaptive sketch size version of the effective dimension Newton sketch. Importantly, we prove that the adaptive sketch size scales in terms of \overline{d}_{μ} . Furthermore, our adaptive method offers the possibility to the user to choose the convergence rate, from linear to quadratic.

(iii) Achieve state-of-the-art computational complexity to achieve a δ -accurate solution

Preliminaries

$$\left(\nabla f(x)^{\top} H(x)^{-1} \nabla f(x) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \\ \left(\nabla f(x)^{\top} H_S(x)^{-1} \nabla f(x) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

$$) - f(x^*) \leqslant \lambda_f(x)^2$$

Optimality gap based on approximate Newton decrements

Consider the following probability event which is critical to our convergence guarantees,

$$\mathcal{E}_{x,m,\varepsilon} := \left\{ (1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{2}) I_d \preceq C_S \preceq (1 + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}) \right\}$$

where $C_S := H^{-\frac{1}{2}} H_S H^{-\frac{1}{2}}, H \equiv H(x)$ and $H_S \equiv H_S(x)$. Let $\varepsilon \in (0, 1/4)$ and $p \in (0, 1/2)$. It holds that $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}_{x,\varepsilon,m}) \ge 1 - p$, provided that $m = \Omega(d_{\mu}(x)^2/(\varepsilon^2 p))$ for the SJLT with single nonzero element in each column, and, $m = \Omega((d_{\mu}(x) + \log(1/\varepsilon p)\log(d_{\mu}(x)/p))/\varepsilon^2)$ for the SRHT.

Closeness of Newton decre

Let $\varepsilon \in (0, 1/4)$. Conditional on the event $\mathcal{E}_{x,m,\varepsilon}$, it ho

 $\|v_{\mathrm{ne}} - v_{\mathrm{nsk}}\|_{H(x)} \leqslant \varepsilon \,\|v_{\mathrm{ne}}\|_{H(x)} \,,$ $\sqrt{1-\varepsilon}\,\lambda_f(x) \leqslant \widetilde{\lambda}_f(x) \leqslant \sqrt{1+\varepsilon}\,\lambda_f$

Adaptive Newton Sketch

We adopt the same idea as for convex quadratic objectives. Start with $m_0 = 1$, $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $S_0 \in \mathbb{R}^{m_0 \times n}$. At each iteration:

- (i) Compute $x_{t+1} = x_t \mu_t H_{S_t}^{-1} \nabla f(x_t)$.
- (ii) Sample $S_{t+1} \in \mathbb{R}^{m_t \times n}$. Form and factorize $H_{S_{t+1}}$.
- (iii) Compute improvement ratio $\tilde{r}_t = \tilde{\delta}_{t+1}/\tilde{\delta}_t$ where

$$\widetilde{\delta}_t = \nabla f(x_t)^\top H_{S_t}^{-1} \nabla f(x_t) \,.$$

(iv) If \tilde{r}_t small enough, accept update x_{t+1} . Otherwise, set $x_{t+1} = x_t$, double sketch size $m_{t+1} = 2m_t$ and sample new $S_{t+1} \in \mathbb{R}^{m_{t+1} \times n}$.

Geometric convergence guarantees of the adaptive Newton sketch

(SRHT) Let $\delta \in (0, 1/2)$. For $\tau = 1$ (quadratic rate), pick $p_0 \simeq \frac{\delta}{d}$ and assume n large enough such that $n \gtrsim \frac{d^2 \overline{d}_{\mu}^2}{\delta^2}$. Let \overline{m}_0 be an initial sketch size satisfying. $\overline{m}_0 \simeq \frac{d}{\delta} \log(\frac{d}{\delta})$. Then, it holds with probability at least $1 - p_0$ that adaptive Newton sketch returns a δ -approximate solution \tilde{x} in function value (i.e., $f(\tilde{x}) - f(x^*) \leq \delta$) in less than $\overline{T} = \mathcal{O}(\log(\overline{d}_{\mu}) \log \log(d/\delta))$ iterations, with final sketch size bounded by $2\overline{m} \simeq \frac{2d}{\delta}(\overline{d}_{\mu} + \log(\frac{d}{\delta})\log(\overline{d}_{\mu}))$ and with total time complexity

$$\overline{\mathcal{C}} = \mathcal{O}\left(nd\log(\overline{d}_{\mu})\log\left(\frac{d}{\delta}\right)\log\log\left(\frac{d}{\delta}\right)\right)$$



$$I_d\Big\},$$

ments	
lds that	
	(1)
(x).	(1) (2)

$$\left(\begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \end{array} \right) \right)$$
.

Numerical experiments

