

Section Handout 5

Problem One: Designing CFGs

Below are a list of alphabets and languages over those alphabets. For each language, design a context-free grammar that generates that language.

- i. Let $\Sigma = \{ \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{\wedge}, \mathbf{\vee}, \mathbf{\neg}, \mathbf{\rightarrow}, \mathbf{\leftrightarrow}, \mathbf{(}, \mathbf{)}, \mathbf{\top}, \mathbf{\perp} \}$ and let $PL = \{ w \in \Sigma^* \mid w \text{ is a legal propositional logic formula using just the variable } p \}$. Write a CFG for PL .
- ii. Let $\Sigma = \{ \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{1} \}$ and consider the regular expression $R = (\mathbf{0} \mid (\mathbf{10})^*)^* \mid \mathbf{10}^*$. Write a CFG G such that $\mathcal{L}(R) = \mathcal{L}(G)$.

Problem Two: Designing PDAs

Below are a list of alphabets and languages over those alphabets. For each language, design a pushdown automaton that recognizes the given language.

- i. Let $\Sigma = \{ \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{?} \}$ and let $L = \{ x?y \mid x, y \in \{ \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{1} \}^* \text{ and } y \text{ is the reverse of } x \}$. Design a **deterministic** PDA that recognizes L .
- ii. Let $\Sigma = \{ \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2} \}$ and let $L = \{ \mathbf{0}^m \mathbf{1}^n \mathbf{2}^p \mid m, n, p \in \mathbb{N} \wedge (m = n \vee m = p) \}$. Design a PDA that recognizes L .

Problem Three: The Pumping Lemma

Let $\Sigma = \{ \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B} \}$ and let $TWOWAYBALANCE = \{ w \in \Sigma^* \mid w \text{ contains the same number of } \mathbf{0}\text{s and } \mathbf{1}\text{s and the same number of } \mathbf{A}\text{s and } \mathbf{B}\text{s} \}$. Prove that $TWOWAYBALANCE$ is not context-free.*

Problem Four: Ambiguous Grammars

Let $\Sigma = \{ \mathbf{n}, \mathbf{+}, \mathbf{*}, \mathbf{(}, \mathbf{)} \}$ and let $ARITH = \{ w \in \Sigma^* \mid w \text{ is a legal arithmetic statement.} \}$ For example, $\mathbf{n} + \mathbf{n} * \mathbf{n} \in ARITH$, $\mathbf{(n)} \in ARITH$, and $\mathbf{int} * (\mathbf{int} + \mathbf{int}) \in ARITH$.

Below is one possible CFG for $ARITH$:

$$E \rightarrow E + E \mid E * E \mid \mathbf{n} \mid (E)$$

As shown in lecture, this grammar is ambiguous. Rewrite this grammar so that it is unambiguous. You should make sure that $*$ has higher precedence than $+$.

* This problem adapted from Problem 2.32 from Sipser.